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Abstract
This paper presents the application of servomotor used in textile printing machine. For the control system, PI (proportional-integral) and PID (proportional-integral-derivative) controllers are proposed. System performance is analyzed by comparing the two applications of PI and PID controllers. Simulation is carried by using Matlab/simulink to demonstrate the performance of the proposed PI and PID controllers in servo motor drive.

Introduction:
The electric drive system is a vital part to drive any motor. The electric drive system is used to control the position, speed and torque of the electric motors. Many works has been done on power converter topologies, control scheme of the electric drive systems and on the motor types in order to enhance and improve the performance of the electric motors so as to exactly perform and do what is required [1].

Brushless DC servomotors have some advantages over conventional brushed motors and induction motors. Some of these are; better speed versus torque characteristics, high dynamic response, high efficiency, long operating life, noiseless operation and higher speed ranges. In addition Brushless DC (BLDC) servomotors are reliable, easy to control, and inexpensive. Due to their favorable electrical and mechanical properties, BLDC servomotors are widely used in servo applications such as automotive, aerospace, medical, instrumentation, actuation, robotics, machine tools, and industrial automation equipment and so on recently [2].

Classical PI and PID controllers are commonly used in industries due to their simplicity and ease of implementation [3]. In linear system model, controller parameters of the proposed controller are easy to determine and resulting good control performances. However, for nonlinear system model applications such as BLDC servomotor drive, control performance of the proposed controller becomes poor and difficult to determine the controller parameters [4].

In this research, the operating processes of the Flat-Bed Screen Printing machine at Yamathin textile factory that is located in Mandalay division are considered. After that, a complete simulation model of the application of the operation of a servomotor using PI and PID controller drive is proposed using Matlab/Simulink. By applying it, it can be reduced the occurring of disturbances and uncertainties more than the other classical methods. The proposed controllers which own controller parameters are based on external disturbance and internal variation of the converter with minimum steady state error, overshoot and rise time of the output voltage.
Operational process of textile printing machine:-
Fig. 1 shows the process of the textile printing machine, it is mainly included three parts. These are the fabric carriage, making boundaries and filling ink, washing and drying machine. Firstly, fabric carriage was drawn one meter of the non-color fabric raw material. Secondly, it was passed through the printing processes area which makes boundaries and filling ink by driving the strokes. After that, the processing of washing and drying on the clothing is done. This is also called the finishing part of the overall of the printing machine.

In the textile printing machine, two servomotors are used to synchronize the balancing on the printing process area. The one is fixed on the starting and the other is fixed on the ending of process because of system synchronizing. It includes the encoder to get the exact positioning. The control signal is sent to the encoder by passing the motion control. Moreover, the positioning signal is again sent to the controller driver which is accessed from the servo amplifier. Finally, it will reach the host computer to set all of motion signals.

![Fig.1: Overall diagram of processing of Textile Printing Machine.](image)

Electrical motor torque is proportional to the product of magnetic flux and the armature current. Mechanical or load torque is proportional to the product of force and distance. Motor current varies in relation to the amount of load torque applied. When a motor is running in steady state, the armature current is constant, and the electrical torque is equal and opposite of the mechanical torque. When a motor is decelerating, the motor torque is less than the load torque. Inversely, in the accelerating process, the motor torque is higher than the load torque [5].

Components of the servo control:-
In this brushless DC servomotor, the following devices would be used such as incremental encoder to get the pulse per revolution that concluding the positioning control.

Incremental Encoder:-

![Fig 2:- Pulses of encoder [6].](image)
Fig. 3: Incremental encoder [6]

Fig.3 shows the incremental encoders having two separate outputs called “Quadrature outputs”. These two outputs are displaced at 90 degrees out of phase from each other with the direction of rotation of the shaft being determined from the output sequence [6].

Velocity from Position Encoder:
Linear servomotors are mostly used in applications of high acceleration and deceleration, high velocity or precise velocity control at low speed [7].

