

# High Dose Rate Brachytherapy in The Treatment of Cervical Cancer: Retrospective Study About 380 Patients, Experience of The National Institute of Oncology, Rabat

## Introduction and aim of the study:

Brachytherapy is a fundamental step in the treatment of patients with cervical cancer. It increases local control and global survival rates.

The objective of our study is to present the Moroccan experience of the Rabat National Institute of Oncology in high-dose-rate brachytherapy for cervical cancer.

## Material and methods:

Retrospective study from January 2019 to December 2023 carried out in the radiotherapy department at the National Oncology Institute Rabat describing the clinical, paraclinical, technical, dosimetric and evolutionary modalities of three-dimensional high-dose-rate brachytherapy in 380 patients with cervical cancer. These data were then entered and processed on Microsoft Excel 2019.

## Results:

The mean age of the patients was 54 years. The predominant histological type was squamous cell carcinoma in 88% and adenocarcinoma in 12%.

In our study, pelvic MRI was performed in 98% of patients, with tumor stages according to the FIGO 2018 classification being IB (6.6%), IIA (5,8%), IIB (34,2%), IIIC1 (21.6%), IIIC2 (14.7%), and IVA bladder and rectal (17.4%) respectively.

All patients were treated with external radiotherapy with a dose of 46 Gy concomitantly with weekly cisplatin at a dose of 40mg/m<sup>2</sup>.

The average total course of external radiotherapy combined with brachytherapy was 66 days [44 - 75].

90% of applications were endocavitary and 10% were vaginal brachytherapy. The applications were controlled by per-brachytherapy ultrasound.

The protocols used for endocavitary brachytherapy were 4x7Gy weekly in 29.5% of cases, 4x7Gy in two series in 50% of cases, 3x8Gy weekly in 12% of cases, and for barrage brachytherapy were 2x5Gy weekly in 4% of cases, 2x6Gy weekly in 2% of cases and 3x6Gy weekly in 2.5% of cases.

Brachytherapy dosimetry was performed on a dosimetric scanner for all patients, except for barrage brachytherapy.

The mean total DQE2 ( $\alpha/\beta$  10) external radiotherapy and brachytherapy for high-risk CTV was 94.93Gy. For organs at risk, the mean total EQD2 ( $\alpha/\beta$  3) external radiotherapy and brachytherapy was 65.5Gy, 61.4Gy, 53.5Gy and 50.2Gy respectively for the bladder, rectum, sigmoid and small bowel.

Acute toxicity during brachytherapy was mainly represented by minimal bleeding in 9% of patients, grade I cystitis in 3.5% of patients, and grade I radio-mucositis in 2.5%, while 85% of patients had no side effects during the treatment.

After a 32-month follow-up, a complete remission was observed for 89,73% of patients, a stable tumor for 2,36% and a local recurrence for 7,89% of patients with initial stages IIB, IIIC1 and IVA.

## 38 **Conclusion:**

39 HDR brachytherapy has an important place in the treatment of cervical cancer. It improves local control  
40 by reducing locoregional recurrence and toxicity in organs at risk, and improves quality of life after  
41 irradiation.

42 **Keywords:** Brachytherapy, Cervical Cancer, Patients

## 43 **Introduction:**

44 Cancer of the cervix is the second most frequent cancer in women worldwide and the fourth cause of  
45 death by cancer in women [1], nearly 85% of the population suffering from cervical cancer live in  
46 developing countries.

47 Infection with oncogenic types of HPV sexually transmissible is primary cause. In Morocco, this cancer  
48 poses a major public health issue for women [2]. Two preventive methods are currently available:  
49 primary prevention through vaccination and secondary prevention through early diagnosis.

50 Squamous cell carcinomas present approximately 80% of cervical cancers, while adenocarcinomas  
51 account for 20% with less favorable prognosis [3].

52 The management of cervical cancer is stratified based on the tumor stage, for locally advanced stages,  
53 five randomized trials have demonstrated a survival benefit both progression free survival and overall  
54 survival due the combination of external radiotherapy and concomitant chemotherapy, combined with  
55 brachytherapy [4,5,6,7,8].

56 High dose brachytherapy has become a key approach in the treatment of cervical cancer, as evidenced  
57 by advancements in techniques and clinical outcomes over the years. In a study by Yin et al. (9), the use  
58 of high-dose-rate brachytherapy for treating cervical cancer was highlighted, emphasizing its growing  
59 importance in treatment protocols.

