- 1 Prognostic utility of GATA-3 and CK-14 Immunohistochemical expression in urothelial
- 2 carcinoma of urinary Bladder and its clinicopathological correlation

3 Abstract

Background- Bladder urothelial carcinoma, is considered the 7th most common cancer in
males. Identifying reliable biomarkers like GATA3 and CK14 through immunohistochemical

6 methods can aid in early detection, risk stratification, and personalized treatment strategies

Aims &Objectives: Assessment of GATA3 and CK14 expression in urinary bladder
carcinoma and correlation with clinical and histopathological variables, for both diagnostic
and prognostic purposes.

- 10 **Methods and Materials:** This is prospective study, 80 clinically diagnosed cases of 11 urothelial carcinoma were included in one year of duration. All the cases were 12 histopathological evaluated and immunohistochemically stained with GATA binding protein 3 13 and CK14.
- **Results:** Out of 80 cases of urothelial carcinoma, the majority of patients were over 60 years 14 15 of age. GATA3 expression was negative in 33 cases (41.25%), weak in 1 case (1.25%), moderate in 18 cases (22.5%), and strong in 28 cases (35%). Immunohistochemical (IHC) 16 expression of CK14 was negative in most patients (82.5%), moderate in 6.25%, and strong in 17 8.75%. GATA3 expression showed a statistically significant correlation (P < 0.001) with high 18 19 tumor grade and muscle invasion as compared to low-grade, non-invasive tumors. CK14 expression was also significantly associated with muscle invasion, pronounced nuclear 20 pleomorphism, and high mitotic activity (>10/10 HPF). These markers can be effectively 21
- 22 used to predict tumor grade and depth of invasion in biopsy samples based on morphological
- 23 features, aiding in accurate diagnosis and appropriate clinical management.

Conclusion: Combining GATA-3 and CK-14 expression profiles can enhance understanding
 of urothelial carcinoma's histological subtype and aggressiveness, potentially guiding
 treatment and management strategies.

Key Words: Urothelial carcinoma, Histopathological examination, Immunohistochemistry
 GATA3 and CK-14.

29

- 30
- 31

32 INTRODUCTION: Bladder urothelial carcinoma ranks the tenth most frequent cancer world-33 wide overall for both genders.⁽¹⁾ urinary bladder cancer was the most frequent cancer in 34 urinary tract as about 14.2% of male's malignancies of urothelial origin according to Global 35 Cancer Observatory.⁽²⁾ Bladder cancer is a rare malignancy in the Indian population. As per 36 the GLOBOCAN 2022 database, bladder cancer is the 17th most common malignancy in India 37 about 3.1%.⁽³⁾ The 5-year prevalence appears to be 3.57 per 100000 population leading to

about 11000 deaths each year. ⁽³⁾ The incidence of bladder cancer is higher in males 38 compared to females (Relative incidence being 4:1in most urban population-based cancer 39 registries in India).⁽⁴⁾ Bladder cancer is a disease with high heterogeneity in its pathology and 40 clinical presentation. Tobacco consumption is the most important risk factor in bladder 41 42 cancer. Risk for smokers is 3-4fold higher compared to non-smokers and is estimated to cause 31% of bladder cancer deaths among men and 16% among women.^(5,6) Generally, 43 urothelial carcinoma is categorized into non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMBC) and 44 muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) according to bladder wall invasion.⁽⁷⁾While NMBC 45 generally has a low risk of distant metastasis and better out comes, MIBC is more aggressive 46 and is more likely to metastasize. MIBC usually requires intensive management, which 47 includes radical cystectomy with perioperative chemotherapy.^(8,9) According to "Bladder 48 Cancer Molecular Taxonomy Group," molecular classification of muscle-invasive bladder 49 carcinoma categorized to two main groups, luminal and basal with difference in biological 50 and histological patterns and clinical manifestation.^(10,11) It has been reported as 51 immunohistochemical antibodies are useful indicators for both luminal and basal tumors. 52 Luminal bladder carcinomas express markers of terminal differentiation as CK20, GATA3 and 53 uroplakins; whereas, basal carcinomas can express basal types cytokeratin like CK5, CK6 and 54 CK14 which act as markers of basal urothelial cells progenitor /stem cells.^(12,13) In this study 55 we aimed to use immunohistochemical markers GATA binding protein 3 (GATA-3) that has 56 high sensitivity and specificity in identifying urothelial differentiation. Compared to other 57 markers associated with urothelial cells, GATA-3 has a higher sensitivity than uroplakin III 58 and a higher specificity than p63, S100P and thrombomodulin.⁽¹⁴⁻¹⁶⁾ Thus, GATA-3 has been 59 shown to be an important indicator for distinguishing UCs from other types of 60 carcinomas.⁽¹⁷⁾ CK 14, an acidic type I keratin, is a novel immunohistochemical marker found 61 in the mitotically active basal cells of stratified epithelium. In addition, the expression of 62 CK14 indicated the presence of a highly tumorigenic population of stem cells.⁽¹⁸⁾CK14 63 immunoreactivity was found to increase in the early stages of carcinogenesis and coincide 64 with the development of malignant lesions in the urinary bladder. Their expression will be 65 66 correlated with the patient clinicopathological parameters to explore their prognostic role.

