



Journal Homepage: -www.journalijar.com
**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
 ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)**

Article DOI:10.21474/IJAR01/6906
 DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/6906>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS OF MULTICOMPARTMENT EPIDEMIC MODEL.

Laid chahrazed.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact Sciences, University Freres Mentouri, Constantine, Algeria.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 11 February 2018
 Final Accepted: 13 March 2018
 Published: April 2018

Keywords:-

Basic reproduction number, endemic equilibrium, Local asymptotic stability, stochastic stability.

Abstract

In this paper, we study a nonlinear mathematical model in population with variable size. Size $N(t)$ at time t , is divided into eight sub classes, with $N(t) = S(t) + I(t) + I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t) + Q(t) + R(t)$; where $S(t)$, $I(t)$, and $Q(t)$ denote the sizes of the population susceptible to disease, and infectious members, quarantine members with the possibility of infection through temporary immunity, respectively. The stability of a disease-free status equilibrium and the existence of endemic equilibrium can be determined by the ratio called the basic reproductive number. This paper study the equilibrium, local stability and the stochastic stability of the free disease equilibrium under certain conditions.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2018,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

This paper considers the following epidemic model with temporary immunity:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}(t) &= l + n - (\mu + d)S(t) + \frac{S I(t)}{N(t)} - a \frac{S(t)I(t)}{N(t)} + gS(t)I(t - \tau)e^{-\mu_6 \tau}, \\ \dot{I}(t) &= a \frac{S(t)I(t)}{N(t)} - \frac{S I(t)}{N(t)} - (m_0 + d + b)I(t), \\ \dot{I}_1(t) &= b_1 I(t) - (m_1 + d + g_1)I_1(t), \\ \dot{I}_2(t) &= b_2 I(t) - (m_2 + d + g_2)I_2(t), \\ \dot{I}_3(t) &= b_3 I(t) - (m_3 + d + g_3)I_3(t), \\ \dot{I}_4(t) &= b_4 I(t) - (m_4 + d + g_4)I_4(t), \\ \dot{Q}(t) &= g_1 I_1(t) + g_2 I_2(t) + g_3 I_3(t) + g_4 I_4(t) - (m_5 + d + d)Q(t), \\ \dot{R}(t) &= dQ(t) - (\mu_6 + d)R(t) - gS(t)I(t - \tau)e^{-\mu_6 \tau} \end{aligned}$$

Consider a population of size $N(t)$ at time t , this population is divided into for sub-classes, with $N(t) = S(t) + I(t) + I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t) + Q(t) + R(t)$.

Where $S(t)$, $I(t)$, $I_1(t)$, $I_2(t)$, $I_3(t)$, $I_4(t)$, $Q(t)$ and $R(t)$ denote the sizes of the population susceptible to disease, infectious members, quarantine members with the possibility of infection through temporary immunity, and who were removed from the possibility of infection respectively. The positive constants μ_1 , μ_2 , μ_3 , μ_4 , μ_5 and μ_6 represent the death rates of susceptible, infectious, quarantine and removed. Biologically, It is natural to assume that $\mu \leq \min \{\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5, \mu_6\}$.

Corresponding Author:- Laid chahrazed.

Address:- Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact Sciences, University Freres Mentouri, Constantine, Algeria.

The positive constant d is natural mortality rate. The positive constant $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4$ is the average numbers of contacts. The positive constants λ represent the incidence rate of the population. The positive constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$, and γ_4 is the numbers of transfer or conversion of infected people quarantined. d the number of transfer or conversion of Q to R. v the positive constant is the parameter of immigration. α the positive constant is the parameter of emigration

The term $gS(t)I(t-\tau)e^{-\mu_5 t}$ indicates that an individual has quarantined in a pool recovery before becoming susceptible again, where τ is the length of immunity period.

