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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to show the relationship of injury and injury associated Risk Factors in Ethiopian Premier League Football Players. A prospective cohort study design was employed. 469 male football players from 16 teams of Ethiopian premier league participated using censuses method. FIFA Medical Assessment and Research Centre form used in order to record information about the players, injuries sustained in training sessions and matches during the competitive season. Data was analysed using descriptive and Pearson product moment correlation statistics. The significance level was set at p<0.05. The most predominant injury was strain, the maximum numbers (55; 37.2%) occurred on midfield players, followed by strikers (44; 29.7%), defensive players (43; 29.1%) and goalkeepers (6; 4%). The second predominant injury was sprain, highly occurred in defensive players (21; 42%), and followed by midfield players (16; 32%), strikers (10; 20%) and goalkeepers (3; 6%). Injured body part has a significance positive correlation with age (r = 0.109, r² = 0.012, P < 0.021) at P < 0.05, a significance inverse correlation with height (r = -0.154, r² = 0.024, P < 0.002) at P < 0.01 and also the same result was observed with playing position (r = -0.228, r² = 0.052, P < 0.000) at P < 0.01. The highest prevalence of injury was observed in the middle age, in normal BMI and in outfield players. The midfielders sustained the higher strain injury. The defensive players have higher rates of sprain injury. A significance positive relationship has observed between injured body part and age, height and playing positions, however, insignificance result was observed with injury rate/type. While, inverse significance correlation was observed between injury rate/type and playing position. Therefore, the coaching and medical staffs from football clubs must be emphasize the biological or physiological and psychological characteristics of the players and inadequate rehabilitation after injury to minimize the injury occurred with associated risk factors through improved program for training and fitness evaluation.
Introduction:
Football is the popular sport in the world with a great benefit of health, while it’s not free from the risks of injury. Football injuries involve predominantly on the lower extremities counting the knee and ankle joints and muscles of the lower limb (Peterson et al., 2000), subsequently the lower extremities in most sports used as locomotive power and speed to the whole body. When all sports are considered the lower extremities are often at an overall greater risk of injury than central (back, neck and head) and upper extremities (Hutchinson and Nasser, 2000). Injuries occurred in football are traumatic, which are 57.8% in match and in training are 42.2%; it is caused by body contacts (Powell and Barber-Foss, 2000).

The risk factors of injury can be categorized under the internal and external risk factors (Dvorak et al., 2000). The biological or physiological and psychological characteristics of a person like age, joint mobility, functional stability, biomechanical and anatomical characteristics, previous injuries and inadequate rehabilitation after injury are affected by direct contact are considered as internal factors of injury. In the development of lower limb muscle injuries the most commonly associated risk factor is nonexistence of muscle flexibility (Witvrouw et al. 2001; Witvrouw et al. 2004).

Football players maturity levels are an intrinsic injury risk factor might affect the performance in football. Early maturing football players are favored, whereas, late maturing elite football players tends to exclude. Muscle strength, aerobic capacity, speed and coordination fitness must have rationally in a high level (Giza and Micheli, 2005). Injured players in such cases like, syndesmotic and medial ankle sprains have higher body mass index than uninjured players. This can show that there is the association between body mass index and lower extremities injury like ankle sprains (Waterman, et al., 2011).

Methods:
The study was conducted in Ethiopian premier league football clubs. A prospective cohort study design was employed throughout the competitive season.

Subjects
Four hundred and sixty nine male football players from 16 teams of Ethiopian premier league was participated using censuses method. The players were well informed about the aim and the design of the study prior to the study; they engaged a verbal informed consent for participation.

Data Collection Instrument
The data collection instruments for this study were; FIFA Medical Assessment and Research Centre (F-MARC’s) form was used in order to record information about the players, injuries they sustained, regarding training sessions and matches during the competitive season. For injury a short and simple report form was used to record information regarding injuries (Fuller, et al., 2006).

Methods of Data Analysis
Data was analyzed using descriptive statistics, such as mean ± SD, frequency, percentage, descriptive and cross tabulation. Pearson product moment correlation was used to identify injury associated Risk Factors (age, height, weight, BMI, and playing position). Pearson product moment correlation was used to identify injury associated Risk Factors. The significance level was set at p<0.05. The statistical analysis was done by SPSS version 23 software.

Result and Discussion:
The players injury patterns presented with age categories in figure 1. The maximum (171; 48.7%) injury was occurred in the age categories of 26 to 30 years old, followed by 21 to 25 years old (107; 30.3%), 31 to 35 years old
(66; 18.8%) and the lowest injury was occurred in 16 to 20 years old (7; 2%). From the result of this study the researchers can understand that the highest prevalence of injury was observed in the middle age of the players. In line with the current finding there was a trend toward a higher injury rate in the 26 to 34 year-old age group (Webborn, et al., 2016). While, the finding other studies showed that the older players are more prone to injury (Ostenberg & Roos, 2000; Arnason, et al., 2004; Hagglund, et al., 2013).

