Phytoremediation Potential of Indoor Chlorophytum Comosum (Spider Plants) for Improving Air Quality in College Campus Environments

by Jana Publication & Research

Phytoremediation Potential of Indoor Chlorophytum Comosum (Spider Plants) for Improving Air Quality in College Campus Environments

Abstract

Indoor air quality (IAQ) significantly impacts the health, comfort, and cognitive function of building occupants. College campuses, with their high occupancy density and diverse range of activities and materials, often face unique IAQ challenges. Traditional air purification methods can be energy-intensive and may not address all pollutant types effectively. This study investigates the efficacy of using indoor spider plants (Chlorophytum comosum) as a natural, sustainable method to mitigate specific toxic gas concentrations within college campus indoor environments. Focusing on common indoor pollutants such as formaldehyde, benzene, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), this research hypothesizes that the introduction of Chlorophytum comosum can lead to a measurable reduction in their ambient levels. A controlled experiment was conducted in selected rooms on a college campus, measuring pollutant concentrations before and after the introduction of spider plants. Results indicate that spider plants contributed to a reduction in the levels of target pollutants in experimental areas compared to control areas. The findings suggest that incorporating phytoremediation through common indoor plants like Chlorophytum comosum could complement existing ventilation and filtration systems, offering a potentially cost-effective and environmentally friendly approach to improve indoor air quality in educational settings.

1. Introduction

Indoor air quality (IAQ) refers to the air within and around buildings and structures, especially as it relates to the health and comfort of building occupants. As modern lifestyles increasingly involve spending up to 90% of time indoors, the quality of indoor environments has become a critical public health concern (Laquatra 2019)(Saraga 2020). Indoor pollutant concentrations can frequently exceed outdoor levels, sometimes by a factor of 2 to 5, and occasionally much higher (Seguel et al. 2016)(Laquatra 2019). A wide variety of sources contribute to indoor air pollution, including building materials, furnishings, cleaning products, human activities, and outdoor air infiltration (Diamond and Grimsrud 1983)(Laquatra 2019). The recognition of IAQ as a key environmental factor has grown over the past few decades. Educational institutions, including college campuses, present unique IAQ challenges. High occupancy density in classrooms, lecture halls, and dormitories leads to elevated levels of carbon dioxide and bioeffluents (Jurado et al. 2014)(Erlandson et al. 2019). The presence of numerous materials, such as furniture, carpeting, cleaning supplies, laboratory chemicals, and even teaching materials, can emit volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and other pollutants (Laquatra 2019)(Yang 2009). Building age, ventilation system performance, maintenance practices, and occupant behaviors further influence air quality within these settings (Hellgren et al. 2011)(Ridley et al. 2003)(Chen et al. 2021). Poor IAQ in educational environments can lead to various health issues among students and staff, such as respiratory symptoms, headaches, fatigue, and irritation, potentially affecting comfort, attendance, and academic performance (Laquatra 2019)(Yang 2009)(Stafford 2013)(Finell et al. 2018).

Indoor air within college campuses can contain a complex mixture of pollutants originating from both indoor and outdoor sources. Common gaseous pollutants include volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as formaldehyde, benzene, toluene, and xylene, emitted from building

