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Introduction:
The term odontoma was first used by Broca in 1866, who defined it as a tumor constituted by tooth-forming tissues laid down with variable degrees of organization and mineralization. Odontomas are considered as hamartomas of aborted tooth formation rather than true neoplasms. They are composed of enamel, dentin, cementum and occasionally pulp tissue. Their pathogenesis is unclear and has been proposed to be associated with trauma during primary dentition, hereditary anomalies (Gardner's syndrome and basal cell nevus syndrome), odontoblastic hyperactivity or alterations of the genetics components responsible for controlling dental development. According to the latest World Health Organization (WHO, 2005) classification of odontogenic tumors, there are two main types of odontoma, composite and complex. Odontomas have also been classified as central or intraosseousodontoma (occur inside the bone), peripheral odontoma and erupted odontoma, that is quite rare.

This article reports a rare presentation of a large erupted complex odontoma involving the right maxillary sinus treated surgically. It reviews also surgical access correlated with the removal of odontoma from the maxilla.

Case report
In October 2016, a 21-year-old female was referred to Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, for swelling in the right maxillary vestibule extending to the right eye. The patient was complaining of halitosis and pain irradiated in right cheek. At the intraoral examination the patient showed a firm swelling extending from the maxillary tuberosity up to the region of infraorbital nerve, no deficit of sensitivity of the upper lip and cheek was present. The right upper second premolar and the first molar were mobile. The upper right second molar wasn't erupted and in its area there was an ulceration of oral mucosa with exposure of a greyish mass resembling bone or tooth exposed since long time in the oral cavity. At the extra oral examination a limited swelling in the right cheek was noticed and some submandibular nodes were enlarged probably due to the chronic inflammation. Panoramic radiographs revealed a solid radiopaque mass involving the right alveolar ridge, maxillary sinus, extending to the orbit (fig. 1). Axial and coronal reconstructed computed tomography images revealed a hyperdense mass extending from the alveolar ridge to the orbital floor involving the upper right second molar. The orbital floor was affected by the mass and in its anterior part there was a limited resorption (fig. 2A-2B). The differential diagnosis for the lesion includes complex odontoma, calcifying odontogenic cyst, calcifying odontogenic tumor, ameloblastic fibroma, fibro-odontoma, and fibro-osseous lesion. An incisional biopsy was performed with result of chronic osteomyelitis.

Patient was scheduled for excisional biopsy on general anesthesia. One hour prior to surgery patient was given
dexamethasone 8 mg intravenous (IV) and clindamycin 900mg IV, extraoral and intraoral antisepsis was performed with polyvinylpyrrolidone-iodine 2.0% and chlorhexidine 0.12% rinse for 1 min, respectively. The operation was performed with the patient under hypotensive general anesthesia. The surgical procedure was initiated with an intrasacular incision, from the maxillary right first incisor until the first molar and continued on the maxillary tuberosity. A relaxing incision was performed on the mesial of the incisor to allow themucoperiosteal flap to raise and expose the mass. In some parts the anterior surface of the maxillary bone was resorbed by the mass (fig. 3) and a complete surgical exposure was obtained with a round bur, the lesion was to big to excise in one block, so intraoperative decision was taken to divide the mass in multiple blocks till its complete removal (fig. 4). Once the mass was fully removed, a maxillary sinus exploration with endoscopic fiber optic to assess the orbital floor, that was intact and no defect was noticed. All the blocks removed were submitted for histopathological examination in formalin. After mass removal, the bony border of the surgical cavity were totally smoothened and a large communication between maxillary sinus and oral cavity was present, so harvested and translated the buccal fat pad flap to close the deepest layer of the wound close to the maxillary tuberosity (fig. 5), the fat flap is sutured to the anterior wall of the maxillary sinus and to the palatal side to the mucosa (fig. 6), the mucosal flap was then repositioned and closed with interrupted stitches (fig. 7). Subject was prescribed analgesics paracetamol 1000mg every 8 hours for 7 days, clindamycin 600mg IV every 8 hours for 5 days. To the patient were taught sinonasal precautions for 2 weeks in order to avoid reopening of the oro antral fistula. The area healed uneventfully. Histopathological examination of the excised mass showed irregularly arranged dental hard tissues with areas of cell rich pulp tissue. Clear spaces and clefts representing the mature enamel that is lost in the process of decalcification are often seen confirming the diagnosis of a complex odontoma. After 2 years after the lesion removal, panoramic radiographic demonstrated partial occurrence of bone repair in the treated area and did not reveal any signs of recurrence (fig. 8).

