



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

Journal Homepage: -[www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

Article DOI:10.21474/IJAR01/1903  
DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/1903>



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF  
ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)  
ISSN 2320-5407  
Journal homepage: <http://www.journalijar.com>  
Journal DOI:10.21474/IJAR01

### REVIEW ARTICLE

## CANINE TICK BORNE HAEMOPROTOZOANDISEASES; EPIDEMIOLOGY, PATHOGENESIS AND DIAGNOSTIC APPROACHES– A REVIEW.

**AdhikariSahu\*, M.R. Panda, B.N. Mohanty and M. Dehur.**

C.V.Sc& A.H, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

#### **Manuscript Info**

##### **Manuscript History**

Received: 12 August 2016  
Final Accepted: 22 September 2016  
Published: October 2016

##### **Key words:-**

Haemoprotzoan Diseases, Babesiosis, Trypanosomiasis, Hepatozoonosis

#### **Abstract**

Tick borne haemoprotzoan diseases (TBDs) are most common in tropical and subtropical regions across the world because of the high prevalence of tick vectors. Among these, Babesiosis, Hepatozoonosis and Trypanosomiasis are the major TBDs affecting the canine species. Affected dogs usually exhibit clinical manifestations like anorexia, lethargic conditions, anaemia, icterus and often death in severe cases. The haematological profile of the affected dogs shows alterations in blood cell counts like thrombocytopenia, neutrophilia etc. This present review depicts in details the epidemiology, pathogenesis and different diagnostic approaches like microscopic examinations, serological and molecular nucleic acid based tools as the aid for diagnosis.

*Copy Right, IJAR, 2016., All rights reserved.*

#### **Introduction:-**

Dog is the first pet animal to be domesticated worldwide at about ten to fifteen thousand years ago and considered as the best companion animal of human being because of its well-known qualities like faithfulness, usefulness and intelligence services. India is home to 25 million dog and the dog population can be divided into four categories: family dogs (partially restricted, wholly dependent), community dogs (unrestricted, partially dependent), pet dogs (restricted and supervised), and feral dogs or stray dogs which are unrestricted and independent (Menezes, 2008). The dogs, like other animals are also prone to many infections and diseases. They suffer from bacterial, viral, parasitic diseases etc. Most of the bacterial and viral diseases can be taken care of by administration of vaccines, but question of parasitic diseases remain unsolved. Both stray and pet dogs are attacked by various types of ecto and endoparasites. Stray dogs always act as the source of infection for pet dogs. Among ectoparasites, ticks are the major problems as they suck blood causing anemia, irritation and annoyance to the dogs and most importantly act as vectors for transmitting many fatal diseases. In tropical and subtropical regions, tick-borne diseases of bacterial, viral and most commonly the haemoprotzoan origin are a common feature (Irwin and Jefferies, 2004). The tropical climatic condition (hot and humid environmental condition) of India favors the growth, development and multiplication of ticks (Jadav et al., 2011). Among the tick borne diseases, haemoprotzoan infections are of great importance because of their severe pathogenicity.

#### **Major Haemoprotzoan Diseases of Dogs:-**

*Babesia* spp. and *Hepatozoon* spp. cause the major canine tick-borne blood protozoan diseases. However, co-infections of *Babesia* with *Bartonella*, *Ehrlichia*, *Hepatozoon*, *Leishmania* and *Rickettsia* species have also been observed in dogs (O'Dwyer et al., 2001). Canine babesiosis is an important disease of both domestic and wild Canidae across the globe. This is a highly pathogenic disease where members of the genus *Babesia* readily parasitize

**Corresponding Author:-AdhikariSahu.**

Address:-C.V.Sc& A.H, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

the red blood cells leading to progressive anemia. Canine *Babesi* can be morphologically categorized into large (*Babesia canis*) and small forms (*Babesia gibsoni*). The smaller parasite *B. gibsoni* has been noticed frequently amongst dogs and very commonly *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* is considered as the main alleged vector (Senthilkumar et al., 2009). Dogs acquire the infection by ingesting infected ticks containing sporulated oocyst (Soulsby, 1982). The most common pathogenic symptoms associated with this protozoan infection include anorexia, weight loss, lethargy, enlargement of spleen, vomiting, jaundice, amber to brown discoloration of urine, pale mucous membranes, tachycardia and tachypnea.

Canine hepatosplenohepatitis is a blood protozoan disease caused by the protozoan parasite, *Hepatozoon canis* which is transmitted by ingestion of infected dog tick named as *R. Sanguineus* and not by the biting of ticks because the infective stages don't reach the salivary glands of the vectors (Baneth et al., 2001). The prevalence of *Hepatozoon canis* in India has been reported earlier by Pawar and Gatne (2005); Lakshmanan and John (2007) and Palanivel et al. (2010). The clinical symptoms of *H. canis* infection vary from subclinical to severe life-threatening conditions (Baneth et al., 2003). *Hepatozoon canis* primarily affects the haemolymphatic tissue and blood cell forming organs such as the bone marrow, spleen and lymph nodes. Dogs with severe clinical conditions show signs like fever, loss of appetite, weight loss, hyperglobulinaemia often resulting in hepatitis, anaemia, glomerulonephritis and pneumonia. Co-infection of *H. canis* with other blood parasites such as *Ehrlichia*, *Leishmania* and parvovirus is a common condition (Baneth and Weigler, 1997).

