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Abstract

Canine separation anxiety is a prevalent and pertinent issue, making up the second largest group that behavioural clinics see. Various treatments have been devised in an attempt to manage this disorder, with varying success. This review aimed to consolidate the literature regarding the effectiveness of behavioural advice given to owners in the management of separation anxiety in their canines. Eligible studies were sourced through databases Scopus, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, Embase and Proquest. Ten studies were selected to be included in the literature review. Behavioural advice was found to lead to improvements in canine separation anxiety. Factors such as owner’s compliance play a part in the treatment outcome. In conclusion, behavioural advice is effective in the management of separation anxiety in canines.

Introduction:

Separation anxiety, defined as distress in the absence of an attachment figure¹, is a prevalent²⁻⁷ issue. Canines with separation anxiety make up the second largest² group that behavioural clinics attend to, accounting for 5% to 40% of cases³⁻⁵. In the absence of its owner, canines with separation anxiety may exhibit behaviour such as agitation (eg. restlessness, pacing, jumping), inappropriate elimination (urination and defection), vocalisation (eg. whining, whimpering, howling, barking) and destruction (eg, escape attempts such as chewing, digging, scratching, and jumping through doors or windows). Less common symptoms include self-mutilation as well as aggression towards owner, prior to the owner’s departure⁵. These unpleasant behaviours can easily damage the human-animal bond⁴, consequently leading to rehoming, relinquishment⁶ or euthanasia⁷ of these canines. Separation anxiety also affects the welfare of the affected dog⁸.

Factors predisposing canines to separation anxiety include its gender, breed, places of acquisition, family structure as well as owner’s lifestyle⁵. Regarding acquisition, studies⁸⁻¹⁰ have shown that dogs acquired from shelters are more prone to separation anxiety. Shelters are often stress-inducing due to the limited space and interaction¹¹ each dog has. Experiencing such stress for extended periods of time may sensitise the dog to later stresses, particularly separation related problems¹².

Various methods have been employed to manage separation anxiety in canines. These methods include altering the surroundings of the dog (primarily to increase the dog’s level of stimulation through physical activities), pharmacological treatment (medication and pheromones to reduce anxiety level of dog), behavioural treatment⁷, and
educating the owner. Pharmacological treatment does not address the root cause of the undesirable behaviour in dogs with separation anxiety and has even been found to have harmful effects. Behaviour advice aims to accustom the dog to being alone and to reduce its reliance on the owner and thus is believed to have a beneficial long-term effect on managing separation anxiety in canines. Although behavioural therapy is becoming more prevalent, and there is evidence that it is effective in the treatment of separation-related behaviour in canines, a review of the literature indicates a lack of comparative studies. This review aims to consolidate the literature regarding the effectiveness of behavioural therapy advice given to owners in the management of separation anxiety in their canines.

Method:

Search strategy
Databases Scopus, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, Embase and Proquest were used in finding eligible studies for the literature review. Key terms “dog”, “canine”, “counsel”, “advice”, “behavioural advice” and “separation anxiety” were included in the search strategy. Language of publication was restricted to English.

Selection process
Relevance of the results from the searches were ascertained by reviewing each title and its abstract, if available. Articles which included specific behavioural advice given to owners for treatment and prevention of canine separation anxiety were shortlisted for review. Studies which used other forms of treatment in combination with behavioural therapy advice were also included to explore factors which may affect the effectiveness of behavioural therapy advice in the management of canine separation anxiety. Relevant articles were also selected from the bibliography of the shortlisted articles for discussion.

Data extraction and analysis
Data were extracted from the shortlisted articles to compare the behavioural therapy advice given to owners and its effectiveness. Size of study, duration of study, recruitment, intervention used, methodology of assessing changes to dog’s behaviour, results and conclusion of the study were compared across the studies.

Results:

Search results
A total of 12 studies were found to be relevant and were shortlisted for the literature review. These studies are tabulated in Tables 1 and Tables 2.

Description of the studies
Of the twelve studies found, seven used medication in combination with behavioural therapy advice. Methodology used to assess changes to dog’s behaviour were generally the same, with most (ten out of twelve) studies using a form of survey or questionnaire. Only three studies used video recording of the dog’s behaviour as a method of evaluating changes to dog’s behaviour and one study using a cassette tape recording. The studies yield from a variety of countries, including the US, the UK, New Zealand and Spain. Dogs recruited for the studies also varied in breed, size, age and sex.

