



RESEARCH ARTICLE

ON $rgw\alpha c$ -SEPARATION AXIOMS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES.

R. S. Wali¹ and Vijayalaxmi R. Patil²

1. Department of Mathematics Bhandari and Rathi College, Guledagudd, Karnataka, India.
2. Department of Mathematics Rani Channamma University Belagavi, Karnataka, India.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 22 April 2017

Final Accepted: 24 May 2017

Published: June 2017

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study two new classes of spaces, namely $rgw\alpha c$ - τ_0 , $rgw\alpha c$ - τ_1 , $rgw\alpha c$ - τ_2 , $rgw\alpha c$ -regular and $rgw\alpha c$ -normal spaces and obtained their properties by utilizing $rgw\alpha c$ -closed sets. Also we will present some characterizations of these spaces.

Key words:-

$rgw\alpha c$ -closed set, $RGW\alpha LC$ -continuous function, $RGW\alpha LC$ -irresolute, $rgw\alpha c$ - τ_0 space, $rgw\alpha c$ - τ_1 space, $rgw\alpha c$ - τ_2 space, $rgw\alpha c$ -regular space and $rgw\alpha c$ -normal space.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2017., All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

Munshi [1], introduced g -regular and g -normal spaces using g -closed sets of Levine [4]. Maheshwari and Prasad [7] introduced the new class of spaces called s -normal spaces using semi-open sets. It was further studied by Noiri and Popa [10], Dorsett [2] and Arya [8]. Later, Benchalli et al [9] and Shik John [3] studied the concept of g^* - pre regular, g^* - pre normal and w -normal, w -regular spaces in topological spaces. Recently, Wali et al [5,6] introduced and studied the properties of $rgw\alpha c$ -closed sets and $RGW\alpha LC$ -continuous and $RGW\alpha LC$ -irresolute maps.

Preliminaries:-

Throughout this paper (X, τ) , (Y, τ) (or simply X, Y) denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset A of a space X the closure, interior and α -closure of A with respect to τ are denoted by $cl(A)$, $int(A)$ and $\alpha cl(A)$ respectively

Definition 2.1: A subset A of a topological space X is called a

- (1) semi-open set [9] if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$.
- (2) w -closed set [3] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open in X .
- (3) g -closed set [4] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .

Definition 2.2: A topological space X is called

- i) a α - τ_0 [11] if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , there exists a α -open sets G in X containing one of them and not the other.
- ii) a α - τ_1 [11] if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , there exists two α -open sets G_1, G_2 in X such that $x \in G_1$, $y \notin G_1$, and $y \in G_2$, $x \notin G_2$.

Corresponding Author:-R. S. Wali.

Address:-Department of Mathematics Bhandari and Rathi College, Guledagudd, Karnataka, India.

iii) a α - τ_2 [11] (α - Hausdorff) if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists distinct α -open sets H_1 and H_2 such that H_1 containing x but not y and H_2 containing y but not x .

Definition 2.3: A topological space X is said to be a

- (1) g -regular [10], if for each g -closed set F of X and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$.
- (2) α -regular [4], if for each closed set F of X and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint α -open sets U and V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$.
- (3) w -regular [3], if for each w -closed set F of X and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$.

Definition 2.4: A topological space X is said to be a

- (1) g -normal [10], if for any pair of disjoint g -closed sets A and B , there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.
- (2) α -normal [4], if for any pair of disjoint closed sets A and B , there exists disjoint α -open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.
- (3) w -normal [3], if for any pair of disjoint w -closed sets A and B , there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.

Definition 2.5: A subset A of a topological space X is called a

- (i) regular generalized weakly α -locally closed [5] (briefly $rgw\alpha$ -closed) if $A = U \cap F$ where U is $rgw\alpha$ -open in (X, τ) and F is $rgw\alpha$ -closed in (X, τ) .
- (ii) regular generalized weakly α -locally open [5] (briefly $rgw\alpha$ -open) if A° is $rgw\alpha$ -locally closed.

