

Journal homepage: http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Antimicrobial Efficiency of Purified and Characterized Bacteriocins Produced by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22 Isolated from Fermented Milk Products

¹Mohammed, S.S.D., ¹Balogu, T.V., ²Yunusa, A. and ¹Aliyu, H

1. Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University, Lapai, Niger State, Nigeria.

2. Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University, Lapai, Niger State, Nigeria.

Manuscript Info

Abstract

.....

Manuscript History:

Received: 15 July 2013 Final Accepted: 25 July 2013 Published Online: August 2013

Key words: Lactic acid bacteria, bacteriocins, purification, characterization, spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms. Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 and Lactococcus lactis N22 were propagated in De Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) broth separately for bacteriocin production. There were variations in bacteriocin activity, total activity, specific activity and protein content during the process of bacteriocin purification. After the stages of purification of bacteriocins produced, L. lactis N22 had higher bacteriocin recovery (9.5%) while L.bulgaricus Y34 had 8.8% bacteriocin recovery. Bacteriocins produced by L. bulgaricus Y34 and L. lactis N22 exhibited bacteriocin activity of 6000+0.00 and 5800+0.00 AU/mL respectively. The bacteriocins were stable, slightly stable and unstable at various exposed, storage temperatures and at different pH levels. The bacteriocins produced by L. bulgaricus Y34 and L. lactis N22 were identified as bulgarican and nisin respectively. The bulgarican and nisin produced by the L.bulgaricusY34 and L. lactis N22 inhibited these spoilage pathogenic microorganisms: Staphylococcus sp N23, Salmonella sp N17, Bacillus sp W12, Shigella sp N25 and Pseudomonas sp W1 under study.Bacteriocins play very important role in food preservation processes by inhibiting or killing of spoilage and/or pathogenic microorganisms in food and dairy products.

.....

Copy Right, IJAR, 2013,. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are a group of grampositive bacteria, non-spore forming, non-respiring, cocci or rods, which produce lactic acid as the major end product of the fermentation of carbohydrate. Historically, bacteria from the genera Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Pediococcus and Streptococcus are the main species involved. Several more have been identified but minor role in lactic fermentations (Aexlson, 1998).LAB provide protection against spoilage microorganisms bv producing varieties of antimicrobial compounds, including bacteriocins and also due to pH decrease and competition for substrates. LAB produce various compounds such as organic acid and bacteriocin during lactic fermentation (Lindgren and Dobrogosz, 1990).

Bacteriocin are naturally occurring antibiotic peptides produced by Gram positive bacteria and may contain as much as 24 amino acids. Some Bacteriocins are lantibiotics, which means that they are post translationally modified so as to encompass the amino acid lanthionine or "Lan" (Chattejee et al., 2005). In general, bacteriocins are cationic peptide that display hydrophobic or amphiphilic properties and the bacterial membrane is in most cases the target for their activity. Bacteriocins have recently been grouped in to three classes (class I: lantibiotics such as nisin produced by Lactococcus lactis, class II: Small heat non-lantibiotics such as pediocin produced by Pediococcus sp class III: Small heat labile proteins such as helveticin J produced by Lactobacilus helveticus) on the basis of the sequence

of the nature peptides and prepeptides (Mortvedt et al., 1996). Some bacteriocins kill only bacterial belonging to the same species as producers, one word other bacteriocins kill a broad range of gram positive bacteria (Coventry et al., 1997., Ennahar et al., 2000). The incorporation of these compounds as bio preservative agent into model foods has been shown to be effective in the control of pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms (O"Sullivan., et al., 2002). Devugst and Vandamme (1994) reported that nisin produced by Lactococcus lactis subsp lactis was the first bacteriocin used on commercial scale as food preservative and dates back to the first half of this century. Bacteriocins produced by Lactobacillus acidophilus can be used for the treatment of diarrhea in children. They may aid in the management of chronic or persistent diarrhea and bacteria over growth related diarrhoea (Farkas-Himskey and Yu, 1985). Other bacteriocins of Lactobacillus has been reported to be effective against closely related species of mesophilic Lactobacillus and therefore considered as potential natural food preservatives (Daeschel, 1993., De Vugst and Vandamme, 1994).