Systematic desensitisation
Systematic desensitisation refers to the “gradual and progressive introduction of the stimulus eliciting the phobia, in this case, the absence of the dog’s owner” (Butler, 2011). The logic is that the dogs would not have an adverse reaction towards being left alone for a short period of time, and overtime, would get used to increasingly longer periods of being alone, thereby alleviating separation anxiety. Systematic desensitisation is often included as part of the behavioral advice given to owners with dogs suffering from separation anxiety.

Six out of twelve of the analysed articles included systematic desensitisation. Though the concept of systematic desensitisation was consistent among the six studies, the process of how systematic desensitisation was carried out differed slightly. For example, in Blackwell’s et al 2016 study, owners were instructed to begin at a stage where the dog was not anxious, to take just a single step away from where the dog was lying, and then, very gradually (so slowly that the dog should not notice any difference between the stages nor ever became anxious), to increase the distance and time for which the owner and dog were parted. In Butler’s et al, 2011 study, owners were instructed to start with a 5-min separation period, and gradually increase the period of separation in increments of 5 min until a
period of 30–90 min was reached without recurrence of separation-related behaviour. If the dog displayed evidence of separation-related behaviour, owners were instructed to return to the longest period not previously associated with separation-related behaviour and to proceed more gradually. Instructions provided to owners in Lem’s 2002 study were similar to Butler’s et al, 2011 study, except starting departures were much shorter at 5 seconds. Systematic desensitization was advised as “short-term planned departures” in Cottam’s et al, 2008 study.

Only one study (Butler et al, 2011) was able to conclude that systematic desensitisation led to the successful treatment of separation-related behaviour in dogs.

Studies on behavioural therapy advice combined with other treatment

There have been studies that favoured the use of medication and some which showed no additional benefits of medications.

Of those which favoured the use of medications in combination with behavioural modification plan are Iba’nez and Anzola’s 2009 study, Pineda et al’s 2014 study, Lem’s 2002 study and Karagiannis et al 2015 study. These studies found that medication, used in combination with behavioural modification plan results in better outcomes and improvements in canine separation anxiety than behavioural modification plan alone. In Iba’nez and Anzola’s 2009 study and Pineda et al’s, 2014 study, antidepressant fluoxetine was administered together with benzodiazepine (diazepam and clorazepate dipotassium respectively), as the full anxiolytic effect of fluoxetine is not achieved until 4–5 weeks after initiation of treatment plan. The rapid acting benzodiazepine serves to control anxiety until antidepressant fluoxetine has had enough time to produce its therapeutic anxiolytic effect. Both studies found fluoxetine to be an effective drug in reducing anxiety in dogs, whose efficacy can be increased by administering it together with diazepam and or clorazepate dipotassium. A temporary treatment of anti-anxiety drugs might be needed for canines with separation anxiety at the start of treatment as time is needed for canines to learn the behaviour modification, which can be impeded by anxiety. This would allow the canine to benefit more from the behaviour modification process. Karagiannis et al 2015 study found that the use of fluoxetine improves the dog’s underlying affective state rather than simply restraining the dog’s behaviour. This further supports the use of medications in combination with behavioural modification plan.

Of those which showed no additional benefits of medications in combination with behavioural therapy are Podberscek et al’s 1999 study, Cottam et al’s 2008 study and Takeuchi et al’s 2000 study. Podberscek et al’s 1999 study found that clomipramine, a tricyclic antidepressant, is not useful as an adjunct to behavioural therapy in treating separation-related behaviour problems in dogs. Similarly, Cottam et al’s 2008 study found that owners whose dogs were administered medication were no more likely to report improvement in their dog’s separation anxiety than owners whose dog’s behavior modification plan did not include medication. This suggests that medication is not useful in the treatment of canine separation anxiety. Additionally, Takeuchi et al’s 2000 study found that 52% of 23 dogs treated with medication for more than 1 month had improved by the time of follow-up telephone interviews, while 68% of 25 dogs treated with behavioural therapy alone had improved. This suggests that medication may not be that useful as a complement to behavioural therapy advice. This study also found adverse effects such as lethargy in two out of 15 dogs medicated with amitriptyline for more than one month.