Canine trypanosomiasis is a widespread disease of the Western Hemisphere and is endemic in many parts of South and Central America affecting both animals and humans (Barr et al., 1991). This disease is mainly classified into two primary types: the American form (Chagas disease) caused by *Trypanosoma cruzi* and the African form (sleeping sickness or surra), caused by *Trypanosoma evansi*. Furthermore, 'surra', a pathological disease condition due to *T. evansi* infection, is most prevalent in the large ruminants and horses of tropical region. Tabanid flies (*Tabanus* spp.) and *Stomoxys* spp. Of flies are the vectors for mechanical transmission of the infective agent of "Surra". However, by consumption of the carcass of an infected animal, dogs can also acquire the infection. Trypanosomiasis is widespread in Asia, although it appears to affect companion animals rarely (Irwin and Jefferies, 2004). *T. evansi* infection in dogs causes a severe disease characterized by fever, malaise, generalized oedema, corneal opacity, anaemia, hepatomegaly and mortality in severe cases. Canine trypanosomiasis is generally diagnosed by observation of thick or thin blood films, buffy coat smears for presence of trypomastigotes or in tissues by mouse inoculation. However, examination by microscopy may under-diagnose the disease as in chronic infection the level of parasitemia can be very low (Da Silva et al, 2009). Although, it is a widespread disease of the western hemisphere, several research workers have reported the incidence of *T. evansi* in India (Varshney et al, 1998; Dakshinkar and Bhojne 2001, Ramprabhu et al, 2001; Chowdhury et al, 2005 and Sonika et al, 2007).

Canine leishmaniasis is caused by blood protozoa of the genus *Leishmania*. Among the *Leishmania* species infecting the human population and reported worldwide, only *Leishmania tropica* and *Leishmania donovani* are supposed to be anthroponotic i.e. it can be transmitted from human to other animals (Ashford, 1996 and Herwaldt, 1999). Leishmaniasis is of two types cutaneous and visceral. Cutaneous leishmaniasis is caused by members of *Leishmania aethiopia*, *L. major*, *L. tropica*, *L. mexicana* and *L. braziliensis* whereas visceral leishmaniasis is associated with *L. donovani*, and *L. Infantum* (Barr and Bowman, 2006). In dogs, clinical observation of leishmaniasis may take up to 7 years due to its slow pathogenesis. However, many dogs show natural resistance to this parasite and may remain asymptomatic but the reason is not clearly understood (Snappendelet al, 1998). It is believed that only 10% of dogs living in endemic areas actually develop the clinical symptoms while the majority acts as subclinical carriers (Barr and Bowman, 2006). Cutaneous lesions are exhibited in up to 89% of the infected dogs, which may or may not be associated with overt signs of visceral involvement. However, it should be noted that any animal exhibiting apparent lesions should be assumed to have disseminated leishmaniasis because involvement of the integument generally observed at a later stage in disease progression. One of the most reliable findings among dogs infected with *Leishmania* spp. is the presence of hyperproteinaemia due to hyperglobulinaemia, commonly associated with hypoalbuminaemia. Moreover, the deposition of immune complexes into joints and kidneys lead to polyarthritis and glomerulonephritis, respectively (Snappendelet al., 1998).

*Ehrlichia* is an alpha-proteobacteria of protozoan family Ehrlichiaaceae. In canines, the major species that are capable of producing infection includes *E. canis* (causes tropical canine pancytopenia), *E. ewingii* (causes canine granulocytic ehrlichiosis), and *E. chaffeensis* causing human monocytic ehrlichiosis (Anderson et al, 1991 and Irwin and Jefferies, 2004). The one that most frequently invades dogs and causes the most severe clinical signs

is *Ehrlichia canis* and is transmitted by. The prevalence of *E. canis* depends on the distribution of its vector *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* tick, which is commonly spread in tropical and subtropical regions (Shaw et al, 2001).

