- 1 Analysis Of Etiological Factors And Maternal Outcome In Various
- 2 Malpresentations: A Retrospective Study

3 ABSTRACT

- 4 BACKGROUND Any presentation other than vertex is termed a
- 5 malpresentation and includes brow, face, breech, shoulder and
- 6 compound presentations. Malpresentations usually ends increasing
- 7 operative delivery, leading to increased adverse outcome for mother
- 8 and baby. Early diagnosis and management can prevent
- 9 complications.
- 10 OBJECTIVES- to find out the etiological factors and the impact of
- 11 malpresentation on maternal outcome among women delivering at a
- 12 a tertiary care centre
- 13 METHODS This is a retrospective study conducted in the department
- 14 of Obsteterics and Gynecology at Basaveshwara teaching and general
- 15 hospital and sangameshwar teaching hospital, Kalaburagi over a
- ¹⁶ period of 12 months from 1/10/2023 to 31/9/2024. A total of 140
- 17 pregnant women among 2869 total delivered women were included
- 18 in this study.
- 19 RESULTS Among the 140 women analyzed among the total 2869
- 20 deliveries between oct2023-sept2024, breech presentation was the
- commonest malpresentation (4.49%) followed by transverse lie
- 0.24% and face presentation 0.13%, most common in the age group
- ²³ between 20-29 years(53.57%). The commonest etiological factor for
- breech presentation in this study was prematurity (30.2%), for
- transverse lie and face presentation it was multiparity (71.4% and
- ²⁶ 75% respectively). The mode of delivery for all the malpresentations
- was mostly Lower Segment Caesarean section.
- 28 CONCLUSION Management of Malpresentation is a continuing
- 29 challenge to the obstetrician. Education about diagnosis of
- 30 malpresentation and identification of aetiological factors should be

- imparted to health care personnel to enable early referral to tertiary
- 32 centres for specialist services where the delivery can be planned,
- 33 centres which have expertise in conducting vaginal delivery in
- ³⁴ malpresentations with good intrapartum monitoring and with
- ³⁵ facilities for caesarean section for better fetomaternal outcome
- 36 KEYWORDS- Malpresentation, breech, transverse lie, face
- 37 presentation

38 Introduction

- 39 Any presentation other than vertex is termed a malpresentation and
- 40 includes brow, face, breech, shoulder and compound presentations
- 41 (1). Many studies were conducted to find the cause of
- 42 malpresentation and its maternal / fetal outcome focused on gravida,
- 43 malpresentation, and association with their route of
- 44 delivery. Malpresentations usually ends increasing operative
- delivery, leading to increased adverse outcome for mother and baby
- 46 (2). Maternal complications include prelabour rupture of
- 47 membranes, cord prolapse, increased risk of instrumental delivery,
- 48 caesarean section, obstructed labor, and rupture uterus, increased
- 49 morbidity and mortality. Fetal complications are low 5-minute Apgar
- score, meconium aspiration, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, birth
- trauma(1). Breech is the most common form of malpresentation
- ⁵² occurring in 3–4% of all women at the onset of labour at term. The
- ⁵³ incidence of face presentation which was around 1 in 600 births in
- the 1950s has shown a reduction over time to about 1 in 1000. Brow
- occurs in 0.14% deliveries. Transverse lie of the fetus occurs in
- ⁵⁶ approximately 0.12% deliveries(3). Compound presentation has an
- ⁵⁷ incidence of approximately 1 in 1000 (4).
- 58 Early diagnosis and management can prevent complications of
- ⁵⁹ prolonged labor like bleeding, infection and long term issues of pelvic
- ⁶⁰ floor damage, especially with prolonged 2nd stage. Hence this study

- ⁶¹ was done to find out the etiological factors and the impact of
- ⁶² malpresentation on maternal outcome.

63 Materials And Methods

- ⁶⁴ This is a retrospective study conducted in the department of
- ⁶⁵ Obsteterics and Gynecology at Basaveshwara teaching and general
- 66 hospital and Sangameshwar teaching hospital, Kalaburagi over a
- ⁶⁷ period of 12 months from 1/10/2023 to 31/9/2024. A total of 140
- 68 pregnant women among 2869 total delivered women were included
- ⁶⁹ in this study with a gestational age >24 weeks with malpresentation
- 70 were included in this study. Exclusion criteria included <24 weeks
- 71 gestational age and incomplete records. Data was collected from the
- ⁷² hospital records and included patient demographics, obstetric
- ⁷³ history, gestational age, type of malpresentation, etiological factors,
- 74 delivery mode, birth weight and apgar scores.
- 75 Data was analysed using the statistical package for the social
- ⁷⁶ sciences(SPSS) version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive
- ⁷⁷ statistics were used to calculate frequencies and percentages.

