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Abstract

Regional Bouguer gravity data along eleven traverses (73°30′ E to 78°30′ E and latitude 12° N to 17°N) across the Dharwar craton data have been analyzed to estimate the deeper crustal configurations. The tectonic differentiation was elucidated on a four layered earth model, constituted a Peninsular gneisses, Upper and Deeper crustal and Moho layers. Four deep seated faults extending down to Moho were inferred. Running west to east, the First (FI) western part, the second fault F-II corresponds to Bababudan – Nallurshear, the third fault F-III runs along the western margin of closepet batholith and last fourth fault F-IV lies eastern part of the study area. These faults suggest a modified Tectonic classification of the Dharwar Craton we here inferred a Western Dharwar Craton (WDC) bounded it west FII fault (Bababudan Fault) and Eastern Dharwar Craton block east of the FIII fault (EDC), with an Intervening block (IB) in between FII and FIII. The Chitraduraga thrust fault (CT), hitherto believe to divide the Dharwar Craton into the two fold classification that is EDC, WDC is inferred to extent only the Gneissic basement rather than being deep seated.

The crustal thickness of the each of the tectonic blocks indentified view, west of fault FI, FI- FII, FII - FIII, FIII - FIV and East of FIV depth ranges varies from 32.98-38.3 Km, 34.71-39 Km, 34.21-35.86 Km, 34.77-38.74 Km and 33.11-38 Km, respectively the average crustal thickness for the West Dharwar Craton (WDC) is 39. Km, Intervening block 34.5 Km and Eastern Dharwar Craton (EDC) 37.Km the crustal thickness beneath the Chitraduraga fault (CT) which lies middle of Intervening block is about 34 Km.

Introduction and Geology:-

The Dharwar Craton is split into eastern and western cratons with major differences in lithology and ages of rock units. The western boundary of the Eastern Dharwar Craton (EDC) is poorly defined and is constrained to a 200km wide lithologic transitional zone from the Peninsular Gneisses of the Western Dharwar Craton to the Closepet Granite.

The tectonic framework of South Indian shield region (SISR) has been studied by various geological and geophysical methods and reviewed by various workers (e.g. Mishra, 2011; Drury and Holt, 1980; Drury et al., 1984;

Geologically the Dharwar Craton well documented (Naqvi & Rogers, 1987; Rajamani, 1990; Chadwick et al., 2000). The Closepet Granite is a good approximation of the western boundary (Ramakrishna and Vidyanadhan, 2008). On the other hand, Drury and Holt (1980), Drury et al. (1984) and Chadwick et al. (2000) have suggested that the Chitradurga shear zone (CT in Fig. 1) divides the craton into the eastern and western blocks. Similar inferences were arrived at from deep seismic sounding (DSS) studies by Kaila et al. (1979) from analysis of seismic wave velocities. Reddy et al. (2000) also reported that the upthrust zone along the Chitradurga boundary thrust fault marked this major contact. However, while the sub units of the craton are generally recognized, there is some disagreement on the exact location and nature of the boundary separating them. Essentially two different boundaries have been proposed. Naqvi and Rogers (1987) suggested that the Closepet granitic batholith formed the boundary between the eastern and western Dharwar cratons. However, the nature of the Closepet batholith itself is open to debate: while Swaminath et al. (1976) and Ramakrishnan et al. (1976) have opined that it represents a major geosuture, Narayanaswami (1970) presented it as a geo-anticlinal ridge.

The Dharwar craton has been divided tectonically in to Eastern and western Dharwar craton by Ramakrishna et al (1976) and Swaminath et al (1976).

![Figure 1: Geology map of the Study Area (Bhagya and Ramadass 2016).](image-url)
Ramadass et al. 2006 proposed that the craton are divided into three distinct blocks: western and eastern blocks separated by an intervening block within the region between the Bababudan-Nallur shear and the western margin of the Clospet batholith. This model so conceived, is at variance with the current perception of the configuration of the Dharwar craton. Sunder Raju (2014) postulate a central Dharwar block occurring between western and eastern Dharwar block. Jayanada et al. (2013) have called this transition zone as Middle Dharwar Craton, Mohan et al. (2013) support this model.

The Western Dharwar Craton (WDC) is located in southwest India and is bound to the east by the Eastern Dharwar Craton (EDC), to the west by the Arabian Sea, and to the south by a transition into the so-called “Southern Granulite Terrain”. The remaining boundary to the north is buried under younger sediments and the Cretaceous Deccan Traps. The division between the Western and Eastern Dharwar Cratons is based on the nature and abundance of greenstones, as well as the age of surrounding basement and degree of regional metamorphism (Rollinson et al., 1981). The Western Dharwar Craton is an ancient terrain distributed by an older Sargur Orogeny involving the lithological assemblage of the WDC contains two types of supra crustal groups of the WDC, the oldest recognized Sargur group occurs as widely dispersed enclaves within the gneisses where as the younger supra crustal (3 to 2.5 Ga old i.e. essentially late Achaean) of the Dharwar supergroup, namely the Bababudhan, Shimoga and Chitradurga groups, occur as large belts comparable to Proterozoic basins and geosynclines. The southern part of the Western Dharwar Craton (WDC) contains a number of metamorphosed ultramafic bodies, many of which form large intrusive complexes.

