# Least Squares Estimators of Drift Parameter for Discretely Observed Fractional Vasicektype Model

by Jana Publication & Research

**Submission date:** 22-May-2025 11:16AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID: 2664987245** 

**File name:** IJAR-51755.docx (76.44K)

Word count: 2237 Character count: 13954

#### Least Squares Estimators of Drift Parameter for Discretely Observed Fractional Vasicek-type Model

Abstract: We study the drift parameter estimation problem for a fractional Vasicek-typmodel X: =  $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ , that is defined as  $dX_t = \theta(\mu + X_t)dt + \theta(\mu + X_t)dt$  $dB_t^H$ ,  $t \ge 0$  with unknown parameters  $\theta > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\{B_t^H, t \ge 0\}$  is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index  $H \in ]0$  , 1[. Let  $\widehat{\theta}_t$  and  $\widehat{\mu}_t$  be the least squares-type estimaters of  $\theta$  and  $\mu$ , respectively, based on continuous observation of X. In this paper we assume that the process  $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$  is observed at discrete time instants  $t_i=i\Delta_n$ , i=1,...,n. We analyze discrete versions  $\widetilde{\theta_n}$  and  $\widetilde{\mu_n}$  for  $\widehat{\theta_t}$  and  $\widehat{\mu_t}$  respectively. We show that the sequence  $\sqrt{n\Delta_n}(\widetilde{\theta_n}- heta)$  is tight and  $\sqrt{n\Delta_n}(\widetilde{\mu_n}-\mu)$  is not tight. Moreover, we prove the stronge consistency of  $\widetilde{\theta_n}$ .

Key words: Fractional Brownian motion; Vasicek-type model; Young integral; Parameter estimation; Discrete observations; Tightness.

**2010 AMS Classification Numbers:** 60G15; 60G22; 62F12; 62M09; 62M86.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $B^H := \{B_t^H, t \ge 0\}$  be a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) of Hurst index  $H \in ]0,1[$ , that is, a centered Gaussian process starting from zero with covariance

$$E(B_t^H B_s^H) = \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H})$$

 $E(B_t^HB_s^H)=\frac{1}{2}(t^{2H}+s^{2H}-|t-s|^{2H})$  Note that when  $H=\frac{1}{2},\ B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a standard Brownian motion.

Consider the fractional Vasicek-type of the first kind  $X = \{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ , defined as the unique (pathwise) solution to

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \theta(\mu + X_t)dt + dB_t^H, & t > 0, \\ X_0 = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta > 0$  are considered as unknown parameters.

Let  $\widehat{\theta_T}$  and  $\widehat{\mu_T}$  be the least squares-type estimators of and  $\mu$ , respectively, based or continuous observation of X. As we known, least squares estimators method are motivated by the argument of minimize a quadratic function  $\mu$  a and  $\theta$ , respectively,

$$(\mu, \theta) \mapsto \int_0^T |\dot{X}_t - \theta(\mu + X_t)|^2 dt$$

where  $\dot{X}_t$  denotes the differentiation of  $X_t$  with respect to t. Taking the partial derivative for  $\mu$  a and  $\theta$ , separately. Then solving the equations, we can obtain the east squares estimators of  $\mu$  a and  $\theta$ , denoted by  $\widehat{\theta}_T$  and  $\widehat{\mu}_T$  respectively,

$$\widehat{\theta_T} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} T X_T^2 - X_T \int_0^T X_S dS}{T \int_0^T X_S^2 dS - \left(\int_0^T X_S dS\right)^2}$$
(1.2)

$$\widehat{\mu_T} = \frac{\int_0^T X_S^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} X_T \int_0^T X_S ds}{\frac{1}{2} T X_T - \int_0^T X_S ds}$$
 (1.3)

In recent years, the study of various problems related to the model (1.1) has attracted interest. In finance modeling  $\mu$  can be interpreted as the long-run equilibrium value of X whereas  $\theta$  represents the speed of reversion. For a motivation in mathematical finance and further references, we refer the reader to [2,3, 4, 5]. When  $B^H$  is replaced by a standard Brownian motion, the model (1.1) with  $\mu$  = 0 was originally proposed by Ornstein and Uhlenbeck and then it was generalized by Vasicek, see [14]. In the ergodic case, the statistical inference for several fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (fOU) models has been recently developed in the papers [8], [11] and [15]. The case of non-ergodic fOU process can be found in [1], [6], [7], [9] and [10].

Let us describe what is known about the asymptotic behaviors of the estimators (1.2) and (1.3), studied in [9]:

• for every  $H \in (0,1)$ , we have almost surely, as  $T \to \infty$ ,

$$(\widehat{\theta_T}, \widehat{\mu_T}) \to (\theta, \mu)$$
 (1.4)

• assume that  $H \in (0,1)$ , and  $N_1 \sim N(0,1)$ ,  $N_2 \sim N(0,1)$ , and  $B^H$  are independent, then as  $T \to \infty$ ,

$$\left(e^{\theta T}(\widehat{\theta_T} - \theta), T^{1-H}(\widehat{\mu_T} - \mu)\right) \xrightarrow{Law} \left(\frac{2\theta \sigma_{B^H} N_2}{\mu + \zeta_{B^H, \infty}}, \frac{1}{\theta} N_1\right), \tag{1.5}$$

 $\sigma_{B^H}^2 = \frac{H\Gamma(2H)}{\Theta^{2H}}, \text{ and } \zeta_{B^H,\infty} \sim \text{N} \left(0,\sigma_{B^H}^2\right) \text{ is independent of } N_1 \text{ and } N_2.$ 

From a practical point of view, in parametric inference, it is more realistic and interesting to consider asymptotic estimation for (1.1) based on discrete observations. Then, in the present paper, we will assume that the process X given in (1.1) is observed equidistantly in time with the step size  $\Delta_n$ :  $t_i=i\Delta_n$ ,  $t_i=1,...,n$  and  $T_n=n\Delta_n$  denotes the length of the "observation window".