Speed and velocity are kinematic quantities that have different definitions. Speed is the rate at which an object covers distance. The average speed is the distance per time ratio. Speed is ignorant of direction.

On the other hand, velocity is a vector quantity; it is direction-aware. Velocity is the rate at which the position changes. The average velocity is the displacement or position change per time ratio. The instantaneous speed of an object is not confused with the average speed. The definition of the average speed is equal to the displacement divided by time travelled [6].

Control methods:
Servomotor’s Characteristics:
In the analysis of electric servo drive motors, the equations for the motor indicate the presence of two time constants. One is a mechanical time constant and the other is an electrical time constant. Since these two time constants are part of the motor block diagram used in a servo analysis, it is important to know the real value of the time constants under actual load conditions [8].

A derivation of the motor equations and the electrical and mechanical motor time constants will be discussed for the dc brushless servo motor. Fig.4 shows the dc servo motor equivalent diagram [8].

Fig.4: DC servo motor equivalent diagram [8]
where: $e_1$ = Applied voltage (volts)
$i_a$ = Armature current (amps)
$J_T$ = Total inertia of motor armature plus load (lb-in-sec$^2$)
$K_e$ = Motor voltage constant (v/rad/sec)
$K_T$ = Motor torque constant (lb-in/A)
$L_a$ = Motor winding inductance (Henries)
$R_a$ = Armature resistance (ohms)
$V_m$ = Motor velocity (rad/sec)
$\alpha$ = Acceleration (rad/sec$^2$)

From Fig.5, the steady state equations are:
$$e_1 = i_a R_a + K_e V_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
$$T = i_a K_T$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

The transfer function is:
$$V_m = \frac{1}{K_e} \frac{e_1}{(\frac{R_a}{K_e} + \frac{L_a}{K_T}) S^2 + (\frac{R_a}{K_e} S + 1)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

**Cascade Control Structure**:
The cascade control structure used for its flexibility of providing relatively separate control for different condition.

**Table i**: Parameters of electrical torque.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ratings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotational speed</td>
<td>3000 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum torque</td>
<td>34 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque control time constant</td>
<td>0.5e$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor and drives overall efficiency (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed at efficiency</td>
<td>1200 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque at efficiency</td>
<td>200 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque independent electrical losses</td>
<td>300*44e$^{-3}$ Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply series resistance</td>
<td>2 ohm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table ii:- parameters of mechanical torque.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ratings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotor inertia</td>
<td>0.3082 kgm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor damping</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial rotor speed</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference voltage</td>
<td>24V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated voltage</td>
<td>300V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integral gain</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional gain</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative gain</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PI Controller:-**

\[
T_r = \left(\frac{K_p}{s}\right) + K_i
\]  

**Fig.7:-** controller of servo motor.

where \( w = \) output speed, \( w_{ref} = \) reference output speed, \( K_p = \) proportional gain, \( K_i = \) integral gain, \( T_r = \) integral time.

A proportional-integral (PI) filter algorithm and velocity and acceleration feed-forward enhance servo control of the axis. In addition, the programming of acceleration and deceleration profiles controls that goes with starting and stopping motion. This gives smoother, more than other controlled operation, leading to quicker settling times for both position and velocity.

Torque \( T_r = \left(\frac{K_p}{s}\right) + K_i \)  

(4)

If the controller is digital, then the derivative term may be replaced with a backward difference and the integral term replaced with a sum. For a small constant sampling time can be approximated [9].

**PID Controller:-**

\[
C(s) = k_p + \frac{k_i}{s} + k_d s
\]  

(5)

PID stands for “proportional, integral, derivative.” These three terms describe the basic elements of a PID controller. Each of these elements performs a different task and has a different effect on the functioning of a system. The Ziegler-Nichols Step Response Method:

**Fig.8:-** PID controller of servo motor.
This method is an experimental close-loop tuning method and is applicable to close-loop stable plants.

