1TO EVALUATE OUTCOME AND COMPLICATIONS BETWEEN SUPERVISED AND2UNSUPERVISED MEDICAL ABORTION PILL INTAKE

3

4 ABSTRACT

5 Introduction

- 6 Due to the easy access of medical abortion pill over the counter without medical
- 7 consultation and despite guidelines and medical termination of pregnancy act, there
- 8 is an increase in incidence of unsafe abortion, often leading to serious health

9 complications.

10 **Objective**

To evaluate outcome and complications, socio-demographic factors that lead women to self administration of medical abortion pills and to assess awareness regarding use of medical abortion pills between supervised and unsupervised intake of medical abortion pills.

15 Methods

This prospective observational study was conducted between from June 2022 - June 16 2024, where a total of 600 patients were enrolled in the study. Patients were divided 17 into two groups in which one group had supervised intake of MTP pills and other 18 group had unsupervised intake of MTP pills. Their socio-demographic profile, 19 indication of intake of MTP pill, source of drug, awareness about MTP pill or any 20 other form of contraceptions, outcome and complications were evaluated. The data 21 were encoded and entered into MS Excel spreadsheet application. Analysis was 22 done using Origin pro software. Statistical analysis was done to analyse between the 23 groups using percentage and Chi square test on categorical variables. The 24

correlation between dependent and independent variables was evaluated using
 multivariate logistic regression.

27

28 Result

In supervised group, majority (58.53%) were aged between 21-30 years of age, 29 whereas in unsupervised group majority(61.33%) were aged between 31-40 years of 30 age. It was observed that in both groups majority of patients belonged to middle 31 economic status. It had been observed that majority(90.66%) of pregnancy in 32 33 unsupervised group was diagnosed by UPT and with history of MTP pill intake between 9-12 weeks gestation(43.34%) leading to more complications, while in 34 supervised intake, pregnancy was diagnosed by USG(99.66%) and termination of 35 pregnancy was done before 9 weeks of gestation(72.67%) with minimal 36 complications. Anaemia is the most common associated co-morbidity were severe 37 anaemia was noted in 25% patients in unsupervised group compared to 15% in 38 supervised group. In unsupervised group, 42.67% patients required suction and 39 evacuation with blood transfusion with only 14% in supervised group. 40

41 **Conclusion**

It was observed that the magnitude of complications was more seen in unsupervised intake of MTP pill like need for blood transfusion, laparotomy, hysterectomy and maternal mortality. There is an urgent need of restricting the free availability of over the counter MTP pill and to educate and increase awareness about the complications of unsupervised MTP pill intake.

47 Keywords: MTP pill; unsupervised intake; awareness; outcome; complications

48

51 **INTRODUCTION**

52 Unsafe abortion remains a critical global reproductive health issue, presenting 53 significant risks and often leading to severe, life-threatening complications. In India, 54 an estimated 6.4 million abortions occur annually, with 56% classified as unsafe. 55 These unsafe abortions contribute significantly to maternal mortality, accounting for 56 8-20% of all maternal deaths. ⁽¹⁾

In India, the All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), in collaboration with the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, has developed guidelines for the use of medical abortion pills. These guidelines recommend a combination pack of 1 tablet of Mifepristone (200 mg) and 4 tablets of Misoprostol (200 mcg each) for terminating pregnancies up to 63 days of gestation. According to the Medical Termination of Pregnancy (MTP) Act, only registered medical practitioners are authorized to prescribe these pills. ⁽²⁾

The MTP Act of 2021, effective from March 25, 2021, extends the permissible gestational age for abortion to 24 weeks, up from the previous limit of 20 weeks. Under the revised act, the opinion of one registered medical practitioner is required for abortions up to 20 weeks, while two practitioners' opinions are needed for abortions between 20-24 weeks. This contrasts with the previous requirement of one practitioner's opinion for terminations up to 12 weeks and two practitioners' opinions for terminations between 12-20 weeks. ⁽³⁾

WHO guidelines necessitate women requesting medical abortion to confirm pregnancy, estimate gestational age and locate site of pregnancy, rule out contraindications and it also recommends that the person or facility providing Medical abortion should have back up facility in case of failed or incomplete abortion^{.[4}Unwanted pregnancies are a significant global challenge, leading to an estimated 42 million induced abortions annually, with about 20 million of these being
 unsafe⁽⁵⁾.