Eastern Dharwar Craton (EDC) is bounded to the north by the Deccan Traps and the Bastar Craton, to the east by the Eastern Ghats Mobile Belt, and to the south by the Southern Granulite Terrain (Balakrishnan et al., 1987). The Craton is composed of the Dharwar Batholith (dominantly granitic), greenstone belts, intrusive volcanic, and middle Proterozoic to more recent sedimentary basins (Ramakrishnan and Vaidyanadhan, 2008). The supra crustal belts of the Eastern block of the Dharwar Craton are smaller in size than those of the western blocks which are surrounded by gneisses and granites. The prominent greenstone belts of the Eastern Block include Kolar, Sandur and Hutti. These belts contain supracrustal rocks essentially made up of volcanic rocks with subordinate amounts of sedimentary rocks composed of quartzites, polymict, conglomerate, carbonates, BCF/BIF and Mg-rich pelitic rocks and phyllites.

**Gravity Data Base:**

New gravity data was collected 601 observation points along > 600 Km from Panaji to Jedcherla transect, 941 at Gadag gravity observations and 548 observation were observed at Makthal a total of 2180 observation points were acquired by CEG, OU with a station interval of 1 Km with a Lacoste-Romberg (Model-G-940) gravimeter with an accuracy of 0.1 mGal (Ramdass et al., 2006). After all corrections applied to data and it was concentrated in filing the gap and merging 2180 new observations with 10,000 existing Udipi-Kavali transect data from NGRI (Singh et al., 2003, 2004) and GSI (Keshawamani, 1996, 1999; Appa Rao, 1995), Central of Exploration Geophysics (Ramdass et al., 2003, 2006) shown in Figure.1. In fact, a further increase in the density and accuracy of observations might provide more information about the structure of the Craton.

**Analysis of Gravity:**

The Part of the Bouguer gravity map of India Shield (Singh et al., 2003,2004; Keshawamani, 1996, 1999; Appa Rao, 1995; Ramdass et al., 2003, 2006; Mishra, 2011), on 1:100,000 scale is presented in Fig 2, between longitude 73°30’ E to 78° 30’ E and latitude 12°N to 17°N. Interpretation of the Bouguer gravity data of South India correlating all the geologically provinces has been carried out by many workers, in particular Subrahmanyam (1978),

New gravity investigations were carried out between the 73°30’ E - 78° 30’E Longitudes and 12° N-17°N Latitudes in the Dharwarcraton from qualitative analysis (Bouguer gravity) several faults/lineaments are determined (Bhagya and Ramadass, 2016). The gravity over the Dharwar Craton has range of -20 mGal to -130 mGal near Hassan it is characterized by conspicuous highs and lows alternating and trending NE-SE direction. The gravity high (positive) in most cases are invariably with greenstone belts, while gravity lows (negative) optional occur over granite outcrops and younger granites. The Dharwar, Shimoga, Bababudan (BN) belts is a wider and shallower basin; it is representing in Bouguer anomaly.
Further, north of the study area Deccan Volcanic (DVP) Province (Bhagya and Ramadass 2014) also brought out the structural configuration of the region. Broadly all lineaments appear to follow the preferred direction NW-SE, NS and NE-SW, the major structural features over the NW-SE Western Ghats (F3) and Kurdwar low (L7) was identified.

The Quantitative inversion modeling of the Bouguer gravity data in the Dharwar Craton area was to structural configuration of the area from the inversion of 11-West-East profiles parallel to 12° to 17°E Traverse T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, and T11 at an interval of every half degree and separated from each other by a North-South direction of 55 km running from South to North digitized from the Bouguer Gravity map Fig (2).

**Figure 2:** Bouguer map of the Study Area (After Sathish, et al., 2015)

Modeling with Bouguer Gravity Regional:-
As the regional anomalies are important for understanding the deep crustal configuration of the earth’s crust, the first step was to separate the regional component of the Bouguer gravity signal, the same was isolated from the gravity signal. While various techniques are available for the separation of the long wavelength (regional) and short wavelength (residual) components of the observed signal (Agocs, 1951 and Agarwal and Sivaji, 1992), Polynomial fitting (Lowerie, 1997) is a relatively straightforward and commonly used method that allows for a judicious mix of bias-free mathematical analysis and ground geology.

Since with increasing order of the assumed curve approaches the original set of observations, for optimal fit the appropriate order polynomial has to be selected for the 5th order polynomial fit to the observed Bouguer gravity...
along the Traverse from T1 to T11 (along 12° to 17°) in the Dharwar Craton, the 5th order polynomial was founded to be most representative of the expected regional and was utilized to explain features of deep-seated significance as detailed in the following paragraphs.