Other than the use of medication, one study (Clark et al, 1993) used obedience training as a complement to canine behaviour counselling. The study found that the No Instruction group had significantly higher separation anxiety scores than both the Obedience group or the Time Instructed group. The study’s results did not show whether behavioural therapy or obedience training or both used together leads to improvement in canine separation anxiety.

Mode of advice given

One study (Cottam et al, 2008) compared the efficacy of faxed and in-clinic behavioural advice, and found that written communication without direct observation of the dog in the clinical setting is as effective as direct communication with a client and observation of the dog in a clinical setting.

Among the remaining studies, seven studies used only verbal advice, one study used only written advice, while one study used both written and verbal. The results revealed that verbal advice is an effective communication method. However, no comparison can be made between written and verbal advice due to the small sample analysed.
Assessing effect of behavioural advice
Across the twelve studies, two assessed the efficacy of behavioural advice on the prevention of separation anxiety\(^1,19\). Results were collected post-test via a telephone survey instrument and a standardized survey method in one (Herron et al, 2014) and a postal questionnaire in the other (Blackwell et al, 2016).

Ten studies assessed the efficacy of behavioural advice on the treatment of separation anxiety\(^7,15-18,20-22,32,33\). Out of these ten studies, only three used video-recordings to record the dog’s behaviour\(^20-22\) and one used cassette tape recordings\(^32\). These recordings were later analysed. All eight studies but one employed the use of questionnaires pre-test (to identify separation anxiety in dogs) as well as post-test (to assess whether separation anxiety in dogs has improved after behavioural therapy). The efficacy of behavioural therapy in the management of separation anxiety are assessed based on the results collected, in this case, the owner’s assessment of their dog’s behaviour. Although video recordings of the dog’s behaviour were used to support report given by the owners\(^21\), there can still be bias or incorrect accounts\(^19\).

As such, future studies should use video recordings reviewed by an expert to assess changes in the dog’s behaviour coupled with a standardized questionnaire for easier, direct comparison. This is especially in the case of assessing separation anxiety in canines as separation-related behaviour occurs only in the absence of the owner\(^21\).

Compliance of owner
A key factor affecting the efficacy of behavioural advice on the management of separation anxiety in canines is owner’s compliance\(^26\). Studies analyzing owner’s compliance found that owners only carried out aspects of the advice that required little time\(^7\) and were easy to follow\(^19\), such as leaving food in an enrichment toy for the dog. One study (Blackwell et al, 2016) suggested that written behavioural advice led to lower compliance as there was no interaction between the clinician and the pet owner. Owners might be unaware of the background of the advisor and the rationale behind the advice, and hence were less inclined to carry out the given advice\(^19\). This is supported by the Lincoln adherence intrusions record\(^26\), where trust in the clinician’s advice is one of three key factors affecting compliance. The study also found that a high percentage of owners (77%) did not comply with the advice of ceasing punishment although it required little effort, implying that owners find it hard to execute instructions that act against their emotions\(^19\). In one study (Pineda et al, 2014), it is suggested that increasing the dosage or frequency of clorazepate dipotassium to the canine improves owner’s compliance. This is due to clorazepate dipotassium’s rapid acting effect\(^17\), suggesting that seeing an improvement in the canine’s behaviour could be a motivating factor for owners to comply with the behaviour modification plan.

Limitations of the studies
Sample size
Butler et al’s 2010 study included only eight dogs showing separation related behaviour, Iba’n’ez and Anzola’s 2009’s study included only seven dogs with separation anxiety and Pineda et al’s 2014 study included only ten dogs with separation anxiety. The sample size used in these studies may not be representative of the population of canines with separation anxiety.

Time
Of the ten studies analysed, only one study (Herron et al, 2014) found that behavioural therapy had no significant effect on the management of separation anxiety\(^1\). However, this study also had the shortest duration of one month. Dogs that took part in the study might not have adapted to the behavioural therapy as behaviour modification requires time for dogs to learn\(^24\). This might have contributed to the biased result. Another study (Takeuchi et al, 2000) was carried out over 6 to 64 months. Such long duration diminishes reliability of owners’ recollections of compliance and treatment success\(^7\).

Setting bias
Due to owners having varying personalities and the dogs living in different home environments, results from one setting are not always necessarily transferable to another.

Discussion:

Summary
Behavioural therapy advice can be divided into three stages: 1) when at home, 2) when leaving, 3) when returning. It generally consists of systematic desensitization and counter-conditioning. Occasionally, medications were given to
canines in conjunction with behavioural therapy treatment. External factors such as owner compliance can affect the efficacy of the treatment.