This study aims to evaluate the outcomes and complications of self-administered medical abortion pills, comparing supervised and unsupervised settings in tertiary care facilities. Health education should emphasize the importance of medical counselling and supervision during abortions and the risks of self-medication. Strict enforcement of restrictions on over-the-counter abortion pills is necessary, along with increased awareness of contraception to reduce unwanted pregnancies.

84

85 MATERIALS AND METHODS

This Prospective observational study was conducted in Upper India Sugar 86 Exchange Maternity Hospital, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, UP in the Department 87 of Obstetrics and Gynaecology spanning two years from June 2022 to June 2024 on 88 600 patients .This methodology was selected to evaluate outcome and complications 89 between supervised and unsupervised patients following MTP pill intake. All patients 90 visiting OPDs and IPDs with history of administration of medical abortion pills with 91 and without prescription were taken into study. By self administration we mean that 92 93 these pregnant women did not have any medical consultation with a registered medical practitioner and took abortion pills which were purchased from the pharmacy 94 without any prescription either by self or by some close relative. Woman with any 95 history of surgical intervention or procedure after MTP pills intake were excluded 96 from study. The data were encoded and entered into MS Excel spreadsheet 97 application. Analysis was done using Origin pro software. Statistical analysis was 98 done to analyse between the groups using percentage and Chi square test on 99

categorical variables. The correlation between dependent and independent variableswas evaluated using multivariate logistic regression.

102 <u>Result:</u>

Table 1 presents the overall comparison of each variable between supervised and 103 unsupervised intake of medical abortion pill along with their contributory 104 percentages. In supervised group, majority (58.53%) were aged between 21-30 105 years of age, whereas in unsupervised group majority(61.33%) were aged between 106 31-40 years of age. It was observed that in both groups majority of patients belonged 107 to middle economic status. It had been observed that majority(90.66%) of pregnancy 108 in unsupervised group was diagnosed by UPT and with history of MTP pill intake 109 between 9-12 weeks gestation(43.34%), while in supervised intake, pregnancy was 110 diagnosed by USG(99.66%) and termination of pregnancy was done before 9 weeks 111 of gestation(72.67%). 112

Severe anaemia was noted in 25% patients in unsupervised group compared to 15% in supervised group. In unsupervised group, 42.67% patients required suction and evacuation with blood transfusion with only 14% in supervised group. Complications such as shock (2.34%), sepsis (4.35%) and mortality (1.62%) had been reported in this study compared to none in supervised group.

Table 2 presents the crude and adjusted ratio for study variables about intake of 118 119 medical abortion pill between supervised and unsupervised intake. The crude odds of ratio complication after intake were higher in parity more 120 than 3(COR:1.79,CI:1.14-2.71). However, multivariate logistic regression revealed that a 121 parity of more than 2(AOR:1.49,CI:1.21-1.71) were more likely of landing into 122 complications. The crude odds ratio of complication after intake were higher in period 123 of gestation of 9-12 weeks (COR:1.35,CI:1.10-1.58), when adjusted it was it was 124

observed that both period of gestation of >9weeks and 9-12 weeks revealed are

equally likely for causing complications.(COR:1.14,CI 0.85-1.51).