Simulation Results:-

For system design, it is not usually necessary to model the current switching controlled by the motor driver whereas ensuring the correct torque-speed characteristics and current drawn from the DC supply. Fig.9 shows simulation model of brushless dc servo motor with PI controller and Fig. 11 shows the simulation model of brushless dc servo motor with PID controller.

In this system, the standard configuration is modeled an inner feedback loop controls current and an outer feedback loop controls motor speed. Speed demand is set by the voltage presented $V_{ref}$ (24V), 3000 rpm. Motor direction is controlled by the voltage presented at the $V_{dir}$ which is set step-change at one second. Braking is controlled by the voltage which is set a step-change after two seconds.

### Table iii: parameters of brushless dc servomotor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ratings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal voltage</td>
<td>300V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-load DC current to driver</td>
<td>$44e^{-3}$ A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current loop time constant</td>
<td>$0.5e^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>1200 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Torque</td>
<td>27 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stall torque</td>
<td>34 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor inertia</td>
<td>0.3082kgm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional gain</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integral gain</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative gain</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum reference voltage</td>
<td>24V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parameters shown in Table iii represent a servomotor and driver operating torque-control mode. Regarding the data based on the assumption, the torque is proportional to the current which is equivalent to the driver controlling current. The motor’s permissible range of torque and speed is defined by the manufacturer torque-speed envelope, and the output torque is assumed to track the torque reference demand with time constant. Electrical losses assume to be the sum of a torque-independent term plus a term proportional to the square of the torque. In addition, a resistor in series with the supply represents to model transmission losses between power supply and servomotor driver.

At the simulation results of no load condition, motor speed reaches maximum 3000 rpm. The braking time reaches at 25s. Therefore, electrical power in kilowatts produces 10.5kW as motor output. The peak current reaches 38A at the same time. By means of no load condition, mechanical power has no output.

**Case 1: PI condition**

Fig.9: Simulation model of brushless dc servo motor with PI controller.
Case 2 - PID condition

Fig.10: Simulation results in PI condition.

Fig.11: Simulation model of brushless dc servo motor with PID controller.
Regarding the data based on the assumption, the torque is proportional to the current which is equivalent to the driver controlling current. The motor’s permissible range of torque and speed is defined by the manufacturer torque-speed envelope, and the output torque is assumed to track the torque reference demand with time constant. Electrical losses assume to be the sum of a torque-independent term plus a term proportional to the square of the torque. In addition, a resistor in series with the supply represents to model transmission losses between power supply and servomotor drive.

Fig. 10 and Fig. 12 show the simulation results in PI and PID conditions. At the simulation results of PI and PID condition, motor speed reaches maximum 3000 rpm. The braking time reaches at 25s. Therefore, electrical power in kilowatts produces 10.5kW as motor output. The peak current reaches 38A at the same time. In the no load condition, mechanical power has no output.

In the PI case, system response is faster than in the case of using PID as shown in Fig.10 and Fig.12. In the waveform of rotor speed the value of w (Ω) can maintain its desired value at 40sec after the stop change in using PI case. However, in the case of using PID, the rotor speed declined in the reverse direction until -2000 rpm. By comparison of the simulation results, system performance by using PI is better than that of using PID controller.
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Conclusions:-
As a conclusion, the studying on the Yamathin textile factory was presented. Building the block diagram related with the Flat-Bed Screen Printing Machine used in brushless DC (BLDC) servomotor was configured. Simulation based on the data of servo motor used in Yamathin textile factory was carried out. And then, the results were comparatively analyzed for servo motor performance by applying the controller of PI and PID. At the simulation results of PI and PID condition, motor speed reaches maximum revolution per minute. The braking time reaches at 25sec after the processing of printing machine. The electrical power in kilowatts produces 10.5kW as motor output. The peak current reaches 38 Amp at the same time in both conditions. In the no load condition, mechanical power has no output. Although rotor speed and mechanical power have the same values in processing of textile printing machine, the three outputs of electrical power, efficiency and supply current have the different condition as the removing on position controlling. According to the results, the outcomes delivered from the PI controller were more preferable than the PID.
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