

Journal homepage: http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Seroprevalence of Australia Antigen (HBsAg) among Blood Donors in Local Population

Chirag Menapara<sup>1</sup>\*, Killol Desai<sup>2</sup>

1- Tutor of Pathology, GMERS Medical College Junagadh

2 - Assistant Professor of Pathology, GMERS Medical College Junagadh

\_\_\_\_\_

## Manuscript Info

### Abstract

.....

#### Manuscript History:

Received: 26 December 2014 Final Accepted: 29 Janruary 2015 Published Online: February 2015

#### Key words:

Donors, HBsAg, Prevalence, Screening

\*Corresponding Author

Chirag Menapara<sup>1</sup>

 To determine the Seroprevalence of HBsAg in the local population in and around Junagadh (Gujarat) from January-2011 to December-2014
To compare the prevalence of seropositivity of HBsAg with other regions in India

#### Materials and methods:

A retrospective study was conducted on blood donors over a period of 4 years to assess the prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection. Two different testing methods (1) rapid HBsAg card test (HEPASCAN) and (2) HBsAg ELISA test (MICROSCREEN) were used for screening purpose. First method was based upon the principle of Rapid Visual Single Step Immuno-chromatographic Assay and Second method was based upon the principle of ELISA.

#### **Results:**

A retrospective study was carried on 21,918 blood donors out of which 13,246(60.434%) were in-house donors and 8,672(39.566%) were outdoor (camp) donors .19,955 (91%) donors were male & 1,963(09%) donors were female. Out of 21,918 blood units collected, 1,121(5.115%) blood units were discarded. Out of 1,121 discarded units 166(14.810%) units were HBsAg reactive. The prevalence of HBsAg seropositivity was found to be 0.757%.

#### **Conclusion:**

Blood donors represent apparently healthy population of a particular geographical region. Occasionally out of them, some people are found to be reactive for Australia Antigen and many other similar antigens as well as antibodies. So to reduce Seroprevalence of HBsAg, more sensitive screening assays and proper donor selection are must.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2015,. All rights reserved

## **INTRODUCTION**

Hepatitis B infection has become an issue of global importance. Hepatitis B causes an estimated 1-2million deaths per year worldwide <sup>[1, 2]</sup> and it is estimated that there are 300 million carriers of Hepatitis B virus in the world. Countries are classified on the basis of endemicity of Hepatitis B virus infection into high (8% or more) (like equatorial Africa, South East Asia, China, parts of South America), intermediate (2-7%) (like Eastern Europe, Middle East, South Asia) or low (<2%)(developed countries as North America and Australia) incidence countries<sup>[1]</sup>. The prevalence of chronic hepatitis B infection in India ranges from 2-10% as shown by different

studies<sup>[2]</sup>. This infection is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality not only because of the acute illness but also due to its chronic sequel like chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.

Currently there are four recognized modes of hepatitis B infection – mother to child at birth (perinatal), contact with infected person(horizontal), sexual contact and parenteral route through blood/fluids. HBsAg in the serum is the earliest marker of active HBV infection (acute/chronic) being detectable even before elimination of transaminases and onset of clinical illness.

The strategies used to reduce the transfusion transmitted infections includes improving donor selection, testing the donated blood for specific antibodies against infectious agents, using autologous transfusion<sup>[3,4]</sup> but the transmission of disease still occurs<sup>[5]</sup> because of the inability to detect the disease in window phase of the infection, prevalence of asymptomatic carriers, false negative results, immunologically variant viruses and laboratory testing errors<sup>[6]</sup>. To understand and assess the magnitude and dynamics of transmission of a disease in a community and for its control and prevention, the assessment and study of its prevalence is very important.

#### Materials and methods

The study was conducted in the blood bank, Department of Pathology, GMERS Medical College, Junagadh. It is a retrospective study. A total of 21,918 units of blood were collected from donors (In-house & Outdoorcamp) from January 2011 to December 2014. They were carefully selected for donation after satisfactorily answering the donors questionnaire and passing the physical examination conducted by the physician-in-charge. All the blood samples were screened for Hepatitis B surface antigen using Two different testing methods (1) rapid HBsAg card test (HEPASCAN) and (2) HBsAg ELISA test (MICROSCREEN) for qualitative detection (screening) of HBsAg in serum/plasma. All the tests were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions with adequate controls.