- 127
- 128 Table1: Overall study variables were shown and distribution percentage was plotted

129 for each variables. Comparison between the supervised and un-supervised was

shown in the present table along with their contributory percentages.

| Characteristics | | Supervised | % | Un | % | Chi | P value |
|-----------------|------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|----------|---------|
| | | (N) | | supervised | | square | |
| | | | | (N) | | | |
| Age(years) | <20 | 38 | 12.8 | 32 | 10.67 | 7.7724 | .050957 |
| | 21-30 | 175 | 58.53 | 78 | 26 | | |
| | 31-40 | 85 | 28.43 | 184 | 61.33 | | |
| | >40 | 1 | 0.3 | 6 | 1.3 | | |
| Religion | Hindu | 258 | 86.29 | 254 | 86.29 | 399.1824 | < |
| | Muslim | 41 | 13.71 | 45 | 13.71 | | .00001 |
| Education | Literate | 276 | 92.31 | 288 | 96.33 | 4.4906 | .034081 |
| | Illiterate | 23 | 7.69 | 11 | 3.67 | | |
| Residence | Rural | 18 | 6.02 | 14 | 4.68 | 0.5283 | .467336 |
| | Urban | 281 | 93.98 | 285 | 95.32 | | |
| Marital Status | Married | 17 | 5.68 | 16 | 5.35 | 0.0321 | .857868 |
| | Unmarried | 282 | 94.32 | 283 | 94.65 | | |
| Economic | Upper | 15 | 5.06 | 36 | 12.04 | 9.4535 | .008855 |
| Status | Middle | 245 | 81.90 | 227 | 75.92 | | |
| | Low | 39 | 13.04 | 36 | 12.04 | | |
| Medical | Yes | 285 | 95.32 | 298 | 99.66 | 11.5565 | .000675 |
| History | No | 14 | 4.68 | 1 | 0.34 | | |
| Parity | G1 | 50 | 16.72 | 32 | 10.70 | 138.5755 | < |
| | G2 | 239 | 79.94 | 138 | 46.16 | | 0.00001 |
| | G3 | 1 | 0.34 | 100 | 33.44 | | |
| | >4 | 9 | 3.00 | 29 | 9.70 | | |
| POG (weeks) | <9 | 218 | 72.67 | 85 | 28.33 | 1.5601 | .458389 |

| | 9-12 | 67 | 22.33 | 130 | 43.34 | | |
|----------------|---------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|----------|---------|
| | >12 | 15 | 5 | 85 | 28.33 | | |
| Ascertainment | USG | 298 | 99.66 | 28 | 9.34 | 89.1209 | < |
| of pregnancy(| | | | | | | .00001 |
| USG/UPT) | UPT | 1 | 0.34 | 272 | 90.66 | | |
| No. of Pills | Complete | 151 | 50.51 | 147 | 49.16 | 0.107 | .743557 |
| | In-complete | 148 | 49.49 | 152 | 50.84 | | |
| No. of times | Once | 276 | 92.31 | 276 | 92.31 | 0 | 1 |
| pills were | Twice | 23 | 7.69 | 23 | 7.69 | | |
| taken | Thrice | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ň | |
| Source of the | Self | 288 | 96.32 | 179 | 59.87 | 123.0527 | < |
| Pills | Pharmacist | 2 | 0.66 | 98 | 32.78 | | 0.00001 |
| | Others | 9 | 3.02 | 22 | 7.35 | | |
| How were pills | Blister pack | 299 | 100 | 299 | 100 | | |
| packed | Loose pack | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Route of | sublingual | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.7076 | < |
| administration | Oral | 67 | 22.4 | 9 | 3.02 | | .00001 |
| | Vaginal | 232 | 77.6 | 290 | 96.98 | | |
| Indication of | unintended | 170 | 56.86 | 222 | 74.24 | | |
| intake | pregnancy | | | | | | |
| | Life risk/ | 19 | 6.36 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | medical | | | | | | |
| | reason | | | | | | |
| | Unmarried | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | | |
| | pregnancy | | | | | | |
| | failure of | 110 | 36.78 | 71 | 23.74 | | |
| | contraception | | | | | | |
| | Congenital | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | anomalies | | | | | | |
| | Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Interval | < 24h | 41 | 13.71 | 29 | 9.7 | 2.3299 | .126912 |
| between | >24h | 258 | 86.29 | 270 | 90.3 | | |
| intake and | | | | | | | |

