

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-51038

Date: 4/11/2025

Title: ZEALOUS PRO-FOUNDRY CLOUD-BASED CODING AND MCQ CHALLENGE HUB

Recommendation:

Accept as it is
 Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revisionX.....
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		X		
Techn. Quality	X			
Clarity		X		
Significance		X		

Reviewer Name: Peter Oluwasayo Adigun
 4/12/2025

Date:

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

This manuscript has a well-structured, cloud-based coding and MCQ assessment platform. It also does a good job by integrating real-time feedback, automated certification, and performance analytics. The modular MERN stack architecture and focus on educational deployment of the work offer practical value for improving programming education and workflows in institutional assessment.

Detailed Reviewer's Report

To further strengthen the research, I would suggest that the authors clearly articulate the technical novelty of their feedback and evaluation system, particularly with how it offers superior functionality compared to existing platforms. They should emphasize unique algorithms, real-time processing mechanisms, or intelligent feedback systems to highlight its innovation. I would also add that the paper would benefit from a more detailed explanation of the target user base and deployment strategy, ideally supported by pilot implementation results or user testimonials to demonstrate practical impact. The academic tone and clarity can be improved through careful grammatical revisions and formatting to ensure consistency.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Finally, the authors can incorporate quantitative performance evaluations—such as system response time, feedback accuracy, and scalability under varying user loads—because I think this would significantly enhance the technical rigor and credibility of the work.