Here, based on discrete-time observations of X defined in (1.1), we will analyse the following discrete versions  $\widetilde{\theta_n}$  and  $\widetilde{\mu_n}$  for  $\widehat{\theta_t}$  and  $\widehat{\mu_t}$  respectively, defined as

$$\widetilde{\theta_n} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} X_{T_n}^2 - \frac{X_{T_n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}}{\Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}^2 - \frac{\Delta_n}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}} \right)^2}$$
(1.6)

$$\widetilde{\mu_n} = \frac{\Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} X_{t_n} \Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}}{\frac{1}{2} T_n X_{T_n} - \Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}}$$
(1.7)

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the basic knowledge about Young integral and some preliminary results, which will be gory useful to our main proof. In Section 3, based on discrete observations of X defined in (1.1), we study the rate consistency of the estimators  $\widetilde{\theta_n}$  and  $\widetilde{\mu_n}$ .

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly recall some basic elements of Young integral (see [16]), which are helpful for some of the arguments we use.

For any  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ , , we denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0,1])$  the set of Holder continuous functions, that is, the set of functions  $f \colon [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f|_{\alpha} = \sup_{0 \le s < t \le T} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{(t - s)^{\alpha}} < \infty.$$

We also set  $|f|_{\infty} := Sup_{t \in [0,T]} |f(t)|$  and equip  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(|[0,T]|)$  with the norm

$$||f||_{\alpha} := |f|_{\alpha} + |f|_{\infty}$$
.

Let  $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0,T])$ , and consider the operator  $T_f:\mathcal{C}^1([0,T])\to\mathcal{C}^0([0,T])$  defined as

$$T_f(g)(t) = \int_0^t f(u)g'(u)du, \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

It can be shown (see, [13]) that, for any  $\beta \in ]1-\alpha, 1[$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha,\beta,T}>0$  depending only on  $\alpha,\beta$  and T such that, for any  $g\in\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0,T])$ ,

$$\left\| \int_0^{\cdot} f(u)g'(u)du \right\|_{\beta} \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,T} \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta}.$$

We deduce that, for any  $\alpha \in ]0,1[$  any  $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0,T])$  and any  $\beta \in ]1-\alpha,1[$  the linear operator  $T_f: C_{\bullet}([0,T]) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\beta}([0,T]) \to \mathcal{H}^{\beta}([0,T])$ , defined as  $T_f(g) = \int_0^1 f(u)g'(u)du$  is continuous with respect to the norm  $\|.\|_{\beta}$ .

By density, it extends (in an unique way) to an operator defined on  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}$ . As consequence, if  $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(|[0,T]|)$ , if  $g \in \mathfrak{N}^{\beta}(|[0,T]|)$  and if  $\alpha + \beta > 1$  then the (so-called) Young integral  $\int_0^{\infty} f(u)dg(u)$  is (well) defined as being  $T_f(g)$ .

The Young integral obeys the following formula. Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0,T])$  with

 $\alpha \in ]0,1[$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}^{\beta}([0,T])$  with  $\beta \in ]0,1[$  such that  $\alpha + \beta > 1$ . Then  $\int_0^1 f_u dg_u$  and  $\int_0^1 f_u dg_u$  are well-defined as Young integrals. Moreover, for all  $t \in [0,T]$ ,

$$f_t g_t = f_0 g_0 + \int_0^t g_u \, df_u + \int_0^t f_u dg_u \,.$$
 (2.1)

In order to study the strong consistency, we will need the following direct consequence of the BorelCantelli Lemma (see Kloeden and Neuenirch (2007)), which allows us to turn convergence rates in the p-th mean into pathwise convergence rates.

**Lemma 2.1. ([12])** Let  $\beta>0$  and let  $(Z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables. If for every  $p\geq 1$  there exists a constant  $c_p>0$  such that for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(E|Z_n|^p)^{1/p} \le C_p.n^{-\beta} \quad ,$$

then for all  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a random variable  $\eta_{\epsilon}$  such that

$$|Z_n| \le \eta_{\varepsilon} \cdot n^{-\beta + \varepsilon}$$
 almost surely

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,  $E|\eta_{\varepsilon}|^p < \infty$  for all  $p \ge 1$ .