60 Brachytherapy involves placing a radioactive source, for a set duration, in contact with or within the  
61 structure to be irradiated, aiming to treat only the tumor while sparing adjacent tissues as much as  
62 possible, thereby ensuring better local control.

63 Our study aims to present the Moroccan experience at the National Oncology Institute in Rabat with  
64 high-dose-rate brachytherapy in the management of locally advanced cervical cancer.

65

## 66 **Materials and Methods**

67 Retrospective study from January 2019 to December 2023 carried out in the radiotherapy department at  
68 the National Oncology Institute Rabat describing the clinical, paraclinical, technical, dosimetric and  
69 evolutionary modalities of three-dimensional high-dose-rate brachytherapy in 380 patients with cervical  
70 cancer. These data were then entered and processed on Microsoft Excel 2019.

71 The inclusion criteria were: a cervical cancer diagnosis confirmed by biopsy according to the WHO  
72 classification, starting from stage IB according to the 2018 FIGO classification, and all patients having  
73 received concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by brachytherapy.

74 Exclusion criteria included: patients with initial metastases, those who received only concurrent  
75 chemoradiotherapy, and those lost to follow-up immediately after treatment.

## Concurrent Radio-Chemotherapy

77 All of our patients received 3D conformational radiotherapy technique, with a dose of 46 Gy delivered  
78 to the pelvic region in 23 fractions of 2 Gy per day, 5 days a week. This radiotherapy was combined with  
79 weekly cisplatin-based chemotherapy at a dose of 40 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, not exceeding a total dose of 70  
80 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/week. Macroscopic pelvic lymphadenopathy was treated with a dose of 60 Gy, while lombo-  
81 aortic lymph nodes and the parametria received 56 Gy.

82 The target volumes were delineated using axial slices acquired from a dosimetric scanner. The use of  
83 multi-leaf collimators allows for precise dose adjustment based on the geometry of the target volume.  
84 Treatment fields were defined using bony landmarks as reference points, then adjusted according to the  
85 organs at risk and the target volumes delineated on the scanner.

86

## Brachytherapy

87 All patients underwent high-dose rate (HDR) brachytherapy, delivered in one of the following protocols:  
88 four fractions of 7 Gy with 2 or 4 insertions (one insertion per week), three fractions of 8 Gy, or two  
89 fractions of 9 Gy, each administered in a single insertion per week. HDR brachytherapy was planned  
90 during the final week of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) to maintain an optimal overall treatment  
91 duration of less than 56 days.

92 A consultation was systematically held during the 13th radiotherapy session to evaluate tumor response  
93 and determine the most appropriate application technique (intracavitary or interstitial). Applicator  
94 selection was based on tumor residue, vaginal tumor extension, uterine anteflexion, and vaginal  
95 capacity. The available applicators included Fletcher, Utrecht, Ring, Vienna-type Ring, or vaginal  
96 cylinder models. For locally advanced tumors or large residual tumors, a pelvic MRI was performed at  
97 the end of EBRT to evaluate tumor response.

98 The accuracy of the application was initially evaluated using ultrasound during the insertions. A  
99 dosimetric CT scan was then conducted with the applicator in place, and the position was verified using  
100 scout views. Contiguous CT images (2-mm slice thickness) were acquired from the mid-sacroiliac joint  
101 to the ischial tuberosities. Applicator positioning was further confirmed in three planes: axial, sagittal,  
102 and coronal.

103 CT images were imported into the ONCENTRA treatment planning system (TPS) for delineation of  
104 target volumes and organs at risk (OAR), following GEC-ESTRO guidelines [10]. The high-risk clinical  
105 target volume (HR-CTV) was defined as the post-radiochemotherapy residual tumor, including the  
106 cervix and gray zones observed on pelvic MRI (if performed). The intermediate-risk CTV (IR-CTV)  
107 includes the HR-CTV, the initial tumor extent, and margins of 1.5 cm cranio-caudally, 1 cm laterally,  
108 and 0.5 cm antero-posterior. OARs included the rectum, bladder, and sigmoid colon.

109 HDR brachytherapy dose prescription was based on Point A, as defined by the Manchester system. Total  
110 HDR brachytherapy EBRT doses to Point A were calculated using the linear-quadratic model with an  
111  $\alpha/\beta$  ratio of 10, aiming for cumulative doses between 85 and 95 Gy. Cumulative dose constraints for  
112 OARs, combining HDR brachytherapy and EBRT were set at 65–70 Gy for the rectum and 80–90 Gy  
113 for the bladder, in accordance with International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements  
114 (ICRU) Report 38 recommendations, using an  $\alpha/\beta$  ratio of 3.

