



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

Journal homepage: <http://www.journalijar.com>

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL  
OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

### Comparison between stapled anastomosis and hand sewn anastomosis in low anterior resection

Showket Jeelani<sup>1</sup>, Javeed Shafi<sup>2</sup>, Raiees Ahmad<sup>3</sup>, Shabir Mir<sup>3</sup>, Aftab Akbar<sup>3</sup>, \*Ab. Hameed Raina<sup>4</sup>.

1. Professor and Head, Department of surgery, Government Medical College Srinagar, J and k, India.

2. Assistant professor, Department of surgery, Government Medical College Srinagar, J and k, India.

3. Post Graduates, Department of surgery, Government Medical College Srinagar, J and K, India.

4. Post Graduate ,Department of Internal Medicine , Sheri- Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Soura, J and K , India.

#### Manuscript Info

##### Manuscript History:

Received: 12 November 2013

Final Accepted: 29 November 2013

Published Online: December 2013

##### Key words:

Rectal carcinoma, hand sewn suturing, stapled suturing

#### Abstract

Colorectal Carcinoma is the most common malignancy in gastrointestinal tract with rectal constituting 30% of cases of colorectal malignancies. Surgery is the central element in treatment of rectal cancers being the only option for definitive cure. Sphincter saving rectal resection have become standard surgical treatment of rectal malignancies, however restoration of gastrointestinal continuity by means of conventional technique proves technically challenging in case of very low rectal pathology and circular stapling devices have been found to be very safe and efficient alternative to hand sewn suturing technique in these cases. We did a comparative study to assess the onco-surgical outcome of patients of Rectal carcinoma treated with low anterior resection with TME in terms of : a) mortality b) anastomotic leak c) anastomotic stricture formation d) recurrence within 2 years e) operating time using Stapled colorectal anastomosis and Hand sewn colorectal anastomosis.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2013,. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

Surgery is the central element in treatment of rectal cancers being the only option for definitive cure[1]. The main aim of treatment is to achieve balance between radical surgical resection and need to preserve sphincter function and maintain quality of life. Sphincter saving resection with restoration of bowel continuity is one of the main objective of surgical treatment of rectal cancers. It was introduced first for recto sigmoid tumors, then for mid rectal tumors and more recently for low rectal tumours<sup>2,3,4</sup>. Sphincter saving resection depends on the distance between tumor and anal sphincter with at least 2 cm of tumor free margin distally. Sphincter saving rectal resections have become standard surgical treatment of rectal malignancies. However restoration of gastro intestinal continuity by means of conventional technique of hand sewn suturing proves technically challenging in case of very low rectal pathology. Circular stapling devices have been found to be very safe and efficient alternative to hand sewn suturing technique in these cases. The factors that influence anastomotic security relate both to systemic characteristics of individual patient together with local and technical factors such as adequate blood supply, freedom from tension at anastomotic site, absence of active disease or distal obstruction[5]. The need for edge to edge opposition and adequate luminal patency are self evident<sup>6</sup>. Despite the wealth of circumstantial evidence to suggest that manual suturing and stapling in colorectal anastomosis are essentially equivalent in terms of their safety there have been very little scientific activity to critically examine the comparative features of each technique.<sup>7-12</sup> Various possible benefits that apply to stapled anastomosis such as less tissue manipulation, trauma bleeding, oedema and with a more rapid return of G I function and patient recovery, ease of performing anastomosis and decreased operating time<sup>13-14</sup> where as cost factor, increased stricture formation and tumor recurrence are possible drawbacks<sup>15-18</sup>.

The present study has been undertaken with main aim of assessing onco surgical outcome of patients treated with low anterior resection with total mesorectal excision with either stapled or hand sewn colorectal anastomosis in

terms of mortality, anastomotic leak /dehiscence ,anastomotic stricture formation, recurrence within two years and mean operating time .