A 4-layer models was assumed for crustal configuration down to the Moho- a top layer of peninsular gneiss that forms the basement to the supra-crustal , the upper crustal layer, deeper crustal layer bounded at its lower end by the Moho. While the supra crustal within the host peninsular gneisses are broadly identified from the known geology. The corresponding densities of deep seated formations are assumed by the earlier studies (Ramadass.et.al.,2006), are 2.67gm/cc,2.72gm/cc,2.85gm/cc and 3.3gm/cc respectively. and used as a base to constrain to the present gravity modeling through GMSYS (2010) Software. The best fit between observed and computed anomaly profiles for the gravity data was obtained by interactively modifying the configuration of the assumed layers. The least square error between the observed and computed profiles was 1.5% for gravity.

**Travers 1:** This traverse (Figure.3) runs from west to east along Latitude-12° is approximately 380 km in length. The Regional Bouguer Gravity anomaly varies from a maximum value of -52.05 mGal in the western part of and a minimum value of -92.65 mGal in the eastern part, based on gravity and horizontal gradient maxima and minima faults are identified (figure 3a) faults F1 and one small fault F2 are located at the 33.58 km and 152.89 km, similarly Faults F3 and F4 are traced at 293.93 Km and 366.73 Km. The crustal section figure (3d) along this profile Peninsular thickness is varying from 8.8 km, to 12.4 km. Upper crustal a thickness is varying 19.74 km to 22.61 km and Deeper crustal thickness is varying 31.52 km, to 36.9 km. The upper and deeper crustal layers are fairly uniform in configuration. The upper crustal layer is thicker on the western side while the deeper crustal layer is thicker on the eastern side. The Moho occurs at depths between 31km to 37 km.

**Figure 3:**-(a) Horizontal gravity (5th polynomial) Gradient, (b) Regional and Residual separation (5th order polynomial), (c) Inversion of Regional Bouguer gravity (5th order polynomial) along the Traverses-T1, (d) Inferred Structural Configuration along the Traverses-T1 (Latitude-12°)
**Travers-2:** Traverse-2 which runs (Figure.4d ) runs from west to east along Latitude-12°.30' is approximately 414km in length exhibiting regional gravity figure.4(c) varies from 43.92m Gal to -92.85 mGals. The crustal section along this profile is for the major part marked by gentle undulations. The topmost layer comprising younger granites is exposed at surface almost completely, where the higher density peninsular gneissic layer, is exposed to shallow depth. As compared to the deeper layers, these layers have an irregular shape. The peninsular gneissic (P.G) have a variable thickness ranging from 0 to10 km.

![Horizontal gravity (5th polynomial) Gradient](image1)

![Regional Gravity (5th order polynomial fit)](image2)

![Inversion of Regional Bouguer gravity anomaly (5th order polynomial fit)](image3)

![Inferred Structural Configuration along the Traverses-T2 (Latitude-12°30')] (image4)

**Figure 4:** (a) Horizontal gravity (5th polynomial) Gradient, (b) Regional and Residual separation( 5th order polynomial), (c) Inversion of Regional Bouguer gravity (5th order polynomial) along the Traverses-T2, (d) Inferred Structural Configuration along the Traverses-T2 (Latitude-12°30').

There are three dipping faults i.e F1,F2 and F3 is figure.4(a) located at is indicated at the 84.10 km, 318.16 Km and 397.17 Km which is marked by steep gradient all the underline layers which were infer from qualitative analysis (Figure. 4d ). Peninsulargneissic thickness is minimum 8.12 km, maximum 14.44 km. The under lying upper crustal and deeper crustal layers have gently undulating topography, with thickness ranges from 18.75-25.48 km and 32.71-39 km respectively.

**Travers 3:** This traverse (Figure.5 ) is 424 km , runs through the Hassan, Bangalore and near Kolar villages from west to east along Latitude-13° is approximately 424km in length .From figure (5d ) it is seen that the Peninsular gneissic layer shows gentle undulations and greater variation in thickness than the layers the beneath. The gravity lows along the traverse are observed, might be characterized by greater thickness .
The gravity high on the profile are seen at the western side, are associated with both, thinning of the peninsular gneissic layer as well as corresponding upwarps of the relatively higher density Upper and deeper crustal layers and the Moho. There are four faults i.e F1, F2, F3 and F4 demarcated figure.5 (a) at 47.19Km, 209.33 Km, 337.85km and 471.45 Km have Peninsular gneissic thickness is varying from 4.5-10.8 km, Upper crustal a thickness is 14.02 to 22.96 km and Deeper crustal thickness is 30.83-37.08 km respectively.

