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Abstract

Background: Road traffic accidents are a leading cause of death in young and productive age group of society. The pedestrian deaths and two wheeler rider and pillion deaths are a cause of rising concern in urban centres. The paper brings forward the profile of victims who suffered fatal road traffic accident deaths and the offending vehicles which were the causation agents.

Aims and Objectives: To study the profile of victims of fatal road traffic accident cases and the offending vehicles and its relationship to various related factors.

Results: The study was done to study the profile of victims of road traffic accident fatalities in Western Maharashtra. The study was done for period of 18 months involving 120 cases. All the 120 autopsy cases involved in research were studied with the profile of victims and the offending vehicle. The study shows that that maximum number of fatal victims of road traffic accidents were pedestrians (n = 44, 36.67 %). Two wheeler riders were second (n = 31, 25.83 %), two wheeler pillion riders were third in number (n = 10, 8.33 %). Amongst pedestrians, heavy vehicles (truck and bus) caused 43.1 % of the casualties. Light vehicles (jeep, car, tractor, tempo and auto rickshaw) caused 54.6 % of the casualties and the least casualties were by motor cycles (2.3 %). Maximum fatalities were caused by Heavy vehicles (Trucks n = 48, 40 % and Buses n = 19, 15.83 %). Light vehicles responsible were Tempo (n = 14, 11.67 %), Tractor and Jeep each (n = 5, 4.17 %), Auto Rickshaw (n = 8, 6.67 %) and Car (n = 9, 7.5 %). Other vehicles were Two Wheeler (n = 11, 9.17 %), Pedal Cyclist and Unknown vehicle were one each.

Conclusion: It may be said that pedestrians and two wheeler riders are most vulnerable to RTAs and necessary preventive measures need to be undertaken to control the epidemic.
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Introduction:
Vehicular accidents usually involve the young people between the age group of 20-40 years. Fatal accidents in this group not only represent the tragic loss to the family but severe economic loss to the community as it entails the wastage of educational training and loss of productive human resource. Road safety is an ongoing challenge for civil engineers as well as for the global auto industry. Because laws don’t seem to be working effectively, design and technology will have to compensate. The people who made it happen will have to make sure it doesn’t become a curse. ‘In a world where buses are “flying coffins” and “moving morgues” and pedestrians should tremble, public-health experts (need to) take on a neglected epidemic’ – By Christopher Reed. Study of Rate of Road Accident Deaths per Thousand Registered Motor Vehicles in States and Union Territories revealed 97,398 males and 17,192 females (total 1,14,590 persons) were killed during the year 2007 while traveling by various modes of transport on roads. 24,649 persons (21.5%) of these were occupants of truck or lorry, 21,872 (19.1%) were riding on two-wheelers, 12,864 (11.2%) were killed while traveling in buses and 10,125 (8.8%) were pedestrians.

Material and Methods:
Medico-legal cases of fatal Road Traffic Accident reporting for autopsy to the mortuary of tertiary care centre were selected for the study. A total of 120 medico-legal autopsies performed were studied and relevant data was collected. For the purpose of the study, a road traffic accident (R.T.A.) was defined as accident which took place on the road between two or more objects, one of which must be any kind of a moving vehicle.

Inclusion Criteria
All the medico legal autopsies with definite history of road traffic accidents having relevant history as per study requirement were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Autopsies with no specific history of road traffic accidents were excluded.
2. RTA cases with co-existing natural diseases, which might be primary cause of death were excluded.

Data Collection
All the relevant history obtained from relatives, police panchnama and by visiting the site of accident in were recorded in a proforma.

Observations
Accident victims:
It is seen that maximum victims of road traffic accidents were pedestrians (n = 44, 36.67%). Two wheeler riders were second (n = 31, 25.83 %). Two wheeler pillion riders were third in number (n = 10, 8.33 %). Other victims of road traffic accidents among drivers were Truck driver (n = 2), Bus driver (n =1), Tempo driver (n = 1), Jeep driver (n = 2), Car driver (n = 5) and Auto Rickshaw driver (n = 2). Total 13, 10.83 %. Among passengers the victims were Truck passengers (n = 5), Bus passengers (n = 3), Tempo passengers (n = 4), Jeep passengers (n = 2), Car passengers (n = 1), Auto Rickshaw passenger (n = 2), Total 17, 14.17 %. Pedal cyclist were (n = 4) and in one case the information was not available. (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victims</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36.67 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two wheeler rider</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25.83 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two wheeler pillion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.33 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck passenger</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>4.17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car driver</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>4.17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempo passenger</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>3.33 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedal cyclist</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>3.33 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus passenger</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck driver</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>1.67 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeep driver</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>1.67 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeep passenger</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>1.67 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Auto Rickshaw driver  |  02  |  1.67 %  
Auto Rickshaw passenger  |  02  |  1.67 %  
Bus driver  |  01  |  1.67 %  
Tempo driver  |  01  |  1.67 %  
Car driver  |  01  |  0.83 %  
Tractor driver  |  0  |  0  
Tractor passenger  |  0  |  0  
Unknown  |  01  |  1.67 %  
Total  |  120  |  100 %  