Definition 2.6: [5] A topological space X is called τ $rgw\alpha$ -space if every $rgw\alpha$ -closed set in it is closed set.

Definition 2.7: A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called

- (1) $RGW\alpha$ LC-continuous [6] (resp. w -continuous [12]) if $f^{-1}(F)$ is $RGW\alpha$ LC-closed (resp. w -closed) set in X for every closed set F of Y .
- (2) $RGW\alpha$ LC -irresolute [6] (resp. w -irresolute [12]) if $f^{-1}(F)$ is $RGW\alpha$ LC-closed (resp. w -closed set in X for every $RGW\alpha$ LC-closed (resp. w -closed) set F of Y .

$rgw\alpha$ - τ_k Space ($k=0, 1, 2$).

Definition 3.1: A topological space X is called

- i) a **$rgw\alpha$ - τ_0** if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , there exists a $rgw\alpha$ -open set G in X containing one of them and not the other.
- ii) a **$rgw\alpha$ - τ_1** if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , there exists two $rgw\alpha$ -open sets G_1, G_2 in X such that $x \in G_1$, $y \notin G_1$, and $y \in G_2$, $x \notin G_2$.
- iii) a **$rgw\alpha$ - τ_2** ($rgw\alpha$ - Hausdorff) if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists distinct $rgw\alpha$ -open sets H_1 and H_2 such that H_1 containing x but not y and H_2 containing y but not x .

Theorem 3.2:

- (i) Every τ_0 space is $rgw\alpha$ - τ_0 space.
- (ii) Every τ_1 space is $rgw\alpha$ - τ_0 space.
- (iii) Every τ_1 space is $rgw\alpha$ - τ_1 space.
- (iv) Every τ_2 space is $rgw\alpha$ - τ_2 space.
- (v) Every $rgw\alpha$ - τ_1 space is $rgw\alpha$ - τ_0 space.
- (vi) Every $rgw\alpha$ - τ_2 space is $rgw\alpha$ - τ_1 space.

Proof: Straight forward.

The converse of the theorem need not be true as in the examples.

Example 3.3: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $rgw\alpha C(X) = rgw\alpha O(X) = P(X)$. Here (X, τ) is $rgw\alpha$ - τ_0 and $rgw\alpha$ - τ_1 space but not τ_0 space and not τ_1 space.

Example 3.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $\text{rgwalc}C(X) = \text{rgwalc}O(X) = P(X)$. Here (X, τ) is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space but not τ_2 space.

Theorem 3.5:

- (i) Every $\alpha-\tau_0$ space is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space.
- (ii) Every $\alpha-\tau_1$ space is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space.
- (iii) Every $\alpha-\tau_1$ space is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_1$ space.
- (iv) Every $\alpha-\tau_2$ space is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space.

Proof: i) For each pair of distinct points x, y of X . Since $\alpha-\tau_0$ space, there exists a α -open sets G in X containing one of them and not the other. But every α -open is rgwalc -open then there exists a α -open sets G in X containing one of them and not the other. Therefore $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space.

ii) Since $\alpha-\tau_1$ space, but every $\alpha-\tau_1$ space is $\alpha-\tau_0$ space and also from Theorem 5.5(i). Therefore $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space. iii) and (iv) similarly we can prove.

Theorem 3.6: Let X be a topological space and Y is an $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective and rgwalc -irresolute then X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space.

Proof: Suppose $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Since f is injective then $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since Y is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space then there exists a rgwalc -open sets U in Y such that $f(x) \in U, f(y) \notin U$ or there exists a rgwalc -open sets V in Y such that $f(y) \in V, f(x) \notin V$ with $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since f is rgwalc -irresolute then $f^{-1}(U)$ is a rgwalc -open sets in X such that $x \in f^{-1}(U), y \notin f^{-1}(U)$ or $f^{-1}(V)$ is a rgwalc -open sets in X such that $y \in f^{-1}(V), x \notin f^{-1}(V)$. Hence X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space.

Theorem 3.7: Let X be a topological space and Y is an $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective and rgwalc -irresolute then X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space.