The aim of this study is to assess the antimicrobial efficiency/potentials between the purified and characterized bacteriocins produced by the lactic acid bacteria of the species of *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22 on some selected microbial isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Samples

Five (5) Samples each of yoghurt and nono (Fermented milk) were collected from Bosso – Nigeria in sterile sample bottles and were transferred to the laboratory in ice box for isolation of lactic acid bacteria and indicator microorganisms.

Isolation ,Characterization and Identification of Lactic acid bacteria and indicator microorganisms.

Serially diluted samples of the fermented milk products were inoculated on to lactic acid agar medium, LAM (agar-agar 15g, tryptone 20g, yeast extract 5g, gelatin 2.5g, glucose 5g, lactose 5g, and sucrose 0.52g) and nutrient agar for isolation of indicator microorganisms and incubated at 37^oC for 24-48 hours. Colonies which appeared on the plates were counted using colony counter (Model 6399 manufactured by Stuart Scientific Co. Ltd., Great Britain) and the results were recorded as colony forming units per milliliter (cfu/ml) of sample. Pure isolates were characterized based on colony morphology, cell morphology and biochemical tests (Fawole and Oso., 1998, Oyeleke and Manga, 2008). The isolates were identified using the scheme of Cheesebrough (2003).

Production of bacteriocins

Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 and Lactococcus lactis N22 were propagated in 1000ml of De Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) broth at pH 5.8, temperature of 30° C for 48 hours. For extraction of bacteriocins, a cell free solution of bacteriocins was obtained by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 20 minutes. The cultures were then adjusted to pH 7.0 using NaOH to exclude the antimicrobial effects of organic acids, and then followed by filtration of the supernatant through 0.2µm pore size cellulose acetate filter (Schillinger and Lucke, 1989).

Purification of bacteriocins

The bacteriocins were purified by the method of Bradford (1976) and Jimenze-Diaz *et al.*(1993) using ammonium sulphate precipitation, trichloroacetic acid precipitation and ultra filtration analysis.

Ammonium sulphate precipitation

The crude bacteriocins produced by Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34, and Lactococcus lactis N22 were solid treated with ammonium sulphate (Mallinckrodth Clinical, Inc. Paris, France) to 30% saturation for each bacteriocin samples respectively. The mixture was stored at 4°C for 2 hours and centrifuged at 20,000 rpm for 1 hour. The precipitate was re-suspended in 25ml of 0.5ml potassium phosphate buffer (pH7.0). The suspension was dialyzed in tabular cellulose membrane against 2 liters of the buffer for 18 hours in dialysis tubing (Jimenez-Diaz et al., 1993). The products of ammonium phosphate precipitation constituted fraction I.

Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation

5% of TCA was added to 25ml of fraction I to precipitate the protein (bacteriocins). The mixture was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 minutes after which the supernatant was decanted. The resulting pellet was dissolved in 2ml of potassium phosphate buffer to obtain fraction II.

Ultra filtration analysis

The fraction II bateriocin samples was re-suspended to 1/3 volume in potassium phosphate buffer (50m, M, pH 7.0). Several aliquots were ultra filtered through various filtron membranes (filtron Technology Corp; North –borough, Massachusetts), including 1,000, 10,000 KDa molecular sizes. Bacteriocin activity was determined (Jimenez-Diaz *et al.*, 1993) and protein concentrations of the fraction were determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976).

Characterization of bacteriocins

The purified bacteriocins produced by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22 were characterized with respect to thermal stability, pH stability, stability during storage and other properties exhibited by bacteriocins produced (Rammelsberg and Radlar, 1990., Brinkten *et al.*, 1994 and Soomro *et al.*, 2002).

Temperature stability of bacteriocins

400µl of purified bacteriocin samples were dispensed into different test tubes for each bacteriocin under test using pipette and were exposed to the following oven temperature: 40, 60, 80,100 and 121°C. Aliquots of bacteriocins produced by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22 exposed were removed after 30 minutes and were tested for bacteriocin activity against indicator microorganisms (*Staphylococcus* sp N23, *Salmonella* sp N17, *Shigella* sp N25, *Bacillus* sp W12 and *Pseudomonas* sp W1.

pH stability of bacteriocins

400 μ l of purified bacteriocins were dispensed into different test tubes using pipette and bacteriocins were adjusted to pH 2, 4, 6,8,10 and 12 with hydrochioric acid (HCL) sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and were incubated at room temperature for 24 hours (Brinkten *et al.*, 1994). The bacteriocins were assayed against the indicator microorganisms.

