

1 **Water Optimization in Large-Scale**
2 **Infrastructure Interventions in**
3 **Colombia: A Perspective from Waste**
4 **Management**

5
6
7
8 **Abstract**

9 Infrastructure development has historically been a pillar of economic growth in
10 Colombia, but also a significant source of pressure on natural resources, especially water.
11 This article analyzes how waste management can become an effective strategy for
12 optimizing water use in large-scale infrastructure interventions. A critical and proactive
13 review of current practices is presented, emphasizing the need to integrate comprehensive
14 waste management as a key tool for water sustainability. Based on national experiences,
15 the article proposes guidelines for future projects seeking to minimize their
16 environmental footprint without compromising functionality or social impact.

17 Keywords: Water sustainability, infrastructure waste, environmental efficiency, urban
18 planning, Colombia.

19

20 **1. Introduction**

21 Water management in Colombia represents one of the main challenges in large-scale
22 infrastructure planning. Although there are regulations that promote responsible use,
23 issues like loss, contamination, and inefficient consumption remain pressing. This article
24 examines water optimization through a less explored lens: waste management in civil and
25 urban construction projects.

26 **2. Water as a Sustainability Axis in Infrastructure**

27 Water is not only essential during infrastructure operation, but also throughout the
28 construction phase. Its use for mixing materials, machinery washing, dust control, and
29 material disposal generates high consumption volumes that are often unrecovered and
30 poorly tracked.

31 **3. Waste as a Key Factor in Water Optimization**

32 Improper management of solid and liquid waste can lead to contamination of nearby
33 water bodies, drainage blockages, and increased water use for cleaning or treatment.

34 Planned waste management can:

- 35 - Reduce water needs through material reuse.
- 36 - Prevent unnecessary washing of equipment via containment techniques.
- 37 - Minimize discharge risks through pre-treatment systems.

38 **4. Lessons from National Projects**

39 Experiences such as wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) and mass transit projects in
40 cities like Bogotá show that it's possible to incorporate circular economy strategies and
41 environmental engineering to reduce water consumption in project execution. Though not
42 universally applied, these approaches offer valuable insights.

43 **5. Proposed Guidelines for Future Projects**

44 Based on the analysis, five key guidelines are proposed:

- 45 1. Design environmental management plans prioritizing water savings and reuse from the
46 planning stage.
- 48 2. Implement dry cleaning technologies and waste containment.

- 49
- 50 3. Establish water efficiency indicators and traceability systems.
- 51 4. Strengthen technical staff training in efficient practices.
- 52 5. Link waste management plans with regional water management systems.

53 **6. Conclusions**

54 Water optimization in infrastructure should not be seen as an isolated process but as the
55 result of interrelated decisions. Waste management, when intelligently integrated into
56 project cycles, can significantly reduce pressure on water resources. Colombia's future
57 infrastructure must adopt this logic to ensure functionality and environmental
58 responsibility for future generations.

59 **7. References**

- 60 1. Congreso de Colombia. (2015). Resolución 0631 de 2015: Parámetros y
61 valores límites máximos permisibles en vertimientos puntuales a cuerpos de agua
62 superficiales. Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible.
- 63 2. Departamento Nacional de Planeación. (2018). Lineamientos para la
64 formulación de planes de manejo ambiental en proyectos de infraestructura. Bogotá:
65 DNP.
- 66 3. Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular
67 economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic
68 systems. Journal of Cleaner Production, 114, 11–32.
69 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.007>
- 70 4. Ministerio de Vivienda, Ciudad y Territorio. (2020). Política Nacional
71 para la Gestión Integral del Recurso Hídrico. Bogotá, Colombia.
- 72 5. Parra, J. P., & Salinas, L. A. (2020). Eficiencia en el uso del agua en
73 proyectos de infraestructura urbana. Revista Ingeniería y Región, 18(2), 45–59.
74 <https://doi.org/10.21892/01239813.1085>
- 75 6. United Nations Environment Programme. (2018). Waste-wise cities: Tool
76 for sustainable urban waste management. Nairobi: UNEP.
77 <https://www.unep.org/resources/toolkits-manuals-and-guides/waste-wise-cities-tool>
- 78 7. World Bank. (2022). Water in Circular Economy and Resilience (WICER)
79 Framework. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
80 <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/844411651395951213/>

- 82 8. Torres, F. A., & Acosta, M. J. (2019). Integración de residuos y agua en la
83 sostenibilidad de proyectos de construcción. Revista Ingeniería Ambiental Colombia,
84 31(1), 20–34.
- 85 9. González, A. M., & Ruiz, D. F. (2021). Análisis de eficiencia hídrica en
86 megaproyectos de infraestructura vial en Colombia. Revista de Medio Ambiente y
87 Desarrollo, 29(3), 75–89.
- 88 10. Instituto de Hidrología, Meteorología y Estudios Ambientales – IDEAM.
89 (2021). Informe nacional del estado del recurso hídrico 2020–2021. Bogotá, Colombia.