### **Results**

A total of 21,918 donors were screened over a period of 4 yrs from January 2011 to December 2014, out of which 13,246(60.434%) were in-house donors and 8,672(39.566%) were outdoor (camp) donors. The year wise percentage is shown in the following table 1.

| 14010 11 1101100 111 |              |              |                   |                 |               |  |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| YEAR                 | TOTAL No. of | No. of In-   | Percentage of In- | No. of Outdoor- | Percentage of |  |
|                      | Donors       | House Donors | House Donors      | camp Donors     | Outdoor-camp  |  |
|                      |              |              |                   |                 | Donors        |  |
| 2011                 | 5736         | 3412         | 59.484%           | 2324            | 40.516%       |  |
| 2012                 | 4507         | 2396         | 53.162%           | 2111            | 46.838%       |  |
| 2013                 | 5583         | 2920         | 52.302%           | 2663            | 47.698%       |  |
| 2014                 | 6092         | 4518         | 74.163%           | 1574            | 25.837%       |  |
| TOTAL                | 21918        | 13246        | 60.434%           | 8672            | 39.566%       |  |

Table 1: trends in Outdoor-camp and In-house blood donation (Year-wise)

Figure1: trends in Outdoor-camp and In-house blood donation (Year-wise)



Out of 21,918 donors **19,955(91%)** were male donors and **1963(09%)** were female donors. The year wise percentage is shown in the following table 2.

| YEAR  | TOTAL No. of | No. of Male | Percentage of | No. of Female | Percentage of |
|-------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|       | Donors       | Donors      | Male Donors   | Donors        | Female Donors |
|       |              |             |               |               |               |
| 2011  | 5736         | 5084        | 88.633%       | 652           | 11.367%       |
| 2012  | 4507         | 4017        | 89.128%       | 490           | 10.872%       |
| 2013  | 5583         | 5152        | 92.280%       | 431           | 7.720%        |
| 2014  | 6092         | 5702        | 93.600%       | 390           | 6.400%        |
| TOTAL | 21918        | 19955       | 91.00%        | 1963          | 09.00%        |

| Table 2: trends | in in | Male & | k | Female | blood | dona | tion | (Year- | wise) |
|-----------------|-------|--------|---|--------|-------|------|------|--------|-------|
|-----------------|-------|--------|---|--------|-------|------|------|--------|-------|

Figure 2: trends in Male & Female blood donation (Year-wise)



Out of 21,918 blood units collected, **1,121(5.115%)** units were discarded. Out of 1,121 discarded units **166(14.810%)** units were HBsAg reactive. The prevalence of HBsAg seropositivity was found to be **0.757%**. The year wise percentage is shown in the following table 3. The Year wise Percentage of Blood units discarded due to HBsAg Reactivity is shown in table 4.

| YEAR  | TOTAL No. | TOTAL No. of | Percentage of Bags | TOTAL No. of   | Percentage of  |
|-------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
|       | of Donors | Bags         | Discarded          | HBsAg Reactive | HBsAg Reactive |
|       |           | Discarded    |                    | Units          | Units          |
| 2011  | 5736      | 227          | 3.958%             | 41             | 0.715%         |
| 2012  | 4507      | 360          | 7.987%             | 39             | 0.865%         |
| 2013  | 5583      | 210          | 3.761%             | 35             | 0.627%         |
| 2014  | 6092      | 324          | 5.318%             | 51             | 0.837%         |
| TOTAL | 21918     | 1121         | 5.115%             | 166            | 0.757%         |

Table 3: Incidence of HBsAg among donors during 2011-2014

| Table 4: Percentage of Blood | units discarded due to HBsAg | Reactivity during 2011-2014 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                              |                              |                             |

| YEAR  | TOTAL No. of Bags | TOTAL No. of HBsAg | Percentage of Blood units |
|-------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
|       | Discarded         | Reactive Units     | discarded due to HBsAg    |
|       |                   |                    | Reactivity                |
| 2011  | 227               | 41                 | 18.062%                   |
| 2012  | 360               | 39                 | 10.833%                   |
| 2013  | 210               | 35                 | 16.667%                   |
| 2014  | 324               | 51                 | 15.741%                   |
| TOTAL | 1121              | 166                | 14.810%                   |

Discussion

| Table 5: Comparison of Seroprevalence of HBsAg among Blood Donors in different studies |      |       |                |  |
|--|------|-------|----------------|--|
| Name of Study  | Year | Place | Seroprevalence |  |

| Srikrishna et al [7]      | 1999 | Bangalore          | 1.86%  |
|---------------------------|------|--------------------|--------|
| Chhattoraj et al [8]      | 2008 | Pune               | 0.99%  |
| Karandeepsinh et al [9]   | 2009 | Costal Karnataka   | 0.62%  |
| Gagandeep kaur et al [10] | 2010 | Chandigarh         | 0.65%  |
| S Gulia et al [11]        | 2011 | Vizianagaram       | 2.48%  |
| Poojaba Jadeja et al [12] | 2011 | Udaipur, Rajasthan | 1.32%  |
| Present study             | 2014 | Junagadh ,Gujarat  | 0.757% |

The overall prevalence of HBsAg seropositivity in blood donors in local population in our study was noted 0.757% which is comparable with the other studies as mentioned above in table no.5.