| visit | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|---------|---------|
| Chief | Heavy | 276 | 92.3 | 181 | 60.54 | | |
| complaint | menstrual | | | | | | |
| | bleeding | | | | | | |
| | Passage of | | | | | | |
| | fleshy mass | | | | | | |
| | Irregular | 15 | 5.02 | 53 | 17.73 | | |
| | vaginal | | | | | | |
| | bleeding | | | | | | |
| · | Pain | 4 | 1.34 | 24 | 8.03 | | |
| | abdomen | | | | | | |
| | Continued | 4 | 1.34 | 11 | 3.67 | | |
| | pregnancy | | | | | | |
| | Pain | 0 | 0 | 30 | 10.03 | | |
| | abdomen | | | | | | |
| | with four | | | | | | |
| | smelling | C | X | | | | |
| | discharge per | | | | | | |
| | vaginum | | | | | | |
| Outcome | Incomplete | 261 | 87.29 | 277 | 92.64 | | |
| | abortion | | | | | | |
| | Complete | 32 | 10.7 | 9 | 3.01 | | |
| | abortion | | | | | | |
| | Rupture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | ectopic | | | | | | |
| | Missed | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0.34 | | |
| V | abortion | | | | | | |
| | Sepsis | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3.01 | | |
| | Uterine | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.34 | | |
| | perforation | | | | | | |
| | Others | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.68 | | |
| Duration of | <3 days | 295 | 98.66 | 274 | 91.63 | 15.9819 | .000064 |
| stay | >3 days | 4 | 1.33 | 25 | 8.36 | | |

| Complications | Mild to moderate | 255 | 85.28 | 184 | 61.56 | | |
|---------------|---------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|--------|---------|
| | anemia | | | | | | |
| | Severe | 44 | 14.71 | 74 | 24.76 | | |
| | anemia | | | | | | |
| | Sepsis | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4.35 | | |
| | Scar | 0 | 0 | 03 | 1.03 | | |
| | pregnancy | | | | | | |
| | Mortality | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.62 | | |
| | Shock | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2.34 | | |
| | Continuation | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4.34 | | |
| | of Pregnancy | | | | | | |
| Management | Medical | 39 | 13.05 | 20 | 6.67 | | |
| | management | | | | | | |
| | Suction & | 218 | 72.90 | 114 | 38.13 | | |
| | evacuation | | 6 | | | | |
| | S & E with | 42 | 14.05 | 101 | 33.78 | | |
| | blood | | | | | | |
| | transfusion | | | | | | |
| | Laparotomy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | with blood | | | | | | |
| | transfusion | | | | | | |
| | Hysterectomy | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.67 | | |
| | with blood | | | | | | |
| 2 | transfusion | | | | | | |
| | Iron sucrose | 0 | 0 | 55 | 18.39 | | |
| | Shock | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2.36 | | |
| Awareness of | Yes | 269 | 89.96 | 250 | 83.61 | 5.2652 | .021756 |
| MTP pills | No | 30 | 10.03 | 49 | 16.38 | | |
| Awareness | No | 167 | 55.85 | 226 | 75.5 | 25.838 | < |
| about other | | | | | | | .00001 |
| contraceptive | Yes | 132 | 44.14 | 73 | 24.4 | | |
| methods | | | | | | | |

