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Abstract

In the present report, among one thousand and six hundred urine samples screened; only 65% showed bacterial infection. However, 919 samples (88.4%) were sensitive to the tested antibiotics; 121 samples (11.6%) recognized as multi-drug resistant bacteria. These bacterial isolates were identified and differentiated by cultural, morphological, and biochemical analysis. The isolates were then analyzed to determine their susceptibility profile to 30 antibiotics according to the standard CLSI guide. The results also indicated that the most of urinary tract infection diseases were by Gram negative bacteria (102 isolates; 84.3%). Escherichia coli was the most predominant organism causing UTI in this study that represented by 58 isolates (14.9%), followed by Klebsiella pneumonia (21.5%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (14.9%), Staphylococcus aureus (12.4%), Enterococcus faecalis (3.3%). In conclusion, the profiles in bacterial clinical isolates can provide important information for the control of antibiotic resistance as well as distribution and susceptibility profiles in populations.
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Introduction:

Different microorganisms can cause Urinary tract infections including fungi and viruses, but bacteria are the most causative agents and are responsible for 95% of cases worldwide (Hooton, 2012; Schollum and Walker, 2012).

It has been estimated that more than 60% of healthcare- associated infections are biofilm-related. Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most common type of hospital-acquired infection, accounting for 40% of all cases and of those 80% are catheter- associated (Hamill, 2007).

The incidence of urinary tract infections is much higher in adult women due to anatomical and physiological reasons. However due to the urinary tract lesion formation in males and children, it is more dangerous and must be treated immediately to suppress the infection and prevent spreading. A wide spectrum of treatment can be ranging from a single-dose antibiotic treatment of simple cystitis in young females, to rescue nephrectomy for pyonephrosis (Gupta et al., 2011; Mahdy et al., 2012; Chaudhary et al., 2013).
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Doctors routinely prescribe powerful antibiotics to treat UTIs, and individuals with recurrent UTI may be prescribed a longer course of treatment. This may lead to the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains, which can cause UTIs that are more serious and difficult to treat (Gupta et al., 2011, Sanchez et al., 2012).

UTI poses serious health threat because of antibiotic resistance and high recurrence rate. Bacterial infections caused by antibiotic-resistant isolates have become a major health problem in recent years, since they are very difficult to treat, leading to an increase in morbidity and mortality (Jonaidi et al., 2009).

Inappropriate antimicrobial use due to availability of antimicrobials without prescription or prescribed by non-skilled practitioners can lead to inadequate therapy and contribute to further drug resistance (Yilmaz et al., 2009).

*Escherichia coli* was the most predominant bacterium isolated from urine and responsible to most uncomplicated UTIs (Beyene and Tsegaye, 2011; Demileet et al., 2012; Ponnsamy and Nagappan, 2013), followed by *Klebsiella* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., *Proteus* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus* spp., *Enterobacter* spp. and *Citrobacter* spp. (Theodros, 2010; Abdagire et al., 2014).

In the current study, the susceptibility pattern of pathogenic bacteria isolated from Egyptian UTI patients with special reference to multi-drug resistant bacteria. The development of microbial resistance to the available antibiotics has informed the need to explore natural disease control options.

**Materials and Methods:**

**Samples Sources and collection:**
Clinical specimens were obtained during a period of 24 months (from September 2011 to August 2013). Urine samples were collected from 1600 patient’s (males, and females) of different ages from in patients and out patients of two hospitals: Al-Zahraa University Hospital and Cairo Specialized Hospital in Cairo city, Egypt. Every patient got a sterile dry, wide opening and leak proof container. A "mid-stream" or "clean-catch" urine sample obtained under aseptic precautions in sterile containers. In babies, the urine is collected in sterile self-adhesive plastic bags (Simerville et al., 2005). Some patients (e.g., elderly people or hospitalized patients) that cannot provide a urine sample in such cases, a catheter was inserted into the bladder to collect urine, this is the best method for providing a contaminant free sample. Specimens were transported in sterile containers and analyzed within one hour of collection (Mahdy et al., 2012).

