

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-52049

Date: 02/05/2025

Title: Recurrent Spontaneous Subdural Hematoma in a Patient with Severe Hemophilia A: A Case Report and Clinical Reflections from a Resource-Limited Setting

Recommendation:

- ✓ Accept as it is
- Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 03/05/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

This case report effectively underscores the importance of early recognition and management of intracranial hemorrhages in hemophilia patients, especially in resource-constrained environments. It advocates for structured hemophilia care, accessible prophylaxis, and individualized treatment protocols. While it succeeds in illustrating a successful conservative approach, further studies with larger cohorts and long-term follow-up are essential to validate these strategies. The paper highlights the vital role of resource-sensitive healthcare models in improving outcomes for hemophilia patients worldwide.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths

- **Clinical Relevance:** The paper highlights a rare but critical complication (recurrent spontaneous SDH) in patients with severe Hemophilia A, emphasizing the importance of vigilance and prompt management.
- **Resource-Limited Context:** It provides valuable insights into managing complex hemorrhagic events in settings with limited healthcare infrastructure and financial constraints, which is highly relevant for many developing countries.
- **Conservative Management Approach:** Successfully managing the patient with factor VIII concentrates and neurocritical care without surgical intervention demonstrates effective use of available resources.
- **Comprehensive Literature Context:** The case is well-supported by literature, referencing international guidelines, and emphasizes the importance of early diagnosis, treatment, and structured care.

Weaknesses

- **Limited Detail on Long-term Outcomes:** The report primarily focuses on immediate stabilization and radiological improvement; long-term neurological and functional outcomes are not discussed.
- **Lack of Quantitative Data:** There is minimal discussion on specific factor levels during treatment, timing, and dosing details, which would enhance reproducibility.
- **Absence of Comparative Analysis:** The paper does not compare conservative management with surgical options or provide data on broader patient populations, limiting generalizability.
- **Potential Bias:** As a single-case report, the findings may not be generalizable to all patients with similar conditions, especially given resource variability.