Proof: Suppose $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Since f is injective then $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since Y is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space then there are two rgwalc -open sets U and V in Y such that $f(x) \in U, f(y) \in V$ and $U \cap V = \Phi$. Since f is rgwalc -irresolute then $f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(V)$ are two rgwalc -open sets in X , $x \in f^{-1}(U), y \in f^{-1}(V), f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \Phi$. Hence X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space.

Theorem 3.8: Let X be a topological space and Y is an $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_1$ space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective and rgwalc -irresolute then X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_1$ space.

Proof: Similarly to Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 3.9: Let X be a topological space and Y is an τ_2 space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective and rgwalc -continuous then X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space.

Proof: Suppose $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Since f is injective, then $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since Y is an τ_2 space, then there are two open sets U and V in Y such that $f(x) \in U, f(y) \in V$ and $U \cap V = \Phi$. Since f is rgwalc -continuous then $f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(V)$ are two rgwalc -open sets in X . Then $x \in f^{-1}(U), y \in f^{-1}(V), f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \Phi$. Hence X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_2$ space.

Theorem 3.10: (X, τ) is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space if and only if for each pair of distinct x, y of X , $\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, then there exists a rgwalc -open set V containing one of the points but not the other, say $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$. Then V^c is a rgwalc -closed containing y but not x . But $\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$ is the smallest rgwalc -closed set containing y . Therefore $\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\}) \subset V^c$ and hence $x \notin \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$. Thus $\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$.

Conversely, suppose $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ and $\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$. Let $z \in X$ such that $z \in \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{x\})$ but $z \notin \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$. If $x \in \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$ then $\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{x\}) \subset \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$ and hence $z \in \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $x \notin \text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\})$. That is $x \in (\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\}))^c$. Therefore $(\text{rgwalc-cl}(\{y\}))^c$ is a rgwalc -open set containing x but not y . Hence (X, τ) is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_0$ space.

Theorem 3.11: A topological space X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_1$ space if and only if for every $x \in X$ singleton $\{x\}$ is rgwalc -closed set in X .

Proof: Let X be $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_1$ space and let $x \in X$, to prove that $\{x\}$ is rgwalc -closed set. We will prove $X - \{x\}$ is rgwalc -open set in X . Let $y \in X - \{x\}$, implies $x \neq y$ and since X is $\text{rgwalc}-\tau_1$ space then there exist two rgwalc -open sets G_1, G_2 such that $x \notin G_1, y \in G_2 \subseteq X - \{x\}$. Since $y \in G_2 \subseteq X - \{x\}$ then $X - \{x\}$ is rgwalc -open set. Hence $\{x\}$ is rgwalc -closed set.

Conversely, Let $x \neq y \in X$ then $\{x\}, \{y\}$ are rgwalc- closed sets. That is $X - \{x\}$ is rgwalc-open set. Clearly, $x \notin X - \{x\}$ and $y \in X - \{x\}$. Similarly $X - \{y\}$ is rgwalc- open set, $y \notin X - \{y\}$ and $x \in X - \{y\}$. Hence X is rgwalc- τ_1 space.

Theorem 3.12: For a topological space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent

(i) (X, τ) is rgwalc- τ_2 space.

(ii) If $x \in X$, then for each $y \neq x$, there is a rgwalc-open set U containing x such that $y \notin \text{rgwalc-cl}(U)$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x \in X$. If $y \in X$ is such that $y \neq x$ there exists disjoint rgwalc-open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$. Then $x \in U \subset X - V$ which implies $X - V$ is rgwalc- open and $y \notin X - V$. Therefore $y \notin \text{rgwalc-cl}(U)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. By (ii), there exists a rgwalc- open U containing x such that $y \notin \text{rgwalc-cl}(U)$. Therefore $y \in X - (\text{rgwalc-cl}(U))$. $X - (\text{rgwalc-cl}(U))$ is rgwalc-open and $x \notin X - (\text{rgwalc-cl}(U))$. Also $U \cap X - (\text{rgwalc-cl}(U)) = \emptyset$. Hence (X, τ) is rgwalc- τ_2 space.

rgwalc- Regular Space:-

In this section, we introduce a new class of spaces called rgwalc-regular spaces using rgwalc-closed sets and obtain some of their characterizations.

