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Abstract

We analyze the effect of magnetic field on the flow and heat transfer of non-Newtonian nanofluid over a Two way stretching sheet, where magnetic field is orientated normally to the plate. The Brownian motion and Thermophoresis effects are also considered. The boundary layer equations governed by the partial differential equations are transformed into a set of ordinary differential equations with the help of local similarity transformations. The differential equations are solved by Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) and Runge Kutta Merson method (RKM). We have drawn the graphs for velocity distribution for HAM solution and RKM solution.

Introduction:

Nanofluids have enormous industrial, transportation, electronics, biomedical applications, such as in advanced nuclear systems, cylindrical heat pipes, automobiles, fuel cells, drug delivery, biological sensors, and hybrid-powered engines. Nanofluids are fluids with suspended nanoparticles with average sizes of 1–100 nm. The nanoparticles are typically made of oxides such as alumina, silica, titania and copper oxide, carbides, and metals such as copper and gold. Carbon nanotubes and diamond nanoparticles have also been used in nanofluids. The base fluid is usually a conventional heat transfer fluid, such as oil, water, and ethylene glycol. Other base fluids are biofluids, polymer solutions, and some lubricants.

In some practical problems such as the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) generators, pumps, enhanced oil recovery, thermal insulators, electronic packages, and cooling of nuclear reactor, the flow of electrically conducting fluid occurs in the presence of a transverse magnetic field. Many fluids of industrial and geophysical importance are non-Newtonian. In real situations in nanofluids, the base fluid does not satisfy the properties of Newtonian fluids; hence, it is more justified to consider them as viscoelastic fluids; for example, ethylene glycol-Al₂O₃, ethylene glycol-CuO, and ethylene glycol-ZnO are some examples of viscoelastic nanofluids.

The term nanofluids (nanoparticle fluid suspensions) was coined by Choi [6] in 1995 to describe this new class of nanotechnology-based heat transfer in fluids. A comprehensive survey of convective transport in nanofluids was made by Buongiorno [7]. He explained the significance of the Brownian diffusion and the thermophoretic diffusion of the nanoparticles. Khan and Pop [8] have used the model of Kuznetsov and Nield [9] to study the boundary layer...

The MHD boundary layer flow of an incompressible and electrically conducting viscoelastic fluid past a linear stretching sheet was studied by Subhas Abel et al. [11]. The momentum and heat transfer characteristics of the boundary layers of an incompressible electrically conducting fluid flow of a viscoelastic fluid over a stretching sheet are investigated by Prasad et al. [12, 13]. Recently, Hameed et al. [14] reported a similarity solution for MHD free convection heat generation flow over a vertical semi-infinite flat plate in the case of nanofluids.


Rana and Bhargava [21] have studied the heat transfer characteristic in the mixed convection flow of a nanofluid along a vertical plate with heat source/sink. Mania Goyal and Rama Bhargav (2014) [22] have extended the work of Noghrehabadi et al. [20] by taking base fluid as second-grade fluid and have obtained numerical solution by using finite element method.

In the present paper, we analyze the effect of magnetic field on the flow of non-Newtonian nanofluid over a two way shrinking/stretching sheet, where magnetic field is orientated normally to the plate. The boundary layer equations governed by the partial differential equations are transformed into a set of ordinary differential equations with the help of local similarity transformations. The differential equations are solved by Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) and Runge Kutta Merson method (RKM). We have examined the effects of different controlling parameters, namely, the Brownian motion parameter, uniform magnetic field, viscoelastic parameter, Prandtl number, and Lewis number on the flow.