Next, let us note that the unique solution to (1.1) can be written as

$$X_t = \mu(e^{\theta t} - 1) + e^{\theta t} \int_0^t e^{-\theta s} dB_s^H, \quad t \ge 0.$$
 (2.2)

We will also need the following processes, for every  $t \geq 0$ 

$$\zeta_t := \int_0^t e^{-\theta t} dB_s^H \; ; \quad \sum_t := \int_0^t X_s ds Z_t := \int_0^t e^{-\theta t} B_s^H ds$$
 (2.3)

Using (2.2), we can write

$$X_t = u(e^{-\theta t} - 1) + e^{-\theta t} \zeta_t. \tag{2.4}$$

Furthermore, by (1.1),

$$X_t = \mu \theta t + B_t^H \,. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, applying the formula (2.1), we have

$$\zeta_t = e^{-\theta t} B_t^H + \theta \int_0^t e^{-\theta t} B_s^H ds = e^{-\theta t} B_t^H + \theta Z_t.$$
 (2.6)

From (2.4) we can also write

$$X_t = e^{\theta t} Z_t$$
, With  $Z_t = \mu \left(1 - e^{-\theta t}\right) + \zeta_t$   $t \ge 0$ . (2.7)

**Lemm**<sub>6</sub> **2.2.** ([6]). Assume that the process  $B^H$  has Hölder continuous path of order  $\gamma \in ]0,1[$ . Let  $\zeta$  be given by (2.3). Then for all  $\epsilon \in ]0,\gamma[$  the process  $\zeta$  admits a modification with  $(\gamma - \epsilon)$ -Hölder continuous paths.

Moreover

$$Z_t \to Z_\infty := \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} B_s^H ds, \qquad \zeta_t \to \zeta_\infty := \theta Z_\infty \tag{2.8}$$

almost surely and in  $L^2(\Omega)$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

**Lemma3.2.** ([9]). Assume that  $H \in (0, 1)$ . Then, almost surely, as

$$e^{-\theta T} X_T \to \mu + \zeta_{\infty} \tag{2.9}$$

$$e^{-\theta T} \int_0^T X_s \, ds \to \frac{1}{\theta} (\mu + \zeta_\infty)$$
 (2.10)

$$\frac{e^{-\theta T}}{T} \int_0^T s X_s \, ds \to \frac{1}{\theta} (\mu + \zeta_{\infty}) \tag{2.11}$$

$$\frac{e^{-\theta T}}{T^{\delta}} \int_{0}^{T} |X_{s}| \, ds ds \to 0 \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0 \tag{2.12}$$

$$e^{-2\theta T} \int_0^T X_s^2 ds \to \frac{1}{2\theta} (\mu + \zeta_\infty)^2$$
 (2.13)

where is defined in Lemma 2.2.

From now on, the generic constant is always denoted by C(.) which depends on certain parameters in the parentheses.

#### 3.Main results

**Lemme 3.1.** Let  $(S_n, n \ge 1)$  and  $(R_n, n \ge 2)$  be a random sequences defined by

$$S_n := \Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}^2 \; ; \quad S_n := \Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{-2\theta(T_n - t_i)} (Z_{t_i}^2 - Z_{t_{i-1}}^2). \quad (3.1)$$

Then for every  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$S_n e^{-2\theta T_n} = \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\Delta_{n-1}}} (Z_{t_{n-1}}^2 - R_n) . \tag{3.2}$$

In addition if  $\Delta_n \to 0$  and  $n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha} \to \infty$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$R_n \to 0$$
 almost surely as  $n \to \infty$ . (3.3)

In particular,

$$S_n e^{-2\theta T_n} \to \frac{(\mu + \zeta_\infty)^2}{2\theta}$$
 almost surely as  $n \to \infty$ . (3.4)

**Proof.** Using (2.7), we can write for every  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$S_{n}e^{-2\theta T_{n}} = \Delta_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-2\theta (n-i)\Delta_{n}} e^{-2\theta \Delta_{n}} Z_{t_{i-1}}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta_{n}}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n}} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-2\theta (n-i)\Delta_{n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n}}}\right) Z_{t_{i-1}}^{2}.$$

This imply that

$$\begin{split} S_n e^{-2\theta T_n} &= \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( e^{-2\theta \binom{13}{n-i}\Delta_n} - e^{-2\theta \binom{n+1-i}{\lambda_n}} \right) Z_{t_{i-1}}^2 \\ &= \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n} - 1} \left[ Z_{t_{n-1}}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( Z_{t_{i-1}}^2 - Z_{t_{i-2}}^2 \right) e^{-2\theta \binom{n+1-i}{\lambda_n}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n} - 1} \left[ Z_{t_{n-1}}^2 - R_n \right] \quad , \end{split}$$

which implies (3.2).

Let us now prove (3.3). First, observe that  $\Delta_n \to 0$  and  $n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha} \to \infty$  imply that  $n\Delta_n \to \infty$ . On the other hand, (2.8) implies

$$Z_T \to \mu + \zeta_{\infty}$$
 (3.5)

almost surely and in  $L^2(\Omega)$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

Thus, by using (2.7),  $\{\zeta_t, t \ge 0\}$  is Gaussian and (3.5), we obtain for every  $p \ge 0$ .