| Characteristics | | COR(95%Cl) | AOR(95%Cl) | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Age | <20* | | | | |
| | 21-30 | 0.91(0.68,1.22) | 0.91(0.68,1.22) | | |
| | 31-40 | 0.87(0.71,1.10) | 0.87(0.68,1.09) | | |
| | >40 | 0.77(0.59,0.96) | 0.71(0.55,0.91) | | |
| Religion | Hindu* | | | | |
| | Muslim | 0.54(0.34,0.81) | 0.51(0.32,0.74) | | |
| Education | Literate* | | | | |
| | Illiterate | 0.71*(0.62,1.12) | 0.71(0.65,1.09) | | |
| Resident | Rural* | | | | |
| | Urban | 0.68(0.52,0.98) | 0.65(0.51,0.87) | | |
| Marital Status | Married* | | | | |
| | Unmarried | 0.87(0.61,1.11) | 0.88(0.60,1.11) | | |
| Economic Status | Upper* | | | | |
| | Middle | 0.91*(0.64,1.11) | 0.89(0.61,1.02) | | |
| | Low | 0.85(0.71,1.14) | 0.81(0.68,1.10) | | |
| Medical History | Yes* | | | | |
| | No | 0.88(0.69,1.01) | 0.85(0.61,1.01) | | |
| Parity | G1* | | | | |
| | G2 | 1.66***(1.41,1.92) | 1.49(1.21,1.71) | | |
| | G3 | 1.79***(1.14,2.71) | 1.41(0.93,2.34) | | |
| | >4* | | | | |
| POG (weeks) | <9 | 1.33***(1.13,1.69) | 1.14(0.86,1.59) | | |
| | 9-12 | 1.35***(1.10,1.58) | 1.14(0.85,1.51) | | |
| | >12 | 0.81(0.67,1.02) | 0.85(0.66,1.07) | | |
| Ascertainment of | USG* | | | | |
| oregnancy(USG/UPT) | | | | | |
| | UPT | 0.87(0.48,1.05) | 0.88(0.51,1.06) | | |
| No. of Pills | Complete* | | | | |
| | In-complete | 0.78(0.61,0.92) | 0.81(0.62,1.02) | | |
| No. of times pills were | Once* | | | | |
| taken | Twice | 0.66(0.51,0.89) | 0.60(0.41,0.81) | | |
| | Thrice | 0.96(0.72,1.15) | 1.00(0.71,1.31) | | |
| Source of the Pills | Self* | | | | |
| | Pharmacist | 0.98(0.75,1.12) | 0.87(0.64,1.14) | | |
| | Others | 0.74(0.51,0.89) | 0.74(0.55,1.10) | | |
| How were pills | Blister pack* | | | | |
| packed | Loose pack | 1.00(0.75,1.21) | 0.99(0.75,1.14) | | |
| Route of | Sublingual* | | | | |
| administration | Oral | 0.87(0.74,1.10) | 0.84(0.70,1.01) | | |
| | Vaginal | 1.12(0.96,1.31) | 1.04(0.85,1.30) | | |
| Indication of intake | unintended | | | | |
| | pregnancy* | | | | |
| | Life risk/ | 0.99(0.54,0.86) | 1.00(0.77,1.34) | | |
| | | | | | |

133 Table 2: Crude and adjusted odds ratio abortion for study variables of both groups.

| | medical reason | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Unmarried pregnancy | 0.85(0.68,1.12) | 0.81(0.75,1.10) |
| | failure of | 0.84(0.70,1.09) | 0.81(0.81,1.12) |
| | contraception Congenial | 1.22***(0.91,1.61) | 1.18(0.91,1.41) |
| | from alone Others | 0.81(0.68,1.01) | 0.85(0.72,1.15) |
| Interval between | < 24h* | | |
| intake and visit | >24h | 0.92(0.65,1.12) | 0.95(0.72,1.23) |
| Chief complaint | Heavy menstrual* bleeding Passage of fleshy mass | | |
| | Irregular vaginal bleeding | 0.92(0.67,1.15) | 0.93(0.69,1.17) |
| | Pain abdomen | 0.82(0.68,0.99) | 0.90(0.70,1.15) |
| | Continued pregnancy | 1.08(0.87,1.31) | 1.10(0.86,1.21) |
| | Pain abdomen with four smelling discharge per vaginum | 0.98(0.77,1.19) | 0.90(0.064,1.21) |
| Outcome | Incomplete abortion* | | |
| | Complete abortion | 1.37(1.12,1.68) | 1.17(0.89,1.61) |
| | Rupture ectopic | 1.31(1.11,1.45) | 1.28(1.14,1.52) |
| | Missed abortion | 1.22(1.24,1.46) | 1.19(1.18,1.13) |
| | Sepsis Uterine perforation | 1.05(1.15,1.31) 1.12(1.14,1.32) | 1.13(1.14,1.38) 1.19(1.15,1.24) |
| | Others | 1.32(1.14,1.41) | 1.37(1.19,1.45) |
| wareness of MTP | Yes* | | |
| pills | No | 0.98(0.62,1.10) | 0.95(0.65,1.17) |
| Awareness about | No* | | |
| other methods Duration of stay | Yes <3 days* | 0.83(0.69,0.99) | 0.85(0.70.1.03) |
| | >3 days | 0.68(0.52,0.90) | 0.60(0.44,0.81) |
| | • | | |