**Travers 4:-** This traverse (Figure.6d) runs from west to east along Latitude-13°30' near North of Timkur village is approximately 429km in length. The regional bouguer gravity anomaly along this figure.6(c) traverse exhibits a range of maximum value of -60.3288 mGal and Minimum Value -108.034 m.Gals. Four faults there are F1, F2, F3 and F4 figure.6(a) inferred at 62.82 Km, 214.93 Km, 334.02 Km and 411.26 Km corresponding depths is the corresponding crustual configuration is peninsulargneissic layer is figure.6(d) varies from maximum 6.33-12.37 km, Upper crustal is 18.14 to 24.19 km and deeper crustal layer varying from 35.40 – 39.06 km.
Figure 6: (a) Horizontal gravity (5th polynomial) Gradient, (b) Regional and Residual separation (5th order polynomial), (c) Inversion of Regional Bouguer gravity (5th order polynomial) along the Traverses-T4, (d) Inferred Structural Configuration along the Traverses-T4 (Latitude-13°30’).

Travers 5: This traverse (Figure 7d) running through the Bhatkal and north of the Simoga and Hindupur villages from west to east along Latitude-14° is approximately 424km in length shows anomaly range of maximum value of -72.95 mGal and Minimum Value -103.02 m.Gals figure.7(c). Figure 7(a) there are four faults traced Gradients faults F1, F2, F3 and F4 is marked at 55.65 Km, 205.40 Km, 360.46 Km and 420.60 Km corresponding depths of faults points. While the Baba group evident between the 205.40km to 360.46 Km Figure.7(d).
Crustal configuration along this traverse upwelling in the moho are discernible, the up warps in the Upper crustal layer are more pronounced. The Peninsular gneissic thickness is varies from to 6.33 km- 13.12 km, Upper crustal and deeper crustal layers thickness varies between is 18.87- 24.19 km and Deeper crustal thickness is 34.92 km -39.60 km.

Travers 6:- This traverse (Figure.8d) running north of Devangere and Darmavarum villages from west to east along Latitude-14°30' is approximately 460km in length. The regional Bouguer gravity anomaly figure.8(c) along this traverse exhibits a range of maximum value of -66.67 mGal and Minimum Value -84.11 mGals. There are two dipping faults i.e F2 (BN) and F3 (CG) and two small faults F1 and F4 is indicated at the 239.33 km and 350.55 km and shallow depth faults located at 115.48 km and 440 km which is marked by all the underline layers which were infer from qualitative analysis (Figure.8a ). Peninsulargneissic thickness is minimum 6.15 km, maximum 11.32 km, Upper crustal a thickness is minimum 16.87 km, maximum 21.14 km and Deeper crustal thickness is minimum 34.53 km, maximum 36.83 km Figure.8(d).
Travers 7:- This traverse (Figure.9d) runs from west to east along Latitude-15° is approximately 513km in length the crustal section along this profile is for the major part marked by gentle undulations. The regional bouguer gravity anomaly figure.9 (c) along this traverse exhibits a range of maximum value of -34.57 mGal and Minimum Value -91.37 m.Gals. There are three dipping faults i.e F1, F2 (BN) and F3 (CG) are figure. located at the corresponding depths at 20.25 Km, 198.89 Km, 361.03 Km and one small fault 490 km shallow depth fault which is marked by all the underline layers which were infer from qualitative analysis (Figure. 9a ).
Figure 9:- (a) Horizontal gravity (5\textsuperscript{th} polynomial) Gradient, (b) Regional and Residual separation (5\textsuperscript{th} order polynomial), (c) Inversion of Regional Bouguer gravity (5\textsuperscript{th} order polynomial) along the Traverses-T7, (d) Inferred Structural Configuration along the Traverses-T7 (Latitude-15\textdegree)

Peninsular gneissic thickness is minimum 8.29 km, maximum 15.12 km, Upper crustal a thickness is minimum 22.41 km, maximum 25.41 km and Deeper crustal thickness is minimum 35.12 km, maximum 38.23 km figure.9d.

Travers-8: This traverse (Figure.10 d) running near Panjim, Hubli, Gadag and Londa villages from west to east along Latitude-15\textdegree30' is approximately 528km in length is north to the travers-7. The regional bouguer gravity anomaly along this traverse exhibits a range of maximum value of -27.97 mGal and Minimum Value -93.72 m.Gals figure.10(c). There are three dipping faults i.e F1, F2 (BN) and F3 (CG) located figure.10 (a) at the 38.70 km, 198 km and 399.38Km . Peninsular gneissic layer thickness is minimum 6.17 km, maximum 8.34 km, Upper crustal a thickness is minimum 15.49 km, maximum 21.38 km and Deeper crustal thickness is minimum 35.12 km, maximum 38.59 km figure.10 d.
Figure 10: (a) Horizontal gravity (5th polynomial) Gradient, (b) Regional and Residual separation (5th order polynomial), (c) Inversion of Regional Bouguer gravity (5th order polynomial) along the Traverses-T8, (d) Inferred Structural Configuration along the Traverses-T8 (Latitude-15°30’).