**Laboratory Investigations for Urine Samples:**
Urine specimens were analyzed by dipstick and microscopy, and then cultured. Test strips (Medi-Test Combi 10 Oxoid®, UK) were performed for rapid determination of blood, urobilinogen, bilirubin, protein, nitrite, ketones, ascorbic acid, glucose, pH value and density in urine. Then wet film of urine deposit is examined for pus, RBCs, casts and crystals. The white blood cells (pus cells) are counted. Also, bacterial count is done within one hour by using a calibrated loop that carries a 10µl of uncentrifuged urine. A loop- full is spread on blood agar, and on MacConkey or on cystine lactose electrolyte deficient (CLED) agar (Oxoid®, UK) and incubated overnight at 37°C. The number of bacteria is estimated and interpreted as follows:

- 1x10³ bacteria per ml or more indicates urinary tract infections.
- Less than 1x10⁴ bacteria per ml are regarded as contamination.
- A count of 1x10⁴ is considered significant if the organism is Gram positive and of one type only.

**Isolation and Purification of Uropathogenic Bacteria:**
Patient’s specimens were taken from urinary tract infection cultured by the "Plating out" technique, on solid media. The media used for isolation of bacteria (aerobic as well as facultative anaerobic bacteria) from urine samples under investigation were nutrient agar medium, MacConkey agar medium, Blood agar medium, Chocolate agar medium and Cysteine-Lactose-Electrolyte-Deficient (CLED) medium purchased from Oxoid, UK. All plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. The colonies were picked up by sterile loop and subjected to purification in the same isolation medium. Agar streak method was used for purification process. A well separated colony from each isolate was picked up on isolation medium, slants and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The purified isolates were subjected to a complete identification process and other studies.
Methods of Identification of Bacterial Isolates:-

Morphological Studies:
The morphological characteristics of the purified bacterial isolates were carried out according to the identification charts (Holt et al., 1996).

Examination of unstained preparations (wet mount) will help in demonstrating motility. While examination of Gram stained preparation- will determine the staining reaction of the organism; whether Gram-positive or Gram-negative, their morphology (cocci, bacilli, etc.), size and arrangement (Chessbrough, 2000).

Physiological and Biochemical Identification:-
Many biochemical reactions were preceded for identification of bacteria according to the keys of Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology (Hensyl, 1994).

Identification of The Bacterial Isolates by Analytical Profile Index (API) strips:-
The identification of all bacterial isolates was performed using API strips inoculated and incubated as described by the manufacturer (bio Merieux® France). The strips were API 10S, API 10 Strep and API 20 staph. Examination of the strips was conducted after 18-24 hr. incubation at 37°C. The analyses were done using API instrument (bio Merieux® Vitek Systems).

Antibiotic Sensitivity Tests:-
Antibiotic sensitivity of uropathogen isolates were performed in-vitro by modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method using Mueller-Hinton agar medium (Oxoid®, UK), according to the recommended method of Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2012). The Mueller-Hinton Agar plates were inoculated with the bacterial suspension within 15 minutes after adjusting the turbidity of the inoculum suspension, by dipping a sterile cotton swab into the suspension. The swab was then streaked over the entire surface of the Mueller-Hinton medium three times, rotating the plate approximately 60 degrees after each application to ensure an even distribution of the inoculum. The disc diffusion method was applied using commercial paper discs impregnated with antibiotics (Oxoid®, UK). 30 different antibiotic discs were applied to the plates within 15 minutes after inoculation. By using a multidisc dispenser, the appropriate discs were placed on the surface of the inoculated plate suitably spaced (25 mm from disc to disc and 15 mm from the rim). The plates were allowed to pre-diffuse at 4°C for one hour. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h., and then measured the inhibition zones diameter in millimeter (mm) including the disc (6mm). According to the diameter of the inhibition zone, as documented from standard antimicrobial sensitivity charts for the different antibiotics, it can be determined if the organism is sensitive, intermediate or resistant to the different antibiotics according to Clinical Laboratory Standard Institutes guidelines (CLSI, 2012).