| Complications | Mild to | 0.85(0.78,1.06) | 0.86(0.79,1.09) |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | moderate anemia* | | |
| | | | |
| | Severe | 0.52(0.48,0.74) | 0.55(0.49,0.76) |
| | anemia | | |
| | Sepsis | 0.84(0.52,1.14) | 0.87(0.55,1.12) |
| | Scar | 0.75(0.62,1.12) | 0.77(0.68,1.05) |
| | pregnancy | 0.01/0.01.1.00 | |
| | Mortality | 0.81(0.61,1.08) | 0.81(0.65,1.01) |
| | Shock | 0.77(0.58,0.98) | 0.75(0.61,0.99) |
| | Continuation | 0.81(0.58,1.05) | 0.78(0.61,1.06) |
| | of Pregnancy | | |
| MANAGEMENT | Medical | | |
| | management* | | |
| | Suction & | 1.12(0.85,1.15) | 1.12(0.89,1.22) |
| | evacuation | | |
| | S & E with | 0.89(0.65,1.10) | 0.85(0.61,1.17) |
| | blood | | |
| | transfusion | | |
| | Laparotomy | 0.92(0.81,1.12) | 0.92(0.87,1.17) |
| | with blood transfusion | | |
| | Hysterectomy | 0.81(0.67,1.08) | 0.65(0.61,0.94) |
| | c blood | 0.01(0.07,1.00) | 0.05(0.01,0.74) |
| | transfusion | | |
| | Iron sucrose | 0.71(0.62,0.95) | 0.74(0.65,0.99) |
| | Shock | 0.66(0.57,0.81) | 0.65(0.59,0.94) |
| Awareness of MTP | Yes* | | |
| pills | | 0.00/0.00 | |
| | No | 0.98(0.62,1.10) | 0.95(0.65,1.17) |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- 135 Note: *Reference category; *p<0.05; ***p <0.001
- 136 Table: Crude and adjusted odds ratio for study variables of both groups.

In table the multivariate logistic regression was performed to estimate the COR and AOR of the study variables. The results shown that among the study variables, education, socioeconomic status, period of gestation and parity along with indication of intake were among the major predictors of the study.

- 141
- 142 **DISCUSSION**

According to WHO guidelines, medical termination of pregnancy can be safely performed up to 9 weeks of gestation by a registered medical practitioner. However, self-administration of medical abortion pills by unqualified individuals and lack of awareness regarding the potential complications of unsupervised MTP pill intake can result in severe complications such as sepsis, uterine perforation, cervical trauma, and ectopic pregnancy, posing significant risks to maternal health globally.

This study assessed the outcomes and complications associated with supervised versus unsupervised use of medical abortion pills. In the supervised group, 58.34% of patients were aged 21-30 years, similar to findings by Giri et al(4). and Reema Kumari et al(10)., while in the unsupervised group, 61.33% were aged 31-40 years, consistent with studies by Bhalla S et al(9). Most patients belonged to the middle socio-economic strata, highlighting issues such as early marriage, unplanned pregnancies, career priorities, and the easy availability of MTP pills.