Travers 9: This traverse (Figure.11 d) running near to Belgum from west to east along Latitude-16° is approximately 554km in length. The regional Bouguer gravity anomaly figure.11(c) along this traverse exhibits a range of maximum value of -48.29 mGal and Minimum Value -94.38 m.Gals.
There are two dipping faults i.e F2(BN) and F3 (CG) and two local faults F1 and F4 and there corresponding located at 295.88 km and 457.41 km and local faults at 93.56 km and 541.37 km, which is marked by all the underline layers which were infer from qualitative analysis (Figure. 11 a ). Peninsular gneissic layer thickness is minimum 3.24 km, maximum 11.47 km, Upper crustal a thickness is minimum 15.80 km, maximum 20.49 km and Deeper crustal thickness is minimum 32.49 km, maximum 35.97 km Figure.11 d.

Travers-10: This traverse (Figure.12 d) running near Talikota from west to east along Latitude-16°30’ is approximately 570km in length. The regional Bouguer gravity anomaly figure.12 (c) along this traverse exhibits a range of maximum value of -35.65 mGal and Minimum Value -90.75 m.Gals. There are one dipping fault i.e F2(BN) is indicated at the 251km and two local faults F1 and F3 local faults at 45.15km and 535.60 km figure.12(a). Peninsular gneissic layer thickness is minimum 4.43 km, maximum 9.77 km, Upper crustal a thickness is minimum 17.16 km, maximum 22.49 km and Deeper crustal thickness is minimum 33.60 km, maximum 38.35 km figure.12d.
Figure 12: (a) Horizontal gravity (5th polynomial) Gradient, (b) Regional and Residual separation (5th order polynomial), (c) Inversion of Regional Bouguer gravity (5th order polynomial) along the Traverses-T10, (d) Inferred Structural Configuration along the Traverses-T10 (Latitude-16°30’).

Travers 11: This traverse (Figure.13.d) running through the Ratnagiri from west to east along Latitude-17° is approximately 583km in length. The regional bouguer gravity anomaly figure.13(c) along this traverse exhibits a range of maximum value of -8.92 mGal and Minimum Value -98.46 m.Gals.
There are four dipping faults i.e F1, F2, F3 and F4 is figure 13(a) indicated at the 28.55km, 186.84 km, 406.24 km and 57.13 Km. Peninsular gneissic layer thickness is minimum 3.06 km, maximum 11.79 km, Upper crustal a thickness is minimum 17.16 km, maximum 21.25 km and Deeper crustal thickness is minimum 34.47 km, maximum 37.68 km figure 13(d). Thus obtained results are tabulated in Table 1.

The deep crustal configuration obtained from modeling of regional Bouguer gravity (5th polynomial) along the traverse T1 to T11 presented in Fig.14., together to view the two dimensional representation for understanding the nature of the tectonics of the Dharwarcraton. It is evident from this the variation in layer thickness is greatest for the uppermost peninsular gneissic layer that ranges in thickness from 0 km at the western end to nearly 26 km near Dharwar. In contrast, the upper and deeper crustal layers are comparatively more uniform in thickness, with the top and bottom surfaces of the layers varying between 2-7 km and 15-32 km respectively. Upwarps in the Moho are associated with a marked decrease in the thickness of the peninsular gneissic layer while the maximum thickness of the layer is correspondingly associated with a downwarp in the Moho.
The maximum gradients (upwarps and downwarps) in the configuration of the upper and deeper crustal layers that have a corresponding expression in the Moho are attributed to faults of crustal dimensions. Thus, four deep-seated faults (Fig. 3) extending down to the Moho (Ramadass et al., 2006; Himabindu and Ramadass, 2003; Himabindu and Ramadass, 2004), are inferred from horizontal gradient analysis. The fault F1 at stations (33.58-T1, 84.10-T2, 47.19-T3, 62.82-T4, 55.65-T5, 115.48-T6, 20.25-T7, 38.70-T8, 93.56-T9, 45.15-T10 & 28.55-T11), western part of the study region and separates the Upper Dharwar sediments from the younger granites in the region. The second fault FII at stations (152.89-T1, 318.16-T2, 209.33-T3, 214.93-T4, 205.40-T5, 239.33-T6, 198.89-T7, 198.29-T8, 295.88-T9, 251.94-T10 & 186.84-T11) corresponds to the Bababudan – Nallur shear. The third fault FIII at stations (293.93-T1, 397.17-T2, 337.85-T3, 334.02-T4, 360.46-T5, 350.55-T6, 361.03-T7, 399.38-T8, 457.41-T9, 535.60-T10 & 406.24-T11) is along the western margin of the Closepet batholith and the last FIV at stations (366.73-T1-T2, 417.45-T3, 411.26-T4, 420.60-T5, 440.04-T6, 490.14-T7, 541.37-T9 & 557.13-T11) lies east of the younger granite batholith that encompasses the eastern part. Faults F1 and FIII are parallel to each other. Similarly, faults FII and FIV are also nearly parallel to each other, and dip to the west. These faults are associated with throws ranging from 3 to 6 km. Thus, broadly, three tectonic blocks are evident in the craton: the western W (west of fault F1), the intervening I (between faults FII and FIII) and the eastern E (east of FIII) blocks.