115 HDR brachytherapy dose prescription was determined based on Point A, as defined by the Manchester  
116 system. The cumulative dose delivered to Point A, combining HDR brachytherapy and external beam  
117 radiotherapy (EBRT), was calculated using the linear-quadratic model with an  $\alpha/\beta$  ratio of 10, targeting a

118 total dose range of 85–95 Gy. Dose constraints for organs at risk (OARs) were established in accordance  
119 with the recommendations of the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements  
120 (ICRU) Report 38, with cumulative dose limits of 65–70 Gy for the rectum and 80–90 Gy for the  
121 bladder, calculated using an  $\alpha/\beta$  ratio of 3.

### 122 Follow-up

123

124 Throughout treatment, patients underwent weekly clinical surveillance. Following completion of  
125 treatment, they were monitored every 3 months for 2 years, subsequently every 6 months for 3 years,  
126 and then annually. Local recurrence was initially suspected based on clinical examination and later  
127 confirmed through MRI and biopsy.

128 Disease-free survival was defined as the duration from the initiation of treatment to the confirmation of  
129 either local or metastatic recurrence.

130 Local recurrence was defined as the reactivation of the disease within the irradiated area, whereas distant  
131 recurrence was characterized by the onset of metastases outside the treated region. The date of  
132 recurrence was determined by the date of imaging or histopathological confirmation.

### 133 Results

134

135 A total of 380 patients with locally advanced cervical cancer were included in this study. All patients  
136 were treated with concomitant chemoradiotherapy combined with high-dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy.

137 The median age of the patients was 54 years (range: 33–87 years). The predominant histological type  
138 was squamous cell carcinoma for 88% of cases, while adenocarcinoma was present in 12%. The most  
139 common symptom was metrorrhagia.

140 Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed in 98% of the patients to assess locoregional  
141 extension. According to the 2018 FIGO classification, the distribution of tumor stages was as follows:  
142 25 patients (6.6%) at stage IB, 22 patients (5.8%) at stage IIA, 130 patients (34.2%) at stage IIB, 82  
143 patients (21.6%) at stage IIIC1, 56 patients (14.7%) at stage IIIC2, and 66 patients (17.4%) at stage IVA  
144 with bladder and/or rectal involvement.

145 The average initial tumor size was 5.4 cm, which reduced to 1.8 cm after completion of the  
146 chemoradiotherapy. The average total duration of external radiotherapy combined with brachytherapy  
147 was 66 days (range: 44–75 days). (Table 1)

148 All patients received external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) at a dose of 46 Gy, delivered in 2 Gy per  
149 fractions, 5 sessions per week. This was combined with weekly cisplatin-based chemotherapy at a dose  
150 of 40 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, not exceeding 70 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/week.

151 90% of brachytherapy applications were endocavitary, while 10% were endovaginal, with ultrasound  
152 guidance used to control the applications. The brachytherapy protocols were as follows: 4x7 Gy weekly  
153 in 29.5% of patients, 4x7 Gy in two series in 50% of patients, 3x8 Gy weekly in 12% of patients, 2x5 Gy  
154 weekly in 4% of interstitial cases, 2x6 Gy weekly in 2% of interstitial cases, and 3x6 Gy weekly in 2.5%  
155 of endovaginal cases.

156 Brachytherapy dosimetry was performed using a dosimetric CT scan for all patients. The total average  
 157 EQD2 ( $\alpha/\beta = 10$ ) for EBRT and brachytherapy for the high-risk clinical target volume (CTV) was 94.93  
 158 Gy. For the organs at risk, the total average EQD2 ( $\alpha/\beta = 3$ ) was as follows: (Table 2)

- 159 ✓ 65.5 Gy for the bladder,
- 160 ✓ 61.4 Gy for the rectum,
- 161 ✓ 53.5 Gy for the sigmoid colon, and
- 162 ✓ 50.2 Gy for the small bowel.

163 The treatment was well tolerated by all patients, with no severe side events necessitating discontinuation  
 164 of treatment. No treatment-related mortality was observed during the study.