156 In the supervised group, all pregnancies were confirmed via ultrasound to rule out ectopic pregnancies before proceeding with termination. Conversely, 90% of patients 157 in the unsupervised group used MTP pills based on urine pregnancy tests, a higher 158 rate than reported by Reema Kumari et al(10). The majority of patients in both 159 groups were from the Hindu community. In the supervised group, 72.67% of patients 160 terminated within the recommended gestational period, compared to only 28.33% in 161 the unsupervised group, which had higher termination rates at 9-12 weeks and post-162 12 weeks gestation. 163

The unsupervised group had a higher prevalence of patients who were gravida 2, followed by gravida 3 and 1, similar to findings by Reema Kumari et al(10). and Singh A et al(5). In the supervised group, most patients were gravida 2. The primary reason for termination in both groups was unintended pregnancy. However, studies by Reema Kumari et al.⁽¹⁰⁾ and Bhalla S et al.⁽⁹⁾ indicated that privacy concerns and contraception failure were also significant factors.

Excessive vaginal bleeding, a sign of incomplete abortion, was the main complaint in 92.66% of unsupervised cases, aligning with findings by Rath S et al(1)., Reema Kumari et al(10)., and Bhalla S et al(9). This study revealed that 59.67% of patients in the unsupervised group obtained the drugs without a prescription, underscoring the widespread misuse of MTP pills despite existing sale restrictions.

While awareness of MTP pills was high in both groups, knowledge of alternative contraceptive methods was limited. This highlights the need for enhanced education on contraception and the supervised use of MTP pills to prevent complications. Enforcing prescription requirements for MTP pills and implementing comprehensive sex education programs are essential.

In the supervised group, patients were informed about potential complications and 180 181 the risk of ectopic pregnancy, allowing for timely medical intervention and minimizing complications. Only 14% of supervised patients required surgical intervention, 182 compared to 73.67% in the unsupervised group. Blood transfusions were necessary 183 for 42% of unsupervised patients, and two required life-saving hysterectomies. 184 Complications such as shock (2.34%), sepsis (4.35%) and mortality (1.62%) had 185 been reported in this study compared to none in supervised group. Similar studies 186 were shown in the study conducted by Thakur et al(11) and Sarojini et al(13) 187

188 Additionally, 7 patients in unsupervised group needed laparotomy due to 189 complications like scar ectopic pregnancy and uterine perforation.

The study underscores the urgent need for increased awareness and strict regulations regarding the use of MTP pills to reduce maternal morbidity and mortality associated with unsupervised medical abortions.

WOFRPELER

196 **CONCLUSION**

The current study indicates that complications are significantly higher in the 197 unsupervised group compared to the supervised group, including increased need for 198 199 blood transfusions, laparotomy, hysterectomy, and even maternal mortality. To address this issue, it is crucial to educate and raise awareness among women at the 200 community level about family planning and the contraceptive options available at 201 hospital centres. Emphasizing the importance of taking MTP pills under medical 202 supervision is essential. Additionally, strict actions and surveillance should be 203 implemented to control the easy availability of over-the-counter pills. Addressing 204 these issues urgently is necessary to reduce the risk of women experiencing severe 205 complications or losing their lives due to unsupervised MTP pill intake. 206