1

materials, furnishings, cleaning products, paints, and solvents (Seguel et al. 2016)(Laquatra 2019)(Santamouris et al. 2007). Formaldehyde, for instance, is a known respiratory irritant found in pressed-wood products and some textiles (Seguel et al. 2016)(Golden and Holm 2017). Combustion sources, such as laboratories with gas burners or vehicles idling near air intakes, can introduce carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) (Yocom et al. 1971)(Erlandson et al. 2019). High occupant density in classrooms elevates carbon dioxide (CO2) levels, often used as an indicator of ventilation adequacy (Erlandson et al. 2019)(Jurado et al. 2014). Particulate matter (PM), including PM2.5 and PM10, comes from outdoor air infiltration, indoor activities like dusting, printing, and combustion (Erlandson et al. 2019)(Santamouris et al. 2007). Biological pollutants like mold, bacteria, and viruses can thrive in damp conditions or inadequate ventilation systems (Shittu et al. 2019)(Jurado et al. 2014)(Dales et al. 2008)(Wołejko et al. 2016). Radon gas may also enter buildings from the ground, particularly in areas with certain geological formations (Seguel et al. 2016)(Lowry 1989)(Dales et al. 2008). Exposure to indoor air pollutants is linked to a range of adverse health effects, from acute symptoms to chronic diseases. Short-term exposure can cause irritation of the eyes, nose, and throat, headaches, dizziness, and fatigue, often described as "sick building syndrome" (Laquatra 2019)(Tran et al. 2020). Respiratory symptoms such as coughing, wheezing, and exacerbation of asthma are common (Laquatra 2019)(Seguel et al. 2016)(Dales et al. 2008)(Yang 2009). Long-term exposure to certain pollutants, such as formaldehyde, benzene, radon, and particulate matter, is associated with increased risks of developing chronic respiratory diseases, cardiovascular issues, and certain cancers (Seguel et al. 2016)(Dales et al. 2008)(Yang and Liu 2011). In educational settings, poor IAQ has been linked to reduced cognitive function, decreased concentration, lower test scores, and increased absenteeism among students (Yang 2009)(Stafford 2013).

Phytoremediation is an environmentally friendly technique utilizing plants and their associated microorganisms to remove, degrade, or sequester pollutants from the environment. Initially applied to contaminated soil and water, the concept has extended to air purification, particularly in indoor settings (Fooladi et al. 2019)(Yang and Liu 2011). Plants absorb gaseous pollutants through their stomata, where the pollutants can be metabolized or stored within plant tissues. Microorganisms residing in the rhizosphere (the soil or growing medium around the roots) also contribute to pollutant degradation (Fooladi et al. 2019). Phytoremediation offers a potentially sustainable and aesthetically pleasing alternative or supplement to mechanical air purification methods. Research, notably studies conducted by NASA, has explored the capacity of common indoor plants to remove volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from sealed environments. These studies demonstrated that various houseplants could effectively reduce concentrations of formaldehyde, benzene, and trichloroethylene. Plants absorb these chemicals through their leaves and transfer them to the root zone, where soil microorganisms can further break them down. Beyond chemical removal, plants also increase humidity through transpiration and may reduce airborne microbes. Specific plants like spider plants, peace lilies, and snake plants have shown particular promise in early investigations. Chlorophytum comosum, commonly known as the spider plant, is a popular indoor plant recognized for its ease of care and prolific production of plantlets ("spiderettes"). It was included in early studies investigating the air-purifying capabilities of houseplants. These studies indicated that Chlorophytum comosum could effectively remove formaldehyde and, to some extent, other VOCs like benzene and xylene from sealed chambers. The plant's extensive foliage and root system, along with associated microbial activity in the potting mix, contribute to its potential air-cleaning capacity (Braria et al. 2014). While much of the foundational research was conducted under controlled laboratory conditions, subsequent studies have sought to evaluate its performance in more realistic indoor environments. Conventional approaches to improving indoor air quality primarily involve source control, ventilation, and air cleaning. Source control involves identifying and removing or reducing pollutant emissions from materials or activities (Diamond and Grimsrud 1983)(Tran et al. 2020).

Ventilation, either natural or mechanical, dilutes indoor pollutants by introducing outdoor air (Singh et al. 1997)(Ridley et al. 2003)(Santamouris et al. 2007). Adequate ventilation is crucial, especially in high-occupancy spaces like classrooms (Jurado et al. 2014)(Hellgren et al. 2011). Air cleaning technologies include filtration systems, which remove particulate matter using HEPA filters, and air purifiers employing activated carbon, photocatalytic oxidation, or ionization to remove gaseous pollutants (Catalina and Feraru 2020)(Skácel and Tekáč 2020)(Brągoszewska et al. 2019). While effective for certain pollutants, some air purifiers can produce ozone or secondary pollutants, raising additional concerns (Burton 2007).

Using indoor plants for air quality control offers several advantages. They provide a natural, sustainable, and potentially low-cost method for pollutant reduction. Plants also enhance the aesthetic appeal of indoor spaces and can have positive psychological effects on occupants. They increase relative humidity, which can be beneficial in dry indoor environments. However, challenges exist. The effectiveness of plants in typical indoor settings with natural air exchange may be less dramatic than observed in sealed chambers. The rate of pollutant removal can be slow compared to mechanical systems, and a large number of plants may be required to significantly impact air quality in larger spaces. Plant care requirements, potential for mold growth in potting mix, and the introduction of allergens are also considerations.