$$\begin{split} \left( E \big[ \big| Z_{t_{i}}^{2} - Z_{t_{i-1}}^{2} \big|^{p} \big] \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left( E \big[ \big| \big( Z_{t_{i}} - Z_{t_{i-1}} \big) \big( Z_{t_{i}} + Z_{t_{i-1}} \big) \big|^{p} \big] \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C(\mu, \theta, H) \Big( E \big[ \big| Z_{t_{i}} - Z_{t_{i-1}} \big|^{p} \big] \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C(\mu, \theta, H) \left( \big| e^{-\theta t_{i}} - e^{-\theta t_{i-1}} \big| + \big( E \big[ \big| \zeta_{t_{i}} - \zeta_{t_{i-1}} \big|^{p} \big] \big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\ &\leq C(p, \mu, \theta, H) \left( e^{-\theta t_{i}} \big| e^{\theta \Delta_{n}} - 1 \big| + \left( E \left[ \big| \zeta_{t_{i}} - \zeta_{t_{i-1}} \big|^{2} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq C(p, \mu, \theta, H) \left( \Delta_{n} e^{-\theta t_{i}} + \Delta_{n}^{H} e^{-\theta i \Delta_{n}} \right) \\ &\leq C(p, \mu, \theta, H) \Delta_{n}^{H} e^{-\theta t_{i}}, \end{split} \tag{3.1}$$

where we used  $\frac{e^{\theta\Delta n}-1}{\Delta_n} \to 0$  and the following inequality given in [10] for every  $i=1,\ldots,n,\quad n\geq 1$ ,

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\zeta_{t_i} - \zeta_{t_{i-1}}\right|^2\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \mathbb{C}(\theta, \mathbb{H}) \Delta_n^H e^{-\theta t_i} .$$

Thus for every  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$(E[|R_{n}|^{p}])^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{-2\theta(n-i)\Delta_{n}} \left( E[|Z_{t_{i}}^{2} - Z_{t_{i-1}}^{2}|^{p}] \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq C(p, \mu, \theta, H) e^{-\theta n \Delta_{n}} \Delta_{n}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{-\theta(n-i)\Delta_{n}}$$

$$\leq C(p, \mu, \theta, H) e^{-\theta n \Delta_{n}} \Delta_{n}^{H} e^{-\theta \Delta_{n}} \frac{1 - e^{-\theta(n-1)\Delta_{n}}}{1 - e^{-\theta \Delta_{n}}}$$

$$\leq C(p, \mu, \theta, H) \Delta_{n}^{H-1} e^{-\theta n \Delta_{n}}$$

$$\leq C(p, \mu, \theta, H) \Delta_{n}^{H-1} e^{-\theta n \Delta_{n}}$$
(3.7)

The last inequality comes from  $\Delta_n \to 0$  and  $\frac{\Delta_n}{1-e^{-\theta\Delta_n}} \to \frac{1}{\theta}$ .

Taking a constant  $\beta$  verifying  $\frac{1-\gamma}{\beta}<\alpha<\beta$  , there is  $\epsilon>0$  such that  $\alpha=\frac{\epsilon+1-\gamma}{\beta-\epsilon}$  .

Hence, we can write

$$(n\Delta_n)^{\beta}\Delta_n^{1-\gamma} = n^{\varepsilon}(n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha})^{\beta-\varepsilon} \qquad (3.8)$$

As a consequence, by (3.7) and (3.8),

$$(E[|R_{n}|^{p}])^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C(p,\theta,\mu,H)\Delta_{n}^{\gamma-1}e^{-\theta n\Delta_{n}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{C(p,\theta,\mu,H)}\frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}(n\Delta_{n}^{1+\alpha})^{\beta-\varepsilon}}\frac{(n\Delta_{n})^{\beta}}{e^{\theta n\Delta_{n}}}$$

$$\leq C(p,\theta,\mu,H)n^{-\varepsilon}. \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, by combining (3.9) and Lemma 2.1, the convergence (3.3) is proved. On the other hand, the convergence (3.4) is a direct consequence of (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5). 🗆

**Lemme 3.2.** Define for every  $n \ge 1$ 

$$D_n := \frac{e^{-2\theta T_n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}.$$
 (3.10)

Assume that  $\Delta_n \to 0$  and  $n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha} \to \infty$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then, for every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$E\left(D_{n}^{2}\right) \leq C(\theta,\mu,H,\alpha)n^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \tag{3.11}$$
 Moreover, for every  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left((n\Delta_n)^{\delta}D_n\right)^2\right] \le C(\underline{\theta}, \mu, H, \alpha)n^{\frac{-2\alpha(1-H)}{1+\alpha}}. \tag{3.12}$$

As a consequence, for every  $0 \le \delta < 1$ ,

$$(n\Delta_n)^{\delta} \to 0$$
 almost surely as  $n \to \infty$ . (3.13)

Proof. We first prove (3.11). Using (2.7) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{split} E(D_n^2) &= \frac{e^{-2\theta T_n}}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n E\left(X_{t_{i-1}} X_{t_{j-1}}\right) = \frac{e^{-2\theta T_n}}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n e^{\theta t_{i-1} + \theta t_{j-1}} E\left(Z_{t_{i-1}} Z_{t_{j-1}}\right) \\ &\leq C(\theta,\mu,H) \frac{e^{-2\theta T_n}}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n e^{\theta t_{i-1} + \theta t_{j-1}} = C(\theta,\mu,H) \left(\frac{e^{-\theta T_n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\theta t_{i-1}}\right)^2 \\ &= C(\theta,\mu,H) \left(\frac{e^{-\theta T_n}}{n} \frac{e^{\theta n \Delta_n} - 1}{e^{\theta \Delta_n} - 1}\right)^2 \end{split}$$

$$\leq C(\theta, \mu, H) \left(\frac{1}{n\Delta_n} \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_n}{e^{\theta \Delta_n} - 1}\right)^2$$

$$\leq C(\theta, \mu, H) \frac{1}{(n\Delta_n)^2} \qquad (3.14)$$

Setting  $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}$ , we obtain

$$E(D_n^2) \leq C(\theta,\mu,H) \frac{n^{-2\gamma}}{(n^{1-\gamma}\Delta_n)^2} = C(\theta,\mu,H) \frac{n^{-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}}{(n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha})^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}} \leq C(\theta,\mu,H) \frac{1}{H,\alpha)n^{-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}},$$

which proves (3.11).