AIMS & OBJECTIVES- To study the utility of GATA-3 and CK-14 immunohistochemical
 expression in urothelial carcinoma of urinary bladder. GATA-3 and CK 14 expression in
 correlation with clinicopathological aspect of urothelial carcinoma of urinary bladder.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: It was a retrospective prospective study conducted in the 70 department of pathology for 1 year of duration. A total of 80 clinically diagnosed cases of 71 urothelial carcinoma that underwent transurethral resection or radical cystectomy were 72 included. Approval was obtained from the institutional ethical committee, and clinical data 73 were obtained from case sheets. Histologically diagnosed cases of urothelial carcinoma, 74 patients who give consent to enrol in the study and follow up. All poorly preserved slides, 75 76 retrieval or insufficient tumor tissue and patients with insufficient clinical and radiological details were excluded from the study. Tissue samples were received in our histopathology 77

78 laboratory in 10% buffered formalin and were further processed. Haematoxylin and Eosinstained slides were evaluated and reported as per the WHO/International Society of Urologic 79 Pathology (ISUP) Classification of bladder tumor 2016. Special emphasis was laid on tumor 80 type, grade, muscle invasiveness, divergent differentiation, necrosis, mitotic activity. 81 Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for GATA-3 and CK14 was performed on a 4-5 µm thick 82 section cut from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks. Staining and evaluation were done 83 using monoclonal primary antibodies for GATA-3 (Clone: L 50-823). We used Bladder 84 transitional carcinoma as a positive control for GATA-3. For negative control, primary 85 antibody was omitted while performing immunohistochemical staining. Cytokeratin 14 86 (Clone: LL002) Mouse Monoclonal Antibody with positive tissue control is Prostate. Both 87 positive and negative controls were included in every batch of Immunohistochemistry (IHC) 88 staining. A negative tissue controls provide an indication of non-specific background staining 89

Immunohistochemical staining evaluation GATA3 immunostaining interpretation nuclear 90 staining for GATA3 was graded as weak, moderate, or strong, and negative [14]. CK14 91 92 immunostaining interpretation was positive CK14 immunostaining appears as brown cytoplasmic staining. The assessment included the following: Total immunostaining score 93 (TIS) was calculated by multiplying percentage score (PS), and intensity score (IS): PS: 0 =94 no positive cells, 1 = any positive cell up to 10%, 2 = 10-50%, 3 = 51-80%, and 4 = more95 96 than 80%. IS: 0 = no colour reaction, 1 = mild intensity, 2 = moderate intensity, and 3 =strong intensity. TIS: 0-1 = negative, 2-3 = mild, 4-8 = moderate, and 9-12 = strong [15]. 97

98 Statistical Analysis: Data management and analysis were performed using Statistical 99 Package for Social Sciences (SPSS)23. Numerical data were summarized using means and 100 standard deviations or medians and ranges. Categorical data were summarized as 101 percentages. Comparisons between the 2 groups with respect to normally distributed numeric 102 variables were done. For categorical variables, differences were analysed with (Chi-square) 103 test. All p-values are two-sided. p < 0.05 were considered significant.</p>

Results- This study included 80 cases of urothelial carcinoma with majority of patients belonging to age group over 60 years. A strong male predominance was observed, with approximately 75 cases (93.75%). Among these, 47 patients (58.75%) were from rural areas and were predominantly farmers by occupation. Regarding personnel habits, 32 cases (40%) were smokers only, while 28 cases (35%) reported both smoking and tobacco chewing. Alcohol consumption was noted in 13 cases (16.25%) and exclusive tabaco use was seen in 5 cases (6.25%) (Table: 1).