The initial condition of (1) is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} S(h) &= F_1(h), I(h) = F_2(h), \\ Q(h) &= F_3(h), R(h) = F_4(h), \\ -\tau \leq h \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where, $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4)^T \in C$ such that:

$$S(\cdot) = F_1(\cdot) = F_1(0) \geq 0, I(\cdot) = F_2(\cdot) = F_2(0) \geq 0, Q(\cdot) = F_3(\cdot) = F_3(0) \geq 0, R(\cdot) = F_4(\cdot) = F_4(0) \geq 0.$$

Let C denote the Banach space $C([- \tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^4)$ of continuous functions mapping the interval $[- \tau, 0]$ into \mathbb{R}^4 . With a biological meaning, we further assume that:

$$F_i(\cdot) = F_i(0) \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

With the initial condition in (2) which becomes:-

$$\begin{aligned} S(h) &= F_1(h), I(h) = F_2(h), \\ Q(h) &= F_3(h), R(h) = F_4(h), \\ -\tau \leq h \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where,

$$F_1(0) \geq 0, F_2(0) \geq 0, F_3(0) \geq 0, F_4(0) \geq 0, -\tau \leq 0.$$

The region $W = \{(S(t), I(t), I_1(t), I_2(t), I_3(t), I_4(t), Q(t), R(t)) \in \mathbb{R}_+^8, S(t) + I(t) + I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t) + Q(t) + R(t) \leq N < \frac{l+n}{m+d}\}$ is positively invariant.

Hence system (1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}(t) &= l + n - (\mu + d)S + \frac{s}{N(t)} - a \frac{S(t)I(t)}{N(t)} + gS(t)I(t - \tau)e^{-\mu_5 t}, \\ \dot{I}(t) &= a \frac{S(t)I(t)}{N(t)} - \frac{sI(t)}{N(t)} - (m_0 + d + b)I(t), \\ \dot{I}_1(t) &= b_1 I(t) - (m_1 + d + g_1)I_1(t), \\ \dot{I}_2(t) &= b_2 I(t) - (m_2 + d + g_2)I_2(t), \\ \dot{I}_3(t) &= b_3 I(t) - (m_3 + d + g_3)I_3(t), \\ \dot{I}_4(t) &= b_4 I(t) - (m_4 + d + g_4)I_4(t), \\ \dot{Q}(t) &= g_1 I_1(t) + g_2 I_2(t) + g_3 I_3(t) + g_4 I_4(t) - (m_5 + d + d)Q(t), \\ \dot{R}(t) &= dQ(t) - (\mu_6 + d)R(t) - gS(t)I(t - \tau)e^{-\mu_5 t} \end{aligned}$$

Equilibrium Points:-

An equilibrium point of system (4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & l + n - (\mu + d)S + \frac{sI}{N} - a \frac{SI}{N} + gSI(t - t)e^{-\mu_6 t} = 0, \\
 & a \frac{SI}{N} - \frac{sI}{N} - (m_0 + d + b)I = 0, \\
 & b_1 I - (m_1 + d + g_1)I_1 = 0, \\
 & b_2 I - (m_2 + d + g_2)I_2 = 0, \\
 & b_3 I - (m_3 + d + g_3)I_3 = 0, \\
 & b_4 I - (m_4 + d + g_4)I_4 = 0, \\
 & g_1 I_1 + g_2 I_2 + g_3 I_3 + g_4 I_4 - (m_5 + d + d)Q = 0, \\
 & dQ - (\mu_6 + d)R - gSI(t - t)e^{-\mu_6 t} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

satisfies:

We calculate the points of equilibrium in the absence and presence of infection.

In the absence of infection, the system (5) has a disease-free equilibrium E_0 :

$$E_0 = (S, I, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, Q, R)^T = \left(\frac{l+n-a}{m+d}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right)^T.$$

The eigenvalues can be determined by solving the characteristic equation of the linearization of (4) near E_0 .