### Prevalence of Haemoprotzoan Infection in Dogs in India:-

Several studies by many research workers on investigating prevalence of canine babesiosis in India have been carried out. Nair et al (1979) reported 30.80 percent incidence of canine babesiosis in New Delhi while Lakshmanan and John (2007) in Madras could observe prevalence of *Babesia canis* and *B. gibsoni* 4.34% and concurrent infection of *Ehrlichia* spp. and *Hepatozoon* spp. in 8.69% of dogs. The status regarding canine babesiosis in India is so far not so comprehensive. In Chennai only 0.1% of dogs were observed to carry *Babesia gibsoni* infection microscopically (Sunder et al, 2004). Other studies recorded 9% and 22% of dogs in Uttar Pradesh (Chaudhari, 2006) and Assam (Chaudhari and Varshney, 2007), respectively. The pathogenicity of *Babesia* shows variations in different parts of India which may be because of differences in the prevailing species and strains. In India, both *B. vogeli* and *B. gibsoni* are co-endemic and the ticks *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* and *Haemaphysalis longicornis* are the assumed respective vectors (Abd Rani et al, 2010). Gadahiet al (2008) investigated on the prevalence of blood-parasites in stray and pet dogs in Hyderabad area and reported an overall prevalence of blood-parasites as 11.66 % and of which *Babesia canis* was 5%. The prevalence was more in stray dogs (13%) than pet dogs (9%). Senthil Kumar et al (2009) extensively studied on haemoprotzoan infections in Chennai city dogs and found the infection in about 12% of the dogs. Among the positive cases, *Babesia gibsoni* were 84.9%, followed by *Ehrlichia canis* 6 %, *Hepatozoon canis* 4.8%, *Babesia canis* 3.9% and *Trypanosoma evansi* 0.4%. Godara et al (2010) reported the overall prevalence of haemoprotzoan infection in dogs as 16.39% out of which *Babesia* spp. (13.1%) and *Ehrlichia canis* (4.9%). Study by Vairamuthu et al, (2014) reported 13.25% prevalence of blood protozoan diseases among dogs treated at Madras Veterinary College Teaching Hospital during 2006 to 2011. *B. gibsoni* infection was highest among the hemoprotzoan infection in dogs accounting 56.65 % followed by *E. canis* (23.21 %) and *H. canis* (11.23 %). It was observed that only 5.54 % of dogs were having *B. canis* infections. Thus, *Babesiosis* alone contributed almost 62.19 % of the total infection. Laha et al (2014) using molecular diagnostic techniques polymerase chain reaction (PCR) could observe 48.64 % dogs infected with *B. Gibsoni* and 54.05 % dogs were *B. canis* positive. Singh et al (2014) in Ludhiana, Punjab could observe an overall prevalence of 7.47% canine babesiosis encompassing 0.93% *B. canis* and 6.54% of *B. gibsoni* using Giemsa-stained peripheral thin blood smears. However, molecular diagnosis revealed 15.42% prevalence of *B. gibsoni* infection. Wadhwa et al (2011) recorded 1.15% prevalence of canine babesiosis in Himanchal Pradesh. Das et al (2015) studied the prevalence of canine babesiosis in and around Kolkatta and could find 31.86% prevalence of canine babesiosis out of which 94% was because of *Babesia gibsoni*. In addition, it was found that the incidence of babesiosis was more in females and also more during May and June months.

Sahu et al (2014) recorded 10.54% prevalence of haemoprotzoan parasites in Bhubaneswar, Odisha. The four canine blood protozoan parasites found in the area were *Babesia gibsoni*, *Babesia canis*, *Hepatozoon canis* and *Trypanosoma evansi* with percentage of incidence 4.81%, 1.66%, 3.33% and 0.74% respectively. Furthermore, the incidence among dogs less than 1 year of age was more (17.07%) as compared to dogs above one year (9.37%). Also, the incidence was found more (12.32%) among males as compared to females (8.68%). Highest percentage of incidence was observed in summer (14.55%) followed by rainy (10.06%) and winter (5.92%). Singh et al (1993) reported the incidence of *T. evansi* in 4.68% dogs at Ludhiana, India only during the rainy and post-rainy seasons. Chowdhury et al. (2005) conducted a survey on trypanosomosis of dogs in Kolkata and reported 1.72%. Pawar and Gatne (2005) reported 9.64% prevalence of hepatozoonosis in Mumbai. Palanivelet al (2010) recorded 11.76% prevalence of hepatozoonosis in and around Chennai. Jadav et al (2011) reported that prevalence of canine babesiosis basing on morphological criteria by microscopic examination in India ranges from 0.1-22 % in different parts of India. In addition, it was reported that babesiosis, hepatozoonosis and ehrlichiosis are the main arthropod transmitted diseases prevalent in India. Milanjeet et al (2014) by using PCR-based assays targeting a portion of the 16S rRNA gene for detecting *E. canis* could find a prevalence of 2.34% and the prevalence of *E. canis* was higher in the summer than winter season ( $P = 0.031$ ) and in dogs of age group less than six-month-old as compared to older dogs.

Selvaraj et al (2010) studied haemoprotzoan infection in dogs in Chennai and reported 11.74% of dogs were found positive for haemoprotzoan infections. The overall prevalence of *Babesia species* infection was 8.70 % out of which 69% cases were due to *Babesia gibsoni* and the 31% were due to *Babesia canis*. The incidence was most commonly (20%) observed in Non-descript dogs followed by the pet dogs, spitz (12%). Abd Rani et al (2011) investigated the occurrence and distribution of canine tick-borne disease (TBD) on the basis of

microscopic examination and PCR based study. 2.3% (12 cases) of the total blood smears examined (525 nos.), were positive for *Hepatozooncanis* infection; 5.5% cases for *Babesia vogeli* and 0.2% case for *Babesia gibsoni* infection. Concurrent infection with more than one TBD pathogen occurred in 39% of cases.