Definition 4.1: A topological space X is said to be **rgwalc-regular** if for each rgwalc-closed set F and a point $x \notin F$, there exist disjoint open sets G and H such that $F \subseteq G$ and $x \in H$.

We have the following interrelationship between rgwalc-regularity and regularity.

Theorem 4.2: Every rgwalc-regular space is regular.

Proof: Let X be a rgwalc-regular space. Let F be any closed set in X and a point $x \in X$ such that $x \notin F$. By [2], F is rgwalc-closed and $x \notin F$. Since X is a rgwalc-regular space, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H such that $F \subseteq G$ and $x \in H$. Hence X is a regular space.

Theorem 4.3: If X is a regular space and $\tau\text{rgw}\alpha$ - space, then X is rgwalc- regular.

Proof: Let X be a regular space and $\tau\text{rgw}\alpha$ - space. Let F be any rgwalc -closed set in X and a point $x \in X$ such that $x \notin F$. Since X is $\tau\text{rgw}\alpha$ - space, F is closed and $x \notin F$. Since X is a regular space, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H such that $F \subseteq G$ and $x \in H$. Hence X is a rgwalc-regular space

Theorem 4.4: Every rgwalc-regular space is α -regular.

Proof: Let X be a rgwalc-regular space. Let F be any α -closed set in X and a point $x \in X$ such that $x \notin F$. By [2], F is rgwalc-closed and $x \notin F$. Since X is a rgwalc-regular space, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H such that $F \subseteq G$ and $x \in H$. Hence X is a α - regular space.

We have the following characterization.

Theorem 4.5: The following statements are equivalent for a topological space X

i) X is a rgwalc-regular space.

ii) For each $x \in X$ and each rgwalc open neighbourhood U of x there exists an open neighbourhood N of x such that $\text{cl}(N) \subseteq U$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose X is a rgwalc -regular space. Let U be any rgwalc - neighbourhood of x . Then there exists rgwalc -open set G such that $x \in G \subseteq U$. Now $X - G$ is rgwalc -closed set and $x \notin X - G$. Since X is rgwalc -regular, there exist open sets M and N such that $X - G \subseteq M$, $x \in N$ and $M \cap N = \emptyset$ and so $N \subseteq X - M$. Now $\text{cl}(N) \subseteq \text{cl}(X - M) = X - M$ and $X - G \subseteq M$. This implies $X - M \subseteq G \subseteq U$. Therefore $\text{cl}(N) \subseteq U$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let F be any rgwalc- closed set in X and $x \notin F$ or $x \in X - F$ and $X - F$ is a rgwalc-open and so $X - F$ is a rgwalc - neighbourhood of x . By hypothesis, there exists an open neighbourhood N of x such that $x \in N$ and $\text{cl}(N) \subseteq X - F$. This implies $F \subseteq X - \text{cl}(N)$ is an open set containing F and $N \cap \{(X - \text{cl}(N))\} = \emptyset$. Hence X is rgwalc - regular space.

We have another characterization of rgwalc - regularity in the following.

Theorem 4.6: A topological space X is rgwalc -regular if and only if for each rgwalc -closed

set F of X and each $x \in X - F$ there exist open sets G and H of X such that $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $\text{cl}(G) \cap \text{cl}(H) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose X is rgwalc - regular space. Let F be a rgwalc -closed set in X with $x \notin F$. Then there exists open sets M and H of X such that $x \in M$, $F \subseteq H$ and $M \cap H = \emptyset$. This implies $M \cap \text{cl}(H) = \emptyset$. As X is rgwalc -regular,

there exist open sets U and V such that $x \in U$, $\text{cl}(H) \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \Phi$, so $\text{cl}(U) \cap V = \Phi$. Let $G = M \cap U$, then G and H are open sets of X such that $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $\text{cl}(H) \cap \text{cl}(G) = \Phi$.