Strengths and limitations
While the search terms used were broad enough to identify most relevant studies, it is possible that some research were not identified. However, this is unlikely so as potential studies that could not be found through our search terms were hand searched from the bibliographies of the identified studies. Some studies were also excluded as language of publication was restricted to English, hence it is possible that some important studies were foregone.

Comparison with existing literature
This review has attempted to examine whether behavioural therapy helps in the management of separation anxiety in canines. 3 existing literature34,35 reviews have been found. An earlier review34 investigated the etiology of separation anxiety and concluded them to be: sex and neuter status, breed, genetics, other behavioural issues, personality of the owner and dog, attachment style of the dog, amount of obedience training, where the owners acquired the dog, where the family lives as well as factors that are not associated with separation anxiety. The review included the use of wearables (dog appeasing pheromone collar and compression vest) as a method of intervention. It also highlighted 2 categories that behavioural modification can be divided into: higher-effort procedures and lower-effort procedures. No further synthesis was made regarding whether the behavioural modifications were effective or not. The second review35 identified factors that predispose dogs to separation related behavioural problems and reviewed the different treatment strategies to relieve separation anxiety, including behavioural therapy. However, only 3 studies were used. Another review36 identified clinical signs of dogs with separation anxiety, signalment to separation anxiety, how diagnosis was made and reviewed the management plan. The management plan consists of environmental control, modifying behaviour, and medication. It has tabulated drugs commonly used in the treatment of canine separation anxiety, providing information on the drug class, oral dose and frequency. This review concluded that a treatment plan which improves the dog’s environment when left alone, alters the owner-dog relationship and includes anti-anxiety medication is most successful. The current authors recommend isolating the components of behaviour therapy advice, in presence and absence of medications to determine which are effective.

Implications for future research and recommendations
There were several important findings we gathered across the studies:
1. It is noticed in one study7 that there is a proportion of canines whom responded well to the medication and the other whom did not. This could be due to the fact that not all canines exhibiting symptoms of separation anxiety are not affected for the same reasons31. As such, complete behavioural and medical history should be taken to determine specific behavioural diagnosis so that specific drug can be recommended to address the root cause of the canine’s separation anxiety31.
2. It is suggested that the dosage of medication given, time of administration and frequency ofadministration are factors which affect the efficacy of medication on the treatment of canine separation anxiety7,17,31. For instance, different dosages of benzodiazepine results in different effects31. As such, further research can be done to determine when and how often medication should be administered and how the dosage can be tailored to each dog according to its condition to optimise the drug’s efficacy.
3. Some antidepressants such as fluoxetine16,37 have a relatively long lag time (4–5 weeks) between initiation of treatment and achievement of its full anxiolytic effect, we recommend the administration of fast acting benzodiazepine as a supplement16,17,30 to antidepressants.
4. When verbal behaviour therapy is used, it can be crucial to note the profile of the advice provider to ensure client confidence in the advice provider is not undermined. Owners are more likely to adhere to the advice given if they understand the problem and rationale of treatment, which is closely linked with their confidence in the advice provider26. A survey found that private pet professionals, whom owners use as their sources of behavioural advice, felt their education was insufficient to advise owners in the management of separation anxiety27.
5. As owners only carried out aspects of the advice that required little time7, further research can be done to determine the optimal duration for behavioural therapy to be carried out so that owners will be more willing to comply with the given advice. Furthermore, it might be beneficial to break down the components of the behavioural therapy to determine whether one aspect of the behavioural advice is sufficient or all instructions are required in the management of separation anxiety in canines so that unnecessary instructions to owners can be removed20. This is important as dogs were significantly less likely to show improvement when owners receive more than five instructions compared to owners who received fewer than five instructions7.
corroborate whether systematic desensitization is the key to success of treatment for separation anxiety\textsuperscript{20}, more research has to be carried out. Owners are also more likely to comply with the instructions if they are clear and simple,\textsuperscript{1} leading to greater comprehension of both their role and the aims of the behavioural therapy, and hence confidence in the advice giver\textsuperscript{26}. It is pertinent for owners to understand the purpose of their actions, in the case of how systematic desensitisation should be used together with a special toy to ensure that the problem is not aggravated which would occur if the dog links the toy with anxiety\textsuperscript{4,28}.