The most common presenting complaint was intermittent haematuria, observed in 78 cases
(97.5%), followed by obstructive urinary symptoms in 24 cases (30 %) and burning
micturition. in 20 cases (25%)

Tumor location was most frequently in the right posterolateral wall 36 cases (45%) followed
by the left posterolateral wall, 24 cases (30%) and left lateral wall, 20 cases (25%).
Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumour (TURBT) was performed in 79 cases (98.75%),
while radical cystectomy was performed in only 1 case (1.25%). Most patients received

chemotherapy (approximately 42.50%), followed by BCG therapy (3.75%) and radiotherapy
(2.5%). Regarding Outcome, 36 patients (45.0%) had died, while 44 patients (55.0%) were
alive.

Histopathologically 66.25% of cases diagnosed as high-grade urothelial carcinoma and
33.75% were low-grade urothelial carcinoma. Regarding the depth of invasion,19 cases
(23.75%) showed lamina propria invasion, 34 cases (42.5%) had muscle invasion and 27
cases (33.75%) were non-invasive. Lymphovascular invasion (LVI) was present in 23 cases
(28.75%), perineural invasion (PNI) in 11 cases (13.75%) and necrosis in 52.50% patients .

- 126 (Figure1)
- 127 GATA3 expression was negative in 33 cases (41.25%), moderate in 18 cases (22.50%), and 128 strong in 28 cases (35.0%) of urothelial carcinoma (UC). CK-14 expression was negative in
- 129 82.5%, moderate in 6.25%, and strong in 8.75% of UC.(Table 2)
- 130 Low-grade tumors without lymphatic invasion (LVI), perineural invasion (PNI), or necrosis
- 131 were significantly associated with moderate to strong GATA3 expression. Negative and weak
- 132 GATA3 expression was observed in high grade tumors with marked nuclear pleomorphism
- and high mitotic activity (>10/10 HPF) (Figure2)
- 134 Alive patients had significantly higher GATA3 expression were detected. A strong statistical
- association was observed between GATA3 expression and histopathological parameters with
 grades, invasion, LVI, necrosis, and survival status (P-value of <0.001) (Table 3)
- 137 Muscle invasive tumors showed variable CK14 expression (weak to strong). Low grade
- Muscle invasive tumors showed variable CK14 expression (weak to strong). Low grade
 tumors with absence of lymphatic invasion (LVI), perineural invasion (PNI), and necrosis
 was associated with higher CK14 expression. (Figure 3) (Table 4)
- In deceased patients, significant CK14 expression was observed with LVI, perineural
 invasion (PNI) and necrosis with a p-values of <0.001, while other parameters such as grade,
 nuclear pleomorphism, mitosis and overall outcome did not show a statistically significant
 association.
- Comparison of GATA3 and CK14 expression with tumor grade, lamina propria invasion,
 muscle invasion, necrosis and PNI showed a statistically significant association (p-value of
 <0.001). In muscle invasive tumors there was a higher prevalence of negative and weak
 GATA3 expression and a higher prevalence of weak to strong CK14 expression.
- Among deceased patients with high-grade urothelial carcinoma (UC) showed 81.82% had negative GATA3 expression and remaining patients showed weak expression (18.18%). All deceased patients exhibited moderate CK14 expression and 57.14% showed strong CK14 expression. The comparison of GATA3 and CK14 expression with survival outcomes also demonstrated a statistically significant association (p-value of <0.001)
- 153
- Discussion- Bladder cancer can be categorized into different molecular subtypes, reflecting
 the heterogenicity of the disease. Gene expression profiling has identified at least three main