Stability of bacteriocins during storage

Purified bacteriocins were kept in test tubes and stored at 4°C and 10°C (refrigeration temperature) and 30°C (room temperature). Samples were withdrawn from the stored material after 24 hours to determine bacteriocin activity (Rammelsbarg and Radlar, 1990).

Other properties of bacteriocins exhibited

The purified bacteriocins properties were based an spectrum (broad, narrow), bactericidal, bacteriostatic characteristics (early or late in the cycle) and class of bacteriocins produced by *Lactobacillus bulganicus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22 (Soomro *et al.*, 2002).

Determination of bacteriocin activity

Well assay procedures of Schillinger and Lucke (1989) and Takuhiro *et al;* (1991) were used. Aliquots of 500 μ l from each bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22 was placed separately in agar wells in Petri dishes seeded with the bioassay strain (indicator microorganisms *Staphylococcus* sp N23, *Salmonella* sp N17, *Shigella* sp N25, *Bacillus* sp W12 and *Pseudomona* sp W1) and incubated overnight at 37°C. The diameter of the zone of inhibition (mm) was measured (Rammelsberg and Radlar, 1990). The antimicrobial activity of bacteriocins produced by test isolates was defined as the reciprocal of the highest dilution showing inhibition of microorganisms multipied by 100 and it is expressed as activity unit per milliliter (Au/ml) (Graciela *et al.*, 1995).

RESULTS

Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 and Lactococcus lactis N22 were observed to be good bacteriocin producers after propagating the organisms in De man Rogosa Sharpe broth, producing growth ability of 0.90 each, pH 3.91 and 3.70, and bacteriocin activity (AU/mL) of 6000+0.00 and 5800+0.00 respectively (Table 1). Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 had higher bacteriocin activity (6000+0.00 AU/mL) than Lactococcus lactis N22 (5800+0.00 Au/ml). It was observed that there were variations in bacteriocin activity, total activity, specific activity and protein content of bacteriocin produced by the organisms. It was also observed that the specific activity, amount of protein and recovery of bacteriocins was decreasing after each treatment of bacteriocins (Table2). Lactococcus lactis N22 had the bacteriocin recovery (9.5%)highest while Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 had 8.8% bacteriocin recovery at the end of the purification process (Table 2).Bacteriocin produced by Lactococcus lactis N22 was most heat stable at 40°C, 60°C and 80°C for 30 minutes, but slightly stable at 100°C and unstable at 121°C while that of Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 was heat stable at 40°C and 60°C, but slightly stable at 80°C and unstable at 121°C for 30 minutes (Table3). Bacteriocins produced by L. bulgaricusY34 maintained full stability after storage for 60 days at 4°C, slightly stable at 10°C and unstable at 30°C while bacteriocins produced by L. lactis N22 was stable at 4°C, slightly stable at 10°C and unstable at 30°C storage temperature after 60 days (Table3). It was observed that bacteriocins produced by L. bulgaricus Y34 and L. lactis N22 were stable at pH 2,4 and 6, slightly stable at pH 8 and unstable at pH 10 and 12 (Table3).

The bacteriocins obtained also exhibited some properties that justified their characterization; these properties include broad spectrum of inhibition of indicator microorganisms by both *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22. Bacteriocin was produced early in the growth cycle by *L bulgaricus* Y34 and late in the growth cycle by *L. lactis* N22. Bacteriocin from both organisms were bactericidal (Table3). Based on the characteristics of

the bacteriocins produced by the test isolates, they were suspected to be bulgarican (produced by L. bulgaricus Y34) and nisin (produced by L. lactis N22) (Table3). The results revealed that bacteriocins produced by both L. bulgaricus Y34 and L. lactis inhibited all indicator microorganisms N22 (Staphylococcus sp N23, Salmonella sp N17, Bacillus sp W12, Shigella sp N25, and Pseudomonas sp W1) (Table4).The inhibitory effects observed by

bacteriocin produced by the LAB against the indictor organisms might be due to stability of the bacteriocins at exposed and storage temperature, pH, bactericidal and bacteriostatic properties broad, spectrum and time of its production in the growth cycle and most importantly the bacteriocin activity at activity units per milliliter (AU/mL).