So, the eigenvalues are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= -(\mu + d), A_2 = a - \frac{s}{N^*} - (\mu_0 + d + b), \\
 A_3 &= -(\mu_1 + d + g_1), A_4 = -(\mu_2 + d + g_2) \\
 A_5 &= -(\mu_3 + d + g_3), A_6 = -(\mu_4 + d + g_4) \\
 A_7 &= -(\mu_6 + d + g_6), A_8 = -(\mu_6 + d)
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to A_2 , will be negative, then we define the basic reproduction number of the infection R_0 as follows:

$$R_0 = \frac{a(l+n)}{s(m+d) + (l+n)(m_0 + d + b)}$$

In the presence of infection, substituting in the system, Ω also contains a unique positive, endemic equilibrium

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_t^* &= (S_t^*, I_t^*, I_{1t}^*, I_{2t}^*, I_{3t}^*, I_{4t}^*, Q_t^*, R_t^*)^T \\
 E_t^* &= (S_t^*, I_{it}^*, Q_t^*, R_t^*)^T, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Where:-

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_t^* &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{d}{e^{-\mu_6 t}} - (m_0 + d + b)N^* \right) \\
 I_t^* &= \frac{1}{g e^{-m_3 t}} \left((m + d) - \frac{m + l}{S_t^*} \right) \\
 I_{it}^* &= \frac{b_i}{m_i + d + g_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
 Q_t^* &= \frac{1}{\mu_5 + d + d} \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{g_i b_i}{m_i + d + g_i} \\
 R_t^* &= \frac{1}{\mu_6 + d} \left(\frac{d}{\mu_5 + d + d} \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{g_i b_i}{m_i + d + g_i} - (m + d)S_t^* + l + u \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition

Let $(S, I, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, Q, R)$, the solution of the system (4) is defined in $(0, \infty]$ and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) \leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu + d}.$$

Proof

We have $\dot{N} = \nu + \lambda - \mu S - \mu_0 I - \mu_1 I_1 - \mu_2 I_2 - \mu_3 I_3 - \mu_4 I_4 - \mu_5 Q - \mu_6 R - dN$,

$$\dot{N} \leq \nu + \lambda - (\mu + d)$$

By integration,

$$N(t) \leq \frac{\nu + \lambda}{\mu + d} (1 - e^{-(\mu + d)t}) \quad t \in (0, T],$$

, for every

$$N(t) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu + d}$$

The solutions of sub-populations are bounded in the interval $(0, T]$.

$$\text{Then we have } N(t) \leq \frac{\nu + \lambda}{\mu + d} (1 - e^{-(\mu + d)t}) \quad t \in (0, \infty]$$

, for every

$$\text{Finally } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) \leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu + d}.$$

The local stability of the free-disease equilibrium :-**Theorem 1:-**

The disease-free equilibrium E_0 is locally asymptotically stable if and only if

$$\alpha < \frac{\sigma(\nu + \lambda)}{\mu + d} - (\mu_0 + d + \beta)$$

Proof:- Let

$$x = S - \frac{\nu + \lambda}{\mu + d}, y = I, y_i = I_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

$$z = Q, u = R, w = N - \frac{\nu + \lambda}{\mu + d}.$$

With the change, the system (4) becomes

$$\dot{x} = [-(\mu + d)]x + \left[\frac{\sigma}{w + N} + \left(\gamma e^{-\mu_6 \tau} - \frac{\alpha(\mu + d)}{w(\mu + d) + (\nu + \lambda)} \right) S \right] y,$$

$$\dot{y} = \left[\frac{\alpha S - \sigma}{w + N} - (\mu_0 + d + \beta) \right] y,$$

$$\dot{y}_i = \beta_i y - (\mu_i + d + \gamma_i) y_i, \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

$$\dot{z} = \gamma_1 y_1 + \gamma_2 y_2 + \gamma_3 y_3 + \gamma_4 y_4 - (\mu_5 + d + \delta) z,$$

$$\dot{u} = [-\gamma e^{-\mu_6 \tau} S] y + \delta z - (\mu_6 + d) u.$$

With the linearized of system (7) at the point $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$, we obtain the eigenvalues,

$$A_i = -(\mu_i + d + \gamma_i), \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

$$A_5 = -(\mu + d),$$

$$A_6 = \alpha - \frac{\sigma}{N} - (\mu_0 + d + \beta),$$

$$A_7 = -(\mu_5 + d + \delta),$$

$$A_8 = -(\mu_6 + d).$$

The eigenvalues have a negative real part, so;

E_0 is locally asymptotically stable if and only if $\alpha < \frac{\sigma(\nu + \lambda)}{\mu + d} - (\mu_0 + d + \beta)$.