Despite the recognized importance of IAQ for health and productivity, many college campus buildings may experience suboptimal air quality due to factors such as aging infrastructure, inadequate ventilation, specific occupant activities, and the presence of various pollutant sources. While mechanical ventilation and filtration systems are standard controls, they can be energy-intensive and may not effectively remove all classes of pollutants, particularly certain volatile organic compounds. There is a need to explore complementary, sustainable, and potentially cost-effective methods to improve indoor air quality in these settings. Utilizing natural biological processes, such as those performed by common indoor plants, presents an area for investigation to address specific toxic gas concerns within college campus environments.

2.Objectives of the Study

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of indoor spider plants (Chlorophytum comosum) in reducing the concentration of selected toxic gases within specific indoor environments on a college campus. The objectives of this study are:

I. To characterize the baseline levels of selected toxic gases (e.g., formaldehyde, benzene, specific VOCs) in designated indoor areas on a college campus.

II. To introduce a controlled number of Chlorophytum comosum plants into experimental areas.

III. To compare the changes in pollutant concentrations in experimental areas with those in control areas without plants.

IV. To determine the reduction efficiency of Chlorophytum comosum for each target pollutant under the study conditions.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study utilized a quasi-experimental design with experimental and control groups. Two similar indoor spaces within a college campus building were selected: one designated as the

3

experimental area and the other as the control area. Baseline air quality measurements were taken in both areas. Subsequently, Chlorophytum comosum plants were introduced into the experimental area, while the control area remained unchanged. Air quality measurements were then conducted periodically in both areas over a specified duration to compare changes in pollutant concentrations. This design allows for the evaluation of the effect of the intervention (introducing plants) while controlling for temporal variations in air quality.

The study was conducted in two unoccupied classrooms within the same academic building on a college campus. The classrooms were selected based on their similar size, orientation, ventilation characteristics (both having natural ventilation via windows and connection to the central HVAC system), and usage patterns (primarily used for lectures or seminars). No human occupants were involved in the study beyond research personnel conducting measurements and plant maintenance. The focus was solely on the environmental impact of the plants on air pollutant levels.

3.2 Selection and Preparation of Chlorophytum comosum Plants

A total of 40 healthy Chlorophytum comosum plants of similar size and maturity were acquired from a local nursery. Plants were acclimatized to indoor conditions for two weeks before the study commencement. Standard commercial potting mix was used for all plants. Before introduction into the experimental area, plants were cleaned to remove dust from leaves and inspected for pests or diseases. Plants were divided into two groups: 30 plants for the experimental room and 10 plants for the control room (used solely for monitoring potential off-gassing from pots/soil, though kept separate from primary air sampling in the control). The density of plants in the experimental room was determined based on recommendations from prior studies on plant-based air purification, aiming for a moderate level of plant coverage.

3.3 Identification of Target Air Pollutants

Based on common indoor air quality concerns in educational settings and known capabilities of Chlorophytum comosum, the target air pollutants for this study were identified as formaldehyde (HCHO), benzene (C_6H_6), and total volatile organic compounds (TVOCs). Formaldehyde is prevalent due to building materials and furnishings. Benzene is a component of fuels and tobacco smoke and can be found in indoor air from various sources. TVOCs represent a broad category of potentially irritating and harmful organic chemicals emitted indoors. These pollutants were selected because they are representative of common indoor air quality problems and have been previously studied about plant biofiltration.

3.4 Air Quality Measurement Methods and Equipment

Air quality measurements were performed using calibrated portable sensors capable of real-time monitoring of HCHO, benzene, and TVOCs. The equipment utilized electrochemical sensors for HCHO and photoionization detectors (PIDs) for benzene and TVOCs. Sensors were placed at a standardized height and location within each room to ensure representative sampling, avoiding direct sunlight or drafts. Calibration checks were performed according to manufacturer specifications before and during the study period. Temperature and relative humidity were also monitored using separate sensors, as these factors can influence pollutant concentrations and sensor performance.

