# STUDY OF CORRELATION OF SMOKING IN CAUSATION, SEVERITY AND PROGNOSIS OF ACUTE PANCREATITIS

4

# 5 ABSTRACT

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is a common and potentially severe 6 inflammatory condition of the pancreas. While gallstones and alcohol 7 use are recognized risk factors, emerging evidence suggests that 8 cigarette smoking may also play a significant role in its pathogenesis. 9 This study explores the correlation between smoking and the 10 development and progression of acute pancreatitis. Findings indicate 11 that smoking is associated with an increased risk and greater severity 12 of AP, independent of other contributing factors. These insights 13 underscore the need to consider smoking as a modifiable risk factor in 14 both the prevention and clinical management of acute pancreatitis. 15

16 Objective: This study is done to:

17 1.calculate the APACHE II score for acute pancreatitis for disease
18 severity at the time of admission and to calculate ransons score for the
19 prognosis of the patient

- 20 2.determine type of smoker(former,current,non smoker)
- a)former smoker-person who has quit smoking 6 or more months back
- b)current smoker-person who is smoking in the present
- c)non smoker- person who has not smoked ever in his/her life

3.find out the relationship, if any, between the type of smoking andthe severity of acute pancreatitis

Methods: This hospital based retrospective open case study includes patients admitted to a tertiary care hospital at Navi Mumbai from

September 2024 to April 2025. Due clearance was taken for the study 28 from the ethics committee of the medical college. The patients 29 admitted from OPD or emergency room were explained about their 30 involvement in the study and due consent was taken. The on-call 31 surgery team did the initial assessment and resuscitation. Patients 32 were then shifted to wards or surgical ICU based on their condition on 33 admission. A complete blood profile and radiological assessments 34 were done. Patients' progress was monitored from the time of 35 admission till discharge. The APACHE II scoring system was used to 36 determine the severity of acute pancreatitis along with CT findings. 37 (Balthazar CT severity score). Ranson's score was used at the time of 38 admission and then 48 hours later to see the prognosis of the disease. 39 A detailed questionnaire was made to determine the type of 40 smoker(current/former/nonsmoker). A correlation was made to 41 establish between the severity of acute pancreatitis and their smoking 42 status. 43

Results: In our study of 50 patients, 28 patients were current smokers,17 patients were former smokers and 5 patients were nonsmokers. APACHE II score was calculated at the time of admission and in 18 patients CECT(A+P) was done, for which Balthazar CT severity scoring was done to assess the severity of acute pancreatitis. To determine the prognosis of the disease, the Ransons scoring system was calculated at the time of admission as well as 48 hours later.w

In our study, the APACHE II scoring system showed higher severity for age, but not higher severity for smoking type indicating no correlation between smoking and severity of acute pancreatitis and prognosis.

Conclusion: This study underscores the importance of early diagnosis,
 conservative management, and accounting for confounders in acute
 pancreatitis, with most patients achieving good outcomes.

## 59 **INTRODUCTION**

# 60 MATERIALS AND METHODS

61 Study Design –

This retrospective study was conducted in our tertiary care hospital over a period of 8 months from the month of September 2024 to the month of April 2025.

65 Study Population

66 All patients who presented to the surgical outpatient 67 department and emergency room with acute abdomen which was later 68 diagnosed as acute pancreatitis. Male and female patients were 69 included in this study. Age restrictions were not imposed.

70 Data Collection

The medical records of the eligible patients were reviewed, and data were extracted using a standardized collection form. The following parameters were collected.

Demographics: Age, sex, residence (rural/urban), personal
 history including diet and addictions, previous similar complaints

Clinical presentation: Chief complaints, duration of symptoms,and physical examination findings

78 3. Diagnostic investigations: Ultrasonography, Blood samples,
79 chest, CT SCAN of abdomen and pelvis

4. Management details: Initial Resuscitation, Conservative
measures, medical therapy, physiotherapy & rehabilitation

82 5. Follow-up outcomes: Symptom resolution, recurrence,83 complications, and patient satisfaction

## 85 Statistical Analysis

The collected data were coded, entered, and analyzed using the appropriate statistical software. Descriptive statistics were used to determine and analyze types of smokers and their relationship to prognosis and severity in patients with acute pancreatitis. Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies and percentages, while continuous variables are presented as means with standard deviations or medians with interquartile ranges based on the distribution pattern..

93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	
100	
101	RESULTS
102	Demographic Characteristics
103 104	• A total of 50 patients with acute pancreatitis were analysed during the 8 month study period. Among these there was strong

104during the 8 month study period. Among these there was strong105male predominance (94% male patients) Age wise largest age106groups being <30 (26%) and 30-40 (24%), Very few patients >60107years old (24%) with strong male predominance (94% male108patients), largest age groups being <30 (26%) and 30-40 (24%).</td>



110 f-Female

•

109

# 111 m-Male

	f	m	All
<30	0	13	13
30-40	2	10	12
40-50	0	9	9
50-60	0	4	4
60-70	1	9	10
>70	0	2	2
All	3	47	50

