



Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com
**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)**

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/3318
DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/3318>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

WEYL FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE OF THE PRODUCT MULTIVARIABLES POLYNOMIALS AND I –FUNCTION.

Harish Kumar Mishra.

Department of University Institute of Engineering& Technology, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow, India.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 20 December 2016

Final Accepted: 28 January 2017

Published: February 2017

Abstract

In this research work , we establish a theorem on Weyl fractional derivative of the product multivariable polynomials and I –function . Certain special cases of our theorem have been discussed .

Mathematics Subject classification - 26A33,33C 60 , 44A15.

Key words:-

Weyl fractional derivative operator,
multivariable polynomials and I-function

Copy Right, IJAR, 2017,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

The I- function of the one variable is defined by Saxena (1982) and we will represent here in the following manner:

$$(1.1) \quad I[z] = I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n}[z] = I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n}\left[z \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \dots, \dots \\ \hline \dots, \dots & \dots, \dots \\ \hline \end{array}\right] = I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n}\left[z \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; & (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1,pi} \\ \hline (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; & (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1,qi} \\ \hline \end{array}\right]$$

$$(1.2) \quad = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \theta(s) z^s ds,$$

where $i = \sqrt{(-1)}$, $z(\neq 0)$ is a complex variable and (1.2) $z^s = \exp[s\{\log|z| + i \arg z\}]$. In which $\log|z|$ represent the natural logarithm of $|z|$ and $\arg|z|$ is not necessarily the principle value. An empty product is interpreted as unity. Also,

$$(1.3) \quad \theta(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - f_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + e_j s)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - b_{ji} + f_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(a_{ji} - e_{ji} s) \right]}, \text{ m,n,p}_i \text{ and } q_i \forall i \in (1, \dots, r) \text{ are non-negative}$$

integers satisfying $0 \leq n \leq p_i$, $0 \leq m \leq q_i$; $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, e_{ji} , ($j = 1, \dots, p_i$; $i = 1, \dots, r$) and f_{ji} , ($j = 1, \dots, q_i$; $i = 1, \dots, r$) are assumed to be positive quantities for standardization purpose. Also a_{ji} , ($j = 1, \dots, p_i$; $i = 1, \dots, r$) and b_{ji} , ($j = 1, \dots, q_i$; $i = 1, \dots, r$) are complex numbers such that none of the points.

Corresponding Author:- Harish Kumar Mishra.

Address:- Department of University Institute of Engineering& Technology, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow, India.

$$(1.4) \quad S = \{ (b_n + v) | f_h \}, h = 1, \dots, m; v = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which are the poles of $\Gamma(b_h - f_h s), h = 1, \dots, m$ and the points

$$(1.5) \quad S = \{ (a_l - n - 1) | e_l \} l = 1, \dots, n; \eta = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

Which poles are of $\Gamma(1 - a_l + e_l s)$ coincide with one another, i.e. with

$$(1.6) \quad e_l (b_n + v) \neq b_n (a_l - \eta - 1),$$

for $v, \eta = 0, 1, 2, \dots; h = 1, \dots, m; l = 1, \dots, n$.

Further, the contour L runs from $-i_\infty$ to $+i_\infty$. Such that the poles of $\Gamma(b_n - s), h = 1, \dots, m$; lie to the right of L and the poles $\Gamma(1 - a_l + e_l s), l = 1, \dots, n$ lie to the left of L. The integral converges, if $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} B\pi, B > 0, A \leq 0$, where

$$(1.7) \quad A = \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} e_{ji} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} f_{ji} \text{ and}$$

$$(1.8) \quad B = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j - \sum_{j=n+1}^{p_i} e_{ji} + \sum_{j=1}^m f_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q_i} f_{ji} \quad \forall i \in (1, \dots, r)$$

Let A denote a class of good functions. By good function f, we mean Miller [1975, p.82] a function which is everywhere differentiable any number of times and if it is all of its derivatives are $O(x^{-v})$, for all v as x increases without limit. We define the Weyl fractional derivatives of a function g(z) as follows:-

Let $g \in A$, then for $q < 0$,

$$(1.9) \quad {}_z W_\infty^q g(z) = \frac{(-1)^q}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_z^\infty (u - z)^{-q-1} g(u) du.$$

For $q \geq 0$

$$(1.10) \quad {}_z W_\infty^q g(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} ({}_z W_\infty^{q-n} g(z)),$$

n being positive integer, such that $n > q$.