### **Haematological changes due to haemoprotzoan infection in dogs:-**

Abdullah et al (1990) reported that acute and sub-acute form of *Babesia canis* infection was seen in Nigerian dogs (less than four weeks age). Most frequent clinical signs observed in acute babesiosis include Anorexia, lethargic condition, fever, anaemia, generalized lymphadenopathy, splenomegaly, hepatomegaly and jaundice. In hyperacute cases neutrophilic leucocytosis is the main clinical manifestation and regenerative anaemia is seen in either of the cases. Bansal et al. (1990) studied on clinicopathological findings of experimental *Babesia canis* infection on dogs suggesting leukocytosis with neutrophilia in acute disease and leukocytosis with lymphocytosis in chronic disease condition. They also found fever, anemia and fall in haematological values among the infected animals. Symptoms were acute in young and chronic in older dogs.

Barr et al (1991) inoculated North American *Trypanosoma cruzi* isolates in 19 pure bred beagle dogs of various ages. During acute stage of disease, there was severe myocarditis, generalized lymphadenopathy, lymphocytosis observed in all dogs between 14-27 days post inoculation. Conrad et al. (1991) reported that *Babesia gibsoni* caused severe autoimmune hemolytic anemia in dogs from southern California. Anorexia, lethargy, anemia, lymphadenopathy including thrombocytopenia were observed as the most common clinical signs found in *B. gibsoni* infection. Baneth and Weigler (1997) found significant increase in body temperature, decrease in total RBC count, hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, hematocrit, and total neutrophil count in *Hepatozooncanis* positive dogs. Salakijet al (1999) reported that most of the dogs, found positive for haemoprotzoan infection exhibit anemia, lymphopenia, hypoproteinemia, leukopenia and eosinopenia whereas the different characteristics like neutropenia in babesiosis and monocytosis in ehrlichiosis were also seen. Dakshinkar and Bhojne (2001) revealed the haematobiochemical changes in chronic trypanosomiasis in Nagpur suggesting neutrophil 70%, Eosinophil 3%, Monocytes 4%, TLC 10000/mm<sup>3</sup> and hemoglobin 8.4% etc.

Ramprabhu et al (2001) gave a case report on blood profile of a 3½ years old mongrel male dog infected with concurrent babesiosis and hepatozoonosis. In the blood profile, neutrophilia with monocytosis were noted. Hemoglobin was 4.5 g/dl and PCV was 8%. Ewing and Panciera (2003) found that haematological changes in American canine hepatozoonosis includes persistent neutrophilia as a consistent feature, leukocyte counts greater than 200,000/ml, radiographs revealed extensive periosteal bone proliferation (mostly proximal bones). Matsui et al (2004) investigated the incidence of *Babesia gibsoni* in dogs between April 2002 and March 2003 in Japan and reported platelet counts of infected dogs were below normal and their PCVs were at various levels. There was thrombocytopenia or anemia in subclinical infection.

Priya et al (2004) examined a four year old German Shepherd dog in Thrissur with *Hepatozooncanis* and reported changes in haemoglobin, PCV, WBCs etc. Boozer and Macintire (2005) reported that *Babesia gibsoni* is a tick-borne protozoan blood parasite that causes hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, lethargy, and splenomegaly. Furlanello et al (2005) examined dogs suffering from babesiosis and reported hemolytic anemia in 70% of dogs and non-hemolytic anemia, in 30% dogs; 69% of dogs showed leukopenia and 74% neutropenia, leukocytosis, mostly due to mature neutrophilia. Activated lymphocytes were noted in 61% of dogs and in all dogs, thrombocytopenia was observed. Pawar and Gatne (2005) in Mumbai, India revealed normocytic normochromic (64.28%), microcytic hypochromic (21.42%) and macrocytic normochromic anemia in dogs showing *Hepatozooncanis* infection.

Foglietta et al (2006) examined clinicopathological findings of tick-transmitted diseases in dogs. Most common haematological abnormalities found in canine babesiosis were anemia and thrombocytopenia. Porchet et al (2007) carried out a descriptive epidemiological study on canine babesiosis and suggested that haematological findings in affected dogs include anemia, and thrombocytopenia. Lee et al. (2009) reported the hematological examination in *Babesia gibsoni* infection as severe hemolytic anemia and thrombocytopenia in the infected dogs, though the blood smears of 29 infected dogs showed very low levels of parasitemia. Sonika et al (2007) examined a German Shepherd dog with the history of bilateral blindness, intermittent fever, dullness, anemia, conjunctivitis, sexual excitement and staggering gait and diagnosed to have *Trypanosoma evansi* infection. Rashid et al (2008) reported certain hematological parameters in a male bull dog of two years age at Lahore, Pakistan infected with *Trypanosoma* spp. as decrease in hemoglobin (Hb) concentration and packed cell volume (PCV) whereas increased erythrocytes sedimentation rate (ESR) in the infected dogs. Shiguer et al (2008) while examining dogs for babesiosis, reported

the presence of anemia (PCV < 25%), thrombocytopenia (Platelet count < 150000/mm<sup>3</sup>), leukopenia (WBC count < 5000/mm<sup>3</sup>) or a combination of two or three of these alterations. Solano-Gallego et al (2008) reported the main haematological findings of *Babesiacanis* as thrombocytopenia, mild to moderate normocytic-normochromic non-regenerative anemia, hemolysis and neutropenia.