Figure 1: Injury pattern by age categories

Figure: 2, presented the injury patterns according to BMI. The maximum injury was occurred in the normal (18.5 – 24.9) BMI of the players (331; 94.3%), followed by over weight (25 – 29.9) BMI (18; 5.1%) and the lowest injury was occurred in the underweight (< 18.5) BMI (2; 0.6%), but injury was not occurred in the BMI of 30 and above. From the result we can understand that, high rate of injury was occurred in the normal BMI. In contrast with this study, the previous study showed that the prevalence of overweight or obesity was markedly higher among injured football players (54.4%) than among athletes of any other sport (Yard, and Comstock, 2011).

Figure 2: Injury pattern by BMI

Figure: 3, illustrated that the injury pattern according to the players playing positions. The prevalent injured playing position was defensive players (118; 33.6%), followed by midfielders (112; 31.9%), striker (92; 26.2%), and goalkeepers (29; 8.3%). The result indicates that the maximum number of injury was occurred in defensive and midfield players. In opposite of this study, the previous finding of epidemiological study indicated that the greater prevalence of sports injuries was occurred in strikers (Carling, et al., 2010; Fachina, et al., 2013; Onaka, et al., 2017), while another study shown that equivalent prevalence of injury among all soccer positions (Dauty and Collon, 2011).
As presented in table 1, the most predominant occurred injury was strain in a total of 148 injuries all playing positions, while midfield players sustained the maximum numbers of strain (55; 37.2%), followed by strikers (44; 29.7%), defensive players (43; 29.1%) and goalkeepers (6; 4%). This indicated that outfield players sustained strain more than the goalkeeper and from outfield players midfield players have higher strain injury. The second predominant injury was sprain, highly occurred in defensive players (21; 42%), and followed by midfield players (16; 32%), strikers (10; 20%) and goalkeepers (3; 6%). The finding of this study showed that, defensive players have higher rates of sprain injury, supported with other study which is there was no differences found between outfield players’ injury rates (Kristenson, 2015). Even though, strain and sprain were predominantly occurred injuries in outfield players, supported with previous studies of football players (Haxhiu, et al., 2015; Emery, et al., 2005; Hawkins, et al., 2001). In contrast, the goalkeepers have lower injury rates than outfield players. In line with this finding previous studies indicated that, goalkeepers were found to have a lower injury rate than outfield players (Aoki, et al., 2012; Árnason, et al., 2004b; Ryynänen, et al., 2013). While, concussion (head) injury rate was higher than outfield players. In with this study, goalkeepers were found to have a higher rate of head injuries (Kristenson, 2015).

Table 1: Injury pattern by playing positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury pattern in PP</th>
<th>Striker</th>
<th>Midfielder</th>
<th>Defense</th>
<th>Goal Keeper</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concussion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dislocation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle Fiber Rupture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendon Rupture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligamentous rupture</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion of meniscus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprain</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bursitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendinitis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laceration / Abrasion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As indicated in table 2 injured body part has a significance positive correlation with age \( (r = 0.109, r^2 = 0.012, P < 0.021) \) at \( P < 0.05 \), a significance inverse correlation with height \( (r = -0.154, r^2 = 0.024, P < 0.002) \) at \( P < 0.01 \) and also the same result was observed with playing position \( (r = -0.228, r^2 = 0.052, P < 0.000) \) at \( P < 0.01 \). Insignificance result was also observed between injury rate/type with age, height, weight and BMI of the players. The study conducted on risk factors for injuries in professional football players was shows that strong association
observed between age and injury incident (Haxhiu et al., 2015). Age might affect IR in some cases, but in some cases, no association between age and injury risk in general has been found (Hagglund, et al., 2006; 2009) and also another finding indicates there was no significant relationship between age with injury rate (Soheil, and Hassan, 2018). The studies indicated that, Body mass index were not related to injuries, which is in accordance with the previous studies on adult players (Ostenberg and Roos, 2000; Beynnon, et al., 2001). While, weak negative significance correlation was observed between types of injury and playing position ($r = -0.132$, $r^2 = 0.017$, $P < 0.013$) at $P < 0.05$. The result supported with the study indicated a specific relationship between injury and player position has been referred (Giza and Micheli, 2005).

Table 2:-Relationship of Injury with Age, Ht, Wt, BMI and Playing Positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injured Body Part</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Height in meter</th>
<th>Weight in Kg</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Playing Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>-0.154**</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>-0.228**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.132*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

Conclusion:-
Based on the results the following points were concluded. The highest prevalence of injury was observed in the middle age, in the normal BMI and in defensive and midfield players. The outfield players sustained strain more than the goalkeeper and from outfield players midfield players have higher strain injury. While, the defensive players have higher rates of sprain injury. A significance positive relationship has observed between injured body part and age, height and playing positions, insignificance result was also observed between injury type with age, height, weight and BMI of the players. While, negative significance correlation was observed between injury type and playing position.

Therefore, based on the above finding, the researchers suggested that, coaching and medical staffs from football clubs must be emphasize the biological or physiological and psychological characteristics of the players like age, functional stability and anatomical characteristics (weight, height and BMI), and inadequate rehabilitation after injury considered as internal factors of injury to minimize the injury occurred with associated risk factors through improved program for training and fitness evaluation.
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