78 **Results**

- ⁷⁹ The study included 140 women (n=140) with malpresentation, 11
- ⁸⁰ patients presented with intrauterine fetal demise. The data analysis
- 81 yielded the following findings :

82 Age Distribution

- ⁸³ Most patients (53.57%) belonged to the age group 20-29 yrs,
- followed by <20 years(32.14%) and >30 years (14.28%)

AGE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
<20 years	45	32.14%
20-29years	75	53.57%
>30years	20	14.28%

86 Distribution According To Gestational Age

- 87 Most commonly the patients belonged to the gestational age
- 88 <37weeks (55.71%) indicating prematurity as one of the most</p>
- ⁸⁹ common causes of malpresentation.

GESTATIONAL AGE	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
<37 weeks	78	55.71%
37-40 weeks	57	40.71%
>42weeks	05	3.57%

90

91 Distribution According To Type Of Malpresentation

- 92 Among the total 2869 deliveries, breech was the commonest
- ⁹³ malpresentation accounting to 4.49% followed by transverse lie
- 94 0.24% and face presentation 0.13%.

ТҮРЕ	NUMBER(n=2869)	PERCENTAGE
BREECH	129	4.49%
TRANSVERSE LIE	07	0.24%
FACE PRESENTATION	04	0.13%

95

96 Parity Distribution

97 Breech presentation was the commonest malpresentation, being the

⁹⁸ highest (43.41%) in primigravidas followed by multigravidas(>=G3).

⁹⁹ Transverse lie was more common in G2 (57.14%). Face presentation

100 was more common in >=G3.

	PRIMIGRAVIDA	G2	>=G3
BREECH	56(43.41%)	28(21.7%)	47(36.43%)
TRANSVERSE	00	04(57.14%)	03(42.85%)
FACE	01(33.33%)	00	02(66.67%)

according to parity



102

- 103
- 104 According To Type Of Breech
- ¹⁰⁵ In this study, frank and complete breech were found in equal
- 106 numbers.(43.4%)

	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
FRANK	56	43.4%
COMPLETE	56	43.4%
FOOTLING	17	13.2%

107

108

according to type of breech 129 responses



110

111 According To The Mode Of Delivery

- 112 The mode of delivery for all the malpresentations was mostly Lower
- ¹¹³ Segment Caesarean section (breech 79.06%, transverse- 85.71%,
- ¹¹⁴ Face -75%). One patient with transverse who was extremely preterm
- and presented with Intrauterine Fetal demise delivered vaginally by
- ¹¹⁶ breech presentation.

	BREECH	TRANVERSE	FACE
LSCS	102(79.06%)	6(85.71%)	3(75%)
VAGINAL DELIVERY	27(20.93%)	1(14.28%)	1(25%)

117

mode of delivery



118

- 120
- 121 Analysis Of Etiological Factors In Breech Presentation
- 122 The commonest etiological factor for breech presentation in this
- study was prematurity (30.2%), followed by multiparity
- (16.3%), oligohydramnios (16.3%) and twins (12.4%). Polyhydramnios,
- 125 IUGR, contracted pelvis, uterine anomaly (bicornuate uterus), cord
- around neck were among the other causes.

	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
PREMATURITY	39	30.2%
TWINS	16	12.4%
IUGR	06	4.7%
MULTIPARITY	21	16.3%
OLIGOHYDRAMNIOS	21	16.3%
UTERINE ANOMALY	04	3.1%
CONTRACTED PELVIS	09	7%
POLYHYDRAMNIOS	04	3.1%
PLACENTA PREVIA	01	0.8%
CORD AROUND	08	6.2%
NECK		



Analysis Of Etiological Factors In Transverse Lie

- The commonest etiological factor for transverse lie in the present
- study was multiparity (71.4%). Preterm and placenta previa were
- among the other causes.

	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
MULTIPARITY	05	71.4%
PRETERM	01	14.3%
PLACENTA PREVIA	01	14.3%

analysis of etiological factors transverse lie 7 responses



137

138 Analysis Of Etiological Factors In Face Presentation

- 139 The commonest etiological factor for face presentation was
- 140 multiparity (75%) followed by preterm(25%).

