ISSN: 2320-5407



1

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR- 50645

Date: 13/03/2025

Title: A Case of Brunner's Gland Hamartoma Presenting as Gastric Outlet Obstruction: Surgical Approach and Literature Review

Recommendation:

✓ Accept as it is
Accept after minor revision
Accept after major revision
Do not accept (<i>Reasons below</i>)

RatingExcel.GoodFairPoorOriginalityIIITechn. QualityIIIClarityIIISignificanceIII

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 15/03/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

The study effectively highlights Brunner's gland hamartoma as a rare yet significant cause of gastric outlet obstruction. The paper provides valuable clinical insights into the diagnostic and surgical approach. While it successfully documents the case and its management, including long-term follow-up and broader discussion on alternative treatments would enhance its impact. Overall, this research contributes meaningfully to the understanding of Brunner's gland hamartomas and their management.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths:

1. Well-Defined Case Study: The paper presents a detailed case of Brunner's gland hamartoma, including clinical presentation, diagnostic methods, surgical intervention, and histopathological findings.

2. **Thorough Literature Review:** The authors provide a comprehensive discussion on the classification, causes, and treatment options for Brunner's gland hamartoma, making the study informative for clinicians.

3. Clear Diagnostic Approach: The use of imaging (CT and endoscopy) and histopathological confirmation strengthens the validity of the diagnosis and surgical decision-making process.

4. **Structured Presentation:** The paper follows a logical flow from introduction to discussion, enhancing readability.

Areas for Improvement:

1. Limited Discussion on Alternative Treatments: While surgery is emphasized, more discussion on the role of endoscopic resection in early-stage or small lesions would be beneficial.

2. Lack of Long-Term Follow-Up Data: Including postoperative follow-up information on recurrence or complications would strengthen the study's clinical relevance.

3. More Comparative Data: A brief comparison with similar case studies in terms of outcomes could further validate the findings.