Mathematical formulation:-
Consider two dimensional flow of an incompressible, non-Newtonian, nano fluid flowing steadily under the effect of external magnetic field applied normally to the flow over a shrinking/stretching sheet. The x-axis is taken along the plate, y-axis perpendicular to it. Temperature and concentrations over the plate are maintained uniform. Fluid and nano particles are assumed to be in thermal equilibrium. The pressure gradient and external forces are absent. The following are the reduced governing equations of the considered problem

\[ f'' + ff'' - f'^2 - \alpha (f''^2 - 2f'f'' + f'^3) - Mf' = 0, \]  

\[ \frac{1}{Pr} \theta'' + f \theta' + Nb \theta' \varphi' + Nt \theta'^2 = 0, \]  

\[ \varphi'' + \frac{Nt}{Nb} \theta' = 0, \]

where primes denote differentiation with respect to \( \eta \) and \( Pr, Le, Nb, Nt \) and \( \alpha \) are Prandtl number, Lewis number, Brownian motion parameter, Thermophoresis parameter and viscoelastic parameter respectively.

The boundary conditions are

\[ f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1 + Kf''(0), \theta(0) = 1, \varphi(0) = 1, \]

\[ f'(\infty) = 0, \theta(\infty) = 0, \varphi(\infty) = 0. \]

These equations with neglecting temperature are solved by HAM and the obtained solutions are depicted graphically and are observed to match exactly to FEM solutions of Mania Goyal and Rama Bhargava [22]. Numerically we solve these equations by Runge-Kutta Merson Method.
Method of Solution:-

Let us consider the following equations and solve by HAM

\[ f'''' + f' f'' - f'' - \alpha(f''^2 - 2f' f''') - Mf''' = 0, \]
\[ \frac{1}{Pr} \theta'' + \phi' + Nb \theta' \phi' + Nt \theta'^2 = 0, \]
\[ \phi'' + \text{Nt} \phi' + \frac{Nt}{Nb} \theta'' = 0. \]

The equation (6) is independent of \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) so first we will solve (6) by homotopy analysis method by neglecting the effect of temperature. Let us choose the auxiliary linear operator as

\[ L = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial \eta^3} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta^2} - M \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta}. \]

Then, we construct a family of partial differential equations as follows

\[ (1 - p) L[F(\eta, p) - f_0(\eta)] = h p \left\{ \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial \eta^3} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta^2} - M \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta} - \alpha \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta^2} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial \eta^3} + \frac{\partial^4 F}{\partial \eta^4} \right] \right\} \]

with boundary conditions

\[ F(0, p) = 0, \quad F_\eta(0, p) = 1 + F_\eta(0, p), \quad F_\eta(\infty, p) = 0, \]

where \( F_\eta \) denotes the first-order derivative of \( F(\eta, p) \) with respect to \( \eta \), \( p \in [0, 1] \) is the embedding parameter, \( h \neq 0 \) is an non zero auxiliary parameter.

We choose the initial guess \( f_0(\eta) \) by using linear operator (9) as follows in accordance with boundary conditions (11) and \( M=2 \) and \( \alpha = 0 \) as

\[ f_0(\eta) = \frac{4}{3} e^{\eta} - \frac{1}{3} e^{-2\eta}. \]

When \( p = 0 \), we have the solution
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\[ F(\eta, 0) = f_0(\eta), \]

When \( p = 1, \) we get
\[ F(\eta, 1) = f(\eta). \]

Thus as \( p \) increases from 0 to 1, the solution varies from the initial guess approximation \( f_0(\eta) \) to the solution \( f(\eta). \)

Now we will express the solution in terms of Maclaurin series as
\[ F(\eta, p) = F(\eta, 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k F(\eta, p)}{\partial p^k} \bigg|_{p=0}, \]

Let us define
\[ \phi_0(\eta) = F(\eta, 0) = f_0(\eta), \]
\[ \phi_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k F(\eta, p)}{\partial p^k} \bigg|_{p=0} \quad (k > 0), \]

So that
\[ F(\eta, p) = \phi_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m(\eta) p^k, \]

The convergence region of the above series depends upon the linear operator \( L \) and the non-zero parameter \( h \) which are to be selected such that solution converges at \( p = 1. \) Using equation (18) for \( p = 1, \) we get
\[ f(\eta) = \phi_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m(\eta), \]

where \( \phi_m \) are unknowns to be determined.