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** From March 2010 to August 2011 a prospective randomized comparative study entitled "Comparison Between Stapled Anastomosis And Hand Sewn Anastomosis In Low Anterior Resection" on 58 patients divided randomly into two groups 29 each was conducted in the postgraduate Department of Government Medical College Srinager. Five variables were analyzed [mortality, anastomotic leak ,anastomotic stricture formation, recurrence, operating time]. All the patients entitled for the study were histopathologically documented, resectable cases of Carcinoma of Mid And Low Rectum. Patients entitled for the study were thoroughly investigated to determine the stage of disease and lymph node status and to exclude metastasis. All the patients entitled for the study were T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> lesions. Among the 58 patients 38 had T<sub>3</sub> lesions and 20 had T<sub>2</sub> lesions. Patients with T<sub>3</sub> lesions received preoperative chemo-radiation over a period of 6 weeks followed by surgery after 6 weeks of gap. In patients who received chemo-radiation a temporary diverting colostomy was fashioned to protect anastomosis. In all the cases anastomosis was created 4 cm above dentate line. Patients excluded from the study included patients with poor preoperative sphincter function, with sphincter invasion ,T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> lesions with distant metastasis, low rectal tumors with inability to achieve safe distal margin and bulky tumors that cannot be lifted off the pelvic floor. Patients entitled for the study were randomly divided into two groups :Group A (Patients with lower anterior resection( LAR )with total Mesorectal excision(TME )with Stapled Colorectal Anastomosis) and Group B (Patients with lower anterior resection( LAR) and TME with Hand Sewn Colorectal Anastomosis). There was no significant difference in patients in two groups with regard to age, gender ,basic anthropometric data ,preoperative variables ,distance of tumor from anal verge, tumor grade and stage and use of neo-adjuvant chemo-radiation. Among the 58 patients in our study who underwent LAR with TME with primary anastomosis by either of the two mentioned techniques , age of patients varied from 22 years to 72 years, 32 patients were males and 26 patients were females. All the anastomosis were created 4cm above anal verge. Five variables were analyzed [mortality, anastomotic leak , anastomotic stricture formation, recurrence within 2 years and mean operating time]. Results were recorded and analyzed and statistically significant difference calculated.

**Preoperative assessment and preparation:** Patients entitled for the study were thoroughly evaluated .History, Examination and all routine baseline as well as specific investigations were done which included CBC,KFT,Na/K,Blood Sugar,LFT, PT/INR,CXR ,ECG,Sigmoidoscopy/Colonoscopy with Biopsy,EGD,USG abdomen and pelvis ,MRI Pelvis and Skeletal Profile .Patients received complete bowel preparation and antibiotic prophylaxis before surgery .

**SURGERY:** Patients were thoroughly prepared pre-operatively. Patients were positioned in spine position and were catheterised. All the cases in our study were done under General Anesthesia. Midline abdominal incision was used in all the patients. Surgery included Low Anterior Resection with Total Mesorectal Excision with End to End Colorectal Anastomosis using Circular Stapler in Group A and Hand Sewn Suturing in Group B. Size of Circular Staplers used varied from 25-31 mm sizes. Pelvic drain was kept in all the patients to drain any collection and to look for any anastomotic leak. Drain was removed on 3-5 Postoperative day on average except in those cases who developed anastomotic leak. Patients were discharged from hospital after tolerating orals, moving bowels and removing of indwelling catheter and drains on 4 – 6 Postoperative day on an average except those few cases who developed complications. 2 patients were reoperated for anastomotic leak out of 7 cases of patients who developed anastomotic leak. Patients were followed postoperatively weekly for 1 month, fortnightly for next 2 months ,monthly for next 3 months ,3 monthly for next 18 months and 6 monthly afterwards.

Statistical methods: All the data was collected ,analyzed and comparison between two groups was made . P Value of variables calculated and Statistical significant difference if any noted.