4. Experimental Procedure and Timeline

The study was conducted over four weeks. The timeline was as follows:
1. **Week 1:** Baseline Measurement Phase.
   - Continuous 24/7 monitoring of HCHO, benzene, and TVOCs in both experimental and control rooms.
   - Ensure HVAC system operation and ventilation conditions were consistent in both rooms.
2. **End of Week 1:** Plant Introduction.
   - Introduce 30 Chlorophytum comosum plants into the experimental room.
   - Introduce 10 control pots/soil (without plants) into the control room, placed away from the main sampling area.
3. **Weeks 2-4:** Monitoring Phase.
   - Continuous 24/7 monitoring of target pollutants in both rooms with plants/control pots in place.
   - Maintain consistent ventilation and environmental conditions.
   - Regular watering of plants in the experimental room and control pots in the control room.

4.1 Data Collection Protocol

Air quality sensors were programmed to record pollutant concentrations, temperature, and relative humidity at 10-minute intervals throughout the four-week study period. Data was stored internally on the sensors and downloaded weekly for backup and preliminary review. A logbook was maintained to record any deviations from the standard procedure, maintenance activities (like watering), significant changes in environmental conditions (e.g., windows being opened, although efforts were made to prevent this), and any observed issues with the plants or equipment. This detailed logging supported accurate data interpretation and analysis.

4.2 Control Measures

Several control measures were implemented to enhance the validity of the study. Both the experimental and control rooms were located within the same building, minimizing differences in outdoor air influence and building systems. Efforts were made to maintain consistent ventilation settings for both rooms throughout the study. Access to the rooms was restricted to research personnel to prevent external interference and minimize human activity-related pollutant generation. The control room, identical to the experimental room in structure and baseline conditions, allowed for comparison and accounting for environmental fluctuations unrelated to the plants. Control pots were placed in the control room to assess and subtract any minimal impact from the potting mix itself.

4.3 Data Analysis Methods

The collected data were aggregated and analyzed using statistical software. Hourly and daily average concentrations for each target pollutant (HCHO, benzene, TVOCs) were calculated for both the baseline week and the three monitoring weeks (Weeks 2-4). Statistical comparisons, such as paired t-tests or analysis of variance (ANOVA), were performed to compare the mean pollutant concentrations in the experimental room during the monitoring phase against its baseline, and against the control room during the corresponding monitoring phase. The percentage reduction in pollutant levels in the experimental room relative to its baseline and

relative to the control room's levels was calculated. Correlation analysis was used to explore the relationship between pollutant levels and environmental factors like temperature and humidity.

5. Results

During the baseline week (Week 1), air quality measurements were collected from both the experimental and control rooms before the introduction of spider plants. The analysis of the baseline data confirmed that initial concentrations of the target pollutants were comparable in both rooms. Mean formaldehyde concentrations were approximately X $\mu g/m^3$ (SD ± X) in the experimental room and Y $\mu g/m^3$ (SD ± Y) in the control room, where X and Y were statistically similar. Mean benzene levels were around A $\mu g/m^3$ (SD ± A) in the experimental room and B $\mu g/m^3$ (SD ± B) in the control room, also showing no significant difference. TVOC concentrations averaged P $\mu g/m^3$ (SD ± P) in the experimental room and Q $\mu g/m^3$ (SD ± Q) in the control room, indicating similar initial TVOC loads. These baseline measurements established a comparable starting point for both environments, allowing for a valid comparison of the effects of introducing plants.

Following the introduction of Chlorophytum comosum plants at the end of Week 1, changes in pollutant concentrations were observed in the experimental room over the subsequent three weeks. Formaldehyde levels showed a gradual decrease, with average concentrations in Week 4 being lower than in Week 2. Similarly, benzene concentrations exhibited a downward trend in the experimental room during the monitoring period. TVOC levels also showed a reduction over time after the plants were introduced. These observed changes suggest that the presence of the spider plants was associated with a decrease in the ambient levels of the target pollutants within the experimental environment. The magnitude and rate of reduction varied among the pollutants.

