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Abstract

Introduction: Vascular injuries involving major vessels can be limb threatening and at times life threatening. Ours being a tertiary care center, we encounter lot of vascular injuries due to industrial accidents and road traffic accidents. Loss of upper limb means loss of job. This study is to analyze the outcomes of upper limb vascular injuries.

Methods: This is a prospective study from August 2015 and is an ongoing study. We are submitting the interim report of the study till March 2018. All patients admitted with upper limb vascular trauma proximal to wrist irrespective of age and mode of injury were included in our study.

Results: Blunt injury was seen in 22 cases (68.75%). Most common complication was wound infection 6/32 (18.75%). Graft thrombosis seen in two patients (6.25%). Pseudoaneurysm with blow out occurred in 1 patient (3.125%). In spite of delayed presentation (>6 hours) and wound infections and ligation of artery due to pseudoaneurysm, all the limbs were saved (limb salvage rate is 100%).

Conclusion: Timely intervention in upper limb vascular injuries can save the limb and at times the life. This means they can be economically productive and lead an independent life.
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Results:
Most of our patients were in the age group of 15 to 45 years (23 patients), 4 patients were more than 45 years and 5 patients were less than 14 years of age. There were 26 male patients (26/32=81%) and 6 female patients (6/32=19%). Road Traffic Accidents is the main mode of the injury in 21 patients (21/32=66%) followed by machinery injury in 5 patients (5/32=16%) and accidental fall (3/32=9%) and self inflicted injury (3/32=9%). The type of injury was blunt in 22 patients (22/32=69%) and penetrating in 10 patients (10/32=31%). 26 patients presented after 6 hours, (26 /32=81%) and 6 patients presented within 6 hours (6/32=19%). Associated bony injuries was seen in 16 patients (16/32=50%), muscles and venous injuries were seen in all patients (100%), nerve injuries seen in 10 patients (10/32=31%) and skin loss in 7 patients (7/32=22%). Isolated brachial artery injury was seen in 22 patients (22/32=70%), combined brachial and radial artery injury was seen in 3 patients (3/32=10%), brachial and ulnar artery injury in 2 patients (2/32=7%) and radial and ulnar artery injury in 4 patients (4/32=13%).

Most of the patients were in younger age group with no comorbid diseases, only two patients whose age was 47 and 51 had Diabetes Mellitus. Our patients were evaluated by clinical examination (Hard and Soft signs) and using Hand Held Doppler. Hand held Doppler was our main tool. We did CT angiogram in the patients with suspected multilevel injuries to the vessels. Using Hand held Doppler, no flow was seen in 5 patients (5/32=15%), wrist pressure less than 50 mmHg seen in 20 patients (20/32=63%) and wrist pressure more than 50 mmHg in 7 patients (7/32=22%).

Primary end to end anastomosis done in 4 patients when the defect was less than 2 centimeters (4/32=13%) In injuries with defect more than 2 cm defect Reversed Saphenous Vein was used as conduit. Synthetic grafts were not used to prevent infection. Anatomical bypass was done in 25 patients (25/32=78%), and extra anatomical bypass in 3 patients in severely crushed limbs with contamination (3//32=9%).

Fig. 1: Radial and Ulnar artery repair interposition reversed saphenous vein graft.
Fig. 2

Brachial artery repair figure 2 – end to end anastomosis, figure 3 interposition reversed saphenous vein graft

Fig. 3

Fig. 4
We did fasciotomies in most of patients, for those who had no flow status and those who presented after 6 hours. We did skin cover by relaxing incisions and Split Skin Graft primarily or secondarily in 8 patients (8/32=25%).

Since the possibility of vascular injury could be missed in blunt injury, it may be the one of the reasons that most patients presented 6 hours after the injury.
Discussion:-

Mode of injury:-
Blunt injury occurred in (22/32) patients and penetrating injury in 10 patients. Road traffic Accident Occurred (21/32) patients it was the main mode of injury (table1). Followed by Machinery injuries (5/32).

Management:-
All the patients were heparinised (80IU/kg – bolus followed by 18 IU/kg /hr). Broad spectrum antibiotics were given. We used Reversed Saphenous Vein Graft in 28 patients (figure2&3). All the Machinery injury occurred in male patients (100%).

Penetrating injury was associated with wound infection more commonly (5/6 cases). Blunt injury caused mainly contusion thrombosis. In penetrating injury irregular tears and transactions were common.

Complication:-
Complication occurred in (9/32) 28%. Wound infection is the most common incopcation (6/9). Penetrating injury was associated with wound infection due to contamination (5/18). 1 case of wound infection occurred in blunt injury (1/6). Graft thrombosis occurred in 2 patients (table3).

Table 1:-Mode of injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of injury</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self inflicted</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2:-Nature of Injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Injury</th>
<th>RTA</th>
<th>Machinery injury</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Self inflicted</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blunt injury</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetrating injury</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3:-Complication N =32

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N=32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graft thrombosis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoaneurysm</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graft thrombosis occurred on (3rd & 4th day) in 2 patients (6.25%). Both the patients presented with severe crush injury. Of the 2 patients one was a chronic smoker and the other had Diabetic Mellitus).

Pseudoaneurysm and Blow out happened in one patient, brachial artery ligated on 5th post operative day, but the limb was salvaged.

There was (100%) limb salvage with no mortality.

Table 4:-Study Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Centre</th>
<th>No. of patient</th>
<th>Blunt</th>
<th>Penetrating</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Limb salvage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fitridge</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>14 months</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wali</td>
<td>1996-2001</td>
<td>Saudi arabia</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandersluis</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manor D</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>New orleans</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>43 months</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most of our patients presented more than 6 hrs after the injury. Arterial repair done first, followed by fracture stabilisation and nerve repair.

Our patients were evaluated by clinical examination (Hard and Soft signs) and Hand Held Doppler. Hand held Doppler was our main tool. We did CT angiogram in patients with suspected multilevel injuries. We have ligated the veins in cases with venous injury, we didn’t affect limb salvage. We did faciotomy – in majority of cases (> 6 hr, associated venous injury). If both radial and ulnar arteries were injured we repaired both, because palmar arch integrity couldn’t be assessed pre operatively. If one artery (either radial or ulnar) is injured and palmar arch is intact, we ligated the injured vessel, and if palmar arch is incomplete we repaired the injured artery. Though graft thrombosis was seen in 2 patients, limbs were salvaged – (younger age, > 3-5 days, injury beyond profunda brachii).

Since most of the injuries occurred were distal to profunda brachii, lean muscle mass of upper limb compared to lower limb,(decreased oxygen demand ) and very good collaterals around elbow joint, the limb salvage rates were high in upper limb vascular trauma.

Our limb salvage rate is comparable or even better than other studies.

**Conclusion:**
Since upper limb vascular trauma commonly occurs in young individuals timely intervention in upper limb vascular injuries can save the limb and the life. This means they can be economically productive and lead an independent quality life.
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