Table 1:- Crustal configuration of the Dharwar Craton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traverses No (Degrees)</th>
<th>Depth to top of layer</th>
<th>Western Dharwar Block (WDB) Depth in Km</th>
<th>Intervening Block(IB) Depth in Km</th>
<th>Eastern Dharwar Block (EDB) Depth in Km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-1 Latitude -12°</td>
<td>Fault Location Peninsular Gneissis Upper Crustal Layer Deeper Crustal Layer(Moho) Average Crustal thickness</td>
<td>33.58 (F1) 9.80-11.88 21.28-21.93 31.52-34.32 32.98</td>
<td>152.89 (FII) 8.50-11.32 20.93-21.58 33.87-35.55 34.7125</td>
<td>293.93 (FIII) 8.82-10.62 20.24-21.46 33.35-35.07 34.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-2 Latitude -12°30</td>
<td>Fault Location Peninsular Gneissis Upper Crustal Layer Deeper Crustal Layer(Moho) Average Crustal thickness</td>
<td>84.10 (F1) 8.12-8.58 18.75-21.61 32.71-36.03 34.37</td>
<td>318.16 (FII) 8.53-11.40 20.80-23.09 36.03-37.49 36.76</td>
<td>397.17 (FIII) 11.40-14.44 23.09-25.48 35.76-35.95 35.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-3 Latitude -13°</td>
<td>Fault Location Peninsular Gneissis Upper Crustal Layer Deeper Crustal Layer(Moho) Average Crustal thickness</td>
<td>47.19 (F1) 4.50-5.64 14.02-15.44 30.83-36.65 33.74</td>
<td>209.33 (FII) 4.50-7.26 15.12-19.52 36.15-37.08 36.62</td>
<td>337.85 (FIII) 5.86-8.47 16.13-19.98 33.81-35.56 34.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-4 Latitude -13°30</td>
<td>Fault Location Peninsular Gneissis Upper Crustal Layer Deeper Crustal</td>
<td>62.82 (F1) 6.33-8.22 18.14-21.56</td>
<td>214.93 (FII) 7.64-10.82</td>
<td>334.02 (FIII) 8.18-12.37 18.80-24.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer(Moho) Average Crustal thickness</td>
<td>Fault Location Peninsular Gneissis Upper Crustal Layer Deeper Crustal Layer(Moho) Average Crustal thickness</td>
<td>21.15-24.10</td>
<td>35.40-36</td>
<td>19.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.03-38.57 38.3</td>
<td>55.65 (FI) 6.11-8.22 18.88-20.10 36.29-38.12 37.21</td>
<td>205.40 (FI) 6.11-9.48 18.87-21.49 37.36-39.60 38.48</td>
<td>360.46 (FI) 6.97-12.04 20.80-23.93 34.92-36.08 35.5</td>
<td>420.60 (FI) 6.11-9.94 18.81-19.59 34.53-35.08 34.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-5 Latitude- 14°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.54 23.86 18.52 31.04 32.18</td>
<td>115.48 (FI) 6.15-8.51 16.89-18.40 34.94-36.17 35.56</td>
<td>350.55 (FI) 6.76-7.81 16.87-19.60 34.54-36.83 35.69</td>
<td>440.04 (FI) 6.44-7.99 18.81-19.59 34.81</td>
<td>10.69-11.32 18.67-21.08 34.53-35.86 35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-6 Latitude - 14°30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-7 Latitude - 15°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.89 21.75 15.72 34.24 33.83</td>
<td>38.70 (FI) 7.65-9.56 20.26-20.49 35.31-36.97 36.14</td>
<td>399.38 (FI) 6.17-8.34 18.73-21.38 36.32</td>
<td>8.34-8.61 15.49-16.49 35.79-38.59 37.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-8 Latitude - 15°30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.89 21.34 16.38 34.95 33.57</td>
<td>93.56 (FI) 3.72-6.20 15.80-17.52 32.49-35.96 34.23</td>
<td>457.41 (FI) 9.27-11.26 18.74-20.22 34.51-35.63 35.</td>
<td>34.71-35.48 34.35-35.18 35.09-34.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-9 Latitude - 16°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.89 21.13 15.84 34.56 33.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.03-38.57 38.3</td>
<td>55.65 (FI) 6.11-8.22 18.88-20.10 36.29-38.12 37.21</td>
<td>205.40 (FI) 6.11-9.48 18.87-21.49 37.36-39.60 38.48</td>
<td>360.46 (FI) 6.97-12.04 20.80-23.93 34.92-36.08 35.5</td>
<td>420.60 (FI) 6.11-9.94 18.81-19.59 34.53-35.08 34.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-5 Latitude- 14°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.54 23.86 18.52 31.04 32.18</td>
<td>115.48 (FI) 6.15-8.51 16.89-18.40 34.94-36.17 35.56</td>
<td>350.55 (FI) 6.76-7.81 16.87-19.60 34.54-36.83 35.69</td>
<td>440.04 (FI) 6.44-7.99 18.81-19.59 34.81</td>
<td>10.69-11.32 18.67-21.08 34.53-35.86 35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-6 Latitude - 14°30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-7 Latitude - 15°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.89 21.75 15.72 34.24 33.83</td>
<td>38.70 (FI) 7.65-9.56 20.26-20.49 35.31-36.97 36.14</td>
<td>399.38 (FI) 6.17-8.34 18.73-21.38 36.32</td>
<td>8.34-8.61 15.49-16.49 35.79-38.59 37.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-8 Latitude - 15°30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.89 21.34 16.38 34.95 33.57</td>
<td>93.56 (FI) 3.72-6.20 15.80-17.52 32.49-35.96 34.23</td>
<td>457.41 (FI) 9.27-11.26 18.74-20.22 34.51-35.63 35.</td>
<td>34.71-35.48 34.35-35.18 35.09-34.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-9 Latitude - 16°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.89 21.13 15.84 34.56 33.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-10</td>
<td>Fault Location</td>
<td>Peninsular Gneissis</td>
<td>Upper Crustal Layer</td>
<td>Deeper Crustal Layer (Moho)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>16°30</td>
<td>45.15 (F1)</td>
<td>7.41-9.30</td>
<td>21.28-21.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>251.94 (FII)</td>
<td>7.21-9.77</td>
<td>18.89-22.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>535.60 (FIII)</td>
<td>6.59-9.49</td>
<td>17.70-20.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(East of FIII)</td>
<td>4.43-7.98</td>
<td>17.16-18.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverses-11</td>
<td>Fault Location</td>
<td>28.55 (F1)</td>
<td>3.06-8.84</td>
<td>16.89-20.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>17°</td>
<td>186.84 (FII)</td>
<td>9.50-10.74</td>
<td>19.86-21.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>406.24 (FIII)</td>
<td>9.81-11.79</td>
<td>19.86-21.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>557.13 (FIV)</td>
<td>8.70-10.98</td>
<td>18.87-18.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(FIV)</td>
<td>8.70-10.98</td>
<td>18.87-18.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: Schematic diagram showing the subsurface crustal configuration (2-D view) along Traverses T1 to T11 of the Dharwar Craton.
Dharwar Craton: A Discussion:

