

Journal homepage:http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Pain reducing Technique for rectus sheath closure in elective cesarean sections: randomized clinical trial

#### Drmostafa Abdulla Elsayed

Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gyencologydepartment IVF divisionPh D in Obstetrics and GynecologyBenha Faculty of MedicineQalubia districtCairo Egypt

Manuscript Info Abstract ..... ..... Manuscript History: **Objectives:** The aim of this study is to compare the effects of alternative techniques for closingrectus sheath on maternal postoperative tension pain, Received: 12 February 2015 early ambulation and breast feeding. Final Accepted: 25 March 2015 Materials and Methods: randomized controlled clinical trial conducted Published Online: April 2015 upon cases attendingBenha medical school, Obstetrics and Gynecology department, Egypt .Sample size determined byDaniel formula equals six Key words: patients.Patients undergoing elective Caesarean sections hundred Elective cesarean sections, pain weredivided in two groups of three hundred patients in each group. Group scores, rectus sheath closure one (classic closure group) in which the sheath closed continuously. In group two (the new technique) the rectus sheathclosure began in the midline by \*Corresponding Author separate sutures to the left angle of the sheath. The right side of the sheath ..... edge closed by separate sutures beginning at the midline and continued to the right angle then returned to the midline of the sheath and tied separately. The Drmostafa Abdulla two groups of patients were observed for the durationof surgery, post-Elsayed operative pain (evaluated by visual analogue scale of pain), early ambulation andearly breast feeding. Results: patients in group two (the new technique) had reported significant low postoperativepain on visual analogue scale of pain with P value equals 0.00001 in severe form of pain. Thezero score (no pain) was 10% in the new technique group versus 1.6% in the control groupwith P value equals 0.0001. Conclusion: Closing the rectus sheath in elective cesarean sections in two halves by separatestitches reduces pain scores and allows early ambulation and breast feeding in elective cesareansections. Copy Right, IJAR, 2015,. All rights reserved .....

## **INTRODUCTION**

Caesarean section is one of the most commonly performed abdominal operations on women in most countries of the world. Its rate has increased markedly in recent years, and is about 20–25%

of all child-births in most developed countries (1, 2).

The main goal of any surgical intervention is to close the cut layers anatomically without significant blood loss and toreduce the postoperative pain that allow for early recovery and ambulation.

Regional anesthesia significantly reduces postoperative pain, but most of pregnant females in

Egypt refuse regional anesthesia for the fear of postoperative back-pain and headache.

Most of cesarean sections in many centers in Egypt done under general anesthesia so significantpostoperative pain become a problem.

The present study was a step to reduce postoperative pain in cesarean sections done undergeneral anesthesia.

Because of the large number of women that undergo caesarean section, even small differences in

post-operative morbidity rates due to different techniques could translate into improved health

for a substantial number of women and significant savings of cost and health services resources. (3, 4, 5).

Closing the rectus sheath in cesarean sections by the continuous approach causes significant postoperative pain and delayed ambulation.

No comments in literature regarding the best way for closing the rectus sheath in cesarean sections.

## Materials and methods

Setting: Benha university hospital obstetrics and gynecology department.

**Study design:** randomized controlled clinical trial, randomization done by computer software DatInf Rand List version 1.2. The doctors doing the procedure received a closed envelope for the type of the sheath closure and the postoperative pain assessed by another resident.

# Eligibility criteria:

# Inclusion criteria

Only patients with a transverse incision during an elective were included in this study **Exclusion criteria** 

Patients with established or gestational diabetes, coagulation defects, hemodynamic instability, septicemia or chorioamnionitis were excluded. Patients on cytotoxic drugs or anticoagulants and those with hematological disorders or malignancy were also excluded from the study.

**Ethical approval**: the study approved by the ethical and scientific committee of Benha university hospital obstetrics and gynecology department and written informed consent was taken from all patients.

Sample size: calculated by Daniel formula

Sample Size = n / [1 + (n/population)] In which n = Z \* Z [P (1-P)/(D\*D)] Population Value = 800 Expected Frequency of the Factor under Study =95% Worst Acceptable Frequency = 85% P = Expected Frequency Value = 95% D = (Expected Frequency - Worst Acceptable) = 95% - 85% = 10% Z = 1.960 with a Confidence Level of 95% Sample Size = n / [1 + (n/population)] La mbick p = 7 Z [0 (L D) (D\*D)]

In which n = Z \* Z [P (1-P)/(D\*D)]

This study was conducted on six hundred patients undergoing primary caesarean section; these patients divided into two groups according to the rectus sheath closure:

## Group 1(classic continuous closure)

In this group the rectus sheath closure began by one angle and continued by running continuous sutures to the other angle in the classic way .it is my habit to make the first suture in the rectus sheath inverted and bites taken 1cm apart by vicrly sutures No (two zero 00).

The advantages of small diameter suture are:

1-non significant tension made upon the sheath and postoperative pain reduced to a minimum 2-the needle pass does not compromise significant arterial supply in the sheath so weakening of the sheath less than larger diameter sutures.

3-rolling of the sheath reduced to a minimum

## In group two (the new sheath closure)

Central sheath suture taken by vicryl sutures no 00 this offered the following advantages:

1-Approximation of the sheath edges without crushing by instruments for traction

2-closing from the centre to one side offers reduction of postoperative pain scores

The suturing continued to the left edge of the rectus sheath and then returned from the angle to be tied at the central part again. The right edge of the rectus sheath sutured by inverted suture at first to bury the knot centrally

continued at one cm interval till the right part of the rectus sheath then returned again by running way to be sutured at the midline again.(figure 1,2)



Figure 1 rectus sheath in the left side closed from the center then sutures returned from the edge to be tied at the center again.