- subtypes: luminal, basal and double-negative. Luminal tumours are characterized by the high 156 expression of terminally differentiated urothelial cell markers such as GATA3, CK20 and 157 uroplakin, indicating differentiation towards umbrella cells.^(19,20) Basal subtype tumour 158 express markers like CK5/6 and CK14, typically found in mesenchymal stem cells and 159 display characteristics of squamous and sarcomatous differentiation.⁽²¹⁾ Recent studies have 160 shown that the expression of GATA3 and CK5/6 can identify molecular subtypes in 161 approximately 80-90% of cases.^(19,22) The absence of either GATA3 or CK5/6 expression is 162 linked to poorer survival, and the absence of both markers is strongly predictive of an adverse 163 164 outcome.
- 165 Miyamoto et al, first highlighted the prognostic role of GATA3 in urothelial neoplasm, 166 showing that its loss correlates with high-grade or muscle-invasive tumours, whereas strong 167 GATA3 expression was independently associated with poor prognosis. ⁽²³⁾ Our study also 168 found a statistically significant correlation (P < 0.001) between histological grade and 169 GATA3 expression. Notably, patients with high grade or strong GATA3 expression showed 170 better survival outcomes.
- In our study, 53 (66.25%), had a high-grade tumour, and 27 (33.75%) had a low-grade tumour, consistent with meta-analysis done by Lin et al. (2019) who found that squamous differentiation in UC was associated with high grade features and advanced stages pT3/T4.⁽²⁴⁾
- GATA3 expression in our study was absent in 33 patients (41.25%), weak in 1 (1.25%), moderate in 18 (22.5%), and strong in 28 (35%). Elzohery et al. (2021) similarly reported that 70% of UC cases lacked GATA3 expression, while 30% were positive. ⁽²⁵⁾ Muscle-invasive tumours in our study showed weak GATA3 expression, while non-invasive and laminainvasive tumours typically exhibited moderate to strong expression. These findings are consistent with those of Miyamoto et al., reinforcing that GATA3 loss is associated with muscle-invasive disease.⁽²⁶⁾
- Additionally, weak or absent GATA3 expression was significantly associated with adverse histopathological features, including marked nuclear pleomorphism (P = 0.002), high mitotic activity (>10/10 HPF; P < 0.001), necrosis (P = 0.019).
- CK14 expression, assessed through immunohistochemistry, showed weak to strong positivity 184 in muscle-invasive tumours. In deceased patients, CK14 expression ranged from weak to 185 strong. Elzohery et al. (2021) reported significant associations between histological subtype 186 187 and CK14 expression (P < 0.001), with positive staining in 64.3% of UC with squamous differentiation, 100% of pure squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and none of the UC cases 188 without squamous features. CK14 was 100% sensitive for SCC and 64.3% sensitive and 189 100% specific for UC with squamous differentiation. Gulmann et al. (2013) similarly 190 191 reported CK14 expression in 100% of SCC, 74% of invasive UC with squamous features, and 27% of pure UC. ⁽²⁷⁾ 192

- In our study, CK14 expression significantly correlated with tumour stage (P = 0.001), consistent with Jangir et al. (2019), who found that advanced-stage, muscle-invasive bladder cancers expressing basal markers CK14 and CK5/6 often exhibited squamous differentiation and shorter survival. ⁽²⁸⁾
- In summary, high-grade malignancy in bladder cancer is frequently associated with GATA3
 loss. Numerous studies, including ours, suggest that GATA3 is a valuable prognostic
 biomarker for muscle invasive bladder carcinoma. ^(29,30)
- Conclusion- This study highlights the diagnostic and prognostic significance of GATA3 and 200 CK14 immunohistochemical markers in urothelial carcinoma. GATA3 expression was 201 significantly associated with lower tumour grade, non-invasiveness, and improved survival 202 outcomes, making it a valuable marker for favourable prognosis. In contrast, CK14 203 204 expression correlated with high-grade. muscle-invasive tumours and adverse histopathological features such as LVI, PNI, necrosis, and high mitotic activity. The inverse 205 relationship between GATA3 and CK14 expression underscores their potential utility in 206 tumour subtyping. Incorporating these markers into routine histopathological assessment can 207 improve the accuracy of tumour grading and staging, particularly in limited biopsy samples, 208 and guide more effective treatment strategies. 209