The crustal configuration of the Dharwar craton as drawn from the analysis of the 5th polynomial regional Bouguer gravity analysis along Traverse T1 to T11 (Parallel to latitude 12° to 17°) was delineated down to the Moho. The tectonic differentiation has been elucidated on the four layered earth. The four layers as mentioned above consist of:

- Peninsular Gneisses
- Upper Crust
- Deeper Crust
- Moho

Figure 15:- (a) Schematic diagram showing the evolution of the Dharwar craton along the Traverses T1 to T11 with horizontal gradients and location Faults F1 to FIV.

(b) Schematic diagram showing the tectonic classification of Dharwar craton into Western Dharwar craton (WDC), Intervening Block (IB) and Eastern Dharwar Craton.
a top layer of peninsular gneiss (2.67 gm/cc) that forms the basement to the supracrustals, upper crustal layer (2.72 gm/cc) and deep crustal layer (2.85 gm/cc) bounded at its lower end by the Moho (3.3 gm/cc), the layer beneath which constituted the fourth layer.

The basic interpretation guideline is that undulations in the Moho and upwarps/downwarps in the deeper and upper crustal layers correspond to deep seated faults. Four deep seated faults extending down to the Moho were inferred along the traverse T1 to T11 (latitudes 12° to 17°) running (Fig. 15a) west to east, Which facilitate the tectonic classification feature of the Dharwar Craton in to the Western Block, Intervening Block and Eastern Dharwar craton Block (Fig 15b).

There is divergence of opinion on the boundary between the western and eastern dharwar. This is variously been considered as the clopet granite batholiths (Naqvi and Rogers, 1987), shear zone west of the clopet granite) Drury and Holt, 1980 and Drury et al, 1984, Charwick et al (2000), and as an upthrust zone along the Chitradurga boundary thrust fault (Kaila et al,1979). Reddy et al (2000), revaluated the craton with seismic wave velocities and suggested that the boundary between the eastern and western Dharwar cratons could be further west of the clopet granite, along the eastern margin of the Chitradurga schist belt.