Figure 2 the right side of the rectus sheath closed in a similar manner like right part.

Skin was stitched by subcuticular stitches with proline 2/0. Prophylactic antibiotics were given for 24 hours. All surgeries were performed by consultants or residents with at least two years of training.. All the surgeries were done under general anesthesia.

#### **Postoperative evaluation:**

All patients evaluated by residents in the first 2hours after delivery every 15 minutes

To allow a comparable assessment of pain, Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) was used with a 10 cm line labeled at '0' with 'no pain' and '10' with 'worst pain'. (figure 3) The line was marked at a point corresponding to the assessment of the pain. The distance of the mark from zero was measured .Data collected and evaluated by SPS (smith statistical packages).



Figure 3 visual analogue scale of pain (8).

## Results

The degree of pain was assessed on the basis of Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) with significant pain as more than 5/10. The data was analyzed on SSP. P value was calculated to be less than 0.05. Data was presented as proportion and percentages. Pain was significantly less in group 2 as shown in (table 1).

The early ambulation and early breast feeding were significantly better in group two as shown in (table2)

Table (1) Post-op	perative Visua	Analogue S	Scale of pain	scores in bot	n groups
1000(1)1000-0	for a light of the state	manugue	scale of pain	Scores in bou	i groups

item	Pain score	Group one(classic closure)	Group two(new technique)	P value
Pain score in the first two hours postoperative	No pain Mild Moderate severe	20(6.6%) 115(38.3%) 135(45%) 30(10%)	50(16.6%) 123(41%) 122(40.6%) 5(1.6%)	0.0001 0.5 0.2 0.00001

	Group one(classic group)	Group two(new technique)	P value
Early ambulation(first	210(70%)	250(83.3%)	0.0001
2hours			
Breast feeding(in first	150(50%)	200(66.6%)	0.00003
2hours)			

## Table (2) ambulation and breast feeding among groups

## Discussion

Cesarean section became an everyday procedure .reduction of postoperative pain in countrieslike Egypt who depends upon general anesthesia is an important step .This allowed earlyambulation, early breast feeding, and early intestinal movements.

Closing the rectus sheath in the usual way by beginning in one angle then continued to the otherangle make tension pain after cesarean sections significant even can affect the stance and gait of the patients postoperatively.

The traditional continuous sheath closure makes the patients gait abnormal with forward tilt toprevent tension pain coming from the lower anterior abdominal pain.

The current study was a trial to prevent postoperative pain in elective cesarean sections doneunder general anesthesia .Six hundred patients recruited from the units of obstetrics andgynecology department of Benha university hospital after written consent approval.

Participants divided into two groups three hundred in each group.Group one (the classic closure) entailed suturing the rectus sheath continuously from one edgeto the other. In group two the sheath closure began in the midline to the left edge by separate suture then the right edge sutured to the midline by a separate suture. Both suture ends tied again in a returning manner at the central part of the rectus sheath.

The new technique entailed closure of the rectus sheath by vicryl no 00 in two separated halvesso tension on the sheath will be spread over the two halves reducing tension pain postoperativelyAll cases evaluated by visual analogue scale of pain graded from 0 to 10 as shown in (table 1) alltypes of pain from mild to severe were highly reduced with p value <0.05. The early postoperative period specially the first two hours was good in group two with the newtechnique.

NICE guidelines in cesarean sections 2011 stated the following (We did not identify any RCTsthat looked at closure of rectus sheath at CS). (7)

The technique implied suturing the rectus sheath in two halves separately beginning from the Centre and returned in a second layer to the center again for easy approximation this allow early ambulation with reduction of postoperative pain.

Most of the articles evaluate the use of non -steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and intravenous morphia for the reduction of postoperative pain in cesarean sections .

## Conclusion

The new two halves rectus sheath closure carries important advantages for patients delivered by cesarean sections.

The new technique significantly reduced postoperative pain and allowed for early ambulation

and breast feeding

## Acknowledgement

Great thanks and gratitude to all staff of obstetrics and gynecology department in BenhaSchool of medicine for the great help and support in the current work.

# **Conflict of interest**

Nothing to declare about the presented work

## References

1. Menacker F, Curtin SC. Trends in cesarean birth and vaginal birth after previous cesarean,1991-99.Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2001; 49(13):1–16.

2. Thomas J, Paranjothy S. The National Sentinel Caesarean Section Audit report.London:Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2001.

3. Belizan J, Althabe F, Barros F, Alexander S. Rates and implication of cesarean sections inLatin America: ecological study. BMJ.1999; 319:1397–1402.

4. Tully L, Gates' S, Brocklehurst P, Ayers S, McKenzie-McHarg K. Surgical techniques used incaesarean section operations in the UK: a survey of current practice. Eur J ObstetGynecolReprod Biol.2002; 102:120–126.

5. Anderson ER, Gates S. Techniques and materials for closure of the abdominal wall incaesarean section. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2004; 4:CD004663.

6. Croce P, Frigoli A, Perotti D, Di Mario M. Cesarean section, techniques and skin suturematerials. Minerva Gynecol. 2007;59(6):595–599.

7-NICE guidelines in cesraean section 2011

8-Funke, F; Reips, U.-D.(2012). "Why semantic differentials in Web-based research should be made from visual analogue scales and not from 5-point scales." . *Field Methods* **24**: 310–327.