The general class of multivariable polynomials is defined by Srivastava and Garg [1987]:

$$(1.11) \quad S_L^{h_1, \dots, h_r} [x_1, \dots, x_r] = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r \leq L} (-L)_{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r} A(L; k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{x_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{x_r^{k_r}}{k_r!},$$

where h_1, \dots, h_r are positive integers and the co-efficient $A(L; k_1, \dots, k_r)$, ($L; h_i \in N; i = 1, \dots, r$) are arbitrary constant, real or complex.

Evidently the case $r = 1$ of the polynomials (1.11).

Would correspond the polynomials given by Shrivastava [1972]

$$(3.1.2) \quad S_L^h [x] = \sum_{k=0}^{[L,h]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} x^k \quad \{ L \in N = (0, 1, 2, \dots) \},$$

where h is arbitrary positive integers and the co-efficient $A_{L,k} (L, k \geq 0)$ are arbitrary constant, real or complex.

Mathematical pre-requisites:-

To establish the main result, we need the following integral of the H-function by Saigo [1992]:

$$(2.1) \quad \int_x^\infty t^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\sigma-1} I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[z t^\mu (t-x)^\nu \begin{matrix} | \\ (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ | \\ (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right] dt \\ = x^{\rho+\sigma-1} I_{p_i+2, q_i+1; r}^{m+1, n+1} \left[z x^{\mu+\nu} \begin{matrix} | \\ (1-\sigma, \nu), (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (1-\rho, \mu) \\ | \\ (1-\rho-\sigma, \mu+\nu), (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right],$$

where

- (i) ρ, σ are complex numbers and μ, ν are positive real numbers,
- (ii) $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} A\pi$, A defined as

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} e_{ji} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} f_{ji},$$

$$(iii) \quad \min \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1-\rho-\sigma}{\mu-\nu} \right), \min 1 \leq j \leq m \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{b_j}{f_j} \right) \right] \right] >$$

$$\max \left[-\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\nu} \right), \max 1 \leq j \leq N \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{a_j - 1}{e_j} \right) \right] \right].$$

Weyl Fractional Derivatives Of The Product Of Multivariable Polynomials And I-Function.

Theorem.

Let m, n, p_i and q_i be non-negative integers such that $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 0 \leq m \leq q_i$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n e_j - \sum_{j=n+1}^{p_i} e_{ji} + \sum_{j=1}^m f_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q_i} f_{ji} > 0$ together with the set of conditions (i) – (iii) given with equation (2.1).

Then, for all value of q,

$$(3.1) \quad {}_z W_\infty^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^{h_1, \dots, h_r} \left[c_1 x^{\delta_1}, \dots, c_r x^{\delta_r} \right] \right. \\ \times I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[y x^\mu (x-z)^\nu \begin{matrix} | \\ (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ | \\ (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right] \left. \right\} \\ = \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-\sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i - 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r \leq L} (-L)_{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r} A(L; k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{c_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{c_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \\ \times I_{p_i+2, q_i+1; r}^{m+1, n+1} \left[y z^{\mu+\nu} \begin{matrix} | \\ (2-\sigma+q, \nu), (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (1-\rho-\sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i, \mu) \\ | \\ (2+q-\rho-\sigma-\sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i, \mu+\nu), (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right].$$

Proof : Taking left hand side of equation (3.1)and using equation (1.11), we get

$$(3.2) \quad {}_z W_\infty^q \left\{ x^{\rho+\sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i - 1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r \leq L} (-L)_{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r} A(L; k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{c_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{c_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \right. \\ \times I_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[y x^\mu (x-z)^\nu \begin{matrix} | \\ (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ | \\ (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right] \left. \right\},$$

Now using equation (1.9) and definition of I – function, easily we can find the proof of equation (3.1).

