

Journal homepage: http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Sex determination from vertical diameter of femoral head in Gujarati population

*Urvik C. Kukadiya, Tulsibhai C. Singel, Pratik N. Trivedi, Jayesh K. Rathava, Vidya K. Satapara, Dilip V. Gohil, Mital M. Patel,

Department of Anatomy, Shri M.P. Shah Government Medical College, Jamnagar, Gujarat

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History:

Received: 12 April 2014 Final Accepted: 23 May 2014 Published Online: June 2014

Key words:

Femur,

Sexual dimorphism, Vertical diameter of femoral head.

Corresponding Author

Urvik C. Kukadiya

Abstract

Introduction: Femur is the longest and strongest bone in human body. Determination of sex is relatively easy if the entire skeleton is available for examination. In the absence of skull and pelvis long bones either individually or in combination has been used for determining sex.

Material and methods: Present Study was carried out on 141 human femora (115 male, 26 female) of known sexes in Anatomy Department, M. P. Shah Government Medical College, Jamnagar, Gujarat by using demarcating point method. Vertical diameter of femoral head is the straight distance between the highest and deepest point of the femoral head.

Results: Average vertical diameter of femoral head of right male femur was 44.07 mm, average vertical diameter of femoral head of right female femur was 39.50 mm, average vertical diameter of femoral head of left male femur was 44.22 mm and average vertical diameter of femoral head of left female femur was 39.07 mm. Demarcating point method identified 0% right and 5% left male femur correctly as male. Demarcating point method also identified 25% right and 21.43% left female femur correctly as female.

Conclusion: Mean Vertical diameter of femoral head of male femur is more than female femur in the Gujarati population of present study. Findings of the present study may be useful in medico legal cases for estimation of sex from available fragmentary femora. The study can also be useful to anatomist & physical anthropologist.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2014,. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Femur is the longest and strongest bone in human body. ⁽¹⁾Determination of sex is relatively easy if the entire skeleton is available for examination. For determination of sex accurately, skull and pelvis are the highly reliable skeletal element. ⁽²⁾

Sometimes determination of sex becomes a difficult task for the forensic anthropologist, especially in the absence of the skull and pelvis. So, most of the long bones, either individually or in combination, have been subjected to statistical and morphological analysis for determining sex. Sexual dimorphism in the femur is due to modification of the female pelvis with respect to its specialized function of reproduction. Therefore, the stress and strain experienced by the femur is different in a male than it is in a female. (3)

The study of sexual dimorphism of vertical diameter of femoral head has been carried out by other workers in different population, but in Gujarat few studies have been done. So, the present study has been carried out to establish sexual dimorphism of vertical diameter of femoral head in Gujarati populations.

Material and Methods

Present Study was carried out on Dry femora of known sexes in Anatomy Department, M. P. Shah Government Medical College, Jamnagar, Gujarat. The femora were obtained by maceration of the dead bodies received as voluntary donations or unclaimed bodies received at this department. A total of 141 human femora (115 male, 26 female) were used for the present study. Femora showing pathological abnormality, fractured and unknown sex were not included in study.

First of all, side of each femur will be determined. To avoid intra- observer error, each measurement was taken at three different times and their average was used as final reading. The present study was conducted after taking approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee.

Vertical diameter of femoral head was considered as a maximum diameter of femoral head ⁽⁴⁾. It was measured with a caliper. It measures the straight distance between the highest and deepest points of the femoral head. ⁽⁵⁾ (**Photograph 1**)

Demarcating point (DP) for femur: (6)

Demarcating point was measured by using mean \pm 3SD (calculated range, cover 99.75% of sample) for all the parameters.

Demarcating point for maximum length of both male and female femur was calculated as follows:



Photograph 1:- Showing vertical diameter of femoral head.

Greatest length recorded for the female femur by using calculated range was the demarcating point for male femur and the shortest length recorded for the male femur by using the calculated range was the demarcating point for female femur. All bones having length more than the Demarcating point for male was identified as male femur and all bones having length less than the Demarcating point for female was identified as female femur.

Result

As shown in table 1, average vertical diameter of femoral head of right male femur was 44.07 mm, average vertical diameter of femoral head of right female femur was 39.50 mm, average vertical diameter of femoral head of left male femur was 44.22 mm and average vertical diameter of femoral head of left female femur was 39.07 mm.