Results and Discussion:-
As the result of increasing misuse and extensive uses of antimicrobial agents, nosocomial pathogens have shifted away from easily treatable bacteria towards more resistant bacteria. This change is important problem for nosocomial infection control and prevention (Jain et al.; 2007).

In this study during 2011 to 2013, urine samples were collected in Al-Zahraa University Hospital and Cairo Specialized Hospital in Cairo city from 1600 patient’s males and females of different ages. The results showed that among the 1600 urine samples collected from patients 1040 samples exhibited bacterial growth (65%) and 560 samples (35%) did not showed any bacterial growth under these screening conditions (Fig.1). Among the 1040 bacterial-infected patients, only 121 samples (11.6%) recognized as multi-drug resistant bacteria. However, 919 samples (88.4%) were sensitive to the tested antibiotics. Among the ward samples collected; the frequency of multi-drug resistant patient are higher (75.2%) in In-patient samples (n= 91). Moreover, the out-patient samples (n= 30) represent only 24.8% (Fig. 2). From the screening results, it was found that the adult females are the most patients infected by urinary tract infections (n=69, 57.0%), followed by adult males (n=31, 25.6%), then child females infected (n=13, 10.8%) more than child males (n=8, 6.6%) as shown in fig. (3).For adult females infected with urinary tract infection, the percentage of non-pregnant women about (95.7%, n=66) and the remaining were pregnant women (4.3%, n=3) (Fig. 4).Regarding to sample type, the majority of samples infected with bacteria were fresh mid-stream urine (n=115, 95.04%), while the urine samples from urinary catheter (n=6) representing 4.96% (Fig. 5).
Similarly, McLoughlin and Matar (2003) isolated 126 urine cultures; the majority of organisms were *Escherichia coli* accounting for 89% of the patients. Similarly, It has been reported that *Escherichia coli* was the most frequently occurring pathogen (54.8%), followed by *Klebsiella pneumonia* (16.0%), *Staphylococci* (11.2%), *Enterobacter* spp. (9.6%), *Proteus* spp. 1.4% and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (1.4%) (Kalantar et al., 2008).

However, all bacterial isolates were screened concerning their sensitivity to different antibiotics on Mueller Hinton agar medium by disc agar diffusion method. The total pattern of resistance according to each antibiotic of the different 30 antibiotics used is represented for selection of antibiotic resistant bacteria, 121 bacterial isolates were called multi resistant bacteria after compare the inhibition zone around each antibiotic disc with inhibition zone standard according to (NCCLS, 2014).

![Fig. 1: The percentages of 1600 urine samples in response to antibiotics.](image1)

![Fig. 2: Percentage of bacterial specimens in relation to hospital (in or out patients).](image2)
Fig.3:- The percentage of multidrug resistant samples regarding the sex and age stage of patients.

Fig.4:- The percentage of multidrug resistant samples regarding pregnancy in adult females patients.

Fig.5:- The distribution of multidrug resistant samples regarding the sample types.

Uropathogen isolates which are resistant to antibiotics are collected in fig.(6). The tested 121 bacterial isolates did not exhibit sensitivity to the antibiotics Ampicillin (AMP), Ampicillin/Subactam (SAM), Aztreonam (ATM), Erythromycin (E), Piperacillin (PRL) and Tobramycin (TOB) under these screening conditions. Moreover, these antibiotics showed low number of intermediate susceptibility expressed as 2, 16, 28, 8, 8 and 9 samples against AMP, SAM, ATM, E, PRL and TOB, respectively. However, more than 75% of the cases were resistant.