6. Lastly, a customised treatment programme which takes into account each owner’s routines, capabilities and preferences has been shown to be more successful than a standard behaviour modification programme\textsuperscript{21}. Such customised behavioural therapy advice can help in allowing for treatment to be implemented to an appropriate standard, increasing the chance of treatment success\textsuperscript{26}.

**Conclusion:**
This review article has consolidated the efficacy of behavioural therapy advice on the management of separation anxiety in canines. Behavioural therapy advice is useful in the prevention and treatment of canine separation anxiety and should be the first treatment option. More research can be done to find out which factors in the behavioural advice can be refined and how owner’s compliance can be improved. Medications\textsuperscript{29}, if needed, should be used as a complement to behavioural therapy advice.

**Table 1:** A descriptive comparison of studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors, year and country</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Recruitment</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>How changes to dogs’ behaviour were assessed</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herron et al, 2014\textsuperscript{4} US</td>
<td>116 dogs</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Dog shelter, ≥ 6 months</td>
<td>Counselling session (verbal), written handout</td>
<td>Telephone survey instrument and a survey completed by owner.</td>
<td>No significant effect of adoption counseling on the prevention of separation anxiety.</td>
<td>Further research should be carried out to identify more specific, effective prevention instruments for owners to minimise the development of canine SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackwell et al, 2016\textsuperscript{19} England</td>
<td>176 dogs</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Dogs of any breed, sex, age, size, or neuter status, rehomed from shelter</td>
<td>Leaflets (written)</td>
<td>Postal questionnaire completed by owner.</td>
<td>Dogs in the control group (38%) were more likely to be reported by owners as showing signs of SA/SRB than those in the treatment group (22%).</td>
<td>Written advice provided appears to be effective in reducing the development of SRB after rehoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark et al, 1993\textsuperscript{22} US</td>
<td>30 dogs</td>
<td>13 weeks</td>
<td>Dogs varied in breed and size, and ranged in age from 3 to 36 months</td>
<td>Obedience classes, review outline and lectures (verbal)</td>
<td>Video-camera was used to obtain pretest and posttest measures of obedience behaviour, proximity.</td>
<td>The Obedience group showed lower separation anxiety than the No Instruction group.</td>
<td>It might be useful to address which behaviour problems correlate with SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Cottam et al., 2008

**Location**: US

- **Participants**: 69 dogs with SA
- **Clients**: Averag3 of 21 month3s
- **Materials**: Clients of veterinary school
- **Methods**: (A): Faxed handouts (written), follow-up phone calls (B): in-clinic consultation (verbal), medication for some
- **Results**: Owners filled in questionnaire to confirm SA. Clients were given the opportunity to re-rate the severity of their dog’s behavior in the same situations. Owners were asked one question regarding their perception of any change in their dog’s behavior.
- **Conclusion**: No significant difference was found between the mean difference score of dogs treated via PetFax (41%) and those brought to clinic (39%). Owners who administered medication (83%) to their dogs were no more likely to report improvement in their dog’s SA than owners who did not report using medication as part of their dogs’ behavior modification plan (100%).
- **Communication**: Written/verbal communication without direct observation of the dog in the clinical setting is as effective as direct communication with a client and observation of the dog in a clinical setting for assessing and managing canine SA.