Discussion:-
Odontomas are considered as hamartomas of aborted tooth formation rather than true neoplasms, they are characterized by slow growth and nonaggressive behaviour. The etiology of odontoma is not known but environmental causes such as infection, trauma, family history and genetic mutation are hypothesized. World Health Organization (WHO) has classified odontomas into three groups: complex odontoma, the calcified dental tissues are simply arranged in an irregular mass showing no morphological similarity to rudimentary teeth; compound odontoma, composed of all odontogenic tissues resulting in many teeth-like structures, but without morphological resemblance to normal teeth; ameloblastic fibro-odontoma, consists of varying amounts of calcified dental tissue and dental papilla-like tissue, mostly resembling an ameloblastic fibroma. The ameloblastic fibro-odontome is considered as an immature precursor of complex odontoma. Odontomas are also classified as intraosseous and extraosseous. The intraosseous odontomas occur inside the bone and occasionally may erupt into the oral cavity (eruptedodontome). The extraosseous, also called peripheral odontomas, are odontomas occurring in the soft tissue covering the tooth-bearing portions of the jaws and having a tendency to exfoliate. The odontoma constitute till 30% of all odontogenic tumors and the complex one is mostly found in the posterior mandible while the composite one in the anterior maxilla. In literature there have been isolated reports of odontomas affecting the maxillary sinus. A rare situation is when the odontoma erupts, i.e., when it becomes exposed through the soft tissues of the oral cavity. Rumel et al. in 1980 was the first who described the erupted odontoma. Since then only 30-40 cases were reported in the literature. The odontoma are seen with unerupted teeth in 10-44%. Females are interested/affected little more than male (1.5:1). Majority of cases (84%) are seen below 30 years but are seen in any age group with peak incidence in second decade. Most odontoma are asymptomatic and mostly are incidental findings on routine radiographs. Sometimes they can cause retention of deciduous teeth, unerupted permanent teeth, cortical expansion, neurosensory deficit and teeth mobility/displacement, they are all indicators for the presence of a possible odontoma. Few cases are reported in literature with odontoma associated to swelling, infection or lymphadenopathy. In our case the patient showed allitis, pain irradiated to the right cheek and mobile molars close to the odontoma, even thought there wasn’t neurosensory deficit. The radiographic characteristics of odontomas are mostly very useful to validate the diagnosis. The lesion consists of well-defined borders of a similar density to calcified dental tissue, this irregular radiodense mass is surrounded by a radiolucent halo, which usually represents an enlarged cystic follicle. In composite odontoma are seen multiple teeth-like structures of varying size and shape. Complex odontomas are seen as irregular radiodense masses with no resemblance to dental structures. Histologically, odontomas comprise varying amount of enamel, pulp tissue, enamel organ and cementum. The connective tissue capsule is similar to that of dental follicle. The management of the odontomas can be serial follow-up or surgical, with the last one more diffused. A removal
and surgical curettage is mandatory to remove entirely the mass. The treatment can be different if we consider the compound type compared to the complex one. The first is usually easily removed under local anesthesia with a small mucoperiosteal flap like removing impacted teeth. The surgical treatment of the second one can be very challenging related mostly to the size and the position of the odontoma, in particular for the ones close to the maxillary sinus and especially regarding the surgical access. Traditionally the surgical approach to remove benign tumor from the maxillary sinus is the trans-oral approach with Caldwell-Luc antrostomy through the antero-lateral wall of the maxillary sinus. It provides good surgical access with no cutaneous scar. By some authors has been advocated that this access could create a big defect in the anterior wall of the maxillary sinus with the risk of having soft tissue collapse and possible problems such as facial neurologic pain and numbness. These authors propose the maxillary lateral antrostomy with bone flap repositioning and fixation with a titanium plate to reduce the risk of having bony collapse restoring the anterolateral wall of the maxillary sinus immediately, but according to them this access can be used for medium size lesions, up to 3 cm and when the odontoma is not interesting the anterolateral wall itself with different possible degrees of bone resorption.

Korpi et al. in 2009 proposed the use of Le Fort I downfracture for removal of complex odontoma in the maxillary sinus and around its postero-lateral wall. They suggest that this approach decreases the risk of bony defects, thus preventing oroantral fistula formation, and reduces facial deformity. The maxilla can be repositioned in its original position with titanium miniplates and screws. According to our experience this technique can be considered too aggressive for benign pathology and especially can create some problems and less reproducibility and stability in the result when large portion of maxillary walls are resorbed due to the growing of odontoma.