210 References-

218

- Mukherjee D, Dey S, Chatterjee S, Mondal M, Singh D, Sinha MG. Expression of GATA3, p63, E-cadherin and Her2Neu immunohistochemical stains in urothelial carcinoma and their relationship with histological grading and prognosis - a crosssectional study. Int J Med Sci Res. 2024;18(4):13-8.
- Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Laversanne M, Colombet M, Mery L, et al. Global cancer
 observatory: cancer today. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on
 Cancer; 2024.
 - 3. International Agency for Research on Cancer. India fact sheets [Internet]. 2024
- 4. National Centre for Disease Informatics and Research. Three Year Report of
 Population Based Cancer Registries: 2012-2014. Incidence, distribution, trends in
 incidence rates and projections of burden of cancer. Bengaluru: NCDIR-NCRP
 ICMR; 2016.
- 5. Bourke L, Bauld L, Bullen C, et al. E-cigarettes and urologic health: a collaborative review of toxicology, epidemiology, and potential risks. BJU Int. 2017; 71:915-23.
- 6. Wu X, Ros MM, Gu J, Kiemeney L. Epidemiology and genetic susceptibility to
 bladder cancer. BJU Int. 2008; 102:1207-15.
- 7. McConkey DJ, Choi W. Molecular subtypes of bladder cancer. Curr Oncol Rep.
 2018;20(8):77.
- 8. Gakis G. Management of muscle-invasive bladder cancer in the 2020s: challenges and perspectives. Eur Urol Focus. 2020;6(4):632-8.

231	9.	Flaig TW, Spiess PE, Agarwal N, et al. Bladder cancer, version 3.2020, NCCN
าวา		
232		clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2020;18(3):329-
233		54.
234	10.	Robertson AG, Kim J, Al-Ahmadie H, Bellmunt J, Guo G, Cherniack AD, et al.
235		Comprehensive molecular characterization of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Cell.
236		2017;171(3):540-56. e25.
237	11.	Kamoun A, De Reyniès A, Allory Y, Sjödahl G, Robertson AG, Seiler R, et al. A
238		consensus molecular classification of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Eur Urol.
239		2020;77(4):420-33.
240	12.	Sanguedolce F, Zanelli M, Palicelli A, Ascani S, Zizzo M, Cocco G, et al. Are we
241		ready to implement molecular subtyping of bladder cancer in clinical practice? Part 2:
242		subtypes and divergent differentiation. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(13):7844.
243	13.	Sanguedolce F, Zanelli M, Palicelli A, Ascani S, Zizzo M, Cocco G, et al. Are we
244		ready to implement molecular subtyping of bladder cancer in clinical practice? Part 1:
245		general issues and marker expression. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(13):7819.
246	14.	Ko LJ, Yamamoto M, Leonard MW, et al. Murine and human T-lymphocyte GATA-3
247		factors mediate transcription through a cis-regulatory element within the human T-cell
248		receptor delta gene enhancer. Mol Cell Biol. 1991;11(6):2778-84.
249	15.	Asselin-Labat ML, Sutherland KD, Barker H, et al. Gata-3 is an essential regulator of
250		mammary-gland morphogenesis and luminal-cell differentiation. Nat Cell Biol.
251		2007;9(2):201-9.
252	16.	Grote D. Souabni A. Busslinger M. et al. Pax 2/8-regulated Gata3 expression is
253		necessary for morphogenesis and guidance of the nephric duct in the developing
254		kidney. Development. 2006:133(1):53-61.
255	17.	Pons F. Orsola A. Morote J. Bellmunt J. Variant forms of bladder cancer: basic
256		considerations on treatment approaches. Curr Oncol Rep. 2011:13(3):216-21.
257	18.	Volkmer JP. Sahoo D. Chin RK. Ho PL. Tang C. Kurtova AV. et al. Three
258		differentiation states risk-stratify bladder cancer into distinct subtypes. Proc Natl
259		Acad Sci U S A. 2012:109(6):2078-83.
260	19.	Guo CC, Bondaruk J, Yao H, Wang Z, Zhang L, Lee S, et al. Assessment of luminal
261		and basal phenotypes in bladder cancer. Sci Rep. 2020:10(1):9743.
262	20	Damrauer IS Hoadley KA Chism DD Fan C. Tiganelli CI Wobker SE et al.
263	20.	Intrinsic subtypes of high-grade bladder cancer reflect the hallmarks of breast cancer
264		biology Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014.111(8):3110-5
265	21	Blaveri F. Brewer II. Roydasgupta R. Fridlyand I. DeVries S. Koppie T. et al.
265	21.	Bladder cancer stage and outcome by array-based comparative genomic hybridization
267		Clin Cancer Res 2005:11(19 Pt 1):7012-22
268	22	Dadhania V Zhang M Zhang L Bondaruk I Majewski T Sjefker-Radtke A et al
269		Meta-analysis of the luminal and basal subtypes of bladder cancer and the
200		identification of signature immunohistochemical markers for clinical use
271		FBioMedicine 2016: 12:105-17
271	22	Liu H Shi I Wilkerson MI Immunohistochemical evaluation of GATA3 expression
272	23.	in tumors and normal tissues: a useful immunomarker for breast and urothelial
273		carcinomas Am I Clin Pathol 2012.138(1).57-64
2/7		Caremonius, 7 mi 5 Chi 1 autor. 2012,130(1).57 OT.