### Butler et al., 2010

**Location**: New Zealand

- **Participants**: 8 dogs with SA
- ** Clients**: Between 1 and 6 months
- **Materials**: Veterinary referrals and responses to a newspaper article
- **Methods**: Verbal behavioural advice
- **Results**: A rating scale was used to measure the owner’s subjective rating of the severity of the SRB during baseline and treatment separations. All vocalization ratings were undertaken from tape-recordings made in the owner’s absence.
- **Conclusion**: Systematic desensitization was successful in reducing both the severity and the frequency of SRB by the end of treatment or follow-up.
- **Communication**: Systematic desensitization was the critical element in the success of treatment. Speed of progress and final success was not related to how consistent the owners applied systematic desensitization, showing that even when owners
Reliability checks were carried out by an independent observer. Progress checks were made every 2 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Podberscek et al 1999&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;, UK</td>
<td>49 dogs with SA</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Owners with dogs who were referred to a behavioural consultant</td>
<td>Dogs’ behavioural modification plan (verbal) Dogs were given clomipramine.</td>
<td>Owners to complete a questionnaire before treatment and three times after treatment Owners were asked to rate the frequencies of the dog’s general behaviour, attachment-related behaviour and SRB.</td>
<td>Dogs displayed no improvement in most of their SRB when clomipramine was used. Dogs displayed significant improvements in SRB except ‘appetite’ when behavioural therapy was used alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takeuchi et al 2000&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;, US</td>
<td>52 dogs with SA</td>
<td>6 to 64 months</td>
<td>Dogs for which a diagnosis of SA was made at the veterinary clinic</td>
<td>Discharge instructions (verbal) were given to owners and some dogs (23) were given medication in combination with behavioural therapy.</td>
<td>Owners to complete a questionnaire during initial examination and were contacted 6 to 64 months later by telephone for follow-up interview on the owners’ perception on the treatment outcome.</td>
<td>23 dogs were treated with medication for more than 1 month; 12 (52%) had improved by the time of follow-up telephone interviews. However, owners felt that the medication was not effective.17 of 25 (68%) dogs treated with behavioral therapy alone had improved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibañez and Anzola 2009&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;, Spain</td>
<td>34 dogs, of which 7 dogs showed SA</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>Dogs diagnosed with a variety of behavioural disorders from A behaviour modification plan (verbal) is given to the owner in combination with fluoxetine</td>
<td>The clinical history was completed using a questionnaire. Information from the</td>
<td>Dogs with SA showed improvement (85%) in symptoms. There was a positive</td>
<td>Behavioural modification program, combined with fluoxetine, diazepam, as</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The study consists of two parts.  1. 50 dogs with SRB problems (referred to as ‘trial cases’)  .  
2. 29 dogs with SRB, (referred to as ‘clinical cases’)  .

1. Participants for ‘trial cases’ are recruited through advertisements in veterinary surgeries, and appeals on local radio  .  
2. The ‘clinical cases’ were referred by veterinary surgeons.

1. For ‘trial cases’, a behaviour modification programme (verbal) was given to owner  .  
2. For ‘clinical cases’, owners receive treatment plan (verbal) tailored to the environment and particular problems of their dog.

Reports and questionnaires from the owners and remote video recordings of the dogs’ behaviour when left alone before and after the programme started.

1. For ‘trial cases’ 56% of the owners of dogs receiving treatment reported a significant improvement after 12 weeks of the programme.  
2. For ‘clinical cases’, 100% of owners reported that their dog’s behaviour had improved and 58% of them reported significant improvements in behaviour in comparison with the control dogs.

Standard behaviour modification programme can be effective for the treatment of SRB.  

The slightly greater degree of improvement reported by the owners of ‘clinical cases’ suggests that a customised treatment programme may have a greater chance of success in the treatment of separation-related problems than a general treatment

<p>| Blackwell et al 2006  | The study consists of two parts. 1. 50 dogs with SRB problems (referred to as ‘trial cases’) . 2. 29 dogs with SRB, (referred to as ‘clinical cases’) . | 12 weeks | 1. Participants for ‘trial cases’ are recruited through advertisements in veterinary surgeries, and appeals on local radio . 2. The ‘clinical cases’ were referred by veterinary surgeons. | 1. For ‘trial cases’, a behaviour modification programme (verbal) was given to owner . 2. For ‘clinical cases’, owners receive treatment plan (verbal) tailored to the environment and particular problems of their dog. | Reports and questionnaires from the owners and remote video recordings of the dogs’ behaviour when left alone before and after the programme started. | The correlation between owner compliance with the treatment recommendations and the values obtained for the improvement achieved for each follow-up period | Treatment for dogs with separation anxiety leads to improvement of behavioural disorders and it may be a faster and more reliable therapy than a behaviour modification plan alone. Owner’s compliance with treatment recommendations may also help in improvement of symptoms. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Study Duration</th>
<th>Case Description</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Study Outcomes</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pineda et al, 2014</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>36 dogs, of which 10 had SA</td>
<td>A behaviour modification plan (verbal) as given in Ibañez and Anzola’s 2009 study in combination with fluoxetine hydrochloride and clorazepate dipotassium</td>
<td>Clinical history was completed using a questionnaire. Information was collected via telephone at 3 points in time after the start of therapy by veterinary overseers. Bipolar rating scale was used for owners to rate how much the dog’s behaviour improved and their compliance with the treatment recommendations.</td>
<td>6 out 10 (60%) of dogs with SA showed improvements in clinical response. There was a correlation between owner compliance with the treatment instructions and the reported improvement achieved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lem, 2002</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>The dog was chosen due to excessive vocalization when left alone</td>
<td>A desensitization and counter-conditioning program. Clomipramine was recommend-ed thereafter.</td>
<td>Dog was left alone and monitored using a cassette tape recording.</td>
<td>The dog was able to be left alone for up to 1 h without vocalization. However, when he was left for 2 h, anxiety came back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karagian nis et al, 2015</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>12 dogs, of which 5 showed signs of SRP</td>
<td>Fluoxetine chewable tablets (Reconcile™) and a standard behaviour modification plan</td>
<td>Questionnaire and interviews of the owners. Canines were evaluated using the spatial cognitive bias test.</td>
<td>An improvement in clinical behaviour measures and the dog’s underlying affective state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capacity of clorazepate to control anxiety was more limited than that of fluoxetine. The behaviour modification plan is a therapeutic tool that, in many cases, requires the use of anxiolytic drugs to produce good results i.e., the use of combination therapy produces better results than either therapy used alone.
SA: separation anxiety, SRB: separation-related behaviours, SRP: separation-related problems, SSRI: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