165 The median follow-up time was 32 months (range: 19–48.7 months). At the end of the follow-up period,  
 166 89.73% of patients achieved complete remission, 2.36% had stable disease, and 7.89% experienced  
 167 locoregional or distant recurrence for patients with initial stages IIB, IIIC1, IIIC2, and IVA, the  
 168 recurrence rates were as follows:

- 169 ✓ 6.15% for stage IIB,
- 170 ✓ 10.97% for stage IIIC1,
- 171 ✓ 19.64% for stage IIIC2, and
- 172 ✓ 16.66% for stage IVA.

173 In total, 28 patients (7.36%) experienced locoregional recurrence, and 11 patients (2.89%) experienced  
 174 distant recurrence.

175 TABLE 1: The patients' and tumors' characteristics

<b>The patients and tumors characteristics</b>	
<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Number of patients (%)</b>
Median age= 54 years	
Range Age 33-87	
<40 years	120 (31,57)
>40 years	260 (68,42)
<b>Symptomatology</b>	
Métrorrhagia	245 ()
Pelvic pain	69 ()
Vaginal discharge	28 ()
Autres	38 ()
<b>Histology</b>	
Squamous cell carcinoma	334 (88)
Adenocarcinoma	46 (12)
<b>FIGO stage</b>	
IB	24 (6,5)
IIA	22 (6)
IIB	130 (34)
IIIC1	82 (21,5)
IIIC2	56 (14,5)
IVA	66 (17,5)
<b>Initial tumors size</b>	

<4 cm	
>4 cm	
<b>Residue tumor size after CCRT</b>	
<2 cm	272 (71,57)
>2 cm	108 (28,42)

176

177 TABLE 2 : Treatment details

<b>Treatment details</b>	
<b>Radiotherapy</b>	
Dose	46
Fraction	23
<b>Chemotherapy (nombre of seances)</b>	
1	28
2	42
3	158
4	152
<b>Brachytherapy</b>	
<b>Applicator</b>	
Fletcher	150
Ring	148
Vienna	20
Utrecht	24
Cylindre vaginale	38
CTV-HR (Gy)	95,93
Bladder (Gy)	65,5
Rectum (Gy)	61,4
Sigmoïde (Gy)	53,5
Grêle (Gy)	50,2
<b>Overall treatment</b>	66 (44 et 76 jours)

178

179 **Discussion**

180 This study aimed to describe the clinical, paraclinical, technical, dosimetric, and evolutionary aspects of  
181 high-dose-rate brachytherapy following concurrent chemoradiotherapy.

182 Concurrent radiotherapy combined with platinum-based chemotherapy followed by brachytherapy is the  
183 standard treatment for women with locally advanced cervical cancer.

184 Radiotherapy aims to eradicate the macroscopic tumor and control microscopic disease in the pelvic  
185 region, while the addition of concurrent chemotherapy has been shown to reduce both distant and local  
186 recurrences, providing a 12% benefit [11].

187 In this study, cisplatin was administered as the cytotoxic agent at a weekly dose of 40 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, initiated  
188 concurrently with external beam radiotherapy. Prior to chemotherapy initiation, patients underwent a

189 laboratory evaluation, including complete blood count, renal function tests, and Fasting Blood Glucose  
190 and Electrolytes, to monitor potential treatment-related toxicities.

191  
192 Conformal radiotherapy was delivered to the entire pelvic region using a four-field technique,  
193 encompassing an anteroposterior field and two opposing lateral fields, and a boost for macroscopic  
194 pelvic and lombo-aortic lymphadenopathy nodes and the parametria. Post concurrent  
195 chemoradiotherapy, 71.5% of patients demonstrated residual tumor dimensions of less than 2 cm.

196 Although no randomized study has directly compared patients treated with and without brachytherapy,  
197 studies based on international databases have shown that brachytherapy boost is the standard treatment  
198 for locally advanced cervical cancer, with improvements in overall survival (OS) and clinical outcomes.  
199 Logsdon et al. [12] demonstrated a significant 45% increase in the 5-year recurrence-free survival rate in  
200 907 patients with stage IIIB cervical cancer treated with radiotherapy and brachytherapy, compared to  
201 24% for exclusive radiotherapy.

202 This efficacy is based on delivering a high dose by placing a radioactive source in contact with or inside  
203 the primary tumor for a specific period of time, allowing for increased dose delivery to the tumor with a  
204 rapid dose drop-off at the periphery, thereby better preserving adjacent tissues (rectum and bladder)  
205 [13,14].