Murphy et al. in 2014 described the use of an upper lip split incision in order to facilitate complete removal of the tumour and maintain the integrity of the orbital floor and allow safe dissection and release of the infraorbital nerve. By some other authors, including us, it’s not acceptable to split upper lip to eradicate benign pathology and especially is not useful to visualize the orbital floor, which will be visualized with other types of facial access even more extensive (like for example Weber-Ferghusson access).

Pitfalls and practical management

Compound odontoma can be removed easily with simple mucoperiosteal flap like impacted teeth. For the complex ones, the surgical procedure can be more difficult and complicated. Usually is required general anesthesia or IV sedation because of the proximity of maxillary sinus or other anatomical structures, and because the great retraction needed on lips and cheeks to get enough exposure. The use of a bite block can be suggested if the lesion is in the maxillary sinus but if it’s posterior is not indicated because it pushes forward the coronoid process of the mandible and as a effect there is a limited mouth opening. Instead it can be used a large trapezoidal mucoperiosteal flap from anterior to the maxillary tuberosity to have great surgical view. Operatory lamps are difficult to use in such a small surgical space so its better the use of a fiberoptic head lamp. During the dissection of the mucosal flap is mandatory don’t breach the periostum to avoid the erniation of the buccal fat pad inside the surgical field with increase of difficulty and operating time.

Once that the exposure of the anterolateral wall of the maxillary sinus is completed an electric drill, bur shaped, can be used to create an opening starting from the points where the bone is thinner due to the growing of the odontoma, we need to remind that the window has to be smaller than the odontoma and once gained a correct exposure can be possible approach the odontoma in two different ways: try to remove it in one piece, reserved for the smaller ones but it can be very difficult and destructive for the surrounding tissues, or instead can be removed piece by piece considering that it’s a benign pathology. Infact cutting the mass in small multiple pieces can have several advantages: less traumatic for the surrounding tissues, small bone window for the access to the maxillary sinus, less operative time because no need to expose all the mass before to start the removal procedure.

Another key-point is to save a certain amount of bone close to the maxillary tuberosity to increase the distal bone close to the most anterior tooth, this is possible if it’s not interested by the mass like unfortunately in our case. In this way we’ll be able to increase the prognosis of the last tooth with its roots surrounded by safe bone, at lest 1-2mm will be enough to allow bone healing and regeneration. After the removal of the odontoma, considering that the access window is often smaller than the size of odontoma, it can be useful to inspect the maxillary sinus and the cavity with the endoscopy to check for any residual mass left.

At the end of the surgical procedure if the odontoma was involving the maxillary sinus, it’s a frequent finding to
have a oro-antral communication and it can be managed with the help of the buccal fat pad flap. If the Bichat flap isn’t eradiated yet during the dissection, a simple incision of the peristeme close to the first and second molar area, associated to divaricating of the opening with a mosquito in smooth way and gently push forward from the cheek can prepare the buccal fat pad for its use to close the oroantral communication in a second layer deeper to the mucoperiosteal one.

**Conclusion:**

This case highlights a rare case of an erupting complex odontoma affecting the maxillary sinus and reviews the possible surgical access together to concerns and pitfalls for its management. The treatment of the odontoma is always surgical and considering the benign behavior special attention has to be considered when choosing the access for the surgery, it needs to be safe, with a reproducible result and asthetic.

**Fig 1:** Preoperative panoramic showing Giant odontoma

**Fig. 2A:** CT scan: axial cut, in the right maxillary sinus there is a well defined mass which caused erosion of the posterolateral wall of the maxillary sinus itself
Fig 2B: CT scan, sagittal cut: Entire right maxillary sinus is filled with the odontoma mass, extending from the tuber maxillae to the orbital floor.

Fig 3: Elevation of the mucoperiosteal flap appears that the odontoma has caused resorption of part of the lateral wall of the maxillary sinus.

Fig 4: Odontoma removed piecemeal: the biggest fragment is 2x2cm.
Fig 5: Post removal of the odontoma, a large oroantral communication exist, the buccal fad pad flap is prepared to be rotated to close in a double layer the wound.

Fig 6: The buccal fad pad flap has been sutured to the bone and to the soft tissue on the palatal side.
Fig 7:-Final view of the wound after double layer suturing has been done.

Fig 8:- Postoperative panoramic showing complete removal of odontoma.
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