



### REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: **IJAR-50789**

Date: 26/03/2025

**Title:** PERCEPTION OF PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS ON FOLKLORE-BASED LEARNING

**Recommendation:**

Accept after major revision ✓

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality				✓
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: **Dr. Jude Ifeanyichukwu Nnadozie**

Date: 26/03/2025

**Reviewer's Comment for Publication.**

*(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)*

The article explores prospective teachers' perceptions of folklore-based learning in contemporary classrooms, highlighting its effectiveness in enhancing creativity, critical thinking, and cultural awareness among students. It emphasizes the need to integrate folklore-based methodologies into teacher education programs to promote culturally responsive teaching. Offering rich insights, the article makes a significant contribution to scholarly discourse in this field. By engaging relevant sources, it establishes a strong foundation for further research.

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

Below are my comments:

1. The abstract needs to briefly highlight the objective of the study and a theoretical background of the study. These aspects are missing in the abstract. I suggest the author/s revise the abstract in line with the above.
2. A study of this nature should clearly be located within the stricture of a relevant learning theory. I suggest that author/s consider providing a relevant theoretical framework for the study.
3. The study object/s should be clear and comprehensive enough and in paragraph form. I suggest author/s consider revising the study objective/s.
4. The research methodology section of the study is scanty. This section of the study needs major restructuring for clarity. The author/s should provide clear and comprehensive methodological orientation of the study, and how normative survey method was employed in the study for data collection and what criteria informed the choice of this method for the study. Also, what data analysis method is employed in the study. It will be important as well to highlight any

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

methodological gap in previous studies in this area. Crosscheck and revise methodology section in line with my comments above.

5. The sources used in the study not sufficient enough resulting to the study not having depth and sound theoretical and conceptual background. The author/s should have assembled more relevant literature for better and richer insight and for sound theoretical/conceptual background. Also, a good portion of the literature is over 5 years. This is not very satisfactory for a study of this nature as there sources available in this area which the author should have drawn a lot of insight from.
6. The pages of the manuscript are not numbered. This makes reading the manuscript a bit difficult. The author/s need to consider inserting page numbers
7. Author/s should crosscheck style: page numbering, citation, (reference/bibliography) according to Author Guidelines for formatting, citing, and referencing provided by the journal.