For (3.12), by (3.14), we have,

$$\mathbb{E}[((n\Delta_n)^H D_n)^2] \le C(\theta, \mu, H)(n\Delta_n)^{-2(1-\gamma)}.$$

Thus, using similar arguments as in (3.8), we can conclude

$$E[((\underline{n}\Delta_n)^HD_n)^2] \leq C(\theta,\mu,H,\alpha)n^{-\frac{2\alpha(1-H)}{1+\alpha}} \; ,$$

which implies the desired result.

Finally, the convergence (3.13) is a direct consequence of (3.12) and Lemma 2.1.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\{Z_n\}$  be a sequence of random variables defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . We say  $\{Z_n\}$  is tight (or bounded in probability), if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that,

$$P(|Z_n| > M_{\epsilon}) < \epsilon$$
, for all n.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $H \in (0,1)$ . Suppose that  $\Delta_n \to 0$  and  $n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha} \to \infty$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then, for every  $q \ge 1$ ,

$$\Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} (\widetilde{\theta_n} - \theta) \text{ is not tight.}$$
 (3.15)

In addition if we assume that  $n\Delta_n^3 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then the estimator  $\widetilde{\theta_n}$  is

 $\sqrt{T_n}$ -consistent in the sens that the sequence

$$\sqrt{T_n}(\widetilde{\theta_n} - \theta)$$
 is tight (3.16)

and

$$\sqrt{T_n}(\widetilde{\mu_n} - \mu)$$
 is not tight. (3.17)

**Proof.** Fix  $q \ge 1$ . From (1.6) and (2.7) we can write

$$\begin{split} & \Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} \Big( \widetilde{\theta_n} - \theta \Big) \\ &= \Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} \bigg( \frac{\frac{1}{2} Z_{T_n}^2 - Z_{T_n} D_n}{e^{2\theta T_n} S_n - \left(\sqrt{T_n} D_n\right)^2} - \theta \bigg) \\ &= \frac{\Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n}}{2e^{2\theta T_n} S_n - 2\left(\sqrt{T_n} D_n\right)^2} \Big[ \Big( Z_{T_n}^2 - Z_{T_{n-1}}^2 \Big) + \Big( 1 - \frac{2\theta \Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n-1}}} \Big) Z_{T_{n-1}}^2 - 2\theta \Big( e^{-2\theta T_n} S_n - \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n-1}}} Z_{T_{n-1}}^2 \Big) \Big] \end{split}$$

Moeover,

$$\begin{split} e^{-2\theta T_n} S_n - & \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n-1}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{T}_{n-1}}^2 = \ e^{-2\theta T_n} \Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\theta t_{i-1}} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{t}_{i-1}}^2 - \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n-1}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{T}_{n-1}}^2 \\ & = \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n-1}}} \big( \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-2\theta (T_n - t_i)} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{t}_{i-1}}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-2\theta (T_n - t_{i-1})} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{t}_{i-1}}^2 - \mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{T}_{n-1}}^2 \big) \\ & = \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n-1}}} R_n \ , \end{split}$$

where  $R_n$  is given by (3.1).

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{n}^{q} e^{\theta T_{n}} & (\overline{\theta_{n}} - \theta) \\ &= \frac{\Delta_{n}^{q} e^{\theta T_{n}}}{2e^{2\theta T_{n}} S_{n}} \left[ \left( Z_{T_{n}}^{2} - Z_{T_{n-1}}^{2} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{2\theta \Delta_{n}}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n}} - 1} \right) Z_{T_{n-1}}^{2} \right. \\ &\left. + \left( \frac{2\theta \Delta_{n}}{e^{2\theta \Delta_{n}} - 1} \right) R_{n} \right]. (3.18) \end{split}$$

According to (3.6), we get

$$\left( E\left[ \left( \Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} \left( Z_{T_n}^2 - Z_{T_{n-1}}^2 \right) \right)^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C(\theta, \mu, H) \Delta_n^{q+H} \rightarrow 0.$$
(3.19)

We also have

$$\Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} \left( 1 - \frac{2\theta \Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n - 1}} \right) = \Delta_n^{q+1} e^{\theta T_n} \left( \frac{e^{2\theta \Delta_n - 1 - 2\theta \Delta_n}}{\Delta_n^2} \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n - 1}} \right) \to \infty$$

$$(3.20)$$

since

$$\Delta_n^{q+1} e^{\theta T_n} = \left(n \Delta_n^{q+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{q+1}{\alpha}} \frac{e^{\theta T_n}}{\frac{q+1}{T_n}^{\alpha}} \to \infty \text{ and } \left(\frac{e^{2\theta \Delta_n - 1 - 2\theta \Delta_n}}{\Delta_n^2} \frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n - 1}}\right) \to \theta.$$

Furthermore, by (3.7),

$$\left(E\left[\left(\Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} R_n\right)^2\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C(\theta, \mu, H) \Delta_n^{q+H-1} \to 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Combining (3.18), (3.19), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.4), we conclude that for every  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} (\widetilde{\theta_n} - \theta)$  is not tight.