Table 2: A descriptive comparison of advice given

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors, Year</th>
<th>Description of the advice given</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Herron et al, 2014 US | -Adopters in the treatment group received a 5-minute pre-adoption counseling session, a written handout summarizing this counseling, and a food dispensing toy. Adopters in the control group were not given counseling or the handout.  
- Counseling included a description of the common signs of SA.  
- Guidelines included recommendations such as:  
  1. The designation of a safe home alone area  
  2. 20 minutes of physical exercise before departures  
  3. Downplaying departures and arrivals  
  4. Avoiding punishment for undesirable behaviors upon their return |
| Blackwell et al, 2016 England | -Participants in the control group were given a leaflet containing general advice about vaccinations and worming. Adopters of dogs in the treatment group received a leaflet giving behavioral advice based on a previous behavior modification program (Blackwell et al., 2006). The advice made relevant to newly adopted dogs entering the household for the first time.  
- The behavioral advice included recommendations such as:  
  1. Controlling all social interactions with their dog.  
  2. Not punishing their dog on returning home, regardless of whether the dog had been displayed anxious behaviour.  
  3. Exercising the dog before departing, and to provide enrichment in the form of toys or treats  
  4. A systematic desensitization and counter-conditioning program, involving leaving the dog for gradually increasing periods  
  5. Advice to give the dog a long-lasting food treat during the desensitization session |
| Clark et al, 1993 US | -Obedience classes were provided on a weekly basis for the Obedience group and their dogs. Classes were 90 min each for a total of 8 weeks and taught basic obedience exercises.  
- Lectures on canine behaviour were presented during the last 20 min of each class. The materials were prepared by the authors. The lectures included information about interpreting canine body language, communication techniques and methods to prevent and resolve common dog behaviour problems. Questions about canine behaviour were addressed after the lecture. The class was encouraged to listen to the questions and answers because the topic may pertain to them and their dog. The behaviour problems discussed in class included digging, chewing, barking, house soiling, hyperactivity, fearfulness and jumping on people. |
| Cottam et al, 2008 US | -PetFax - Faxing of instructions to owners  
  1. Avoidance of the cycle of anxiety that occurs during the owner’s departure and arrival,  
  2. Independence training at times when the owner is home,  
  3. Short-term planned departures,  
  4. “Employment” in the form of environmental enrichment while the owner is away, and  
  5. An increase in daily aerobic exercise. Handouts on separation anxiety—its presentation and current treatments  
- Also included are follow-up phone calls between the owner and the certified applied animal behaviorist to discuss implementation of behavior modification techniques. Clinic clients received personalized management and behavior modification recommendations to help address their dog’s separation anxiety, including medications. |
| Butler et al, 2010 New Zealand | -Systematic desensitisation: Owners were instructed to place their dog in isolation with food treats 3–4 times per day, with a minimum of 1 h between isolation periods. Separation periods would then increase until a period of 30–90 min was reached without recurrence of separation-related behaviour. After that, isolation durations were increased more rapidly. If the dog displayed evidence of separation-related behaviour, owners returned to the longest period not previously associated with separation-related behaviour and to proceed more gradually. |
-Counter-conditioning and punishment: Owners were instructed to deliver food during predeparture activity, immediately before leaving the dog, and immediately after the owner returned. If the dog had engaged in destructive behaviour during the owner’s absence, the dog was ignored for 30 min after the owner returned. Dog owners were instructed to cease delivery of positive punishment (verbal or physical) for separation-related problem behaviours.