For $q \geq 0$ invoking the definition (1.10) the relation (3.2) further reduces to

$$= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r \leq L} (-L) A(L; k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{c_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{c_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \frac{(-1)^{q+r+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(r-q)} \frac{d^r}{dz^r} \left\{ z^{\rho+\sigma-q+r-\sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i - 2} \right. \\ \times I_{p_{i+2}, q_{i+1}; r}^{m+1, n+1} \left. yz^{\mu+\nu} \begin{Bmatrix} (2 - \sigma \frac{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r}{r} + q, v), (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (1 - \rho - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i, \mu) \\ (2 + q - \rho - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i, \mu + v), (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{Bmatrix} \right\}$$

In replacing of $(q - r)$ by q , we may obtain again

$$= \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-\sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i - 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_r k_r \leq L} (-L) A(L; k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{c_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{c_r^{k_r}}{k_r!} \\ \times I_{p_{i+2}, q_{i+1}; r}^{m+1, n+1} \left[yz^{\mu+\nu} \begin{Bmatrix} (2 - \sigma + q, v), (a_j, e_j)_{1,n}; (a_{ji}, e_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (1 - \rho - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i, \mu) \\ (2 + q - \rho - \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \delta_i, \mu + v), (b_j, f_j)_{1,m}; (b_{ji}, f_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{Bmatrix} \right].$$

Special Case : If we put $r=1$ in the general call of multivariable polynomials given by Srivastava and Garg [1987] reduces to the polynomials given by Srivastava [1972] and I- function reduces into Fax's H – function as follows :

$$(4.1) \quad {}_z W_{\infty}^q \left\{ {}_z W_{\infty}^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^h [x^k] \times H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[yx^{\mu} (x-z)^{\nu} \begin{Bmatrix} (a_1, e_1)_{1,p} \\ (b_1, f_1)_{1,q} \end{Bmatrix} \right] \right\} \right. \\ = \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-k-2} \sum_{k=0}^{[L,k]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} \times H_{p+2, q+1}^{m+1, n+1} \left[yz^{\mu+\nu} \begin{Bmatrix} (2 - \sigma + q, v), (a_j, e_j)_{1,p}, (1 - \rho - k, \mu) \\ (2 + q - \rho - \sigma - k, \mu + v), (b_j, f_j)_{1,q} \end{Bmatrix} \right].$$

Replacing v by $-v$ equation (3.3) correspond to the following result according as $\mu > v$, $\mu < v$ and $\mu = v$, i.e. for $\mu > v$

$$(4.2) \quad {}_z W_{\infty}^q \left\{ {}_z W_{\infty}^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^h [x^k] \times H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[yx^{\mu} (x-z)^{-v} \begin{Bmatrix} (a_1, e_1)_{1,p} \\ (b_1, f_1)_{1,q} \end{Bmatrix} \right] \right\} \right. \\ = \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-k-2} \sum_{k=0}^{[L,h]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} \\ \times H_{p+1, q+2}^{m+2, n} \left[yz^{\mu-v} \begin{Bmatrix} (a_j, e_j)_{1,p}, (1 - \rho - k, \mu) \\ (2 + q - \rho - \sigma - k, \mu - v), (\sigma - q - 1, v), (b_j, f_j)_{1,q} \end{Bmatrix} \right]$$

For $\mu < v$

$$(4.3) \quad {}_z W_{\infty}^q \left\{ {}_z W_{\infty}^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^h [x^k] \times H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[yx^{\mu} (x-z)^{-v} \begin{Bmatrix} (a_1, e_1)_{1,p} \\ (b_1, f_1)_{1,q} \end{Bmatrix} \right] \right\} \right. \\ = \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-k-2} \sum_{k=0}^{[L,h]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} \\ \times H_{p+2, q+1}^{m+1, n+1} \left[yz^{\mu-v} \begin{Bmatrix} (\rho + \sigma - q + k - 1, v - \mu), (a_j, e_j)_{1,p}, (1 - \rho - k, \mu) \\ (\sigma - q - 1, v), (b_j, f_j)_{1,q} \end{Bmatrix} \right]$$

and $\mu = v$

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_zW_{\infty}^q \left\{ {}_zW_{\infty}^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^h [x^k] \times H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[yx^{\mu} (x-z)^{\nu} \middle| (a_1, e_1)_{1,p}, (b_1, f_1)_{1,q} \right] \right\} \right\} \\ & = \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1} \Gamma(2-q-\rho-k)}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-k-2} \sum_{k=0}^{[L,h]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} \\ & \times H_{p+1,q+1}^{m+1,n} \left[y \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j, e_j)_{1,p}, (1-\rho-k, \mu) \\ (\sigma-q-1, \nu), (b_j, f_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally writing $-\mu$ instead of μ , equation (3.3) yields the following results according as $\mu > \nu$, $\mu < \nu$ and $\mu = \nu$ respectively.