For  $0 \le q < 1$  we have

$$\Delta_n^q e^{\theta T_n} (\widetilde{\theta_n} - \theta) = \Delta_n^{q-1} \Big( \Delta_n e^{\theta T_n} (\widetilde{\theta_n} - \theta) \Big),$$

which completes the proof of (3.15), where we used the previous case and the fact that  $\Delta_n^{q-1} \to \infty$ .

Let us now prove (3.16). It follows from (3.18) that

$$\begin{split} & \sqrt{T_n} \big( \widetilde{\theta_n} - \theta \big) = \frac{\sqrt{T_n}}{2e^{-2\theta T_n} S_n} \Big[ \big( Z_{T_n}^2 - Z_{T_{n-1}}^2 \big) + \Big( 1 - \frac{2\theta \Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n} - 1} \Big) Z_{T_n - 1}^2 + \\ & \Big( \frac{2\theta \Delta_n}{e^{2\theta \Delta_n} - 1} \Big) R_n \Big] \; . \end{split}$$

Combining this with

$$\begin{split} &\left(E\left[\left(\sqrt{T_n}\left(Z_{T_n}^2-Z_{T_{n-1}}^2\right)\right)^2\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(\theta,\gamma)\Delta_n^{\gamma}\sqrt{T_n}e^{-\theta T_n} \to 0 \ , \\ &\sqrt{T_n}\left(1-\frac{2\theta\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta\Delta_n-1}}\right) = \sqrt{n}\Delta_n^3\left(\frac{e^{2\theta\Delta_n-1-2\theta\Delta_n}}{\Delta_n^2}\,\frac{\Delta_n}{e^{2\theta\Delta_n-1}}\right) \to 0 \ , \\ &\left(E\left[\left(\sqrt{T_n}R_n\right)^2\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(\theta,\gamma)\Delta_n^{\gamma-1}\sqrt{T_n}e^{-\theta T_n} = C(\theta,\gamma)\frac{T_n^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}}e^{-\theta T_n}}{(n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha})^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}}} \to 0 \ , \end{split}$$

and the convergence (3.4), we deduce that

$$\sqrt{T_{n}}(\widetilde{\theta_{n}} - \theta) \to 0 \tag{3.22}$$

in probability, which proves (3.16).

Now it remains to prove (3.17). Using (1.6) and (1.7), we can show that  $\widetilde{\theta_n}$  and  $\widetilde{\mu_n}$  satisfy

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\theta_{n}}\widetilde{\mu_{n}}T_{n} &= \frac{X_{T_{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{t_{i-1}}^{2} - \frac{X_{T_{n}}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{t_{i-1}}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{t_{i-1}}^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{t_{i-1}}\right)^{2}} \\ &= X_{T_{n}} - \widetilde{\theta_{n}}\Delta_{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{t_{i-1}}. \end{split}$$

Combining this with (1.1), we obtain

$$T_n\widetilde{\theta_n}(\widetilde{\mu_n}-\mu)$$

$$= \mu T_n \big(\theta - \widetilde{\theta_n}\big) + \theta \int_0^{T_n} X_t \, dt + B_{T_n}^H - \widetilde{\theta_n} \Delta_n \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}$$

$$= \mu T_n \left(\theta - \widetilde{\theta_n}\right) + \widetilde{\theta_n} \left(\int_0^{T_n} X_t \, dt - \Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}\right) + \left(\theta - \widetilde{\theta_n}\right) \int_0^{T_n} X_t \, dt + B_{T_n}^H.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\sqrt{T_n}(\widetilde{\mu_n} - \mu)$$

$$=\frac{\mu\sqrt{T_n}}{\widetilde{\theta_n}}\left(\theta-\widetilde{\theta_n}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_n}}\left(\int_0^{T_n}X_t\;dt\;-\Delta_n\sum_{i=1}^nX_{t_{i-1}}\right)+\frac{\left(\theta-\widetilde{\theta_n}\right)}{\widetilde{\theta_n}\sqrt{T_n}}\int_0^{T_n}X_t\;dt\;+\frac{B_{T_n}^H}{\widetilde{\theta_{T_n}}\sqrt{T_n}}$$

$$:= A_n + B_n + C_n + D_n .$$

Theorem 3.2 and the convergence (3.22) imply that  $A_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability.

We can write 
$$C_n = \frac{(\theta - \overline{\theta_n})}{\overline{\theta_n} \sqrt{T_n}} \int_0^{T_n} X_t dt = \frac{\sqrt{T_n}(\theta - \overline{\theta_n})}{\overline{\theta_n}} \left(\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} X_t dt\right)$$
.

Then, Theorem 3.2 and the convergence (3.22) imply that  $\frac{\sqrt{T_n(\theta-\widetilde{\theta_n})}}{\widetilde{\theta_n}} \to 0$  in probability. Moreover, using l'Hôpital rule,

$$\lim_{T_n\to\infty}\frac{1}{T_n}\int_0^{T_n}X_t\,dt=\lim_{T_n\to\infty}X_{T_n}=\lim_{T_n\to\infty}\left(\mu\left(1-e^{-\theta T_n}\right)+\zeta_{T_n}\right)=\mu+\zeta_\infty.$$

Hence 
$$C_n \to 0$$
 in probability.   
Recall that  $E[(B_t^H - B_s^H)^2] = |t - s|^{2H}$ ;  $t, s \ge 0$ .

