REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.:IJAR-51078   Date: 15 apr 2025

Title: Buccaneer Piracy: The Emergence of AI-Driven Cinematic Replication and Its Implications

Recommendation:

Accept as it is ……………………………….

Accept after minor revision…yes……………

Accept after major revision ………………

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) ………

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			yes	
Techn. Quality			yes	
Clarity			yes	
Significance			yes	

Reviewer Name:Dr.Shaweta Sachdeva   **ate:** 15 apr-2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.Accepted with minor revision

(*To be published with the manuscript in the journal*)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

……………………………………………………………………………………………

……………………………………………………………………………………………

……………………………………………………………………………………………

Detailed Reviewer's Report

1. **Lack of Empirical Validation**
   - *Issue:* The paper is entirely conceptual; no experiments or demos are presented.
   - *Suggestion:* Include a small proof-of-concept (e.g., generate a 30-second scene using available tools) or at least benchmark the computational requirements and quality metrics of current video-synthesis platforms.
2. **Surface-Level Legal Analysis**

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- o *Issue:* The legal section outlines general ambiguities but doesn't delve into specific statutes or landmark cases across jurisdictions.
- o *Suggestion:* Reference actual case law (e.g., the Andy Warhol Foundation v. Goldsmith fair-use ruling) or compare U.S. and EU approaches to AI-generated content.

3. **Ethical Discussion Needs Depth**
   - o *Issue:* Ethical considerations are listed but not explored through stakeholder perspectives.
   - o *Suggestion:* Conduct brief interviews or surveys with filmmakers, actors, or legal experts to ground the ethical debate in real-world attitudes.

4. **Over-Reliance on a Single Hypothetical**
   - o *Issue:* Using only the *Snow White* remake example limits generalizability.
   - o *Suggestion:* Add a second case study (e.g., an action film or documentary) to show how Buccaneer Piracy might adapt across genres.

5. **Structure & Flow**
   - o *Issue:* Transitions between sections (e.g., from mechanics to legal) feel abrupt.
   - o *Suggestion:* Insert a "Methodology" or "Framework Overview" section to guide readers through the paper's logic before diving into each aspect.

Comments

- **Citations for Tools:**
  When mentioning platforms like DeepFaceLab or Runway ML, include citations or footnotes to the most recent versions or relevant papers .
- **Terminology Consistency:**
  Ensure terms like "deepfake casting" and "AI-generated derivative" are used uniformly throughout.
- **Typographical & Formatting Checks:**
  - o Line 11: consider rephrasing "Open-source projects like DeepFaceLab already enable such recreations…" for clarity.
  - o Add figure/table numbers if you introduce comparative tables (e.g., Conventional vs. Buccaneer Piracy).
- **Conclusion Enhancement:**
  Rather than a general call for "legislative reform," outline 2–3 concrete policy recommendations or a roadmap for future research.