**Vehicle involved**

Maximum fatalities were caused by Heavy vehicles (Trucks n = 48, 40 % and Buses n = 19, 15. 83 %). Light vehicles responsible were Tempo (n = 14, 11.67 %), Tractor and Jeep each (n = 5, 4.17 %), Auto Rickshaw (n = 8, 6.67 %) and Car (n = 9, 7.5 %). Other vehicles were Two Wheeler (n = 11, 9.17 %), Pedal Cyclist and Unknown vehicle were one each. (Table2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Involved Vehicle</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Truck</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15.83 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempo</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.67 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorised Two Wheeler</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>7.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Rickshaw</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>6.67 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tractor</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>4.17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeep</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>4.17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedal Cycle</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0.83 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vehicles involved among Pedestrians**

Amongst pedestrians, heavy vehicles (truck and bus) caused 43.1 % of the casualties. Light vehicles (jeep, car, tractor, tempo and auto rickshaw) caused 54.6 % of the casualties and the least casualties were by motor cycles (2.3 %).

**Place / road of accident**

The study noted that Highways were the most common place of fatalities due to road traffic accidents (n = 82, 68. 3 %) followed by City Main Roads which showed 21, (17.5%) cases, City by-lanes 11, (9.16%) cases and Rural Roads 6 (5%) cases.

**Time interval between injury and death**

It was observed that maximum victims of road traffic accident died within 0-1 hour post injury (n = 58, 48.33 %) followed by 1-12 hrs post injury (n = 48, 40 %). 6 victims (5 %) died between 12-24 hrs post injury and 7 cases (5.83 %) died after 24 hrs of accident. Data regarding one case was not known.

**Personal safety measure adopted:**

102, (85 %) victims had not adopted any safety measure. 13 (10.83 %) two wheeler riders and 1 two wheeler pillion rider had worn helmet. Seat belt was worn only by 4 (3.33 %) drivers and none of the occupants had observed the precaution of using the seat belt.

**Alcohol consumption:**

20 (16.67%) victims had evidence of alcohol consumption while 100 (83.33 %) cases did not have history of alcohol consumption.
**Discussion:-**

**Accident victims**

In the present study (Table 1) it is seen that that maximum number of fatal victims of road traffic accidents were pedestrians (n = 44, 36.67 %). Two wheeler riders were second (n = 31, 25.83 %), two wheeler pillon riders were third in number (n = 10, 8.33 %). Similar studies by Kaul A et al.\(^5\) report that pedestrian deaths accounted for 35.79% of total fatalities followed by riders of motorized two wheelers (30.53%). Sevitt S\(^6\) states pedestrians were the most common victims (50%). Singh Y N et al.\(^7\) have reported that majority of the victims to be pedestrians (47%), followed by occupants/pillion riders (27.61%) and drivers/riders (14.20%). In the present study, though pedestrian fatality is in the same proportion as noticed by Singh Y N et al, occupant / pillion rider victims are more. Similarly fatalities amongst riders / occupants are more in the present study.

**Vehicle involved**

In the present study (Table 2) maximum fatalities were caused by Heavy vehicles (Truck n = 48, 40 % and Bus n = 19, 15. 83 %). A total of 55 % of fatalities were caused by heavy vehicles. Kaul A et al\(^5\) report that majority (58.52%) of fatal RTAs were due to heavy vehicles (trucks, buses etc). Singh H and Dhattarwal SK\(^8\) report that heavy vehicles were the commonest offenders, responsible for 38.9% of cases. Singh Y N et al \(^7\) report that heavy vehicles caused 33.27% of fatal road traffic accidents. The findings of the present study, establishing heavy vehicles as the major offenders, are consistent with above studies.

**Type of vehicles causing fatalities amongst pedestrians**

In the present study it is seen it is seen that amongst pedestrian deaths, heavy vehicles (truck and bus) caused 43.1 % of the casualties. Light vehicles (jeep, car, tractor, tempo and auto rickshaw) caused 54.6 % of the casualties. Least fatalities were due to motor cycles (2.3 %).Singh H et al \(^9\) report that 41.9% of fatalities in pedestrians took place by cars and 31.8 % by heavy trucks. Occurrence of pedestrian fatalities due to different kinds of vehicles as observed in the present study is consistent with observations of above studies.