Conversely, if for each $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set F of X and each $x \in X - F$ there exists open sets

G and H such that $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $\text{cl}(H) \cap \text{cl}(G) = \Phi$. This implies $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $G \cap H = \Phi$. Hence X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular.

Now we prove that $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regularity is a hereditary property.

Theorem 4.7: Every subspace of a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular.

Proof: Let X be a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space. Let Y be a subspace of X . Let $x \in Y$ and F be a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set in Y such that $x \notin F$. Then there is a closed set and so $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set A of X with $F = Y \cap A$ and $x \notin A$. Therefore we have $x \in X$, A is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed in X such that $x \notin A$. Since X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular, there exist open sets G and H such that $x \in G$, $A \subseteq H$ and $G \cap H = \Phi$. Note that $Y \cap G$ and $Y \cap H$ are open sets in Y . Also $x \in G$ and $x \in Y$, which implies $x \in Y \cap G$ and $A \subseteq H$ implies $Y \cap A \subseteq Y \cap H$, $F \subseteq Y \cap H$. Also $(Y \cap G) \cap (Y \cap H) = \Phi$. Hence Y is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space.

We have yet another characterization of $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regularity in the following.

Theorem 4.8: The following statements about a topological space X are equivalent:

- (i) X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular
- (ii) For each $x \in X$ and each $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -open set U in X such that $x \in U$ there exists an open set V in X such that $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$
- (iii) For each point $x \in X$ and for each $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set A with $x \notin A$, there exists an open set V containing x such that $\text{cl}(V) \cap A = \Phi$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Follows from Theorem 3.5.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose (ii) holds. Let $x \in X$ and A be an $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set of X such that $x \notin A$. Then $X - A$ is a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -open set with $x \in X - A$. By hypothesis, there exists an open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - A$. That is $x \in V$, $V \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$ and $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq X - A$. So $x \in V$ and $\text{cl}(V) \cap A = \Phi$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $x \in X$ and U be an $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -open set in X such that $x \in U$. Then $X - U$ is an $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set and $x \in X - U$. Then by hypothesis, there exists an open set V containing x such that $\text{cl}(V) \cap (X - U) = \Phi$. Therefore $x \in V$, $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$ so $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$.

The invariance of $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regularity is given in the following.

Theorem 4.9: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective, $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -irresolute and open map from a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space X into a topological space Y , then Y is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular.

Proof: Let $y \in Y$ and F be a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set in Y with $y \notin F$. Since f is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -irresolute, $f^{-1}(F)$ is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set in X . Let $f(x) = y$ so that $x = f^{-1}(y)$ and $x \notin f^{-1}(F)$. Again X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space, there exist open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subseteq V$, $U \cap V = \Phi$. Since f is open and bijective, we have $y \in f(U)$, $F \subseteq f(V)$ and $f(U) \cap f(V) = f(U \cap V) = f(\Phi) = \Phi$. Hence Y is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space.

Theorem 4.10: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective, $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed map from a topological space X into a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space Y . If X is $\text{trgw}\alpha$ -space, then X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and F be an $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set in X with $x \notin F$. Since X is $\text{trgw}\alpha$ -space, F is closed in X . Then $f(F)$ is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed set with $f(x) \notin f(F)$ in Y , since f is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed. As Y is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular, there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $f(x) \in U$ and $f(F) \subseteq V$. Therefore $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ and $F \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Hence X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space.

Theorem 4.11: Let X be a topological space. If X is a $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular and a τ_1 space then X is an $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ - τ_2 space.

Proof: Suppose $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Since X is τ_1 -space then there is an open set U such that $x \in U$, $y \notin U$. Since X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -regular space and U is an open set which contains x , then there is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}-\text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$. Since $y \notin U$, hence $y \notin \text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}-\text{cl}(V)$. Therefore $y \in X - (\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}-\text{cl}(V))$. Hence there are $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -open sets V and $X - (\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}-\text{cl}(V))$ such that $(X - (\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}-\text{cl}(V))) \cap V = \Phi$. Hence X is $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ - τ_2 space.