For $\mu > \nu$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_zW_{\infty}^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^h [x^k] \times H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[yx^{-\mu} (x-z)^{\nu} \middle| (a_1, e_1)_{1,p}, (b_1, f_1)_{1,q} \right] \right\} \\ & = \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-k-2} \sum_{k=0}^{[L,h]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} \\ & \times H_{p+2,q+1}^{m,n+2} \left[yz^{-\mu+\nu} \left| \begin{array}{l} (q-\rho-\sigma-k+1, \mu-\nu), (2+q-\sigma, \nu), (a_j, e_j)_{1,p} \\ (b_j, f_j)_{1,q}, (\rho+k, \mu) \end{array} \right. \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu < \nu$

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_zW_{\infty}^q \left\{ {}_zW_{\infty}^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^h [x^k] \times H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[yx^{-\mu} (x-z)^{\nu} \middle| (a_1, e_1)_{1,p}, (b_1, f_1)_{1,q} \right] \right\} \right\} \\ & = \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(-q)} z^{\rho+\sigma-q-k-2} \sum_{k=0}^{[L,h]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} \\ & \times H_{p++,q+1}^{m+1,n+1} \left[yz^{-\mu+\nu} \left| \begin{array}{l} (2-\sigma+q, \nu), (a_j, e_j)_{1,p} \\ (2+q-\rho-\sigma-k, \nu-\mu), (b_j, f_j)_{1,q}, (\rho+k, \mu) \end{array} \right. \right] \end{aligned}$$

and for $\mu = \nu$

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_zW_{\infty}^q \left\{ {}_zW_{\infty}^q \left\{ x^{\rho-1} (z-x)^{\sigma-1} S_L^h [x^k] \times H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[yx^{-\mu} (x-z)^{\nu} \middle| (a_1, e_1)_{1,p}, (b_1, f_1)_{1,q} \right] \right\} \right\} \\ & = \frac{\Gamma(2-\rho-k-\sigma+q)}{\Gamma(-q)} \frac{(-1)^{q+\sigma-1}}{z^{\rho+\sigma-q-k-2}} \sum_{k=0}^{[L,h]} \frac{(-L)_{hk}}{k!} A_{L,k} \\ & \times H_{p+2,q+1}^{m+1,n+1} \left[yz^{\mu+\nu} \left| \begin{array}{l} (2-\sigma+q, \nu), (a_j, e_j)_{1,p}, (1-\rho-k, \mu) \\ (2+q-\rho-\sigma-k, \mu+\nu), (b_j, f_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Reference:-

1. Agrawal, P. (2011). On multiple integral relations involving generalized Mellin-Barnes type of contour integral. *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Information and Mathematical Sciences*. **27**(4), 449–462 Aletheia University.
2. Fox, C. (1968). The G and H-function as Symmetrical Fourier, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **98**, 395-429.
3. Gupta, K.C. and Jain, U.C. (1968). On the derivative of the H-functions. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect., A* **38**, 189-192.

4. Gupta, K.C. and Soni, R.C. (2006). On a basic integral formula involving the product of the H-function and Fox H-function. *J. Raj. Acad. Sci.*, **4(3)**, 157 .
5. Miller, K.S. (1975). *The Weyl fractional calculus, fractional calculus and its applications*. Lecture Notes in Math., 457, Springer-Verlag, New York, 80-89.
6. Oldhan, K.B. and Spanier, J. (1974). *The fractional calculus Academic press*. New York and London.
7. Ross, B. (1974). *Fractional Calculus and its applications (Proceeding of the international conference held at the university of New Haven*. Springer, Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg and New York.
8. Saigo, M., Saxena R.K. and Ram, J. (1992). On the fractional calculus operator associated with the H-function. *Ganita Sandesh* **6(1)**, 36-47
9. Srivastava, H. M. (1972) . A contour integral involving Fox's H-function. *India J. Math.* **14**, 1 – 6.
10. Srivastava, H. M., Gupta, K.C. and Goyal, S.P. (1982). The H -function of one and two variables with applications. South Asian Publishers, New Delhi, Madras.
11. Srivastava, H. M. and Garg, M. (1987). Some integrals involving a general class of polynomials and multivariable H-function. *Rev Roumaine Phy.*, **32**, 685 – 692.
12. Saxena, V.P. (1982). Formal solution of certain new pair of Dual integral equations involving H-function. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India* **52**, A III, 366-375.