The results revealed that less than 5% of the uropathogen isolates were sensitive to Cefepime (FEP), Cefoperazone (CFP), Cefotaxime (CTX), Ceftazidime (CAZ), Ceftriaxone (CRO), Cefuroxime (CXM), Doxycycline (DO), Ertapenem (ETP), Nalidixic Acid (NA), Norfloxacin (NOR), Ofloxacin (OFX), Tetracycline (TE), Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (SXT). While the resistant to these antibiotics was approaching up to 95%; 49.6%, 72.7%, 71.0%, 69.4%, 63.6%, 77.7%, 94.2%, 84.3%, 94.2%, 52.1%, 45.5%, 73.5%, 68.6% respectively. Regarding
to intermediate to previous antibiotics: 46.3%, 26.5%, 26.5%, 28.9%, 33.9%, 21.5%, 5%, 12.4%, 5%, 44.6%, 51.2%, 24.8%, and 27.3%, respectively.

In case of Amikacin (AK) 43% bacterial isolates were sensitive; 36.4% bacterial isolates were intermediate and 20.6% bacterial isolates were resistant. However, the susceptibility of Amoxycillin/Clavulanic Acid (AMC) revealed 2.5% sensitive, 21.5% intermediate and 76% resistant.

It was obvious from the results that 38.8% were resistant to Ciprofloxacin (CIP), 52.1% were resistant to Gentamicin (CN), 43.8% were resistant to Levofloxacin (LEV), 46.3% were resistant to Nitrofurantion (F), 43.0% were resistant to Piperacillin/Tazobactam (TZP), 50.4% were resistant to Tigecycline (TGC). However, clinical isolates were intermediate to antibiotics; 54.6%, 42.1%, 28.1%, 37.2%, 31.4%, 34.7%, respectively. On the other hand, clinical bacterial isolates were sensitive to previous antibiotics; 6.6%, 5.8%, 28.1%, 16.5%, 25.6%, 14.9%, respectively.

In case of Meropenem (MEM) 21.5% bacterial isolates were resistant against this particular antibiotic and the same percentage isolates showed intermediate, while 57% showed sensitivity toward. However, in case of Polymyxin B (PB) 71.1% bacterial isolates were sensitive, but 18.2% showed intermediate, while 10.7% showed resistant toward this particular antibiotic.

The most efficient antibiotic used in this study was Imipenem (IPM); hence 89.3% of tested clinical bacterial isolates were sensitive to this antibiotic; 6.6% were intermediate and 4.1% were resistant.

Identification of isolated bacteria was preceded by using consumption of both manual some biochemical tests and Analytical profile Index (API) system. The number and percentage of the etiological agents of UTI were recorded in fig. (7) indicated that there are five different organisms of uropathogen bacteria. Escherichia coli was the most predominant organism causing UTI represented by 58 isolates (47.9 %), followed by Klebsiella pneumonia (26 isolates; 21.5 %), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (18 isolates; 14.9 %), Staphylococcus aureus (15 isolates; 12.4 %), Enterococcus faecalis (4 isolates; 3.3 %). That’s means that the most of urinary tract infection diseases were by Gram negative bacteria (102 isolates; 84.3 %). While in case of Gram positive low isolates number (19 isolates) with percentage 15.7%.
Fifty eight antibiotic resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates were identified from 121 multi-drug resistant isolated from urinary tract infection with percentage 47.9% and showed antibiotic sensitivity percentage 6.7-20%, intermediately percentage 10.0-46.7%, resistance percentage 40-76.7%. Isolate code 370812 was extreme multi-drug resistant *Escherichia coli* isolate was resistant to AMP, SAM, CAZ, DO, ETP, E, CN, LEV, NA, NOR, OFX, PB, TE, TGC, TOB, SXT. Also, isolate 370812 showed sensitivity only to IPM and MEM antibiotics; while showed intermediate reaction to AK, AM, ATM, CEP, CFP, CTX, CRO, CXM, F, TZP (Fig. 8; Table 1).

Within 121 multi-drug resistant isolates were identified twenty six isolates as *Klebsiella pneumonia* with percentage 21.5%, showing antibiotic sensitivity percentage 6.7-16.7%, intermediately percentage 6.7-50.0%, resistance percentage 36.7-83.3%. Isolate code 410713 was extreme multi-drug resistant *Klebsiella pneumonia* isolate was resistant to AMC, AMP, ATM, CAZ, CXM, DO, ETP, E, LEV, NA, NOR, OFX, PRL, TOB. This isolate was sensitive to IPM and MEM antibiotics only. While showed intermediately to AK, SAM, AT, FEP, CFP, CTX, CTP, CN, F, PB, TE, TGC, SXT (Fig. 9; Table 1).

However, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates accounted for 14.9% (18) from 121 multi-drug resistant bacteria recording antibiotic sensitivity percentage 6.7-16.7%, intermediately percentage 13.3-30.0%, resistance percentage 56.6-73.3%. Isolate code 270712 was extreme multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolate that was resistant to AMC, AMP, SAM, ATM, CFP, CTX, CAZ, CXM, DO, ETP, E, LEV, NA, NOR, OFX, PRL, TZP, TE, TGC, TOB, SXT. While showed intermediate reaction to CFP, NA, F, TE. This isolate was sensitive to IPM and PB antibiotics only (Fig. 10; Table 1).

On the other hand, fifteen antibiotic resistant isolates (12.4%) were identified as *Staphylococcus aureus* from 121 multi-drug resistant isolated from urinary tract infection and showed antibiotic sensitivity percentage 10-16.7%, intermediately percentage 13.3-36.7%, as well as higher resistance percentage 46.7-76.7%. Isolate *Staphylococcus aureus*-code 100213- showed extremely multi-drug resistant. Isolate was resistant to AMC, AMP, SAM, ATM, FEP, CTX, CAZ, CRO, CXM, CIP, DO, CN, E, LEV, MEM, NOR, OFX, PRL, TZP, TGC, TOB, SXT. While showed intermediate reaction to CFP, NA, F, TE. This isolate was showed sensitivity against AK, IPM and MEM antibiotics (Fig. 11; Table 1).

*Enterococcus faecalis* isolates accounted for 3.3% (n=4) from 121 multi-drug resistant bacteria which isolated from urinary tract infection that showed antibiotic sensitivity percentage 6.7-13.3%, intermediately percentage 6.7-36.7%, as well as resistance percentage 53.3-86.7%. Isolate code 270412 was extreme multi-drug resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* that was resistant to AK, AMC, AMP, SAM, ATM, FEP, CFP, CTX, CAZ, CRO, CXM, CIP, DO, CN, F, LEV, MEM, MEM, NOR, OFX, PRL, TZP, TGC, TOB, SXT. This isolate was sensitive to DO, TE antibiotics only and intermediately to PB, TOB (Fig. 12; Table 1).
Fig. 8: The variation in the susceptibility of the multi-drug resistant *E. coli* isolates to the tested antibiotics.
Fig. 9: The variation in the susceptibility of the multi-drug resistant *Klebsiella pneumonia* isolates to the tested antibiotics.

Fig. 10: The variation in the susceptibility of the multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates to the tested antibiotics.
Fig. 11: The variation in the susceptibility of the multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates to the tested antibiotics.