Gunaseelan et al. (2009) studied the hematobiochemical changes in canine trypanosomiasis in Chennai, India by examining a six year old non-descript male dog and reported that affected dog had haemoglobin 9 g%, WBC 3200/mm<sup>3</sup> and RBCs 4.32 million/mm<sup>3</sup> of blood. Pasa et al (2009) studied clinicopathological signs of hepatozoon infection in 10 dogs and reported neutrophilia in 7 dogs, monocytosis in 2 dogs, eosinophilia and lymphopenia in 1 dog each and thrombocytopenia in 9 dogs. DeCaprariis et al (2011) reported that lymphocytosis was frequently detected among dogs infected with *Babesiavogeli* or co-infected with *Anaplasmaplatys* and *B. vogeli*. There was a significant association (p<0.01) between tick infestation and *A. platys* or *B. vogeli*. Karunakaran et al. (2011) reported the haematological changes in a German shepherd dog infected with *B.gibsoni* from whole blood which revealed haemoglobin value of 3 g%, PCV 20 % and total RBC count 1.1 millions/mm<sup>3</sup>.

### **Diagnostic Approaches for Canine Haemoprotozoan Diseases:-**

Routine diagnosis of canine babesiosis is often difficult through blood smear examination due to its lower incidence rate and lower sensitivity in chronic as well as sub-clinical phase of infection (Terkawi et al., 2011). However, presence of vectors (ticks), symptoms along with a quantitative buffy coat smear stained with acridine orange (Levine et al., 1989) may improve the diagnostic procedure in low parasitemic cases. However, for hepatozoonosis and Trypanosomiasis, diagnosis by microscopic examination of Giemsa stained thin blood smear or buffy coat smears will solve the purpose due to higher parasitemic conditions. Among the serological tests immunofluorescent antibody test for antibodies in the serum that reacts with *Babesia* organisms can be performed as an aid for diagnosis.

However, difficulties in differentiation of species and sub species due to cross reactive antibodies as well as least detectable antibodies in some infected animals, particularly young dogs, are the major hindrance. This can be overcome by molecular (PCR based) diagnosis for presence of the *Babesia* DNA in biological samples. To maximize the utility of PCR based diagnosis, blood sample should be collected before initiation of chemotherapy and should be done with stringent quality control measures in experienced diagnostic laboratories. Among the molecular diagnostic techniques, conventional PCR, PCR with RFLP, RAPD-PCR and Multiplex PCR are some of the easy to use and more sensitive tools that can analyze large number of samples in clinical and environmental samples (Dey and Singh, 2009). Recently a rapid simple and more sensitive technique called Loop mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) has been developed (Notomi et al, 2000) and by the use of additional loop primers to increase efficiency and rapidity (Nagamine et al, 2002). LAMP technique associated with fluorescent dyes like Syber Green allows visual detection of amplified products (Poon et al, 2006) and measurement of turbidity (Mori et al, 2001). Unlike PCR, this technique doesn't need extraction of DNA as well as use of a thermocycler as it can be carried out at a temperature range of 60-65 °C (Lieu et al, 2008; Muller et al, 2010; Salih et al, 2008, 2012).

### **Conclusion:-**

Haemoprotozoan parasitic infection in livestock including the canine species is often dependent on the presence of the associated vectors that are most prevalent in tropical and subtropical countries. Therefore controlling these infections is often difficult in some geographic locations. However routine examination of blood smears, frequent serological and molecular nucleic acid based tests to diagnose these diseases along with appropriate chemotherapy may reduce the chances of infection among the pet dogs.