Then for  $H \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , we have almost surely, as  $T_n \to \infty$ 

$$\frac{B_{T_n}^H}{\sqrt{T_n}} \to 0$$
, by Borel-Cantelli Lemma.

Combining this with Theorem 3.2 we obtain that  $D_n := \frac{B_{Tn}^H}{\widetilde{\theta_n} \sqrt{T_n}} \to 0$  in probability.

$$B_{n} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_{n}}} \left( \int_{0}^{T_{n}} X_{t} dt - \Delta_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{t_{i-1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{e^{\theta T_{n}}}{\sqrt{T_{n}}} \left( e^{-\theta T_{n}} \int_{0}^{T_{n}} X_{t} dt - e^{-\theta T_{n}} \Delta_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{t_{i-1}} \right)$$
(3.23)

By lemma 2.3, we have  $e^{-\theta T_n} \int_0^{T_n} X_t dt \to \frac{1}{\theta} (\mu + \zeta_\infty)$  almost surely.

We also have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{-\theta T_n}\Delta_n\sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}\right)^2\right] = \Delta_n^2 e^{-2\theta T_n}\sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(X_{t_{i-1}}X_{t_{j-1}}\right) = \Delta_n^2 e^{-2\theta T_n}\sum_{i,j=1}^n e^{\theta t_{i-1}+\theta t_{j-1}} \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{t_{i-1}}Z_{t_{j-1}}\right).$$

Then, by using the same arguments as in Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{-\theta T_n}\Delta_n\sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}\right)^2\right] \leq \mathbb{C}(\mu,\theta,H)\Delta_n^2 e^{-2\theta T_n} \left(\frac{e^{\theta n\Delta_{n-1}}}{e^{\theta \Delta_{n-1}}}\right)^2 \leq \mathbb{C}(\mu,\theta,H)\Delta_n^2 \to 0. \ (3.24)$$

Combining (2.10), (3.23), (3.24), and the fact that  $\frac{e^{\theta T_n}}{\sqrt{T_n}} \to \infty$ , we conclude that  $B_n \to \infty$ .

Consequently, the convergence (3.17) is proved. Thus the desired results are obtained.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that 0 < H < 1. Suppose that  $\Delta_n \to 0$  and  $n\Delta_n^{1+\alpha} \to 0$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\widetilde{\theta_n} \to \theta$$
 almost surely. (3.25)

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\theta_n} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} X_{T_n}^2 - \frac{X_{T_n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}^{26}}{\Delta_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}}^2 - \frac{\Delta_n}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_{i-1}} \right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\theta T_n} X_{T_n}^2 - Z_{T_n} D_n}{e^{-2\theta T_n} S_n - \left( \sqrt{n\Delta_n} D_n \right)^2} \,. \end{split}$$

Thus, according to (2.9) , (3.4) , (3.5) and (3.13), we can deduce that  $\widetilde{\theta_n} \to \theta$  almost surely as  $n \to \infty$ .  $\square$ 

#### References

- [1] Alazemi, F., Alsenafi A., Es-Sebaiy, K. (2020). Parameter estimation for Gaussian mean-reverting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes of the second kind: non-ergodic case, Stochastics and Dynamics 19, 2050011.
- [2] Chronopoulou, A. and F.G. Viens (2012) Estimation and pricing under long-memory stochastic volatility. Annals of Finance **8**, 379-403.
- [3] Chronopoulou, A. and F.G. Viens (2012) Stochastic volatility and option pricing with long-memory in discrete and continuous time. Quantitative Finance **12**, 635-649.
- [4] Comte, F., Coutin, L. and Renault, E. (2012) Affine fractional stochastic volatility models. Annals of Finance 8, 337-378.
- [5] Comte, F. and Renault, E. (1998). Long Memory in Continuous-time Stochastic Volatility Models. Mathematical Finance, 8(4):291-323.
- [6] El Machkouri, M., Es-Sebaiy, K. and Ouknine, Y.(2016). Least squares estimator for non-ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by Gaussian processes. Journal of the Korean Statistical Society 45, 329-341.
- [7] El Onsy, B., Es-Sebaiy, K. and Ndiaye, D. (2018). Parameter estimation for discretely observed non-ergodic fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes of the second kind. Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics, Vol. 32, No. 3, 545-558.
- [8] El Onsy, B., Es-Sebaiy, K. and Viens, F. (2017). Parameter Estimation for a partially observed Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with long-memory noise. Stochastics, Volume 89 (2017) - Issue 2, Pages: 431-468.
- [9] Es-Sebaiy, K. and Es.Sebaiy, M. (2021) Estimating drift parameters in a nonergodic Gaussian Vasicek-type model, Statistical Methods & Applications, Springer; Societa Italiana di Statistica, `vol. 30(2), pages 409-436, juin.
- [10] Es-Sebaiy, K., Alazemi, F. and Al-Foraih, M. (2019). Least squares type estimation for discretely observed non-ergodic Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Acta Mathematica Scientia, 39, 989–1002.