Fig. 12: The variation in the susceptibility of the multi-drug resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates to the tested antibiotics.
Table 1: The antibiotic susceptibility profile for the selected bacterial isolates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Antibiotic code</th>
<th>S n (%)</th>
<th>Antibiotic code</th>
<th>I n (%)</th>
<th>Antibiotic code</th>
<th>R n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escherichia coli</strong> (370812)</td>
<td>IPM, MEM</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>AK, AMC, ATM, CEP, CFP, CTX, CRO, CXM, F, TZP.</td>
<td>10 33.3</td>
<td>AMP, SAM, CAZ, CIP, DO, ETP, E, CN, LEV, NA, NOR, OFX, PB, TE, TGC, TOB, SXT.</td>
<td>18 60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Klebsiella pneumonia</strong> (410713)</td>
<td>IPM, MEM</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>AK, SAM, FEP, CFP, CTX, CRO, CIP, CN, F, PB, TE, TGC, SXT.</td>
<td>10 33.3</td>
<td>AMC, AMP, ATM, FEP, CAZ, CXM, DO, ETP, E, LEV, NA, NOR, OFX, PRL, TOB.</td>
<td>18 60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</strong> (270712)</td>
<td>IPM, PB</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>AK, FEP, CRO, CIP, CN, MEM, F.</td>
<td>7 23.3</td>
<td>AMX, AMP, SAM, ATM, FEP, CTX, CAZ, CXM, DO, ETP, E, LEV, NA, NOR, OFX, PRL, TGC, SXT.</td>
<td>21 70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus aureus</strong> (100213)</td>
<td>AK, IPM, MEM</td>
<td>3 10.0</td>
<td>CFP, NA, F, TE.</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>AMX, AMP, SAM, ATM, FEP, CTX, CAZ, CXM, DO, ETP, E, LEV, MEM, NA, NOR, OFX, PRL, TGC, TOB, SXT.</td>
<td>23 76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enterococcus faecalis</strong> (100213)</td>
<td>Do, TE</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>PB, TOB.</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>AK, AMP, SAM, ATM, FEP, CFP, CTX, CAZ, CXM, CIP, ETP, E, CN, IPM, LEV, MEM, NA, F, NOR, OFX, PRL, TGC, SXT.</td>
<td>26 86.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More than 69% of isolates were Enterobacteriaceae; hence *Escherichia coli* was the most predominant pathogen isolated from urine samples (47.9%), followed by *Klebsiella pneumonia* (21.5%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (14.9%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (12.4%) and *Enterococcus faecalis* (3.3%). These results revealed that Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria were responsible for 84.3% and 15.7% of the MDR isolates, respectively.

In the same line of the current study, most UTIs are caused by Gram-negative bacteria which account for 80 to 85% and the leading causative organisms are *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Tanvir et al., 2012), and Gram-positive bacteria such as *Enterococcus* spp. and *Staphylococcus* spp. (Kashefet al., 2010).

The overall isolation rate of uropathogens in this study was 65% which is like the rates reported from (Biadglegne and Abera, 2009). However, the rate was higher than other studies (Beyene and Tsegaye, 2011; Demile et al., 2012; Abdagire et al., 2014). Few data suggested variable results ranging from 9 to 34% of urine positive cultures (Jahanzabet al., 2008; Oh et al., 2013). This difference could be due to the difference in samples size, handling and sample processing techniques.

The isolation rates of *Escherichia coli* (48%) and other pathogens in this study were comparable to the rates documented previously (deFrancesco et al., 2007; Beyene et al., 2011; Demile et al., 2012; Ponnusamy and Nagappan, 2013). Various other data also documented that *Escherichia coli* was the predominant pathogen in UTIs patients (Tseng et al., 2008; Bahadinet al., 2011; Abdagire et al., 2014).

As studied by Manikandet al. (2011) *Staphylococcus aureus* was responsible for 20.5% of UTI cases. Similarly, Barkaet al. (2014) reported *Staphylococcus* to be responsible for 24.16% of the genital damage and 20.83% of urinary manifestations respectively of the total samples studied.
Gram-negative bacteria were more responsible for UTI than Gram-positive cocci and this finding is in agreement with the findings of previous studies (Mehtet al., 2004; Ayaziet al., 2010).

Significant difference was observed between genders as majority of the pathogens were isolated from females. Studies conducted all over the world have reported the differences in the prevalence rates between females and males due to physiological and anatomical differences (Theodros, 2010; ColganandWilliams, 2011; Hooton, 2012).

UTIs are considerably more common among women, nearly half of whom will experience a UTI during their lifetime (Schollum and Walker, 2012). It is estimated that 2 to 10% of pregnant woman suffer from any form of UTIs (Sharamiet al., 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Bahadiet al., 2010), which compatible with the obtained results in this study.

Pregnancy appears to increase the risk that a UTI because pregnancy can cause hormonal changes, as well as shifts in the position of the urinary tract, which make it easier for bacteria to spread to the kidneys (Hooton, 2012).