MULTIPARITY 03 75%	
PRETERM 01 25%	

141



145 **Discussion**

- 146 Malpresentation poses unique challenges in obstetric care due to its
- associated risks for both the mother and fetus. This retrospective
- study analyzed 140 cases at a tertiary care center to assess the
- 149 etiological factors and maternal outcomes linked to
- 150 malpresentations, with an emphasis on comparing findings with
- 151 those of other published studies.
- Among the 140 women analyzed among the total 2869 deliveries
- 153 between oct2023-sept2024, breech presentation was the
- 154 commonest malpresentation (4.49%) followed by transverse lie
- 155 0.24% and face presentation 0.13%, most common in the age group
- 156 between 20-29 years(53.57%). The incidence of breech was higher in
- 157 this study due to inclusion of preterm births. These findings align
- with Indian studies by Anjali et al(5). Most commonly the patients
- ¹⁵⁹ belonged to the gestational age <37weeks (55.71%) indicating
- prematurity as one of the most common causes of malpresentation.
- ¹⁶¹ In the present study, 40.71% occurred in primigravidae. Anjali et al
- showed 45.38% of the cases were in primigravidae(5) and
- ¹⁶³ Vijayalakshmi et al reported 75% of the cases were in multipara(6).
- 164 11 cases among 140 presented with intrauterine fetal demise
- 165 The commonest etiological factor for breech presentation in this
- study was prematurity (30.2%), followed by multiparity
- (16.3%), oligohydramnios (16.3%) and twins (12.4%). Polyhydramnios,
- 168 IUGR, contracted pelvis, uterine anomaly (bicornuate uterus), cord
- around neck were among the other causes. Prematurity (28.48%) was
- reported as the commonest cause of breech in the study by Bhati RS,
- 171 Choudhary SI.(7)
- 172 The commonest etiological factor for transverse lie in the present
- study was multiparity (71.4%). 90.81% of transverse lie cases
- occurred in multiparous women in the study by S.Shruti et al.(1)

- 175 The commonest etiological factor for face presentation was
- 176 multiparity (75%) followed by preterm(25%). Zayed et al, showed
- 177 65.8% incidence of multiparity in face presentation(8).
- 178 Cesarean section was the chosen delivery mode in 79.28% of cases.
- 179 This reflects both the global trend toward cesarean section for
- 180 malpresentations. Other Indian studies have reported cesarean rates
- as high as 84.2% for malpresentations(2).
- 182 These findings underscore the need for individualized care and
- institution-specific protocols. While caesarean remains the preferred
- ¹⁸⁴ option for malpresentations in most centres, training in vaginal
- 185 breech delivery, where feasible, may offer a safe alternative in
- 186 selected cases

187 Conclusion

- Management of Malpresentation is a continuing challenge to the
 obstetrician. Education about diagnosis of malpresentation and
- ¹⁹⁰ identification of aetiological factors should be imparted to health
- ¹⁹¹ care personnel to enable early referral to tertiary centres for
- ¹⁹² specialist services where the delivery can be planned, centres which
- have expertise in conducting vaginal delivery in malpresentations
 with good intrapartum monitoring and with facilities for caesarean
- 195 section for better fetomaternal outcome.

196 **References**

- Shruthi S, Apollo AA. Etiological factors and maternal outcome
 in pregnancies with malpresentation: an observational study.
 Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2020;9:xxx-xx.
- 200 2. Maskey S, Dwa Y. Predisposing Factors and Outcome of 201 Malpresentations in an Institute. JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc.
- 202 2018 Mar-Apr;56(211):674-677. PMID: 30381763; PMCID:
- 203 PMC8997271.

3. Munro Kerr's operative obstetrics. 11th edn. Elsevier Chapter 9, 204 14 205 4. Editors. In: Cunningham F, Leveno KJ, Dashe JS, Hoffman BL, 206 Spong CY, Casey BM. eds. Williams Obstetrics, 26e. McGraw Hill; 207 2022. Accessed May 25, 208 2025. https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bo 209 okid=2977§ionid=263812626 210 5. Srivastava A, Srivastava M, Preeti KM. Malpresentation 211 incidence and causes. J. Evolution Med. Dent. Sci. 212 2018;7(02):246-248, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2018/55 213 6. Vijavalakshmi B, Purra P. A clinical stdy of outcome of labour in 214 transverse lie. JEBMH 2015;2(34):5232-9. 215 7. Rana S, Bhati I, Choudhary S. A study of breech presentation 216 and maternal and perinatal outcome in tertiary care hospital of 217 Western Rajasthan. J Evid Based Med Healthc. 2018;5(20):1577-218 82 219 8. Zayed F, Amarin Z. Face and brow presentation in Northern 220 Jordan, over a decade of experience. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 221 2008;278:427-30. 222