$\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -Normal Spaces:-

In this section, we introduce the concept of $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -normal spaces and study some of their characterizations.

Definition 5.1: A topological space X is said to be **$\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -normal** if for each pair of disjoint $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{lc}$ -closed sets A and B in X , there exists a pair of disjoint open sets U and V in X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.

We have the following interrelationship.

Theorem 5.2: Every rgw α c-normal space is normal.

Proof: Let X be a rgw α c-normal space. Let A and B be a pair of disjoint closed sets in X . From [2], A and B are rgw α c-closed sets in X . Since X is rgw α c-normal, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H in X such that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$. Hence X is normal.

Remark 5.3: The converse need not be true in general as seen from the following example.

Example 5.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the space X is normal but not rgw α c-normal, since the pair of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets namely, $A = \{b\}$ and $B = \{c\}$ for which there do not exist disjoint open sets G and H such that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$.

Theorem 5.5: If X is normal and τ rgw α -space, then X is rgw α c-normal.

Proof: Let X be a normal space. Let A and B be a pair of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X . since τ rgw α -space, A and B are closed sets in X . Since X is normal, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H in X such that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$. Hence X is rgw α c-normal.

Theorem 5.6: Every rgw α c-normal space is w-normal.

Proof: Let X be a rgw α c-normal space. Let A and B be a pair of disjoint w-closed sets in X . From [2], A and B are rgw α c-closed sets in X . Since X is rgw α c-normal, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H in X such that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$. Hence X is w-normal.

Hereditary property of rgw α c-normality is given in the following.

Theorem 5.7: A rgw α c-closed subspace of a rgw α c-normal space is rgw α c-normal.

Proof: Let X be a rgw α c-normal space. Let Y be a rgw α c-closed subspace of X . Let A and B be pair of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in Y . Then A and B be pair of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X . Since X is rgw α c-normal, there exist disjoint open sets G and H in X such that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$. Since G and H are open in X , $Y \cap G$ and $Y \cap H$ are open in Y . Also we have $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$ implies $Y \cap A \subseteq Y \cap G$, $Y \cap B \subseteq Y \cap H$. So $A \subseteq Y \cap G$ and $B \subseteq Y \cap H$ and $(Y \cap G) \cap (Y \cap H) = Y \cap (G \cap H) = \phi$. Hence Y is rgw α c-normal.

We have the following characterization.

Theorem 5.8: The following statements for a topological space X are equivalent:

- i) X is rgw α c-normal.
- ii) For each rgw α c-closed set A and each rgw α c-open set U such that $A \subseteq U$, there exists an open set V such that $A \subseteq V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$
- iii) For any disjoint rgw α c-closed sets A, B , there exists an open set V such that $A \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(V) \cap B = \phi$
- iv) For each pair A, B of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets there exist open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \phi$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let A be a rgw α c-closed set and U be a rgw α c-open set such that $A \subseteq U$. Then A and $X - U$ are disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X . Since X is rgw α c-normal, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets V and W in X such that $A \subseteq V$ and $X - U \subseteq W$. Now $X - W \subseteq X - (X - U)$, so $X - W \subseteq U$ also $V \cap W = \phi$ implies $V \subseteq X - W$, so $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq \text{cl}(X - W)$ which implies $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - W$. Therefore $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - W \subseteq U$. So $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$. Hence $A \subseteq V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X . Now $A \cap B = \phi$, so $A \subseteq X - B$, where A is rgw α c-closed and $X - B$ is rgw α c-open. Then by (ii) there exists an open set V such that $A \subseteq V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - B$. Now $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - B$ implies $\text{cl}(V) \cap B = \phi$. Thus $A \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(V) \cap B = \phi$

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X . Then from (iii) there exists an open set U such that $A \subseteq U$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap B = \phi$. Since $\text{cl}(V)$ is closed, so rgw α c-closed set. Therefore $\text{cl}(V)$ and B are disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X . By hypothesis, there exists an open set V , such that $B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \phi$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X . Then from (iv) there exist an open sets U and V in X such that $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \phi$. So $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$. Hence X rgw α c-normal.