In this study, the antimicrobial susceptibility tests revealed that one hundred and twenty-one (11.6%) isolates had multidrug resistance. Ampicillin had the highest overall resistance of 98.3%, followed by Doxycycline, Nalidixic Acid (94.2%), Erythromycin, Pipercillin (93.4%), Tobramycin (92.6%), Ampicillin/Sulbactam (86.8%), Ertapenem (84.3%), Cefuroxime (77.7%), Aztreonam (76.9%), Amoxycillin/Clavulanic Acid (76%) and Tetracycline (73.5%).

Also, in the current study, the survey of antibiotic susceptibility revealed that Imipenem was the most effective drugs, inhibiting 89.3% of the isolated bacterial uropathogens. The other three most sensitive antibiotics exhibited susceptibility rates 71.1%, 57%, 43% were Polymyxin B, Meropenem and Amikacin, respectively. This has been observed previously in a study involving 211 clinical strains (Thibault et al., 2004) and is of interest because Imipenem antibiotic is considered as a good alternative to ceftazidime in the treatment of disseminated disease.

Ahsanet al., (2011) reported that the highest resistance rate of Escherichia coli isolate which was obtained from urine samples was against nalidixic acid (57.7%) followed by cotrimoxazole, ciprofloxacin, and ampicillin, respectively. However, in our study amikacin and imipenem had the widest coverage against Escherichia coli isolates (97.5%). In another surveillance study reported that the highest resistance rate of Escherichia coli isolates which were obtained from various hospitals specimens was against tetracycline followed by amoxicillin and penicillin, respectively (Mohammaduheriet al., 2010; Kang et al., 2011).

However, in the current study Escherichia coli, the most frequently isolated bacterium, showed high resistance rates (>80%) to erythromycin, amoxycillin and tetracycline. Similarly, Klebsiellasp., Proteusspp. and Pseudomonasp. were found to be resistant to amoxicillin, erythromycin and tetracycline but sensitive to gentamicin and ciprofloxacin. Increasing drug resistance to these and other antimicrobials has been documented from previous studies (Tseng et al., 2008).

This is in concordance with the prevalence rate reported from Gupta et al. (2002) though resistance against gentamicin (50.4%), ciprofloxacin (38.8%) and amikacin (20.6%) was much higher than seen in our study.

Results obtained from Ghorashiet al. (2011), suggested that Escherichia coli was extremely resistant to ampicillin but highly sensitive to amikacin in most of the hospitalized patients.

In the current study, Klebsiella pneumoniaishowed resistance against commonly used antibiotics (ciprofloxacin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and amikacin). These findings are almost in accordance with previous studies that reported MDR K. pneumoniae(Ullah et al., 2009; Langarizadehet al., 2011; Mahdyet al., 2013; Rampureet al., 2013).

According to Ebenebeet al., (2014), Pseudomonas showed 100% resistance to all the beta-lactam antibiotics except Imipenem. All the Gram-positive bacteria were susceptible to Imipenem.

National and regional distributions of the data are important to enable local prescribing practices (Laure et al., 2012). The carbapenem (imipenem) with activity against many bacteria have been the most active broad-spectrum antimicrobial class documented by numerous large surveillance programs (Zhanelet al., 2007).
The high levels of antimicrobial resistance in Gram-negative bacteria can be attributed to antibiotic misuse in Egypt (Ashour and El-Sharif, 2009).

More likely, Atif (2006) and Jumaa (2006) reported that *Klebsiellaspp.* accounted for up to 10% of all nosocomial bacterial infections placing it among the most important infectious pathogens in hospitals over the world including the Middle East; in addition to Carrère et al. (2010) and Mahdy et al. (2013) who isolated multidrug resistant *K. pneumonia* from Gizah, Egypt.

In conclusion, policies on the control of antibiotic usage have to be enforced and implemented to avoid the evolution of newer generations of pathogens with higher resistance, not only to the older generation drugs, but also to the relatively new ones. In addition, the entire microbial spectrum in various infection sites, and not just bloodstream pathogens, should be taken into account when initiating empirical antibiotic therapy.
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