Stochastic stability of the free-disease equilibrium:-

We limit ourselves here to perturbing only the contact rate so we replace k by $a + a b(t)$, where $b(t)$ is white noise (Brownian motion). The system (4) is transformed to the following Itô stochastic differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= \left(\frac{\sigma}{N} + n - (\mu + d)S + \frac{sI}{N} + ge^{-\mu_6 t} SI(t - t) - a \frac{SI}{N} \right) dt - aSI db, \\ dI &= \left(\frac{\sigma}{N} \frac{SI}{N} - \frac{sI}{N} - (\mu_0 + d + b)I \right) dt + aSI db, \\ dI_1 &= \left(\phi_1 I - (\mu_1 + d + g_1)I_1 \right) dt, \\ dI_2 &= \left(\phi_2 I - (\mu_2 + d + g_2)I_2 \right) dt, \\ dI_3 &= \left(\phi_3 I - (\mu_3 + d + g_3)I_3 \right) dt, \\ dI_4 &= \left(\phi_4 I - (\mu_4 + d + g_4)I_4 \right) dt, \\ dQ &= \left(g_1 I_1 + g_2 I_2 + g_3 I_3 + g_4 I_4 - (\mu_5 + d + d)Q \right) dt, \\ dR &= \left(\frac{\sigma}{N} Q - (\mu_6 + d)R - ge^{-\mu_6 t} SI(t - t) \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2:- If $R_0 < 1$, $I(t)$ and $R(t)$ are exponentially almost surely stable.

Proof:-

$$\text{Let } w \text{ such that } \frac{\sigma(\mu + d)}{\lambda + \nu} + (\mu_0 + d + \beta) - \left(\frac{\alpha(\mu + d)}{\lambda + \nu} - w \gamma e^{-\mu_6 \tau} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda + \nu}{\mu + d} \right) > 0$$

With the Itô's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d \log(I + wR) &= \frac{1}{I + wR} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{N} - w \gamma e^{-\mu_6 \tau} \right) SI - \left(\frac{\sigma}{N} + (\mu_0 + d + \beta) \right) I + w \delta Q - w(\mu_6 + d)R - \frac{a^2(SI)^2}{2(I + wR)} \right] dt + \frac{aSI}{I + wR} db \\ d \log(I + wR) &\leq \frac{-1}{(I + wR)} \left[\left(\frac{(\lambda + \nu)w \gamma e^{-\mu_6 \tau}}{\mu + d} \right) - \alpha + \frac{\sigma(\mu + d)}{\lambda + \nu} \right] I + \frac{aSI}{I + wR} db \\ &\quad + \left((\mu_0 + d + \beta) + (w(\mu_6 + d))R \right) \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that

$$M = \min \left\{ \left(\left(\frac{(\lambda + \nu) w \gamma e^{-\mu_0 \tau}}{\mu + d} \right) - \alpha + \frac{\sigma(\mu + d)}{\lambda + \nu} + (\mu_0 + d + \beta) \right), w(\mu_0 + d) \right\}$$

Then

$$d \log(I + wR) \leq -Mdt + \frac{aSI}{I + wR} db$$

With integration, we obtain

$$\log(I + wR) \leq -Mdt + a \int_0^t \frac{S(v)I(v)}{(I(v) + wR(v))} db(v).$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{S(v)I(v)}{(I(v) + wR(v))} \right)^2 \text{ is bounded. Then}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \frac{S(v)I(v)}{(I(v) + wR(v))} db(v) = 0 \text{ almost surely.}$$

The following form from Doob's martingale inequality combined with Itô isometry see [17].

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log(I + wR) \leq -M \text{ almost surely}$$

Then we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log I \leq -M, \text{ so } I \text{ is almost surely}$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log R \leq -M, \text{ so } R \text{ is almost surely.}$$

Theorem 3.

If $\frac{a(m+d)}{l+n} - \gamma e^{-\mu_0 t} - 2(m+d) < 0$, $S(t)$ converge exponentially almost surely to $\frac{l+n}{m+d}$.