**Discussion and results:** Sphincter Saving resections have become the gold standard for surgical treatment of most cancers of middle and distal third of rectum Surgeon nowadays has at its disposal several modes of reconstruction after low resections like direct hand sewn , stapled anastomosis (which can be single stapled , double stapled , or moron triple stapler technique) as well as various pouching methods. Despite the wealth of circumstantial evidence to suggest that manual suturing and stapling are essentially equivalent in terms of their safety, there has been very little scientific activity to critically examine the comparative features of each technique<sup>7-12</sup>. James Dochery, John McGregor et al<sup>19</sup> have described that use of surgical stapling instruments is comparable to that of conventional suturing in terms of efficacy, applicability and safety. In certain situations staplers offer the facility to achieve reconstructions that will be difficult to achieve manually<sup>20</sup>. James Dochery have demonstrated reduction in

incidence of both tumor recurrence and cancer specific mortality for patients in which stapling instruments were used instead of hand sewn technique, whereas there was no difference in anastomotic leakage and mortality. In our study anastomotic leak was more in hand sewn technique whereas there was no difference in mortality and recurrence between the two techniques. Suzane Aegeli et al<sup>21</sup> demonstrated that stenosis after anastomosis in low rectal malaganciosis more with stapling whereas time taken for anastomosis is more in hand sewn technique. In several nonrandomized studies greater incidence of stenosis was attributed to stapling devices. In our study stenosis was seen only in case of stapled anastomosis. Laurent A et al<sup>22</sup> showed in their study that stapled anastomosis is significantly faster than hand sewn technique and it should be preferred technique whenever feasible. Similar results were reported in our study showing significantly decreased time with stapled anastomosis.

**RESULTS:** The variables analyzed in two Groups of patients are as under: Group A; This group consisted of 29 patients who underwent LAR with TME with Stapled Colorectal Anastomosis. In this group 19 patients had T<sub>2</sub> lesions and received preoperative chemoradiation whereas 10 patients had T<sub>1</sub> lesions. Among these 29 patients mortality was seen in none of the patients, anastomotic leak was seen in 2 patients, anastomotic stricture formation was seen in 3 patients, recurrence within 24 months was seen in 2 patients, mean operating time was 140 minutes ranging from 125 to 160 minutes. Group B: In this group 29 patients were operated and surgery included lower anterior resection (LAR) with Total Mesorectal Excision (TME) with Hand Sewn Colorectal anastomosis. Among these patients mortality was seen in none of the patients, anastomotic leak was seen in 5 patients, anastomotic stricture formation was seen in none of the patients, recurrence at 24 months was seen in 3 patients, mean operating time was 180 minutes ranging from 165 to 205 minutes. Among the 58 patients divided in two groups 29 of each, five variables were analyzed and results recorded as: In Group A Anastomotic leak was seen in two patients {7.14%}, Anastomotic stricture was seen in 3 patients {10.7%}, recurrence within 24 months was seen in 2 {7.14%}, Mean Operating Time was 140 mnts +20 mints, Mortality was seen in none of the patients. In Group B patients Anastomotic leak was seen in 5 patients (17.8%), Anastomotic stricture was seen in none of the patients (0%), Recurrence within 24 months was seen in 3 patients (10.7%), Mean operating time was 180 mints +20 mints, Mortality in none of the patients.

**Table 1:**

VARIABLE	GROUP A	GROUP B	P VALUE
MORTALITY	None	None	1
Anastomotic leak	2	5	.4227
Anastomotic stricture formation	3	None	.237
Recurrence	2	3	.99
Mean operating time	140+20 mints	180+20 mints	.0001

**CONCLUSION:** The results of our study showed that anastomotic leak was more in hand sewn technique whereas stricture formation was exclusively seen in stapled technique with mean operating time significantly shorter in stapled anastomosis. There is no statistical significant difference in mortality and recurrence rate between two groups. This study showed that stapled anastomosis is very efficient, safe, technically easy and less time consuming technique for colorectal anastomosis in lower anterior resection (LAR) and is the current standard for anastomosis in LAR. Thus Stapled anastomosis in Low –Anterior- Resection can be done with minimum morbidity and mortality and in cases where anastomosis is technically very difficult and restoration of gastro-intestinal continuity is difficult.