Differentiating \( m \) times the two sides of equations (18) about the embedding parameter \( p, \) using Leibnitz theorem, setting \( p = 0 \) and dividing by \( m!, \) we get
\[ L[\phi_m - \chi_m \phi_{m-1}] = hR_m(\eta), \]

where
\[ \chi_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } m \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{when } m > 1 \end{cases}, \]

\[ R_m(\eta) = \phi_{m-1}''(\eta) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \phi_{m-1-k}''(\eta) \phi_k'(\eta) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \phi_{m-1-k}'(\eta) \phi_k'(\eta) - M \phi_{m-1}'(\eta). \]

with boundary conditions
\[ \phi_m(0) = \phi_m'(0) = \phi_m''(0) = \phi_m'(+\infty) = 0. \]

From equations (20) we get equations in terms of \( \phi_m(\eta), \) solving them we get the required solution as
\[ \phi_0 = \frac{4}{3} e^{\eta} - \frac{1}{3} e^{-2\eta}, \]

\[ \phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_3 = \phi_4 = \phi_5 + \phi_6 + \phi_7 + \phi_8 + \ldots \]

We have
\[ f(\eta) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + \phi_4 + \phi_5 + \phi_6 + \phi_7 + \phi_8 + \ldots \]
The solution f consists of h and is a series solution. To get a valid solution we have to choose h in such a way that the solution series is convergent. The value of h is obtained by following the method explained by Achala et al [23, 24, 25, 26, 27] where the residual error is calculated and the graph of it verses h is drawn. When the graph is horizontal then that h value is considered and with that h we get a convergent solution. The residual error is defined as follows for kth order HAM solution of f as,

\[ E_R(h) = \frac{1}{\tau_0} \int_0^{\tau_0} \left( f_{\text{exact}} - \sum_{n=0}^{k} f_n \right)^2 d\eta \]  

(26)

The graphs of residual error \( E_R(h) \) versus h for first order, second order, third order, and so on up to sixth order for different are drawn in fig. 1 and the optimal value, \( h_{\text{opt}} \), is chosen from these graph which is the value of minimal residual error. The values of optimum h obtained are listed in Table 1.

We can generate large number of terms (say n = 50) on solving the linear equations by MATHEMATICA. Because of availability of large number of coefficients, we can use Pade’s approximation to test the convergence of this series.

Results and Discussions:-
In this paper we have obtained velocity distribution of two dimensional flow of an incompressible, non-Newtonian, nano fluid flowing steadily under the effect of external magnetic field applied normally to the flow over a shrinking/stretching sheet. The method used is Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) which is very strong method to solve nonlinear PDE and ODE in series form. This method works for almost all nonlinear flow problems.

In figure 1 we have explained the geometry of flow considered. Figure 2 is a graph to evaluate an important parameter arising in HAM called convergence parameter h, using this estimated value we get convergent series solution. Figure 3 and 3a represent velocity curve for different values of magnetic parameter and \( \alpha = 0 \). In figure 4 and 5, the effect of M on velocity is analysed for \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and \( \alpha = 1.0 \) by Runge Kutta Merson Method (RKM). It is observed that HAM and RKM methods show same graphs so Ham solution can be considered as exact solution. In figure 6 and 7 velocity curves are drawn for different \( \alpha \) and M=0 and M=2. Thus we conclude that HAM can be used to find exact solution of given equations.

Further work to analyze the effect of different parameters like Brownian motion, thermophoresis, Prandtl number and Lewis number on the flow and heat transfer is under progress.

Graphs of HAM and RKM Solutions:-

Fig 2: Graph of \( f''(0) \) verses \( \eta \) (h curve)
Fig. 3: Velocity Curve of HAM solution for α = 0

Fig. 3a: Velocity Curve of Series solution for α = 0 and M = 2, 3, 0.5.
Fig 4: Velocity Curve for different $M$ and $\alpha = 0.5$ by R-K Merson Method

Fig 5: Velocity Curve for different $M$ and $\alpha = 1.0$ by R-K Merson Method
Fig 6: Velocity Curve for different $\alpha$ and $M=2.0$ by R-K Merson Method

Fig 7: Velocity Curve for different $\alpha$ and $M = 0$ by R-K Merson Method
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