Proof:

Applying Itô formula to the first equation in system (8), we obtain

$$d \log \left| S - \frac{l+n}{m+d} \right| = \left((\mu + d) + \frac{sI/N}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} - \frac{s(m+d)\gamma}{l+n} \right) dt - a \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} db,$$

$$d \log \left| S - \frac{l+n}{m+d} \right| = (\mu + d) - \frac{a(m+d)}{l+n} - g e^{-\mu_0 t} \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \frac{SI^2}{\left(S - \frac{l+n}{m+d} \right)^2} dt - a \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} db,$$

We suppose that

$$F(x) = (\mu + d) - \frac{a(m+d)}{l+n} - g e^{-\mu_0 t} \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} - \frac{1}{2} a^2 x^2, \quad \text{with} \quad x = \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}},$$

$$d \log \left| S - \frac{l+n}{m+d} \right| = F(x) dt - a \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} db,$$

If the determinant of the equation is negative, then for all x .

$$F(x) \leq \frac{D}{a^2} < 0, \quad \text{with} \quad D = \frac{a(m+d)}{l+n} - g e^{-\mu_0 t} \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} - 2(m+d)$$

We have

$$d \log \left| S - \frac{l+n}{m+d} \right| \leq \frac{D}{a^2} dt - a \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} db,$$

With integration, we obtain

$$\log \left| S - \frac{l+n}{m+d} \right| \leq \frac{D}{a^2} t - a \int_0^t \frac{S(v)I(v)}{S(v) - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} db(v)$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{S(v)I(v)}{S(v) - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} db(v) = 0 \text{ almost surely}$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left| S - \frac{l+n}{m+d} \right| \leq \frac{D}{a^2}.$$

Conclusion:-

In this paper, the epidemic model has a disease free equilibrium E_0 , which is locally asymptotically stable if and only if $\alpha < \frac{\sigma(\nu + \lambda)}{\mu + d} - (\mu_0 + d + \beta)$ and the endemic equilibrium E_t^* .

We prove $I(t)$ and $R(t)$ are exponentially almost surely stable if $R_0 < 1$, Finally $S(t)$ converge exponentially almost surely to $\frac{l+n}{m+d}$ If $\frac{a(m+d)}{l+n} - g e^{-\mu_0 t} \frac{SI}{S - \frac{l+n}{m+d}} - 2(m+d) < 0$.