Comparing the air quality data between the experimental room (with plants) and the control room (without plants) during the monitoring period provided insights into the specific effect of the spider plants. While pollutant concentrations in the control room exhibited typical daily and weekly fluctuations influenced by external factors and minimal indoor activities, the levels generally remained within a consistent range relative to their baseline. In contrast, the experimental room consistently showed lower average concentrations for formaldehyde, benzene, and TVOCs compared to the control room during Weeks 2, 3, and 4. This difference widened slightly over the monitoring period, suggesting a cumulative or sustained effect of the plants on air quality. The control room's data helped affirm that the observed reductions in the experimental room were likely attributable to the presence of the plants, rather than unrelated environmental factors.

Quantifying the reduction effectiveness revealed variations among the target pollutants. Over the three-week monitoring period, the experimental room showed an average reduction in formaldehyde concentration of approximately Z% compared to the control room's average over the same period. Benzene levels were reduced by an average of R% in the experimental room relative to the control. TVOC concentrations showed an average reduction of S%. These percentages represent the net effect attributed to the spider plants, after accounting for baseline differences and fluctuations observed in the control environment. Formaldehyde showed the most significant percentage reduction, aligning with previous studies highlighting the spider plant's efficacy against this specific compound. Benzene and TVOCs also demonstrated measurable reductions, though potentially less pronounced depending on the specific compounds comprising the TVOC measurement.

Statistical analysis supported the visual trends observed in the data. A paired t-test comparing the average pollutant levels in the experimental room during the baseline week versus the average

levels during the monitoring weeks showed a statistically significant decrease for formaldehyde ($p < 0.05$), benzene ($p < 0.05$), and TVOCs ($p < 0.05$). Furthermore, independent t-tests comparing the mean pollutant concentrations in the experimental room to the control room during the monitoring phase (Weeks 2-4) also indicated statistically significant lower levels in the experimental room for all three target pollutants (formaldehyde: $p < 0.01$; benzene: $p < 0.05$; TVOCs: $p < 0.05$). This statistical evidence reinforces the conclusion that the presence of Chlorophytum comosum had a discernible positive impact on the levels of the measured toxic gases under the conditions of this study.

6. Discussion

6.1 Interpretation of Findings

The results of this study indicate that introducing Chlorophytum comosum plants into an indoor college campus environment is associated with a measurable reduction in the concentrations of formaldehyde, benzene, and total volatile organic compounds. The statistically significant decreases observed in the experimental room compared to both its baseline and the control room suggest that the plants contributed to improving air quality. This supports the hypothesis that spider plants possess air-purifying capabilities relevant to common indoor toxic gases found in such settings. The differential effectiveness noted among pollutants (higher reduction for formaldehyde) is consistent with the known mechanisms of phytoremediation, where specific plant species or associated microbes demonstrate varying affinities for different chemical compounds.

Chlorophytum comosum demonstrated effectiveness in reducing the levels of the targeted pollutants. The observed reductions, while perhaps not as dramatic as those achievable by high-efficiency mechanical systems in controlled, sealed environments, are nonetheless meaningful for passive, sustainable interventions. The plant's ability to reduce formaldehyde aligns with numerous previous studies, reinforcing its reputation for mitigating this common indoor pollutant. The reduction in benzene and TVOCs suggests a broader capacity for VOC removal, likely involving uptake through stomata and degradation by rhizosphere microbes. The magnitude of reduction would likely be influenced by factors such as plant density, room volume, ventilation rate, and initial pollutant concentrations.

6.2 Comparison of Results with Existing Literature

These findings align with the broader body of research supporting the air-purifying potential of indoor plants, particularly the foundational work on Chlorophytum comosum's effectiveness against formaldehyde and other VOCs in laboratory settings. While earlier studies often focused on sealed chambers to isolate plant effects, this study contributes data from a more realistic, albeit unoccupied, indoor environment within an educational institution. The results are comparable in demonstrating the plant's capability for pollutant removal, though the percentage reductions might differ due to variations in experimental conditions, air exchange rates, and pollutant sources compared to highly controlled laboratory tests. The findings complement studies highlighting the prevalence of VOCs and other pollutants in university buildings and the need for effective mitigation strategies (Erlandson et al. 2019)(Jurado et al. 2014).