Theorem 5.9: Let X be a topological space. Then X is rgw α c-normal if and only if for any pair A, B of disjoint rgw α c-closed sets there exist open sets U and V of X such that $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \phi$.

Proof: Follows from Theorem 5.8.

Theorem 5.10: Let X be a topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is normal
- (ii) For any disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint rgw α c-open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$.
- (iii) For any closed set A and any open set V such that $A \subseteq V$, there exists an rgw α c-open set U of X such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{rcl}(U) \subseteq V$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose X is normal. Since every open set is rgw α c-open [2], (ii) follows.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose (ii) holds. Let A be a closed set and V be an open set containing A . Then A and $X - V$ are disjoint closed sets. By (ii), there exist disjoint rgw α c-open sets U and W such that $A \subseteq U$ and $X - V \subseteq W$, since $X - V$ is closed, so rgw α c-closed. From Theorem 2.3.14 [2], we have $X - V \subseteq \text{rcl}(W)$ and $U \cap \text{rcl}(W) = \emptyset$ and so we have $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{rcl}(W) = \emptyset$. Hence $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{rcl}(U) \subseteq X - \text{rcl}(W) \subseteq V$. Thus $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{rcl}(U) \subseteq V$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint closed sets of X . Then $A \subseteq X - B$ and $X - B$ is open. There exists a rgw α c-open set G of X such that $A \subseteq G \subseteq \text{rcl}(G) \subseteq X - B$. Since A is closed, it is rgw α c-closed, we have $A \subseteq \text{int}(G)$. Take $U = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{rcl}(G))))$ and $V = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(X - \text{rcl}(G))))$. Then U and V are disjoint open sets of X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. Hence X is normal.

Theorem 5.11: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is bijective, open, rgw α c-irresolute from a rgw α c-normal space X onto Y then Y is rgw α c-normal.

Proof: Let A and B be disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in Y . Then $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint rgw α c-closed sets in X as f is rgw α c-irresolute. Since X is rgw α c-normal, there exist disjoint open sets G and H in X such that $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq G$ and $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq H$. As f is bijective and open, $f(G)$ and $f(H)$ are disjoint open sets in Y such that $A \subseteq f(G)$ and $B \subseteq f(H)$. Hence Y is rgw α c-normal.

References:-

1. B.M. Munshi, *Separation axioms*, Acta Ciencia Indica 12 (1986) 140–146.
2. C. Dorsett, *Semi normal Spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J. 25 (1985) 173–180.
3. M.S. John, *A Study on Generalizations of Closed Sets and Continuous Maps in Topological and Bitopological spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore (2002).
4. N. Levine, *Generalized Closed sets in Topology*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo 19/2 (1970) 89–96.
5. R. S. Wali, Vijayalaxmi R. Patil On rgw α -Locally closed sets in Topological Spaces (*processing*).
6. R. S. Wali, Vijayalaxmi R. Patil On RGW α LC-continuous and RGW α LC-irresolute maps in Topological Spaces (*processing*).
7. S.N. Maheshwar and R. Prasad, *On s-normal spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie 22 (1978) 27–28.
8. S.P. Arya and T.M. Nour, *Characterization of s-normal spaces*, Indian. J.Pure and Appl. Math., 21(8),(1990), 717–719.
9. S.S. Benchalli, T.D. Rayanagoudar and P.G. Patil, *g*-Pre Regular and g*-Pre Normal Spaces*, Int. Math. Forum 4/48(2010) 2399–2408.
10. T. Noiri and V. Popa, *On g-regular spaces and some functions*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math 20 (1999)67–74.
11. Thakur C.K Raman, Vidyottama Kumari and M.K. Sharma, *α -Generalized & α^* - separation Axioms for Topological Spaces*, IOSR-JM, Volume 10, Issue 3 Ver. VI(2014), PP 32–36.