**Acknowledgment:** We are highly thankful to all our teachers and colleagues who helped us one way or the other in conducting this study. We are truly indebted to all our patients who participated in this study. We declare that there is absolutely no conflict of interest and this study was not funded by any agency.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY:

1. Cancer Of Rectum; Maingots abdominal operation; 12(1), 833-834.
2. Heald RJ, Moran BJ, Ryall RD, et al. Rectal cancer: the Basingstoke experience of total mesorectal excision, 1978–1997. *Arc Ah Surg.* 1998;133:894–899.
3. Goligher JC, Dukes CE, Bussey HJR. Local recurrence after sphincter saving excisions for carcinoma of the rectum and rectosigmoid. *Br J Surg.* 1951;39:199.
4. Localio SA, Eng K. Sphincter-saving operations for cancer of the rectum. *N Engl J Med.* 1979;300:1028–103

5. 1. Goligher JC. Surgery of the Anus, Rectum and Colon. 5th ed. London: Balliere Tindall, 1984.
6. Matheson NA, McIntosh CA, Krukowski ZH. Continuing experience with single layer appositional anastomosis in the large bowel. *Br J Surg* 1985; 72:S104-S 106.
7. Scher KS, Scott-Conner C, Jones CW, et al. A comparison of stapled and sutured anastomoses in colonic operations. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1982; 155:489-493.
8. Beart RW, Kelly KA. Randomized prospective evaluation of the EEA stapler for colorectal anastomoses. *Am J Surg* 1981; 141:143- 146.
9. Brennan SS, Pickford IR, Evans M, et al. Staples or sutures for colonic anastomoses-a controlled clinical trial. *Br J Surg* 1982; 69:722-724.
10. McGinn FP, Gartell PC, Clifford PC, et al. Staples or sutures for low colorectal anastomoses: a prospective randomized trial. *Br J Surg* 1985; 72:603-605.
11. Everett WG, Friend PJ, Forty J. Comparison of stapling and hand suture for left-sided large bowel anastomosis. *Br J Surg* 1986; 73: 345-348.
12. Didolkar MS, Reed WP, Elias EG, et al. A prospective randomized study of sutured versus stapled bowel anastomoses in patients with cancer. *Cancer* 1986; 57:456-4609.
13. Steichen FM, Ravitch MM. The healing of wounds of the intestines. In Steichen FM, Ravitch MM, eds. *Stapling in Surgery*. Chicago: Year Book Medical Pub, 1984, pp 113-137.
14. Gritsman JJ. Mechanical suture by Soviet apparatus in gastric resection: use in 4000 operations. *Surgery* 1966; 59:663-66
15. Hurst PA, Prout WG, Kelly JM, et al. Local recurrence after low anterior resection using the staple gun. *Br J Surg* 1982; 69:275- 276.
16. Anderberg B, Enblad P, Sjodahl R, et al. Recurrent rectal carcinoma after anterior resection and rectal stapling. *Br J Surg* 1983; 70:1-4.
17. Reid JDS, Robins RE, Atkinson KG. Pelvic recurrence after anterior resection and EEA stapling anastomosis for potentially curable carcinoma of the rectum. *Am J Surg* 1984; 147:629-632.
18. Bisgaard C, Svanholm H, Jensen AS. Recurrent carcinoma after low anterior resection of the rectum using the EEA staple gun. *Acta Chir Scand* 1986; 152:157-160..
19. *Annals Of Surgery* ; Vol. 221, No. 2, 176-184
20. Goligher JC, Lee PWR, Macfie J, et al. Experience with the Russian model 249 suture gun for anastomosis of the rectum. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1979; 148:517-524.
21. Heald RJ. Towards fewer colostomies-the impact of circular stapling devices on the surgery of rectal cancer in a district hospital. *Br J Surg* 1980; 67:198-200.