- 207
- 208
- 209

211 **REFERENCES**

- 1)Rath S, Mishra S, Tripathy R, Dash S, Panda B. Analysis of Complications and
- 213 Management After Self-Administration of Medical Termination of Pregnancy Pills.
- 214 Cureus. 2021 Nov 18;13(11):e19730. doi: 10.7759/cureus.19730. PMID: 34934592;
- 215 PMCID: PMC8684582.
- 2) Mahji A K (2016) Bedside clinics in obstetrics: A practical approach to theoretical
 discussion, 4th edition, academic publishers, Kolkata(pp-575)
- 3)Panda, R. & Pattanaik, T. & Panigrahy, P. & Sahu, M.C.. (2016). Scenario of self
 medication for medical abortion in a tertiary care centre. International Journal of
 Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research. 39. 63-65.
- 4) Giri, A., Srivastav, V., Suwal, A., & Sharma, B. (2015). A Study of Complications
- following Self-administration with Medical Abortion Pills. Nepal Journal of Obstetrics
- 223 *and Gynaecology*, *10*(1), 20–24. Retrieved from 224 https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/NJOG/article/view/13188
- 5) Singh A, Bhaskaran S, SinglaA. Outcome and complications of self-administration
 of over-the-counter abortion pills: an observational study in a tertiary care hospital of
 a medical college in New Delhi.Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2021
 ;10:3148-52.
- 6) Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in
 medical research? Indian J Psychol Med. 2013 Apr;35(2):121-6. doi: 10.4103/02537176.116232. PMID: 24049221; PMCID: PMC3775042.
- 232 7) Moseson, H., Jayaweera, R., Raifman, S. *et al.* Self-managed medication abortion
- outcomes: results from a prospective pilot study. Reprod Health 17, 164 (2020).
- 234 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-020-01016-4</u>

8) Pradhan MR, Saikia D. Patterns and correlates of post-abortion complications in
India. BMC Womens Health. 2023 Mar 9;23(1):97. doi: 10.1186/s12905-023-02254x. PMID: 36894914; PMCID: PMC9998004.

7) Bhalla, Shivali & Goyal, Lajya & Bhalla, Shivam & Kaur, Balpreet. (2018). Self 238 administered medical abortion pills: evaluation of the clinical outcome and 239 complications among women presenting with unsupervised pill intake to a tertiary 240 care hospital in Malwa region of Punjab, India. International Journal of Reproduction, 241 Contraception. **Obstetrics** and Gynecology. 7. 1537. 10.18203/2320-242 243 1770.ijrcog20181351.

10) Kumari, Reema & Tirkey, Sarita & Kumari, Archana Kumari. (2023). Over-theCounter Use of Medical Abortion Pills: A Prospective Cohort Study. Journal of
Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 17. 10.7860/JCDR/2023/63778.18315.

11) Nagaria, Tripti & Thakur, Neha & Verma, Ritu. (2023). Self-administration of
Abortion Pills and its Maternal Outcome in Tertiary Care Center. Journal of South
Asian Federation of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 15. 142-146. 10.5005/jp-journals10006-2226.

12) Pourette D, Mattern C, Ratovoson R, Raharimalala P. Complications with use of
misoprostol for abortion in Madagascar: between ease of access and lack of
information. Contraception. 2018 Feb;97(2):116-121. doi:
10.1016/j.contraception.2017.12.005. Epub 2017 Dec 11. PMID: 29242087.

13) Sarojini, Ashakiran TR, Bhanu BT, Radhika. Over-the-counter MTP Pills and Its
Impact on Women's Health. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2017 Feb;67(1):37-41. doi:
10.1007/s13224-016-0916-9. Epub 2016 Jun 25. PMID: 28242966; PMCID:
PMC5306099.

14) Self administration versus supervised use of Medical Abortion pills: Impact on
women's health. Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results [Internet]. 2022 Oct. 7
[cited 2024 Jul. 21];:475-82.

263 Available from: <u>https://www.pnrjournal.com/index.php/home/article/view/1716</u>

15) Bharti, Atima & Tuddu, Anjali. (2020). Study on Safety, Efficacy and
Complication of Medical Abortion. International Journal of Contemporary Medical
Research [IJCMR]. 7. 10.21276/ijcmr.2020.7.1.26.

16) American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Committee on Practice
Bulletins—Gynecology, Society of Family Planning. Medication Abortion Up to 70
Days of Gestation: ACOG Practice Bulletin, Number 225. Obstet Gynecol. 2020
Oct;136(4):e31-e47. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000004082. PMID: 32804884.

UNDER PEER ALL MINING

UNDER PEER REVIEW