Ι

- 275 24. Lin X, Deng T, Wu S, Lin SX, Wang D, Wu CL. The clinicopathological
 276 characteristics and prognostic value of squamous differentiation in patients with
 277 bladder urothelial carcinoma: a meta-analysis. World J Urol. 2020;38(2):323-33.
- 278 25. Elzohery N, Ismael NS, Khairy RA, Soliman SAM. Expression of GATA3 and
 279 Cytokeratin 14 in urinary bladder carcinoma (histopathological and
 280 immunohistochemical study). Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2021;9(A):858-64.
- 281 26. Miyamoto H, Izumi K, Yao JL, Li Y, Yang Q, McMahon LA, et al. GATA binding
 282 protein 3 is downregulated in bladder cancer yet strong expression is an independent
 283 predictor of poor prognosis in invasive tumor. Hum Pathol. 2012;43(12):2033-40.
- 284 27. Gulmann C, Paner GP, Parakh RS, Hansel DE, Shen SS, Ro JY, et al.
 285 Immunohistochemical profile to distinguish urothelial from squamous differentiation
 286 in carcinomas of urothelial tract. Hum Pathol. 2013;44(2):164-72.
- 287 28. Jangir H, Nambirajan A, Ranjit AS, Sahoo K, Dinda AK, Nayak B, et al. Prognostic
 288 stratification of muscle invasive urothelial carcinomas using limited
 289 immunohistochemical panel of GATA3 and cytokeratins 5/6, 14 and 20. Ann Diagn
 290 Pathol. 2019; 43:151397.
- 29. Kamel NA, Abdelzaher E, Elgebaly O, Ibrahim SA. Reduced expression of GATA3
 predicts progression in non-muscle invasive urothelial carcinoma of the urinary
 bladder. J Histotechnol. 2020;43(1):21-8.
- 30. Wang CC, Tsai YC, Jeng YM. Biological significance of GATA3, cytokeratin 20,
 cytokeratin 5/6 and p53 expression in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. PLoS One.
 2019;14(8): e02217
- 297 298

299 FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig1: Histopathology of urothelial carcinoma A. Low grade (H&E Stain,100X) B. High
grade(H&E Stain,400X) C.Mucle Invasive (H&E Stain,400X)

- 302 Fig2: Immunohistochemical expression of GATA3 in Urothelial Carcinoma A. Strong
- expression in low grade B. Moderate expression in high grade C. weak expression in high grade(IHCStain,100X)
- Fig3: Immunohistochemical expression of CK-14 in Urothelial Carcinoma A. Negative
 expression in low grade B. Moderate expression in high grade C. Strong expression in high grade(IHC
 Stain,100X)

308

309 FIGURES

Fig1: Histopathology of urothelial carcinoma A. Low grade (H&E Stain,100X) B. High
grade(H&E Stain,400X) C.Mucle Invasive (H&E Stain,400X)



313

314

- Figure 2: Immunohistochemical expression of GATA3 in Urothelial Carcinoma A. Strong
- 316 expression in low grade B. Moderate expression in high grade C. weak expression in high grade(IHC
- 317 Stain,100X)





I

326

327

Figure 3: Immunohistochemical expression of CK-14 in Urothelial Carcinoma A. Negative
 expression in low grade B. Moderate expression in high grade C. Strong expression in high grade(IHC
 Stain,100X)