206 High-dose rate (HDR) brachytherapy is currently considered the standard in gynecological  
207 brachytherapy, as it is administered at a dose rate at point A exceeding 12 Gy/hour. HDR brachytherapy  
208 offers several advantages: it allows for dose fractionation, reduces irradiation time (less than 15 to 20  
209 minutes), and provides a sufficient interval between fractions to promote the repair of sublethal damage.  
210 Additionally, when a fraction is administered weekly over a period of 4 to 6 weeks, it can also encourage  
211 cellular repopulation.

212 utero-vaginal brachytherapy is planned according to the Manchester method, which specifies the dose  
213 prescription at two distinct points: point A and point B. Point A is defined 2 cm laterally from the central  
214 canal of the uterus, at the tangent of the vaginal sources, and 2 cm above the lower end of the cervical  
215 canal. It corresponds to the intersection of the ureter and uterine artery, located in a region with a steep  
216 dose gradient. Point B, located 3 cm laterally from point A, is used to assess the dose delivered to organs  
217 at risk, particularly the bladder and rectum.

218 However, patients with larger tumors are likely to receive an insufficient dose with endocavitary  
219 brachytherapy, which may result in reduced local control. The dose optimization at point A is not  
220 suitable for the variability in tumor diameters, shapes, and extensions between patients. In other words,  
221 overdose could occur for small-volume tumors, and underdose for larger tumors.

222 Brachytherapy for cervical cancer can be performed using intracavitary, interstitial, or combined  
223 approaches. The choice of technique depends on the initial tumor extent, the size of the residual tumor  
224 after chemoradiotherapy, and the patient's anatomy. Intracavitary brachytherapy is the most commonly  
225 used technique in the treatment of cervical cancer. Tandem with ovoids or a ring applicator are the most  
226 frequently used applicators. In this study, tandem with ovoids was used in 45.78% of cases, and tandem  
227 with a ring in 44.21% of cases.

228 Interstitial brachytherapy is indicated for large residual lesions after EBRT, lower vaginal involvement,  
229 and lateral parametric or pelvic wall extension [15]. The interstitial brachytherapy technique involves

230 inserting multiple small hollow tubes to cover the residual tumor, but it was never applied in our study.  
231 In our study, 90% of patients underwent intracavitary brachytherapy, while 10% received endovaginal  
232 brachytherapy.

233 Image-guided adaptive brachytherapy has become increasingly common, and 3D planning using MRI or  
234 CT has improved treatment quality by providing greater precision in the dose delivered to target  
235 volumes and organs at risk [16,17]. MRI-guided brachytherapy remains the gold standard for  
236 intracavitary brachytherapy due to its high soft-tissue resolution, allowing precise delineation of the  
237 gross tumor and potential invasion of adjacent normal organs. The implementation of MRI-guided  
238 brachytherapy in clinical practice has significantly improved the ability to optimize, document, and  
239 report doses reproducibly, as demonstrated by numerous institutional reports [18,19].

240 The European Brachytherapy Group of the European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology (GEC-  
241 ESTRO) has provided recommendations for target volume definitions and 3D imaging-based dosimetry  
242 [20,10].

243 These guidelines consider tumor response after chemo-radiotherapy, according to the American  
244 Brachytherapy Society (ABS), a total dose (EBRT and brachytherapy) > 80 Gy is administered to  
245 patients with a complete response or a partial response with residual disease < 4 cm, and 85–90 Gy for  
246 patients with poor response or residual disease > 4 cm [21].

247 Image-guided brachytherapy planning, based on GEC-ESTRO guidelines, was used for all patients in  
248 our study, with a dose administered for utero-vaginal brachytherapy was most often 7 Gy × 4 in 79.5%  
249 of cases, and in 66.6% of cases for vaginal brachytherapy, the dose administered was predominantly 5  
250 Gy × 2.

251 Dose-volume histograms (DVH) were used to evaluate the treatment plan, with the objective of  
252 delivering a dose exceeding 85 Gy to the high-risk clinical target volume (CTV-HR) and greater than 60  
253 Gy to the intermediate-risk clinical target volume (CTV-IR), while ensuring that less than 100% of the  
254 prescribed dose was administered to less than 2 cc of the organs at risk (OARs), namely the rectum,  
255 bladder, and sigmoid.