According to India's Drugs and Cosmetics Act (1945), each blood unit has to be tested for hepatitis B virus infection [13]. In our study, the overall Seroprevalence of HBsAg was observed to be 0.757%. According to the WHO classification, this part of the Gujarat qualifies as a low prevalence area (less than 2%). The data providing a picture of hepatitis B infection burden in India has come from HBsAg Seroprevalence studies (Table 5). Comparison with the other parts of India, the present study shows low Seroprevalence of hepatitis B infection in Gujarat.

If we compare the HBsAg positivity in other developing countries of the world the rate is quite high as compared to India. Table 5 shows prevalence of HBsAg in other countries.<sup>[14,15,16]</sup>

| Tuble 6. prevalence of fibbrig in other countries |                       |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| Country   | % of HBsAg Positivity |  |  |
| Egypt   | 39.4                  |  |  |
| Indonesia   | 8.8                   |  |  |
| Ghana   | 15.0                  |  |  |
| Nepal   | 2.5                   |  |  |

Table 6: prevalence of HBsAg in other countries

This variation in the prevalence of hepatitis B infection in different countries depends upon a complex mix of behavioral, environmental and host factors, incidence and age of primary infection. It is lowest in areas with high standards of living and highest in areas with low socio-economic levels.

#### Conclusion & Recommendations:

Blood donors represent apparently healthy population of a particular geographical region. Occasionally out of them, some people are found to be reactive for Australia Antigen and many other similar antigens as well as antibodies. So to reduce Seroprevalence of HBsAg, more sensitive screening assays and proper donor selection are must. Ensuring the safety of patients by reducing the residual risk of transfusion transmitted hepatitis is the concern of every transfusion center. Pre-donation counselling, donor self-exclusion and ensuring 100% voluntary blood donation will be effective in decreasing the hepatitis B infection rate. This study provides a helpful guide in reducing the residual risk of transfusion-transmitted hepatitis not only in India, but also in the other developing countries of the world.

## **REFERENCES** :

1) Prevention of hepatitis B in India, An overview. World Health Organizaton.New Delhi;2002

2) Karandeep Singh, Sudha Bhat, Shamee Shastry. Trend in seroprevalence of Hepatitis B virus infection

among blood donors of coastal Karnataka, India . J Infect Dev Ctries 2009;3(5) : 376-379

3) WHO global health situation projection and estimates. 1992;WHO Geneva 1992.

4) Glynn SA, Kleinman SH, Wright DJ, Busch MP. International Application of the incidence rate and window period model(editorial). National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Retrovirus Epidemiology Donor Study. Transfusion;2002;42:966-73

5) Doddy RY, Notari EP, Stamer SL. Current prevalence and incidence of infectious disease markers and estimated window period risk in the American Red Cross Blood Donor Population . Transfusion;2002;42:238-40

6) Klein HG. Will Blood Transfusion ever be safe enough? JAMA;2000;284:238-40

7) Srikrishna A, Sitalakshmi S, Damodar P. How safe are our safe donors? Indian J Pathol Microbial:1999:42:411-16

8) Lt Col Chattoraj, Col R Behl, BrigVK Kataria. Infectious disease markers in Blood Donors; 2008;64:33-35
9) Karandeep Singh, Sudha Bhat, Shamee Shastry. Trend in seroprevalence of Hepatitis B virus infection among blood donors of coastal Karnataka, India. J Infect Dev Ctries; 2009; 3(5): 376-379

10) Gagandeep Kaur, Sabita Basu, Ravneet Kaur, Paramjeet Kaur, Shailja Garg. Patterns of infections among blood donors in a tertiary care centre : A retrospective study . The National Medical Journal of India;2010;23(3):147 -49.

11) Gulia S, Panda S, Sitaramam E, Reddy K. Seroprevalence Of Hepatitis B Virus Infection Among Blood Donors In Local Population. The Internet Journal of Pathology. 2010; Volume 12 Number 1.

12) Jadeja P, Kaur A, Shekha H. Trend in Seroprevalance of Hepatitis B Virus infection among blood donors at a Tertiary Care Centre of Rajasthan, India. National journal of Medical Research, 2014- volume 4,issue 3:page no-205 to 207

13) Bhattacharya P, Chandra PK, Datta S, Banerjee A, Chakraborty S, Rajendran K, Basu SK, Bhattacharya SK, Chakravarty R. (2007) Significant increase in HBV, HCV, HIV and syphilis infections among blood donors in West Bengal, Eastern India 2004-2005: Exploratory screening reveals high frequency of occult HBV infection. World J Gastroenterol 21: 3730-3

14) Allain JP , Candotti D et al . The risk of Hepatitis b virus infection by transfusion in Kumsai, Ghana. Blood; 2003; 101(6): 2419 – 2425

15) Lt Col PK Gupta, Col H Kumar, Mr. Basannar , Brig M Jaiprakash . Transfusion Transmitted Infections in Armed Forces : Prevalence and Trends :MJAFI;2006;62:348–350

16) Arthur RR, Hassan NF et al . Hepatitis C antibody prevalence in blood donors in different governorates in Egypt. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene; 1997;91:271 – 274