DP for vertical diameter of femoral head of right male femur was >49.20 mm and for right female femur was <36.46 mm, while for left male femur >48.07 mm and for left female femur <36.17 mm. DP method identified 0 % right and 5% left male femur correctly as male. DP method also identified 25 % right and 21.43% left female femur correctly as female.

Data for vertical diameter of femoral head of both sides male and female was statistically highly significant, as p value was <0.01.

Mean vertical diameter of femoral head of male femur was more than the mean vertical diameter of femoral head of female femur and the data was statistically highly significant, as p value was <0.01.

Statistical values	RIGHT		LEFT		
	Male(N=55)	Female(N=12)	Male(N=60)	Female(N=14)	
MEAN (mm)	44.07	39.50	44.22	39.07	
SD (mm)	2.54	3.23	2.68	3.00	
3SD (mm)	7.61	9.70	8.04	9.00	
MEAN	36.46-51.69	29.80-49.20	36.17-52.26	30.07-48.07	
±3SD(mm)	30.40-31.09	29.80-49.20	30.17-32.20		
DP (mm)	>49.20	<36.46	>48.07	<36.17	
% of DP(N)	0.00(0)	25.00 (3)	5.00 (3)	21.43 (3)	
P VALUE	<0.01		<0.01		
REMARKS	Highly significant		Highly significant		

Table 1 Showing the Vertical diameter of femoral head (mm) and its statistical analysis

(N= number, SD=standard deviation, mm= millimeter, DP = demarcating point)

Discussion

In present study found that the mean vertical diameter of femoral head of male femur was higher than the female femur & it was statistically highly significant which is similar with findings of Liu Wu $^{(8)}$, Iscan MY & Shihai D $^{(9)}$, Igbigbi PS & Msamati BC $^{(6)}$, Maske SS et al. $^{(10)}$, Srivastava R et al $^{(11)}$, Laeeque Md et al $^{(12)}$.

In present study, by using DP method for vertical diameter of femoral head, 2.61 % of male femur was correctly identified as male femur and 19.23 % of female femur was correctly identified as female femur.

Igbigbi PS & Msamati BC $^{(6)}$ found average 03.50 % of right male femur was correctly identified as male femur while 01.80 % of right & 01.70 % of left female femur was correctly identified as female femur.

Maske SS et al $^{(10)}$ correctly identified 0 % of male femur & 10.58 % of female femur by using vertical diameter of femoral head.

Laeeque Md et al⁽¹²⁾ identified male and female femora using only vertical diameter of femoral head in 50% femora

There was difference in vertical diameter of femoral head between the present study and Igbigbi PS & Msamati BC $^{(6)}$, Maske SS et al $^{(10)}$, Srivastava R et al $^{(11)}$, Laeeque Md et al $^{(12)}$ may be due to variation in population.

Dittrick J & Suchey JM $^{(7)}$ identified male and female femora using only vertical diameter of femoral head in 88.70 % femora.

 ${f Liu} \ {f Wu}^{(8)}$ identified male and female femora using only vertical diameter of femoral head in 85.1 % femora.

Iscan MY & Shihai D $^{(9)}$ correctly identified 83.10 % of male femur & 79.50 % of female femur by using vertical diameter of femoral head.

The Vertical diameter of femoral head of Gujarati population is less than the vertical diameter of California, Chinese, Thai & Black Malawians population, while more than the vertical diameter of Maharashtra population.

There was more marked difference in vertical diameter of femoral head between the present study and Dittrick J & Suchey JM $^{(7)}$, Liu Wu. $^{(8)}$, Iscan MY & Shihai D $^{(9)}$ is the low percentage of correct sexual classification in the vertical diameter of femoral head due to different statistical method applied.