256 In our study, the total average EQD2 ( $\alpha/\beta = 10$ ) for EBRT and brachytherapy for the high-risk clinical  
257 target volume (CTV) was 94.93 Gy. For the organs at risk, the total average EQD2 ( $\alpha/\beta = 3$ ) was as  
258 follows: 65.5 Gy for the bladder, 61.4 Gy for the rectum, 53.5 Gy for the sigmoid colon, and 50.2 Gy for  
259 the small bowel.

260 Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) represents a pivotal modality in assessing tumor response in  
261 patients with locally advanced cervical cancer following concurrent chemoradiotherapy and high-dose  
262 rate brachytherapy. Early pelvic MRI, conducted 3 months after the completion of treatment, is  
263 instrumental in detecting potential residual tumor tissue, which can inform subsequent salvage  
264 therapeutic strategies [22]. Post-therapeutic positron emission tomography (PET) scans are reserved for  
265 select cases. In the study, 185 (48,68%) patients underwent post-treatment MRI evaluations, while PET  
266 scans were not indicated.

267 The median follow-up duration in our cohort was 32 months (range: 19–48.7 months). At the conclusion  
268 of follow-up, complete remission was achieved in 89.73% of patients, lesion stability was observed in



269 2.36%, and 7.89% experienced loco-regional or distant recurrences. Recurrence rates were stratified by  
270 stage as follows: 6.15% for stage IIB, 10.97% for stage IIIC1, 19.64% for stage IIIC2, and 16.66% for  
271 stage IVA. Notably, the rate of local and metastatic recurrence was 26.3% among patients with treatment  
272 durations exceeding 65 days, compared to 11% for those whose treatment duration was  $\leq 65$  days.

273 A retrospective analysis evaluating the impact of total treatment time (EBRT and brachytherapy) on  
274 cervical cancer outcomes demonstrated a 1% reduction in local disease control for each day of delay  
275 beyond the median treatment duration [23]. Furthermore, a study by Williams et al., published in 2020,  
276 highlighted the detrimental effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on cervical cancer management,  
277 including prolonged treatment timelines, which adversely affected local disease control (24).

278

## 279 **Conclusion:**

280 This study suggests that concomitant pelvic radiation with cisplatin-based chemotherapy and 3D-HDR  
281 brachytherapy, following international recommendations regarding treatment duration (OTT), provides  
282 promising results in terms of short-term local control in the treatment of locally advanced cervical  
283 cancer.

---

1 Arbyn M, Weiderpass E, Bruni L, Sanjosé S de, Saraiya M, Ferlay J, et al. Estimates of incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in 2018: a worldwide analysis. *The Lancet Global Health* 2020;8:e191–203

2 Moroccan Ministry of Health. Rabat Cancer Registry. Results of the year 2009- 2012.

3 Lu JJ, Brady LW, editors. *Decision Making in Radiation Oncology: Volume 2* [Internet]. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2011 [cited 2021 Sep 13]. Available from: <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783642163326>

4 Whitney CW, Sause W, Bundy BN, Malfetano JH, Hannigan EV, Fowler WC, et al. Randomized comparison of fluorouracil plus cisplatin versus hydroxyurea as an adjunct to radiation therapy in stage IIB-IVA carcinoma of the cervix with negative para-aortic lymph nodes: a Gynecologic Oncology Group and Southwest Oncology Group study. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:1339–48.

5 Rose PG, Bundy BN, Watkins EB, Thigpen JT, Deppe G, Maiman MA, et al. Concurrent cisplatin-based radiotherapy and chemotherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:1144–53.

6 Todo Y, Watari H. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy for cervical cancer: background including evidence-based data, pitfalls of the data, limitation of treatment in certain groups. *Chin J Cancer Res* 2016;28:221–7.

7 Eifel PJ, Winter K, Morris M, Levenback C, Grigsby PW, Cooper J, et al. Pelvic irradiation with concurrent chemotherapy versus pelvic and para-aortic irradiation for high-risk cervical cancer: an update of radiation therapy oncology group trial (RTOG) 90-01. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:872–80.