Table 1. Froduction of Dacteriochi by Laciobactilas balgaricas 1.54 and Laciococcus tacus 1.22						
Coded	Growth of	pH of	Bacteriocin			
Organisms	LAB (580nm)	bacteriocins	activity (Au/ml)			
Lactobacillus Bulgaricus Y34	0.90	3.91	6000 <u>+</u> 0.00			
Lactococcus lactis N22	0.90	3.70	5800 <u>+</u> 0.00			

Table 1 Production of bacteriagin by Lactobacillus bulgaricus V34 and Lactococcus lactis N22

Y: Isolate from yoghurt, N: isolate from nono, Au/mL: Activity unit per milliliter, nm: nanometer

Table 2. Purification of bacteriocins produced by Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 and Lactococcus lactis N22

Coded	Purification	Volume of	Activity of	^I Total a	^{II} protein	^{III} specific	^{IV} Recovery	
Organisms	stage	culture supernatant	bacteriocins	activity	(µg/ml)	activity	(%)	
		(ml)	(Au/ml)					
Lactobacillus	culture supernatant	1000	5800	5800000	400	14.5	100	
Bulgaricus Y34	Ammonium sulpha	ite						
-	Precipitation	30	5800	174000	390	14.9	97.5	
	Trichloroacetic aci	d 5	5800	29000	70	82.9	17.5	
	Precipitation							
	Ultra filtration	8	6000	48000	35	1000	8.8	
Lactococcus	Culture supernatant	t 1000	5600	5600000	337	16.6	100	
Latis N22	Ammonium sulpha	to 30	5600	168000	308	18.2	01 /	
Luus IN22	Precipitation	ic 50	5000	108000	508	10.2	91.4	
	Trichloroacetic acid	1 5	5600	28000	45	124.4	13.4	
	Precipitation		2000	20000	10	121	10.1	
	Ultra filtration	8	5800	46400	32	1933.3	9.5	
	Precipitation Ultra filtration	a 5 8	5600 5800	28000 46400	45 32	124.4 1933.3	9.5	

¹Total activity was determined by the multiplication of volume by activity

^{II}Protein concentration was determined by the Bradford method

^{III}Specific activity is the activity units divided by the protein concentration ($\mu g/ml$)

^{IV}Recovery percentage is the remaining protein concentration as a percentage of the initial protein concentration.

Y: Isolate from yoghurt, N: isolate from nono

			1	•	0	
Coded		Stability of bacto	eriocins at:			
Organisms	Stability	Exposed	Storage	pН	Properties of	
		Temperature(°C)	Temperature(°C	C)	bateriocins	Bacteriocins
Lactobacillus	Stable	40,60	4	2,4,6,8	Broad spectrum,	Bulgarican
bulgaricus Y34	1				bactericidal,	
	Slightly	80,100	10	10,12	Produced early	
	stable				in the growth cycle	
	11	101	20	10.12		
	Unstable	121	30	10,12		
Lactococcus	Stable	40,60,80	4	2,4,6	Broad spectrum,	Nisin
Lactis N22		, ,		, ,	bactericidal,	
	Slightly	100	10	8	Produced late	
	Stable				in the growth cycle	
					- •	
	Unstable	121	30	10,12		

Table 3. Characterization	of bacteriocins produced by	Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34	4 and Latococcus lactis N22
1 uoite et enur ueter mution	or succession produced sy	Latter children and a set and a set a	

Y: Isolate from yoghurt, N: isolate from nono

 Table 4. Inhibition of indicator microorganisms by bacteriocins produced by Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34

 and Lactococcus lactis N22

+: inhibition, Y:yoghurt; N:nono; W:wara.

DISCUSSION

Bacteriocin activity of 6000+0.00 was exhibited by Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34 and Lactococcus lactis N22 exhibited bateriocin activity of 5800+0.00 against Staphylococcus sp N23, Salmonella sp N17, Bacillus sp W12, Shigella sp N25 and Pseudomonas sp W1. This is similar to the findings of Ogunbanwo et al .(2003) that Lactobacillus plantarum F1 and Lactobacillus brevis OG1 exhibited bacteriocin activity between 3200 (Au/ml) and 6400±0.00 (Au/ml) against Escherichia coli. Listeria monocytogenes and Enterococcus faecalis. This is similar to Mohammed (2009) that Of all the LAB screened for bacteriocin production, Lactobacillus bulgaricus Y34, L. lactis W15, L. acidophilus N20, Lactococcus lactis N22, Streptococcus thermophilus Y27, S.cremoris W1, Pediococcus halophilus W9 and P. cerevisae N21 produced bacteriocin activity between 4800 and 6000AU/mL against