Researcher	Population	Sex	N	Mean (mm)	SD (mm)	DP (mm)	% Of Identified Bones	P Value	Re- Mark
Dittrick J&	California	M	175	47	2.5	-	88.70	-	-
Suchey JM (1986) (7)		F	171	42.2	1.9	-			
Liu Wu (1989) ⁽⁸⁾	Chinese	M	74	45.3	3.2	-	85.1	< 0.001	HS
		F	67	40.4	1.9	-	03.1	<0.001	
Iscan MY &	Chinese	M	37	46.16	2.62		83.10	<0.001	HS
Shihai D (1995) (9)		F	39	41.13	2.64	-	79.50		
Igbigbi PS & Msamati BC (2000) ⁽⁶⁾	Black Malawians	M(R)	260	48.30	3.51	54.93	03.50	<0.01	HS
		F (R)	236	44.61	3.44	37.77	01.80		
		M(L)	260	48.30	3.11	54.76	0	<0.01	HS
		F(L)	236	44.50	3.42	38.95	01.70		
Maske SS et al (2012) (10)	Marathwada	M	189	43.61	1.9	48.86	0	<0.0001	HS
		F	179	38.7	3.4	37.66	10.58		
Srivastava R	North Central India	M	94	43.77	2.70	>46.84	8.5	<0.000	HS
et al (2012)		F	28	39.40	2.48	<35.67	14.28		
Laeeque Md	Maharashtra	M	137	43	2.13	>43		<0.001	HS
et al (2013)		F	66	37	1.89	<37	50		
Present study	Gujarat	M	115	44.15	2.60	>48.43	2.61	<0.01	HS
		F	26	39.27	3.05	<36.34	19.23		

Table 2 showing the comparison of vertical diameter of femoral head of male and female femur (mm) of Gujarati population with the findings of other workers (N=number, mm=millimeter, M=male, F=female, R=right, L=left, HS=highly significant, DP=Demarcating Point)

Conclusion

Mean Vertical diameter of femoral head of male femur is more than female femur in the Gujarati population of present study. In Gujarati population, if Vertical diameter of femoral head of male femur is >48.43 mm than it is definitely male femur and if it is <36.34 mm than it is definitely female femur.

Findings of the present study may be useful in medico legal cases for estimation of sex from available fragmentary femora. The study can also be useful to anatomist & physical anthropologist.

References

- 1. Standring S, Borley NR, Coliins P, Crossman AR, Gatzoulis MA, Healy JC et al; Gray's Anatomy The Anatomical Basis Of Clinical Practice; Ch. 80 In Pelvic Girdle, Gluteal Region And thigh; 40th Edition; Spain; Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2008; Page No. 1360-1365.
- 2. Krogman WM and Iscan MY; Human skeleton in forensic medicine; Ch. 5 sex differences in the long bones; 3rd edition; Springfield USA; Charles C. Thomas; 1978; Page No. 143-150.
- 3. Purkait R and Chandra H; sexual dimorphism in femora: Central India study; Forensic science communications; 2002; volume 4; issue 3; Page No 1-6.
- 4. King CA, Iscan MY, Loth SR; Metric and comparative analysis of sexual dimorphism in the Thai Femur; J Forensic Sci.; 1998; volume 43; issue 5; Page No. 954–958.
- 5. Singh IP & Bhasin MK; A manual of biological anthropology; Ch. 4 osteology; Delhi, India; kamla –raj enterprises; 2004; Page No. 79-84.
- 6. Igbigbi PS & Msamati BC; Sex Determination from Femoral Head Diameters in Black Malawians; East Afr Med J.; 2000; volume 77; issue3; Page No. 147-151
- 7. Dittrick J and Suchey JM; Sex determination of prehistoric central california skeletal remains using discriminante analysis of femur and humerus; Am J Phys Anthrop; 1986; volume 70; Page No 3-9.
- 8. Liu Wu; Sex determination of Chinese femur by discriminates function; J Forensic Sci; 1989; volume 34; issue 5; Page No.1222-1227.
- 9. Iscan MY and Shihai D; sexual dimorphism in the Chinese femur; Forensic Sci Int,1995; volume 74; issue 1-2; Page No 79-87.
- 10. Dr. Maske SS, Dr. Prathamesh K, Dr. Joshi DS; Sexing the femora from Marathwada region using demarcating point method; International Journal of Healthcare & Biomedical Research; 2012; Volume: 1; Issue: 1; Page No. 13-16.
- 11. Srivastava R, Saini V, Rajesh KR, Panday S and Tripathi SK; A study of sexual dimorphism in the femur among north Central India; J Forensic Sci.; 2012; volume 57; issue 1; Page No. 19-23.
- 12. Laeeque Md, Vivek N, Diwan CV; Determination Of Sex Of Femur By Combination Of parameters; Int J Basic Med Sci; 2013; volume 3; issue 6; Page No. 173-177.