- 
- 8 **Morris M, Eifel PJ, Lu J, Grigsby PW, Levenback C, Stevens RE, et al.** Pelvic radiation with concurrent chemotherapy compared with pelvic and para-aortic radiation for high-risk cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:1137–43.
- 9 Yin, G., Wang, P., Lang, J., Tian, Y., Luo, Y., Fan, Z., & Yip Tam, K., 2016. Dosimetric study for cervix carcinoma treatment using intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) compensation based on 3D intracavitary brachytherapy technique.
- 10 Pötter R, Haie-Meder C, Van Limbergen E, Barillot I, De Brabandere M, Dimopoulos J, Dumas I, Erickson B, Lang S, Nulens A, Petrow P, Rownd J, Kirisits C; GEC ESTRO Working Group. Recommendations from gynaecological (GYN) GEC ESTRO working group (II): concepts and terms in 3D image-based treatment planning in cervix cancer brachytherapy-3D dose volume parameters and aspects of 3D image-based anatomy, radiation physics, radiobiology. *Radiother Oncol*. 2006 Jan;78(1):67-77. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2005.11.014. Epub 2006 Jan 5. PMID: 16403584.
- 11 Green JA, Kirwan JM, Tierney JF, Symonds P. Survival, recurrence after concomitant chemotherapy, radiotherapy for cancer of the uterine cervix: a systematic review, meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2001;358:781.
- 12 Logsdon MD, Eifel PJ. Figo IIIB squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix: an analysis of prognostic factors emphasizing the balance between external beam and intracavitary radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999;43:763–75.
- 13 Haie-Meder C, Dumas I, Paumier A, et al. Imagerie 3D en curiethérapie gynécologique: applications des recommandations du GEC- ESTRO et résultats. *Cancer Radiotherap* 2008;12:522–6.
- 14 Haie-Meder C, Breton C, De Crevoisier R, Gerbaulet A. Curiothérapie dans les cancers du col utérin. Quelles orientations thérapeutiques. *Cancer Radiotherap* 2000;4:1–9.
- 15 Viswanathan AN, Thomadsen B. American Brachytherapy Society Cervical Cancer Recommendations Committee; American Brachytherapy Society. American Brachytherapy Society consensus guidelines for locally advanced carcinoma of the cervix. Part I: general principles. *Brachytherapy* 2012;11:33–46.
- 16 **Serban M, Kirisits C, Pötter R, de Leeuw A, Nkiwane K, Dumas I, et al.** Isodose surface volumes in cervix cancer brachytherapy: Change of practice from standard (Point A) to individualized image guided adaptive (EMBRACE I) brachytherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2018;129:567–74.
- 17 **Dimopoulos JCA, Petrow P, Tanderup K, Petric P, Berger D, Kirisits C, et al.** Recommendations from Gynaecological (GYN) GEC-ESTRO Working Group (IV): Basic principles and parameters for MR imaging within the frame of image based adaptive cervix cancer brachytherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2012;103:113–22.
- 18 Viswanathan AN, Dimopoulos J, Kirisits C, Berger D, Potter R. Computed tomography versus magnetic resonance imaging-based contouring in cervical cancer brachytherapy: results of a prospective trial and preliminary guidelines for standardized contours. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007;68(2):491–8.

---

19 Petric P, Dimopoulos J, Kirisits C, Berger D, Hudej R, Potter R. Inter- and intraobserver variation in HR-CTV contouring: intercomparison of transverse and paratransverse image orientation in 3D-MRI assisted cervix cancer brachytherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2008;89:164–71

20 Haie-Meder C, Pötter R, Van Limbergen E, Briot E, De Brabandere M, Dimopoulos J, et al. Recommendations from gynaecological (GYN) GEC–ESTRO Working Group (I): concepts and terms in 3D image based 3D treatment planning in cervix cancer brachytherapy with emphasis on MRI assessment of GTV and CTV. *Radiother Oncol* 2005;74:235–45.

21 Viswanathan AN, Beriwai S, De Los Santos JF, et al. American brachytherapy society consensus guidelines for locally advanced carcinoma of the cervix. Part II: high dose-rate brachytherapy. *Brachytherapy* 2012;11: 47–52.

22 Morice P, Uzan C, Zafrani Y, Delpech Y, Gouy S, Haie-Meder C. The role of surgery after chemoradiation therapy and brachytherapy for stage IB2/II cervical cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2007;107:S122–4.

23 Fyles A, Keane TJ, Barton M, Simm J. The effect of treatment duration in the local control of cervix cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 1992; 25: 273–9.

24 Williams VM, Kahn JM, Harkenrider MM, Chino J, Chen J, Fang LC, et al. . COVID-19 impact on timing of brachytherapy treatment and strategies for risk mitigation. *Brachytherapy* 2020; 19: 401–11.