**Place / road of accident**

The present study showed that Highways were the most common places of fatalities due to road traffic accidents (68. 3 %) followed by City Main Roads which witnessed 17. 5 % cases , City By lanes 9.16 % cases and Rural Roads 5 % cases. This may be due to high speed of vehicles on the highways. In Pune, ‘Sholapur Highway’ runs through the main city which is densely populated resulting in high pedestrian fatalities. Also highways have maximum number of heavy vehicles plying at high speed. Similar findings have been observed by Singh H and Dhattarwal SK \(^8\), who report 142 (31.6%) fatalities on National Highways, 122 (27.1%) on State Highways, on City roads 77 (17.1%), on Village roads 78 (17.3%) and 31 (6.9 %) on approach roads respectively. Kaul A et al \(^5\) have reported that 83.05 fatalities took place on highways. Findings of the present study are consistent with above studies in that, maximum fatalities due to road traffic accidents took place on highways.

**Time interval between injury and death**

It was observed that maximum victims of road traffic accident died within 0-1 hour post injury (n = 58, 48.33 %) followed by 1-12 hrs post injury (n = 48, 40 %). 6 victims (5 %) died between 12 – 24 hrs post injury and 7 cases (5. 83 %) died after 24 hrs of accident. Data regarding one case was not known. Teanby D N et al \(^10\) report that among 75 severely injured children (ISS >15 or death), 38 (50%) died at spot and 15(20%) deaths occurred before admission to hospital. Singh Y N et al \(^7\) report that hospital death was the most common outcome (1027, 54.86 % cases), followed by spot deaths (561, 29.96 %) and death on the way to hospital (284, 17.17 %). Overall, 50% of casualties died before reaching the hospital. Findings of all the above studies, including the present one, reveal that almost 50% of victims died due to delay in hospitalization beyond the ‘Golden Hour’. This highlights the necessity of establishing Regional Trauma Care System facilitating early hospitalization of RTA victims.

**Personal safety measure adopted**

In the present study it is seen that 102 (85 %) cases no personal safety measures like seat belts, helmets etc were adopted. Only 13 (10.83 %) two wheeler riders and 1 two wheeler pillon rider had worn helmet. Seat belt was worn only by 4 (3.33 %) drivers and by none of the occupants. Jha N et al \(^1\) report that, in their study, among fatal cases of two wheeler drivers (31.1%) none of the drivers or occupants of any motorized two wheeler vehicle was using any protective gear at the time of the accident. Newman R J \(^11\) reports in his study that though the use of seatbelt has not decreased the percentage of rib fractures but it has changed the pattern of injuries sustained and thereby reducing the severity of injuries and fatalities. Lowe et al \(^12\) report that protective device use of seatbelt was observed only in 3%
cases (17 cases) and use of helmets only in 2% cases (12 cases). Sood S\(^1\) reports that injury severity score of more 15 among helmeted two wheeler riders was seen only in 06 cases (4.5 %) while among non helmeted riders the ISS of more than 15 was seen in 28 cases (16.5%). Appeleby J P and Nagy AG\(^2\) reported that 126 (22.41%) cases had used seat belt out of a total of 562 cases. Findings of the present study are consistent with above studies, in that, use of personal safety measures like wearing of helmets and seat belts needs serious enforcement and also awareness among people through public education.

**Alcohol consumption**

In the present study it is seen that (on gross autopsy finding of smell of alcohol and history taken from relatives) 20 (16.67 %) fatalities had findings of alcohol consumption while 100, (83.33 %), cases showed no evidence of alcohol consumption. Similar pattern is reported by Millo T et al\(^{15}\) in their study, which states that 34% RTA victims were positive for alcohol. Lowe D K et al\(^{12}\) report consumption of alcohol 19 % cases. Charlton R and Smith G\(^2\) report that out of 1086 motor vehicle drivers and two wheeler riders, 19 % were over the legal limit (80 mg /dl blood) of consumption of alcohol. The present study is consistent with above studies. It is an established fact that alcohol consumption lengthens the reaction time thus contributing to fatalities in road traffic accidents. Alcohol consumption needs to be curbed by serious policing and public education.

**Conclusion:**

It may be said that pedestrians and two wheeler riders are most vulnerable to RTAs and necessary preventive measures need to be undertaken to control the epidemic.
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