Staphylococcus sp, Salmonella sp, Bacillus sp, Shigella sp and Pseudomonas sp. This findings also agrees with Mohammed (2012) that Of the total LAB screened also for bacteriocin production, Lactococcus lactis B2, Lactobacillus bulgaricus B5, Lactobacillus acidophilus F16, Lactobacillus helveticus B9, Pediococcus halophilus P20 and L. mesenteroides F12 produced bacteriocin activity between 5000 AU/mL and 6000 AU/mL against the indicator microorganisms (Pseudomonas aeruginosa F13a, Escherichia coli B3, Staphylococcus aureus P23, Salmonella typhimurium B8, Bacillus cereus F14 and Klebsiella pneumoniae P21). This is in conformity with Mohammed et al. (2012) who reported that pediocin and nisin produced by Pediococcus halophilus W9 and Lactococcus lactis N24 exhibited bacteriocin activity between 5000±0.00 and 5600±0.00 AU/mL against

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Shigella dysenteriae and *Eschericia coli.*

The optimal bacteriocin recovery was achieved using ammonium sulphate precipitation and trichloracetic acid precipitation. This is in conformity with the report of Invanova et al .(2000) that optimal bacteriocin recovery can be achieved by ammonium phosphate precipitation and trichloroacetic acid precipitation. Ultra filtration experiments in this study showed that bacteriocin were unable to pass through 1000 KDa molecular weight cut off membrane. A tendency to aggregate with other proteins has been reported in bacteriocins produced by other lactic acid bacteria (Bhunia et al., 1988., Toba et al., (1991) Klaenhammer, 1998., and might have contributed to why the bacteriocins could not pass through the membrances with low molecular weight cut off.

The bacteriocin produced by L. bulgaricus Y34 and L. lactis N22 were heat stable at 20, 40, 60 and 80°C in most cases but slightly stable at 100°C and unstable at 121°C for 30 minutes of exposure to heat. This is consistent with Anderson (1986) who reported loss of bacteriocin activity after heat treatment of bateriocin at 121°C for 15 minutes. This agrees with Mohammed et al.(2013) that Bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus acidophilus FCF2 was most heat stable when exposed at 40°C, 60°C and 80°C for 30 minutes, slightly stable at 100°C but unstable at 121°C, these could be as a result of the stability of the Bacteriocin to the levels of heats it was exposed to and this could serve as basis for it selection for use in biopreservation studies, because for a bacteriocin to be use for biopreservation, it must have high stability to heat. Temperature stability is important if the bacteriocin is to be used as food preservative, because many procedures of food preparation involve heating steps. The activity of bacteriocin elaborated by the test isolates was also observed to be pH dependent at pH 8-12.

Reddy et al., (1984) and Abdef-Bar et al.(1987) reported similar observation, that bacteriocins produced by L. bulgaricus were shown to have high activity and stability at pH 2, 4 and 6 respectively against a range of pathogenic and spoilage bacteria. Bacteriocins produced by the test organisms have some interesting characteristics. This agrees with Mohammed et al.(2013) that The activity of Acidophilin elaborated was observed to be pH dependent. The highest antibacterial activity was exhibited within pH range of 2 - 10, while inactivation occurred mostly at pH 12. The Bacteriocin also exhibited some properties that justified it characterization. These properties include broad and narrow spectrum inhibition by pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms, bactericidal effects and the time of Bacteriocin production by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* FCF2.

The most striking is that these bateriocins were limited by the extremely broad antimicrobial spectrum. This is similar to the findings of Halo *et al.*, (1991) and Barefoot and klean hammer, (1993) that bateriocins were limited by the extremely narrow antimicrobial spectrum for bacteriocin, of some lactic acid bacteria (LAB), for example, lactococcin A and Lactacin B. Both *L. bulgaricus* Y34 and *L. lactis* N22 exhibited large spectrum against the indicator microorganisms used in this study.

This agrees with earlier reports by Tagg *et al.* (1976), Daesched and kleanhammer (1985), and Sanni *et al.* (1999) that some bacteriocins produced by grampositive bacteria have broad spectrum activities. How ever, it was generally observed that bacteriocin from the producer organisms had no inhibilitory effects on the organisms producing it.