However, there is reason to define the eastern and western blocks a little differently. Since Intervening block seems to be up thrust, a structure that can only be explained by up warping and consequent faulting at contacts arising due to a geo anticline structures (Narayanaswamy et al, 1970), it is proposed that this intervening block (between F-II to F-III), rather than any linear feature marks the boundary between the eastern and western blocks. Strengthen the hypothesis are the dips and throws of the deep seated faults (F-I is parallel to FIII and F-II to F-IV), which suggests build up stresses along the two different directions. While, between F-I to FII are down thrown blocks, west of F-I and the Intervening Block are the up thrown blocks. The observed throws for the faulted blocks are 5 km along F-I, 6km along F-II, along F-III and 5 km along F-IV. (TABLE-I)

Several plate tectonic models have been proposed for the evolution of the Dharwar craton, Naqvi, Manikyamba and associated of NGRI (Naqvi,2005), Kaila et al (1979) believed the Chitradurga thrust boundary to be the boundary between the eastern and western Dharwars, Chadwick et al (1989 & 1992) suggest that the lower and upper Chitradurga subgroups indicate an active continental margin or micro continental arc while Radhakrishna and Naqvi (1986,1985) suggest that the linear Chitardurga belt is possibly an Achaean suture generated as a result of collision tectonics and closure of oceanic basin between the juvenile continental blocks. However it is felt that this is extremely unlikely as the CT is not a deep seated fault, extending as it does only to the gneissic basement.

A similar tectonic configuration drawn from an analysis of the 5th order polynomial fit for regional gravity along the transect was reported earlier (Ramadass et al, 2006, Himabindu and Ramadass, 2004). Results of radiometric studies in the western and central parts of the transect (Himabindu and Ramadass, 2003) have also corroborated inferences drawn from gravity studies.

A three fold classification as indicated in the above section Figure-15b instead of the currently accepted two fold classification. The crustal thickness in Block Intervening block is perceptibly less than Blocks Western and Eastern Dharwr Bloocks along the traverses from T2 to T9. Such a configuration can only be explained by up warping and consequent faulting at contacts arising due to a geo-anticline structure. Therefore, it is proposed that this up thrown intervening block (IB between faults FII and FIII), rather than any linear feature, marks the boundary between the eastern and Dharwar blocks. It extends (on its eastern side) to the eastern margin of the Closepet batholith. The significantly lesser crustal thickness in block IB as compared to EDC and WDC can be explained by a geo-anticlinal structure with upwarping and consequent faulting. Therefore, we propose that this upthrown intervening block I (between faults FII and FIII), rather than any linear fature, marks the boundary between the eastern and western blocks.

The crustal thickness for each of the tectonic sub-blocks identified, viz., west of fault FIII, in between (FIII-FIV), (FIV-FV), (FV-FVI) and (East of FV). The corresponding average crustal thickness for the western block WDC is 39 km. On the other hand, the maximum crustal thickness for the eastern Dharwar block EDC is 37 km. The crustal thickness beneath the Chitradurga thrust, which lies roughly in the middle of the intervening block IB is about 34 km. More recent studies by Jayanda et al (2013) and Sunder Raju (2014) revealed that present Intervening block in between Western and Eastern Dharwar block called as a Central Dharwar block. Mohan et al (2013) support this
model of Jayanandha et al (2013) and stress the importance of a convergent margin tectonic setting for the Eastern Dharwar craton, the importance of subduction process and supduction arc settings. Western Dharwar Craton (WDC), Eastern Dharwar Craton (EDC) and Intervening Blocks, these results are comparable with those obtained from magneto Telluric studies (Gokarn et al., 1998,2004), Tomography studies (Srinagesh and Roy et al., 1996) and DSS by Reddy et al.,2000 and Gravity studies (Ramadass et al., 2006,2007) are correspond fairly well this results.

The present configuration of the Western Dharwar craton (WDC) is located west of Intervening block and is bound to the Eastern Dharwar block, to the west by the Arabian Sea, and to the south by a transition into the so called Southern Granulite terrain. The reaming boundary to the north is buried under younger sediments and the Cretaceous Deccan traps.

Conclusions:
Results of modeling of 5th order polynomial gravity and magnetic data along traverse-T1 to T11 approximately E-W trending, Dharwar craton indicate the presence of deep-seated faults that warrant a modified tectonic classification of the craton. Four deep-seated faults are inferred based on crustal upwellings/downwarps in the inferred crustal section. These faults coincide with the fault separating the Upper Dharwar sediments from the younger granites (F_I), the Bababudan-Nallur shear (F_II), the western margin of the Closepet batholith (F_III) and the fault associated with a crustal upwarp below the east of (F_IV).fault.
1. These faults suggest a modified tectonic classification of the Dharwar craton. Accordingly, we have inferred a Western Dharwar Craton (WDC) bounded on its east by F_II and an Eastern Dharwar Craton (EDC) bounded on its west by F_III, with an Intervening block (IB) between F_II and F_III.
2. This tectonic classification runs contrary to the current hypotheses, which generally postulate only two sub blocks of the craton – the eastern Dharwar craton and the western Dharwar craton.
3. The Chitradurga fault, hitherto believed to divide the Dharwar craton into the eastern and western blocks, is inferred to extend only to the gneissic basement rather than being deep seated.
4. The average crustal thickness for the Western Dharwar craton (WDC) is 39 km, while for the Eastern Dharwar Crton (EDC) is 37 km and Intervening block (IB) is 34 Km.
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