**References:-**

1. AbdRani, P.A.M., Irwin, P.J., Coleman, G.T., Gatne, M. and Traub, R.J. (2011). A survey of canine tick-borne diseases in India. *Parasites & Vectors*, 4: 141-148.
2. Abdullahi, S.U., Mohammed, A.A., Trimnell, A.R., Sannusi, A. and Alafiatayo, R. (1990). Clinical and haematological findings in 70 naturally occurring cases of canine babesiosis. *J. Small Ani. Practice*, 31(3): 145-147.
3. Anderson BE, Dawson JE, Jones DC, Wilson K.H. (1991) Ehrlichia chaffeensis, a new species associated with human ehrlichiosis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 29(12):2838-42.
4. Ashford RW Leishmaniasis reservoirs and their significance in control – Review *Clin Dermatol*. 1996 Sep-Oct; 14(5):523-32.
5. Baneth G, Mathew JS, Shkap V, Macintire DK, Barta JR, Ewing SA Canine hepatozoonosis: two disease syndromes caused by separate *Hepatozoon* spp. *Trends Parasitol*. 2003 Jan; 19(1):27-31.
6. Baneth G, Samish M, Alekseev E, Aroch I, Shkap Transmission of *Hepatozoon canis* to dogs by naturally-fed or percutaneously-injected *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* ticks. *V J Parasitol*. 2001 Jun; 87(3):606-11.
7. Baneth G, Weigler B Retrospective case-control study of hepatozoonosis in dogs in Israel. *J Vet Intern Med*. 1997 Nov-Dec; 11(6):365-70.
8. Baneth, G. and Weigler, B. (1997). Retrospective case-control study of hepatozoonosis in dogs in Israel. *J. Vet. Intern. Med.*, 11(6):365-70.
9. Bansal, S.R., Kharole, M.U. and Banerjee, D.P. (1990). Clinicopathological Studies in Experimental *Babesia canis* infection in Dogs. *J. vet. parasitol.*, 4(1):21-25.
10. Barr, S.C., Bowman, D.D. (2006). *The 5-Minute Veterinary Consult Clinical Companion: Canine and Feline Infectious Diseases and Parasitology*. Iowa, Blackwell Publishing
11. Barr, S.C., Gossett, K.A. and Klei, T.R. (1991). Clinical, clinicopathologic, and parasitologic observations of trypanosomiasis in dogs infected with North American *Trypanosoma cruzi* isolates. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 52(6):954-960.
12. Chaudhuri, S., Varshney JP (2007) Clinical management of babesiosis in dogs with homeopathic *Crotalus horridus* 200C. *Homeopathy*, 96(2):90-4.
13. Chaudhuri, S. MVSC Thesis. Indian Veterinary Research Institute; 2006. Studies on clinico-therapeutic aspects of babesiosis in dogs.
14. Chowdhury, P., Biswas, U., Guha, C. and Jana, P.S. (2005). Prevalence of canine trypanosomiasis in and around Kolkata city. *Indian Vet. J.* 82(7):797-798.
15. Conrad, P., Thomford, J., Yamane, I., Whiting, J., Bosma, L., Uno, T., Holshuh, H.J. and Shelly, S. (1991). Hemolytic anemia caused by *Babesia gibsoni* infection in dogs. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.*, 199(5):601-605.
16. Da Silva, A.S., Costa, M.M., Wolkmer, P., Zanette, R.A., Faccio, L., Gressler, L.T., Dorneles, T.E., Santurio JM, Lopes ST, Monteiro SG. (2009). *Trypanosoma evansi*: hematologic changes in experimentally infected cats. *Exp Parasitol*. 123(1):31-4.
17. Dakshinkar, N.P. and Bhojne, G.R. (2001). Refractory chronic Trypanosomiasis. *Indian Vet. J.*, 78(8):721-722.
18. Das, M.K., Baidya, S., Mahato, A., Pandit, S., Ghosh, J.D., Chaudhuri, S and Das, M. (2015) Incidence of canine babesiosis in and around Kolkata, West Bengal, India. *Explor Anim Med Res*, 5(1): 102-107.
19. De Caprariis, D., Dantas-Torres, F., Capelli, G., Mencke, N., Stanneck, D., Breitschwerdt, E.B. and Otranto, D. (2011). Evolution of clinical, haematological and biochemical findings in young dogs naturally infected by vector-borne pathogens. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 149(1-2):206-212.
20. Dey A, Singh S (2009). Progress of science from microscopy to microarrays (Part 1): Diagnosis of parasitic diseases. *J. Lab. Phys.* 1: 2-6.
21. Ewing, S.A. and Panciera, R.J. (2003). American Canine Hepatozoonosis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 16(4):688-697.
22. Foglia, M.V., Cappiello, S. and Oliva, G. (2006). Tick-transmitted diseases in dogs: clinicopathological findings. *Parassitologia*. 48 (1-2):135-136.
23. Gadahi, J.A., Arijjo, A.G., Abubakar, M., Javaid, S.B. and Arshed, M.J. (2008). Prevalence of blood parasites in stray and pet dogs in Hyderabad Area: Comparative sensitivity of different diagnostic techniques for the detection of microfilaria. *Veterinary World*. 1(8):229-232.
24. Godara, R., Sharma, R.L., Sharma, C.S. and Sharma, D.K. (2010). Parasitic infections in dogs in semi-arid Jaipur (Rajasthan). *J. Vet. Parasitol.*, 24(1):83-86.
25. Gunaseelan, L., Senthil Kumar, K., Selvaraj, P. and Kathiresan, D. (2009). Haemato-biochemical changes in a case of canine trypanosomiasis. *Tamilnadu J. Vet. and Ani. Sci.*, 5(3):122-123.
26. Herwaldt, B.L. Leishmaniasis *Lancet*. 1999 Oct 2; 354(9185):1191-9.