331

332 TABLES:

333 Table 1: Demographic baseline characters of patients

	Demographic Profile	Number(N)	%
Age	21-40 years	2	2.50
	41-60 years	25	31.25
	>60 years	53	66.25
Gender	Male	75	93.75
	Female	5	6.25
Personal habits	Smoking and tobacco	28	35.00
(addiction)	Smoking only	32	40.00
	Tobacco only	5	6.25
	Alcohol	13	16.25
Clinical Features	Obstructive symptoms	24	30.00
	Intermittent hematuria	78	97.50
	Burning micturition	20	25.00
	Pain abdomen	19	23.75

334

335 Table 2: Distribution of patients with Histopathology & Immunohistochemistry

336 (GATA3 and CK-14 Expression)

	Grade	Percentage (%) ⁵⁷	
Urothelial	Low	27	33.75 338
carcinoma	High	53	66.25
	Intensity		339
IHC (GATA 3)	Negative	33	41.25
	Weak	1	1.25 340
	Moderate	18	22.50
	Strong	28	35.00 341
IHC (CK14)	Negative	66	82.50
	Weak	2	2.50 342
	Moderate	5	6.25
	Strong	7	8.75 343

Table 3: Comparison of GATA3 expression with histopathological parameters

		Negative (n=33)		Weak (n=1)		Moderate (n=18)		Strong (n=28)		Chi Sq.	p-Value
Grade	Low	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	50.00	18	64.29	31.12	< 0.001
	High	33	100.00	1	100.00	9	50.00	10	35.71		
Invasion	Lamina	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	50.00	10	35.71	81.60	< 0.001
	Muscle	33	100.00	1	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00		
	Noninvasive	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	50.00	18	64.29		
Nuclear	Weak	0	0.00	0	0.00	8	44.44	18	64.29	69.18	< 0.001
pleomorphism	Moderate	1	3.03	0	0.00	8	44.44	8	28.57		
	Marked	32	96.97	1	100.00	1	5.56	1	3.57		
Mitosis	Score 1	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	50.00	18	64.29	69.83	< 0.001
	Score 2	1	3.03	0	0.00	8	44.44	9	32.14		
	Score 3	32	96.97	1	100.00	1	5.56	1	3.57		
LVI	Present	21	63.64	0	0.00	1	5.56	1	3.57	33.40	< 0.001
	Absent	12	36.36	1	100.00	17	94.44	27	96.43		
PNI	Present	11	33.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	18.16	< 0.001
	Absent	22	66.67	1	100.00	18	100.00	28	100.00		
Necrosis	Present	32	96.97	1	100.00	2	11.11	7	25.00	47.93	< 0.001
	Absent	1	3.03	0	0.00	16	88.89	21	75.00		
Outcome	Alive	5	15.15	1	100.00	15	83.33	23	82.14	33.63	< 0.001
	Dead	27	81.82	0	0.00	3	16.67	6	21.43		
346					•	•	•		-	•	

347

348

349Table 4: Comparison of IHC (CK 14) expression with histopathological parameters

		Negative (n=66)		Weak (n=2)		Moderate (n=5)		Strong (n=7)		Chi Sq.Test	p-Value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Grade	Low	27	40.91	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	8.65	0.034
	High	39	59.09	2	100.00	5	100.00	7	100.00		
Invasion	Lamina	19	28.79	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	22.96	0.001
	Muscle	20	30.30	2	100.00	5	100.00	7	100.00		

	Noninvasive	27	40.91	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00		
Nuclear	Mild	26	39.39	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	20.23	0.003
pleomorphism	Moderate	14	21.21	2	100.00	0	0.00	1	14.29		
	Marked	24	36.36	0	0.00	5	100.00	6	85.71		
Mitosis	Score1	27	40.91	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	19.18	0.004
	Score2	17	25.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	14.29		
	Score3	21	31.82	2	100.00	5	100.00	6	85.71		
LVI	Present	14	21.21	1	50.00	4	80.00	4	57.14	11.44	0.010
	Absent	52	78.79	1	50.00	1	20.00	3	42.86		
PNI	Present	3	4.55	1	50.00	5	100.00	2	28.57	46.95	< 0.001
	Absent	63	95.45	1	50.00	0	0.00	5	71.43		
Necrosis	Present	29	43.94	2	100.00	5	100.00	6	85.71	11.37	0.010
	Absent	37	56.06	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	14.29		
Outcome	Alive	40	60.61	1	50.00	0	0.00	3	42.86	7.39	0.061
	Dead	26	39.39	1	50.00	5	100.00	4	57.14		