

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-50432

Date: 26/02/25

Title: A Case of Several Recurrences of an Advanced Centro-Facial Scleroderma Basal Cell Carcinoma: A Case Report

Recommendation:

Accept as it isYes.....

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality	•			
Techn. Quality	•			
Clarity	•			
Significance	•			

Reviewer Name: Dr. Sireesha Kuruganti

Date: 26/02/25

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

.....

.....

.....

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Abstract:

- *Lines 1-10:* The abstract provides a concise summary of the case report, highlighting the patient's condition, treatment, and outcomes. It effectively sets the stage for the detailed case report that follows.

Introduction:

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- *Lines 11-24:* The introduction gives a brief overview of basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and its scleroderma variant. It emphasizes the rarity and aggressiveness of scleroderma BCC (sdBCC), setting the context for the case report.

Case Report:

- *Lines 25-44:* The case report section details the patient's history, including the initial diagnosis, multiple recurrences, and the challenges faced due to non-respect of surgical margins. It provides a clear timeline of the patient's condition and treatment.

- *Lines 45-52:* The section includes a description of the patient's symptoms and the diagnostic procedures performed, such as computed tomography (CT) and rhinoscopy.

- *Lines 53-119:* This part of the case report describes the surgical intervention, including the wide excision performed by plastic and ENT surgeons, followed by radiotherapy and 3D reconstruction. It also mentions the postoperative care and follow-up.

Discussion:

- *Lines 120-172:* The discussion section elaborates on the aggressiveness of advanced BCC, the importance of early consultation, and the need for respecting surgical margins. It also highlights the challenges faced due to the patient's refusal of surgery under general anesthesia.

- *Lines 173-217:* This part of the discussion emphasizes the need for long-term follow-up and regular monitoring to manage advanced BCC effectively. It also discusses the importance of obtaining adequate tissue for histologic evaluation.

Conclusion:

- *Lines 218-220:* The conclusion reiterates the aggressive nature of advanced BCC and the importance of early detection, comprehensive treatment, and long-term follow-up.

References:

- *Lines 221-240:* The references section lists the sources cited in the manuscript, providing a solid foundation for the information presented.