27. Irwin PJ, Jefferies R Arthropod-transmitted diseases of companion animals in Southeast Asia. Trends Parasitol. 2004 Jan; 20(1):27-34.
28. Irwin P.J., Jefferies, R. (2004). Arthropod transmitted diseases of companion animals in Southeast Asia. Trends Parasitol. 20:27-34.
29. Jadhav, R.K., Kumari, R.R., Jameel, A.J. and Kumar, P. (2011). Emergence of arthropod transmitted infections in kennel dogs. Vet. World, 4(11):522-528.
30. Karunakaran, S., Pillai, U.N. and Sasidharan, H.P. (2011). *Babesiagibsoni* infection in a German shepherd dog. Veterinary World. 4(6):269-270.
31. Laha, R., Bhattacharjee, K., Sarmah P. C., Das M., Goswami A., Sarma D., and Sen, A. (2014) *Babesia* infection in naturally exposed pet dogs from a north-eastern state (Assam) of India: detection by microscopy and polymerase chain reaction. J Parasit Dis. Dec; 38(4): 389-393.
32. Lakshmanan, B. and John, L. (2007). Clinical findings and concurrent infections in canine ehrlichiosis. Indian Vet. J., 84(8):863-864.
33. Lee, M.J., Yu, D.H., Yoon, J.S., Li, Y.H., Lee, J.H., Chae, J.S. and Park, J. (2009). Epidemiologic and clinical surveys in dogs infected with *Babesiagibsoni* in South Korea. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis., 9(6):681-686.
34. Levine, RA, Wardlaw SC, Patton CL (1989). Detection of haematoparasites using quantitative buffy coat analysis tubes. Parasitol. Today 5:132-33.
35. Liu, Z, Hou J, Bakheit MA, Salih DA, Luo J, Yin H, Ahmed JS, Seitzer U (2008). Development of loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay for rapid diagnosis of ovine theileriosis in China. Parasitol. Res. 103:1407-1412.
36. Matsuu, A., Kawabe, A., Koshida, Y., Ikada, H., Okano, S., Higuchi, S. (2004). Incidence of canine *Babesiagibsoni* infection and subclinical infection among dogs in Aomori Prefecture, Japan. J. Vet. Med. Sci., 66(8):893-897.
37. Menezes, R. Rabies in India. Can Med Assoc J. 2008;178:564. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.071488.
38. Milanjeet, Singh H., Singh N.K., Singh N.D., Singh C. and S.S. Rath (2014). Molecular prevalence and risk factors for the occurrence of canine monocytic ehrlichiosis. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 59(3): 129-136.
39. Mori, Y., Nagamine, K., Tomita, N., Notomi, T. (2001). Detection of loop mediated isothermal amplification reaction by turbidity derived from magnesium pyrophosphate formation. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 289:150-154.
40. Muller, H., Aysu, N, Liu, Z., Salih, D.A., Karagenc, T., Beyer, D., Kullmann, B., Ahmed, J.S. and Seitzer, U. (2010). Development of a Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) Assay for Rapid Diagnosis of *Babesiacanis* infections. Transbound. Emerg. Dis. 57:63-65.
41. Nagamine, K., Hase, T., Notomi, T. (2002). Accelerated reaction by loop mediated isothermal amplification using loop primers. Mol. Cell. Probes 16:223-229
42. Nair, R.P.N., Pal, M. and Dube, G.D. (1979). A preliminary report on the occurrence and treatment of *Babesia* infection in dogs. Ind. J. Ani. Res., 13(2):95-97.
43. Notomi, T., Okayama, H., Masubuchi, H., Yonekawa, T., Watanabe, K., Amino, N. and Hase, T. (2000). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 28:E63.
44. O'Dwyer, L.H., Massard, C.L., Pereira de Souza, J.C. (2001). *Hepatozooncanis* infection associated with dog ticks of rural areas of Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. Vet Parasitol., 94(3):143-150.
45. Palanivel, K.M., Vijayalingam, T.A. and Selvasubramanian, S. (2010). Perspective studies on canine hepatozoonosis in Chennai. Tamilnadu J. Veterinary & Animal Sciences., 6(6):291-293.
46. Pasa, S., Kiral, F., Karagenc, T., Atasoy, A. and Seyrek, K. (2009). Description of dogs naturally infected with *Hepatozooncanis* in the Aegean region of Turkey. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 33(4):289-295.
47. Pawar, S.D. and Gatne, M.L. (2005). Prevalence of canine hepatozoonosis in Mumbai. Indian Vet. J., 82(5):554-555.
48. Poon, L.L., Wong, B.W., Ma, E.H., Chan, K.H., Chow, L.M., Abeyewickreme, W., Tangpukdee, N., Yuen, K.Y., Guan, Y., Looareesuwan S and Peiris, J.S. (2006). Sensitive and inexpensive molecular test for *falciparum malaria*: detecting *Plasmodium falciparum* DNA directly from heat-treated blood by loop-mediated isothermal amplification. Clin. Chem. 52:303-306.
49. Porchet, M.J., Sager, H., Muggli, L., Oppliger, A., Müller, N. and Frey, C. (2007). A descriptive epidemiological study on canine babesiosis in the Lake Geneva region. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd.* 149(10):457-465.
50. Priya, P., Mathew, B., Vijaykumar, K. and Saseendranath, M.R. (2004). A case report of canine Hepatozoonosis. Indian Vet. J., 81(2):200-201.