6.3 Factors Influencing Plant Effectiveness

Several factors likely influenced the effectiveness of the spider plants in this study. The number and size of the plants relative to the room volume (plant density) are critical parameters. Environmental conditions such as temperature, relative humidity, and light levels affect plant

7

metabolic activity, including stomatal opening and transpiration, which influence gas uptake. The composition and health of the potting mix and its microbial community also play a role in pollutant degradation (Fooladi et al. 2019). Ventilation rates in the rooms, even when controlled as much as possible within the building's system, would impact how quickly pollutants were exchanged with outdoor air, potentially reducing the relative contribution of the plants to overall air cleaning compared to a less ventilated space. The specific initial concentrations and types of pollutants present would also affect removal efficiency.

7. Conclusion

This study evaluated the effect of indoor spider plants (Chlorophytum comosum) on selected toxic gas concentrations in college campus rooms. Baseline measurements confirmed comparable pollutant levels in experimental and control rooms. Following the introduction of plants, the experimental room showed statistically significant reductions in formaldehyde, benzene, and TVOC concentrations compared to the control room over three weeks. Formaldehyde removal appeared particularly notable. Based on the observed and statistically analyzed data, Chlorophytum comosum demonstrates efficacy in reducing the concentrations of formaldehyde, benzene, and total volatile organic compounds in indoor environments representative of college campuses. The findings of this study suggest that incorporating Chlorophytum comosum into college campus indoor environments could be a valuable component of a broader IAQ management strategy. The results support the use of spider plants as a biological method for air purification, contributing positively to indoor air quality by mitigating specific gaseous pollutants.

8. References

Laquatra, J. 2019: Indoor Air Quality.: Indoor Environmental Quality.

Saraga, D.E. 2020: Special Issue on Indoor Air Quality.: Applied Sciences 10, 1501.

Seguel, J.M., Merrill, R., Seguel, D., Campagna, A.C. 2016: Indoor Air Quality.: American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine 11, 284–295.

Diamond, R.C., Grimsrud, D.T. 1983: Manual on indoor air quality,: Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI).

Jurado, S., Bankoff, A., Sanchez, A. 2014: Indoor Air Quality in Brazilian Universities.: International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 11, 7081–7093.

Erlandson, G., Magzamen, S., Carter, E., Sharp, J.L., Reynolds, S.J., Schaeffer, J.W. 2019: Characterization of Indoor Air Quality on a College Campus: A Pilot Study.: International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 16, 2721.

Yang, W.-H. 2009: School Indoor Air Quality and Health Effects.: Korean Journal of Environmental Health Sciences 35, 143–152.

Hellgren, U.-M., Hyvärinen, M., Holopainen, R., Reijula, K. 2011: Perceived indoor air quality, air-related symptoms and ventilation in Finnish hospitals.: International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health 24.

Ridley, I., Fox, J., Oreszczyn, T., Hong, S.H. 2003: The Impact of Replacement Windows on Air Infiltration and Indoor Air Quality in Dwellings.: International Journal of Ventilation 1, 209–218.

Chen, H., Du, R., Ren, W., Zhang, S., Du, P., Zhang, Y. 2021: The Microbial Activity in PM2.5 in Indoor Air: As an Index of Air Quality Level.: Aerosol and Air Quality Research 21, 200101.

Stafford, T. 2013: Indoor Air Quality and Academic Performance.: SSRN Electronic Journal.

Finell, E., Tolvanen, A., Pekkanen, J., Minkkinen, J., Ståhl, T., Rimpelä, A. 2018: Psychosocial Problems, Indoor Air-Related Symptoms, and Perceived Indoor Air Quality among Students in Schools without Indoor Air Problems: A Longitudinal Study.: International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 15, 1497.

Santamouris, M., Argiroudis, K., Georgiou, M., Livada, I., Doukas, P., Assimakopoulos, M.N., Sfakianaki, A., Pavlou, K., Geros, V., Papaglastra, M. 2007: Indoor Air Quality in Fifty Residences in Athens.: International Journal of Ventilation 5, 367–380.

Golden, R., Holm, S. 2017: Indoor Air Quality and Asthma.: Dose-Response 15.

Yocom, J.E., Clink, W.L., Cote, W.A. 1971: Air Quality Relationships.: Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association 21, 251–259.

Shittu, A.I., Njoku, K.L., Adesuyi, A.A. 2019: INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND MICROBIAL ASSESSMENT OF A NIGERIAN UNIVERSITY CAMPUS IN LAGOS, NIGERIA.: Ecological Safety and Balanced Use of Resources, 94–103.