-4.3. Exercise and stay training All dog owners were instructed to exercise their dog for at least 15 min every day. Owners also received instructions on how to train their dogs to “stay”, using positive reinforcement for lying calmly when given the “stay” command, in neutral settings and then during pre-departure activity. Owners were instructed to reward calm behaviour around the house, to ignore excited behaviour, and to prevent the dog from following the owner around the house by shutting doors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Podbersek et al., 1999, UK</th>
<th>A four-phase plan to modify dog’s’ behaviour are given to owners.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Stop scolding their dog for separation-anxiety related behaviours and limit the amount of interaction with their dogs. Leave dog with owner's clothes, appropriate chew items that are not available when the owner is at home, tape recordings of owner’s voice switched on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Accustom the dog to being separated from its owners when they are at home and awake for varying lengths of time and at different times of the day. Dividing doors to be shut during the separation to deny the dogs from following their owners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Dogs which before the trial slept in the owner’s bedroom should be gradually moved by means of a mobile barrier which is gradually relocated to oblige the dog to sleep closer to, and eventually where it is left when the owners are separated for it during the day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Owners to start the practice of putting on their outdoor clothing, setting burglar alarms etc when they have separated their dog from them, as in phase 2, but should not actually leave the home.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Takeuchi et al., 2000, US | Discharge instructions were individualized for each dog. Several instructions including: no punishment; increase exercise; provide regular relaxation training (sit-stay protocol); crating; downplay departure; give a special toy when leaving; dissociate the cues of departure, such as picking up keys, putting on shoes, and turning off lights, by performing cues without leaving (uncoupling cues); desensitize the dog to owner’s departure and absence by leaving for many short periods (desensitization); and medicate the dog were provided. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iba’n’ez and Anzola, 2009, Spain</th>
<th>This plan consisted of 3 basic steps designed to stop unwanted behaviors and reward preferred behaviors that were incompatible with the performance of undesirable behaviors.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. To stop rewards for undesirable behaviors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. To follow a passive behavior modification plan which included: (1) rewarding only relaxed behavior; (2) eliminating reinforcement of anxious behaviors by reassuring or patting the dog when it showed clinical signs of fear or anxiety; (3) setting a routine for feeding and playing times; (4) spending 20 minutes per day in 4 sessions (5 minutes each) playing or otherwise actively interacting with the dog.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. To begin active behavior modification designed to teach the dogs to relax when they would otherwise be engaged in the problem behavior, in exchange for which the dog was given a food or play reward.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blackwell et al, 2006, UK</th>
<th>The programme was divided into three parts (A, B and C).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Owner to control his or her attention and ignore the dog’s attention-seeking behaviour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Part B involved desensitising the dog to being left alone, by gradually increasing the time that its owner was out of sight, and by preventing it from predicting when its owner was preparing to leave, by changing the owner’s behaviour patterns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Owners were advised to give the dog things to do when left alone, such as providing treat-filled toys to play with and something that carried the owners’ scent, and after returning home, not to punish the dog for any unacceptable behaviour. Advice about feeding and exercise was also given in part C so that they were standardised throughout the trial.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Pineda et al, 2013, Spain | Same advice given in Iba’n’ez and Anzola’s 2009 study. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lem, 2002, Canada</td>
<td>Systematic desensitization and counter-conditioning program. Calm and relaxed behavior were rewarded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karagiannis et al, 2015, UK</td>
<td>At home: Interact with dog only at your initiative and when the dog is relaxed. Praise the dog when it is relaxed. Gradually teach your dog to stay calm and to be alone by gradually increasing the distance and time from the dog. Give departure cues at times other than departure. Praise calm behaviour if appropriate. Before leaving: Show indifference to the dog for 20 to 30 minutes prior to going out. While leaving, give a special toy or a treat to distract the dog and remove the item on return. Do not interact with the dog just before leaving. When returning: Ignore the dog’s excessive greeting until he is quiet and relaxed. Interact with your dog only on your initiative and only when he/she is quiet. Reward calm behaviour. Do not reprimand dog for destructive behaviour or for urinating or defecating in the house.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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