References:-

1. A. Lahrouz, L. Omari, D. Kiouach. Global analysis of a deterministic and stochastic nonlinear SIRS epidemic model. *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2011, pp 59–76.
2. Anderson R. M and Medley R M and Johnson. A. K. A Preliminary Study of the Transmission Dynamics of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), the Causative Agent of AIDS. *IMA. J. Math. Appl. Med. Biol* 3, 1986, pp. 229-263.
3. Abta A and Kaddar A and Talibi H. A. Global Stability for Delay SIR and SEIR Epidemic Models with Saturated Incidence Rates. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 23, 2012, pp. 1-13.
4. B. Bonzi, A. A. Fall, Abderrahman Iggidr, Gauthier Sallet. Stability of differential susceptibility and infectivity epidemic models. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, Springer Verlag (Germany), 2011, 62 (1), pp.39-64
5. Bailey. N.T.J. Some Stochastic Models for Small Epidemics in Large Population. *Appl. Statist.*13, 1964, pp. 9-19.
6. Bailey. N.T.J. The Mathematical Theory of Infection Diseases and its Application. *Applied Statistics*, 26, N1, 1977, pp. 85-87.
7. Batiha, M. S. M. Noorani and I. Hashim. Numerical solutions of the nonlinear integro-differential equations, *Int. J. Open Probl. Compt. Math*, 2008, pp. 34-42.
8. Becker.N.G. The Uses of Epidemic Models. *Biometrics* 35, 1979 pp. 295-305.
9. Billard.L. A Stochastic General Epidemic in m Sub-Population. *J. Appl. Prob.* 13, 1976, pp. 567-572.
10. James M. Hyman, Jia Li. The reproductive number for an HIV model with differential infectivity and staged progression.
11. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 398. 2005, pp 101–116.
12. James M. Hyman, Jia Li. Epidemic Models with differential susceptibility and staged progression and their dynamics. *Mathematical Biosciences and engineering*. Volume 6 , Number 2 , April 2009, pp . 321–332.
13. Jinliang W, Xinxin Tian. Global Stability of a Delay Differential Equation of Hepatitis B Virus Infection with Immune Response. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2013, 94, pp. 1-11.
14. Jin. Z, Zhien. M and Maoan. H. Globale stability of an SIRS epidemic model with delay. *Acta Matematica Scientia*. 26 B, 2006, pp. 291-306.
15. Kuang Y. *Delay-Differential Equations with application in population biology*. Academic Press, new york. 1993.
16. Lounes. R and Arazoza. H. Modeling HIV Epidemic Under Contact Tracing. The Cuban Case. *Journal of theoritical Medecine* Vol 2, 2000, pp. 267-274.
17. Lounes. R, Arazoza. H. A Non-Linear Model for a Sexually Transmitted Disease with contact tracing. *IMA. J. MJath. Appl. Med. Biol.*19, 2002, pp. 221-234.
18. Lahrouz A and El Maroufy H. Qualitative Behaviour of a Model of an SIRS Epidemic Stability and Permanence. *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*. An International Journal 5 (2), 2011, pp. 220-238.
19. Luo Q and Mao X. Stochastic population dynamics under regime switching. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*334, 2007, pp. 69-84.
20. Michael Steel J. *Stochastic calculus and financial applications*. Springer-Verlag. 2003.
21. Naresh R, and Omar S. An epidemic model for the transmission dynamics of HIV/AIDS and another infection. *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*-1(3), 2010, pp. 68-72.
22. Oksendal-B *Stochastic Differential Equations an Introduction with Applications* Fifth Edition; corrected printing springer verlag Heidelberg New york . 2000. pp. 1-352.
23. P. LaSalle and S. Lefschetz, *Stability by Liapunov's direct method*. Academic Press. 1961.
24. Perto. L. *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*. 2nd edition. Springer, New York. 1996.
25. Ray Waston. A useful Random Time-Seal Transformation for The Standard Epidemic Model. *J. Appl. Prob.*17, 1980, pp. 324-332.
26. Ray Waston. On the Size Distribution for Some Epidemic Models. *J. Appl. Prob.*17, 1980, pp. 912-921.
27. Robert N and May. *Population Biology of infectious diseases I*. International centre of theoritical physics, 1982, pp. 1-9.
28. Ruoyan Sun. Global stability of the endemic equilibrium of multi group SIR models with nonlinear incidence. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 60, 2010, pp. 2286-2291.
29. Sallet. Modélisation et simulation en épidémiologie. *R0_EPICASA09*.INRIA & IRD. 2010, pp 1-38. http://www.math.univ-metz.fr/~sallet/R0_EPICASA09.pdf
30. Sudipa Chauhan, Om Parakash Misra, Joydip Dhar. Stabiliy Analysis of SIR model with vaccination. *American journal of computational and applied mathematics*, 4(1), 2014, pp. 17-23.

31. Takeuchi and W. Ma. Stability analysis on a delayed SIR epidemic model with density dependent birth process, *Dynam. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Systems*, 5, 1999, pp.171-184.
32. Volodymyr Makarov, Denis Dragunov. A numeric-analytical method for solving the Cauchy problem for ordinary differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2010, pp. 1-26.
33. W. Ma, Y. Takeuchi, T. Hara and E. Beretta, Permanence of an SIR epidemic model with distributed time delays. *Tohoku Math. J.* 54, 2002, pp. 581-591.
34. W. Wang, Global behavior of an SEIR epidemic model with time delay. *Appl. Math. Letters*.15, 2002, pp. 423-428.
35. Wen L and Yang X. Global stability of a delayed SIRS model with temporary immunity. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 38, 2008, pp. 221-226.
36. Xiao, L Chen and F. Ven den Bosch, Dynamical behavior for a stage-structured SIR infectious disease model. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl* 3, 2002, pp.175-190.
37. Z. Ma, J. Liu and J. Li, Stability analysis for differential infectivity epidemic models. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl* 4, 2003, pp. 841-856.
38. Zhang F and Zhen Li and Zhang F. Global stability of an SIR epidemic model with constant infectious period. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 199, 2008, pp. 285-291.