The suspected bacteriocins were identified as bulgarican and Nisin produced by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* Y34 and *Lactococcus lactis* N22 respectively. Brinkten *et al.*, (1994) and Soomro *et al.* (2002) had identified and characterized bacteriocins produced by *Lactococcus lactis subsp lactis* AT-CC11454, *Pediococcus pentasaceas* FCC61, *Pediococcus acidilactici* H, *Leuconostoc gelidyn* UAL187, *Lactobacillus helveticus* 481, and *Camobacterium piscicola* LV17 as nisin, pediocin A, pediocin ACH, lecucocin, helveticin J and camobacteriocin respectively.

In conclusion, bulgarican produced by *L. bulgaricus* Y34 was more effective on the indicator microorganisms used in this study than nisin produced by *L. lactis* N22, though nisin is widely used in food industries in most countries for bio preservation of food products. We therefore recommend both bulgarican and nisin to food processing industries for biopreservation of food products. These bacteriocins produced could serve as alternative to chemical preservatives/additives used in food preservation.

References

Abdel-Bar, N., Harris, N.D. and Rill, R.L. (1987). Purification and properties of an antimicrobial substance produced by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*. *Journal of Food Science* 52:411-45.

Adams, M.R and Moss, M.O (1995). *Food Microbiology*. Royal Society of Chemistry, Guilford, pp. 252-284.

Adams, M.R and Nicolaides, L. (1997). *Review of the* sensitivity of different Food borne pathogens to fermentation food control, U.K.

Axelson, L. (1998). Lactic Acid Bacteria: Classification and Physiology of Lactic Acid Bacteria, Microbiology and Functional Aspects. Salminen, S. and weight, A. (eds), Marcel Decker Inc., New York, USA.

Bare Food, S.F. and Klaen hammer, T.K. (1983). Detections and activities of lactacin B On bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 45:1808-1815.

Bradford, M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive test for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilization, the principle of protein dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry* 72: 248-254.

Bhunia, A.K., Johnson, M.C. and Ray, B. (1988). Purification, characterization and antimicrobial spectrum of a bacteriocin produced by *Pediococcus acidolactic. Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 65:261-268.

Brinkten, B. Minekns, M., Vander Vossen, J.M., Leer, R.J. and Veld, J.H.J. (1994). Antimicrobial activity of lactobacilli. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 77:140-148.

Chatterjee, C., Paul, M., Xie, L. and Vander Donk, WA. (2005). Biosynthesis and mode of action of Lantibiotics.*Chemistry Review*, 105: 633 - 683.

Conventry, M.J., Gandon, J.B., Alexander, M., hickey, M.W. and Wan, J. (1997). A food grade process for isolation of partial purification of bacteriocin of lactic acid bacteria that uses diatomite calcium silicate. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 62:1764-1769.

Daeschel, M.A. (1993). Application and interactions of bactericins from lactic Acid bacteria in foods and beverages. In: *Bacteriocin of Lactic Acid Bacteria*: Eds. Hoover, D.G and Steenson, L.R, Academic Press, London, pp. 63-91.

De Vugst, L. and Vandamma, E.J. (1994). Nisin, an antibiotic produced by *Lactococcus lactic sub sp lactis:* Properties, biosynthesis, fermentation and application. In: *Bacteriocins of Lactic Acid Bacteria*. Blackie, London, Pp 151-221.

Ennahar, S., Sashihara, T. Sonomoto, K.: and Ishzaki, A (2000). Class IIa bacteriocins: biosynthesis,

structure and activity. FEMS *Microbiology Review* 24:85-85106.

Farkas-Himskey, I.T. and Yu, H. (1985). Purified colicin as cytotoxic agent of neoplasia: comparative study with crude colicin. *Cytobios*. 42(167-168):193-207.

Fawole, M.O. and Oso, B.A. (1998). Laboratory Manual of Microbiology. Spectrum Book Limited, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp 16-35.

Graciela, M., Vignolo. M., de kairuz, M., Aida, A.P., de Ruiz, H. and Oliver, G. (1995). Influence of growth conditions on the production of Lactocin 705, bacteriocins on the produced by *L. casei* CRL 705. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 78:5-10.

Gratia, J.P. (2000). Andre Gratia: A forerunner in microbial and viral genetics'. *Genetics*. 156:471-476.