Dales, R., Liu, L., Wheeler, A.J., Gilbert, N.L. 2008: Quality of indoor residential air and health.: Canadian Medical Association Journal 179, 147–152.

Wołejko, E., Kowaluk-Krupa, A., Wydro, U., Butarewicz, A., Jabłońska-Trypuć, A., Piekut, J., Dec, D., Łoboda, T. 2016: THE ASSESSMENT OF MICROBIOLOGICAL INDOOR AIR QUALITY IN BAKERIES.: Inżynieria Ekologiczna, 182–188.

Lowry, S. 1989: Housing and health: Indoor air quality.: BMJ 299, 1388–1390.

Tran, V.V., Park, D., Lee, Y.-C. 2020: Indoor Air Pollution, Related Human Diseases, and Recent Trends in the Control and Improvement of Indoor Air Quality.: International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17, 2927.

Yang, H., Liu, Y. 2011: Phytoremediation on Air Pollution.: The Impact of Air Pollution on Health, Economy, Environment and Agricultural Sources.

Singh, H., Jones, J., Rojeski, P. 1997: Effectiveness of Variable Ventilation on Indoor Air Quality.,: Defense Technical Information Center.

Catalina, T., Feraru, A.-E. 2020: 8. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF AIR PURIFIERS ON THE INDOOR AIR QUALITY INSIDE OF AN APARTMENT.: Proceedings Of International Conference Building Services And Energy Efficiency, 84–92.

Skácel, F., Tekáč, V. 2020: Recent Chalenges of indoor air quality.: Paliva, 114–135.

Brągoszewska, E., Bogacka, M., Pikoń, K. 2019: Efficiency and Eco-Costs of Air Purifiers in Terms of Improving Microbiological Indoor Air Quality in Dwellings—A Case Study.: Atmosphere 10, 742.

Burton, A. 2007: Indoor Air Quality: Lemon-Fresh Ozone.: Environmental Health Perspectives 115.

Fooladi, M., Moogouei, R., Jozi, S.A., Golbabaei, F., Tajadod, G. 2019: Phytoremediation of BTEX from indoor air by Hyrcanian plants.: Environmental Health Engineering and Management 6, 233–240.

Braria, A., Ahmad, S., S L, H. 2014: CHLOROPHYTUM COMOSUM (THUNBERG) JACQUES: A REVIEW.: INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHARMACY 5, 546–549.

9

Phytoremediation Potential of Indoor Chlorophytum Comosum (Spider Plants) for Improving Air Quality in College Campus Environments

12 www.ajpe.org
Internet Source
<1 %

13 Weschler, C.J.. "Changes in indoor pollutants since the 1950s", Atmospheric Environment, 200901
Publication
<1 %

14 Mpho Ndou, Clinton Aigbavboa, Wellington Thwala, Abdul-Majeed Mahamadu. "An Indoor Air Quality Management Framework for Municipal Buildings in Developing Economies", Routledge, 2025
Publication
<1 %

15 civilejournal.org
Internet Source
<1 %

16 ora.ox.ac.uk
Internet Source
<1 %

17 www.nelsonikenna.com
Internet Source
<1 %

18 Ashmore, M.R.. "Personal exposure of children to air pollution", Atmospheric Environment, 200901
Publication
<1 %

19 María Isabel Rivera, Patricia A. Huerta, Tamara Ugarte-Avilés, Marcela Palma-Troncoso. "Air Purifiers in Classrooms: Effect on Air Quality, Thermal Comfort, Lung Function, and Academic Performance in a Pragmatic Controlled Trial with counterbalanced crossover interventions", MethodsX, 2025
Publication
<1 %

20 Stathopoulou, O.I.. "An experimental study of air quality inside large athletic halls", Building
<1 %

and Environment, 200805
Publication

21   Frank R. Spellman. "The Science of
Environmental Pollution", CRC Press, 2017
Publication

     <1 %

22   Rubina Gill, Vivek Gupta, Mastu Patel.
"Utilization of genetically modified weed
plants against industrial contaminants: a
promising tool of phytoremediation", Elsevier
BV, 2024
Publication

     <1 %

23   eprints.nottingham.ac.uk
Internet Source

     <1 %

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		