- [11] Hu, Y. and Nualart, D. (2010). Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Statist. Probab. Lett. **80**, 1030-1038.
- [12] Kloeden P, Neuenkirch A. The pathwise convergence of approximation schemes for stochastic differential equations. LMS J. Comp. Math., 2007, **10**, 235-253.
- [13] Nourdin, I. (2012). Selected aspects of fractional Brownian motion. Bocconi & Springer Series 4. Springer, Milan; Bocconi University Press, Milan.
- [14] Vasicek O., 1977. An equilibrium characterization of the term structure. J. Finance Econ. **5**(2): p. 177-188.
- [15] Xiao, W. and Yu, J. (2018). Asymptotic theory for estimating drift parameters in the fractional Vasicek model. Econometric Theory, Page 1 of 34. doi:10.1017/S0266466618000051
- [16] Young, L. C. (1936). An inequality of the Holder type connected with Stieltjes integration. Acta Math. " **67**, 251-282.

### Least Squares Estimators of Drift Parameter for Discretely Observed Fractional Vasicek-type Model

	LITY REPORT	lional vasicek-ty	ype iviouei		
	7% RITY INDEX	31% INTERNET SOURCES	34% PUBLICATIONS	1% STUDENT PA	PERS
PRIMARY	/ SOURCES				
1	arxiv.org				7%
2	projecteu Internet Source				5%
3	Foraih. "l Discretel Ornstein	s-Sebaiy, Fares Least Squares T y Observed No -Uhlenbeck Pro atica Scientia, 2	Type Estimation n-Ergodic Gau ocesses", Acta	n for	5%
4	www.arx Internet Source	iv-vanity.com			3%
5	model dr processe	"Statistical infe iven by self-sin s", Communica nd Methods, 20	nilar Gaussian ations in Statis		2%
6	export.ar	_			1%
7	Imrs.univ	r-rouen.fr			1%
8	imstat.or				1 %
9	_	g, Lu Sun, Yu N arameters for t			1%

## process with time-varying volatility", Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 2023

Publication

2.40	
m2a19.univ-littoral.fr Internet Source	1 %
Kęstutis Kubilius, Yuliya Mishura, Kostiantyn Ralchenko. "Parameter Estimation in Fractional Diffusion Models", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 Publication	1 %
www.aimspress.com Internet Source	1%
tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet Source	1%
www.ajol.info Internet Source	1%
Fatima-Ezzahra Farah. "On parameter estimation of fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process", Random Operators and Stochastic Equations, 2022	<1%
Fares Alazemi, Abdulaziz Alsenafi, Khalifa Es- Sebaiy. "Parameter estimation for Gaussian mean-reverting Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes of the second kind: Non-ergodic case", Stochastics and Dynamics, 2019 Publication	<1%
Rachid Belfadli, Khalifa Es-Sebaiy, Fatima- Ezzahra Farah. "Statistical analysis of the non- ergodic fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process with periodic mean", Metrika, 2022	<1%
	Kęstutis Kubilius, Yuliya Mishura, Kostiantyn Ralchenko. "Parameter Estimation in Fractional Diffusion Models", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 Publication  www.aimspress.com Internet Source  tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet Source  Fatima-Ezzahra Farah. "On parameter estimation of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process", Random Operators and Stochastic Equations, 2022 Publication  Fares Alazemi, Abdulaziz Alsenafi, Khalifa Es-Sebaiy. "Parameter estimation for Gaussian mean-reverting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes of the second kind: Non-ergodic case", Stochastics and Dynamics, 2019 Publication  Rachid Belfadli, Khalifa Es-Sebaiy, Fatima-Ezzahra Farah. "Statistical analysis of the non-ergodic fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with periodic mean", Metrika, 2022

18	par.nsf.gov Internet Source	<1%
19	Brahim El Onsy, Khalifa Es-Sebaiy, Djibril Ndiaye. "Parameter estimation for discretely observed non-ergodic fractional Ornstein– Uhlenbeck processes of the second kind", Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics, 2018 Publication	<1%
20	Salwa Bajja, Khalifa Es-Sebaiy, Lauri Viitasaari. "Least squares estimator of fractional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes with periodic mean", Journal of the Korean Statistical Society, 2017 Publication	<1%
21	ipfs.io Internet Source	<1%
22	www.vmsta.org Internet Source	<1%
23	Maoudo Faramba Balde, Rachid Belfadli, Khalifa Es-SEBAIY. "Kolmogorov bounds in the CLT of the LSE for Gaussian Ornstein Uhlenbeck processes", Stochastics and Dynamics, 2023 Publication	<1%
24	ideas.repec.org Internet Source	<1%
25	"Malliavin Calculus and Stochastic Analysis", Springer Nature, 2013	<1%
26	Chao Wei. "Least squares estimation for a class of uncertain Vasicek model and its	<1%

## application to interest rates", Statistical Papers, 2023 Publication

27	www.statisticsjournal.lt Internet Source	<1%
28	Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2002.  Publication	<1%
29	icramcs2022.sciencesconf.org	<1%
30	mathenglish.ru Internet Source	<1%
31	nozdr.ru Internet Source	<1%
32	tsp.imath.kiev.ua Internet Source	<1%
33	Khalifa Es-Sebaiy, Fares Alazemi. "New Kolmogorov bounds in the CLT for random ratios and applications", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2024	<1%
34	digitalcommons.lsu.edu Internet Source	<1%
35	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	<1%
36	Miaomiao Wang, Shunping Zheng, Xi Chen, Xuejun Wang. "Complete -moment convergence for maximal randomly weighted sums of arrays of rowwise widely orthant dependent random variables and its statistical applications ", Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 2024 Publication	<1%

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On