51. PuteriAzaziahMegatAbd Rani, Peter J Irwin, MukuleshGatne, Glen T Coleman, and Rebecca J Traub Canine vector-borne diseases in India: a review of the literature and identification of existing knowledge gaps. *Parasit Vectors*. 2010; 3: 28.
52. Ramprabhu,R.,Prathaban,S.,Nambi,A.P.andDhanapalan,P.(2001).Concurrent ehrlichiosis, babesiosisandhepatozoonosisinpup- a case report. *Indian Vet.J.*,21(1):54.
53. Rashid,A.,Rasheed,K.andHussain,A.(2008).TrypanosomiasisinDog;ACaseReport. *IranianJ.Arthropod-BorneDis.*,2(2):48-51.
54. Sahu, A., Mohanty, B., Panda, M. (2014). Incidence of haemoprotozoan parasites in dogs in and around Bhubaneswar. *Indian vet.J.*, 91(07): 93-95
55. Salakij,C.,Salakij,J.,Rochanapat,N.,Suthunmapinunta,P.(1999).Hematologicalcharacteristicsofbloodparasiteinfecteddogs. *KasetsartJ.NaturalSciences*.33(4):589-600.
56. Salih, D.A, Liu, Z., Bakheit, M.A., Ali, A.M., El Hussein, A.M, Unger, H, Viljoen G, Seitzer U, Ahmed JS (2008). Development and evaluation of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification method for diagnosis of tropical theileriosis. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis*. 55:238–243
57. Salih, D.A., Ali, A.M., Liu, Z., Bakheit, M.A, Taha, K.M., E.L, Imam, A.H., Kullmann, B., El Hussein, A.M., Ahmed, J.S., Seitzer, U. (2012). Development of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification method for detection of *Theilerialesstoquardi*. *Parasitol. Res*. 110:533-538.
58. Selvaraj,P.,Kumar,K.S.,Vairamuthu,S.S.P.andSrinivasan,S.R.(2010).*Babesiagibsoni*– AnemergingchallengeincaninepediatricpracticeinChennai. *TamilnaduJ.Vet.&Ani.Sci.*,6(3):122-124.
59. Senthil Kumar, K., Vairamuthu, S. and Kathiresan I, D. (2009). Prevalence of haemoprotozoans in canines in chennai city. *Tamilnadu J. Vet. &Ani. Sci.*,5(3):104-108.
60. Shaw, S.E., Day M.J., Birtles RJ, Breitschwerdt EB (2001) Tick-borne infectious diseases of dogs. *Trends Parasitol*. 17(2):74-80.
61. Shiguero,J.,Garcia,J.L.,Vidotto,M.C.,Balarin,M.R.,Fabretti,A.K.,GaspariniM.R.,Coelho,A.L.andVidotto, O.(2008).Occurrenceandmolecular characterizationof*Babesia*speciesina caninehospitalpopulationinthe Londrina Region, ParanaState,Brazil. *RevBrasParasitolVet.*,17(1):277-283.
62. Singh A., Singh H., Singh N. K., Singh N. D. andRath S. S. (2014) Canine Babesiosis in Northwestern India: Molecular Detection and Assessment of Risk Factors. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014; 2014: 741785.
63. Singh, B., Kalra, I.S., Gupta, M.P. and Nauriyal,D.C. (1993). *Trypanosomaevansi*infection indogs: seasonal prevalence andchemotherapy. *Vet.Parasitol.*,50(1-2):137-141.
64. Slappendel, R.J., Ferrer, L. Greene CE: *Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co; 1998. pp. 450–458.
65. Solano-Gallego, L.,Trotta, M.,Carli,E.,Carcy,B.,Caldlin, M.andFurlanello, T. (2008). *Babesiacaniscanis*and *Babesiacanisvogeliclinicopathologicalfindings* andDNA detection bymeans ofPCR-RFLP inblood from Italian dogs. *VetParasitol.*,157(3-4):211-21.
66. Sonika, J., Sharma, G. and Juyal, P.D. (2007). Trypanosomiasisin a German sepheredDog-Acasereport. *J.Vet.Parasitol*.21(1):81-82.
67. Soulsby, E.J.L. (1982). *Helminth, Arthropod and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals*.7th Ed. Bailliere, Tindall and Cassel Ltd, London.
68. Sundar, N., Balachandran, C., Senthivelan, A. (2004). Incidence of *Babesiagibsoni* infection in dogs in Tamil Nadu. *J Vet Parasitol*.18(1):79–80.
69. Terkawi, M.A., Thekisoe, O.M., Katsande, C., Latiff, A.A, Mans, B.J., Matthee, O., Mkize, N., Mabogoane, N., Marais F, Yokoyama N, Xuan X, Igarashi, I. (2011). Serological survey of *Babesiabovis* and *Babesiabigemina* in cattle in South Africa. *Vet. Parasitol*. 182:337-342.
70. Vairamuthu,S. Ranju,R. S. Bhaskaran Ravi Latha, B. Dhivya, and C. Balachandran (2014) A six year (2006–2011) retrospective study of hemoprotozoan parasites affecting dogs in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. *J Parasit Dis* .38(2): 193–195.
71. Varshney, J.P., Varshney, V.P. and Dwivedi, S.K. (1998). Clinico-endocrinologicalfindings in clinical trypanosomiasis in dog. *J.Vet.Parasitol.*,12(2):143-144.