Halo, H., Nilssen, O. and Nes, I.F. (2001). Lactocin A, a new bacteriocin from *Lactococcus lactis Subsp. cremoris*: Isolation and characterization of protein and its gene. *Journal of Bacteriology* 173:3887.

Invanova, I. Kabadjara, P. Panther, A. Danova, S. and Dousset, X. (2000). Detection, purification and partial characterization of novel bacteriocin subsp *lactis* B14 isolated from Boza-Bulgarian traditional cereal breverage. *Biocatalyst, Fundamentals and Applications*, 41(6):47-53.

Jimerez-Diaz, R., Rios-Sanchez, R.M., Desmanzeaud, M., Ruiz Barba, J.L. and Piad, J.C. (1993). Plantaricins, sand T: two new bacteriocins produced by *L plantarum* LPCO 10, isolated from a green olive fermentation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 1(59):1416-1424.

Klaenhammer, T..R. (1998). Geretics of bacteriocins produced by lactic acid bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Review* 12:39-86.

Lindgren, S W. and Dobrogeosz, WL. (1990).Antagonistic activities of lactic acid bacteria in food and food fermentation. *Microbiology Review*, 87: 49 - 160.49.

Mohammed,S.S.D.(2009).Isolation and Identification of Lactic Acid bacteria from Fermented milk products and their screening for bacteriocin production.Master of Technology (*M.Tech.*)Industrial Microbiology Thesis of Federal University of Technology,Minna,Nigeria.pp 48-50. Mohammed,S.S.D.(2012).Production,Purification and Characterization of Bacteriocins produced by Lactic Acid Bacteria isolated from fermented foods.*Fellowship* (FISLT) Microbiology Thesis of The Nigerian Institute of Science Laboratory Technology (NISLT),Ibadan,Nigeria.pp 59-61.

Mohammed,S.S.D.,IjahU.J.J.,Baba,J.,Bala,E.,Damisa ,D.,Shanba,A.M.,Muhammad,I.L.,Muhammad,R.G. and Kumar, N. (2012).Purification and Characterization of bacteriocins produced by *Pediococcus halophilus* W9 and *Lactococcus lactis* N24.Developmental Journal of Science and Technology Research.1 (1):85-96.

Mohammed,S.S.D.,Damisa,D.,Oyeleke,S.B.,Jigam,A A.(2013).Bi-opreservation Studies of White Cheese (Wara) using Acidophilin produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* FCF2 isolated from Fermented Corn Flour. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*: 2(1), pp1-9.

Mort vedt-Abidlgaard, C., Nissen-Meyer, J., Jelled, B., Grenov, B., Nes, I.F., Bao Diep, D., Haversti A, L.S., Brurberg, M.B., Eijisink, V. and Halo, H. (1996). Biosynthesis of batteriocins of lactic acid bacteria. *Antonie Van Leeuwen hoek* 70:113-128.

Ogunbanwo, S.T., Sanni, A.J. and Onilude, A.A. (2003). Influence of cultural conditions on the production of bacteriocin by *Lactobacillus brevis* OG₁. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 7:179-184.

O'sullivan, L., Ross, RP., and Hill, C. (2002). Potential of bacteriocin-producing lactic acid bacteria for improvement of food safety and quality. 84. Pp 593 - 604.

Remmelsberg, M. and Radler, F. (1990). Antimicrobial Polypeptides of *Lactobacillus* species. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 69:177-184.

Reddy, G.C., Shahani, K.M., Friend, B.A. and Chandan, R.C. (1984). Natural antibiotic activity of *L. acidophilus* and *bulgaricus*, production and partial purification bulgaricus culture. *Journal of Dairy Products* 8:15 - 19.

Schillinger, V. and Lucke, F.K. (1989). Antimicrobial activity of *Lactobacillus sake* isolated from meat *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 55: 1901-1906.

Soomro, A.H., Masud, T. and Anwear, T. (2002). Role of lactic acid bacteria in food preservation and human health. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 1(1): 20-24.

Tagg, J.R. Dajani, A.S. and Wannamaker, L.W. (1976). Bacteriocins of gram positive bacteria. *Bacteriology Review*. 40: 722-756.

Toba, T., Samant, S.K., Yoshioka, E. and Itok, T.C (1991). Reuterich 6, a new bateriocin produced by *Lactobacillus reuteri* LA6. Letters in *Applied Microbiology* 13:281-286.