112



- 114 Here are some key observations from the graph:
- 115 1. **Current Smokers**: The age group of 21-30 has the highest 116 frequency of current smokers, indicating that this demographic 117 is more likely to smoke compared to other age groups. The 118 frequency decreases in older age groups.
- Former Smokers: The 21-30 age group also shows a notable
   number of former smokers, suggesting that many individuals in
   this age range may have quit smoking. The frequency of former
   smokers decreases significantly in older age groups.
- Non-Smokers: The non-smoking category shows a relatively
   consistent frequency across age groups, with a slight increase in
   the younger age brackets. This could indicate a trend of reduced
   smoking initiation among younger individuals.
- 127 Clinical Presentation
- The most common presenting complaint was acute pain in abdomen radiating to back, reported by 45 patients (90%), followed by vomiting/nausea in 40 patients (80%) and fever in 25 patients (50%) Some patients present with multiple symptoms. The duration of symptoms ranges from a couple of hours to couple of weeks.
- 133

# 134 Table 2: Clinical Presentation of acute pancreatitis

Clinical Feature	Number of Cases	Percentage (%)
Epigastric pain	45	90
Vomiting / nausea	40	80
fever	25	50

135

# 136 APASCHE II scoring and age



Strong positive correlation between age and APACHE II
 scores (r=0.83, p<0.001), indicating disease severity tends to</li>
 increase with age

137

142 APASCHE II scoring and smoking



144 **The analysis shows:** 

- Former smokers have the highest mean APACHE II score
   (7.18, CI: 5.39-8.96)
- Current smokers follow (6.15, CI: 5.10-7.20)
- Non-smokers have the lowest score (5.33, CI: 1.60-9.07)
- 149

# 150 Diagnostic Approaches

All patients underwent thorough clinical examination, followed by selective diagnostic investigations based on clinical presentation and suspicion. Ultrasonography was performed in 50 patients (100%), CECT was performed at 48 hrs from admission in 32 patients (64%). MRCP was performed in 5 patients (10%) in whom common bile duct and pancreatic duct obstruction pathology was suspected.

**Table 3: Diagnostic Modalities Utilized** 

Diagnostic Modality	Number of Cases	Percentage (%)
Clinical Examination	50	100.0
Ultrasonography	50	100
CECT AP	32	64
MRCP	5	10

### 160 Management Strategies

The management approach varies based on the diagnosis, 161 severity of symptoms, patient preferences, and risk assessment. 162 Conservative management was employed in 50 patients (100%). 163 Conservative therapy included medical management with Analgesics, 164 anti-emetics, PPI therapy, chest physiotherapy, spirometry, early 165 mobilisation and early initiation of enteral feeding. 10 out of 50 patient 166 were admitted to Surgical ICU as they had signs of MODS. 21 out of 167 50 were Admitted in Surgical HDU in whom deterioration was 168 suspected. 19 were admitted directly to wards who were clinically and 169 pathologically stable. 170

## 171 Correlation of Clinical and radiological diagnosis

The accuracy of the clinical diagnosis compared with the radiological diagnosis was analysed. The overall sensitivity of clinical diagnosis was 80%. Sonography was the diagnostic modality of choice on admission followed by CT scan at 48 hrs from admission.

Acute oedematous pancreatitis was present in 30 out of 50 cases and acute necrotising pancreatitis was present in 20 cases out of 50.

178

179

Table 4:: Sensitivity of Clinical Diagnosis in Correlation with
 radiology

Diagnosis	Clinical Diagnosis	Final radiological Diagnosis	Difference	Sensitivity (%)
Acute pancreatitis	40	50	10	80
Total	50	50	-	

183

184

## **185** Follow-up Outcomes

Follow-up data were available for 20 patients (40%) with a 186 mean follow-up duration of  $4.2 \pm 1.8$  months. Among the patients 187 with acute oedematous pancreatitis, 15 (30%) reported complete 188 symptomatic relief, while 2 (4%) showed mild symptoms which were 189 requiring additional interventions like intermittent EUS and 190 pseudocyst formation which required drainage due to compression 191 required symptoms cystogastrostomy for quality-of-life or 192 improvement. 193

194

195

196 197 198

199

200

# 202 **DISCUSSION**

This study analyzed 50 patients with acute pancreatitis over eight months, revealing key demographic, clinical, and management trends. The strong male predominance (94%) aligns with global patterns, where alcohol-related pancreatitis is more common among men [8]. The largest affected age groups were under 30 (26%) and 30–40 years (24%), matching epidemiological data indicating younger adults are increasingly affected due to alcohol and gallstone-related causes [6].

Smoking status showed notable trends: the 21–30 age group had the highest proportion of current and former smokers. Interestingly, former smokers had the highest mean APACHE II scores (7.18), followed by current smokers (6.15), suggesting a correlation between smoking history and increased disease severity. Prior studies confirm that smoking is an independent risk factor for both the development and exacerbation of pancreatitis [1].

Clinically, abdominal pain radiating to the back was the most 217 common symptom (90%), consistent with classical descriptions [2]. 218 Diagnostic approaches followed standard protocols, with universal 219 ultrasonography and selective contrast-enhanced CT, matching 220 American College of Gastroenterology guidelines [6]. Management 221 was primarily conservative (100%), with ICU or HDU admissions 222 determined by disease severity, which aligns with current care models 223 [3]. 224

An important consideration is the role of confounding factors, which 225 can distort observed associations. For example, alcohol use is often 226 coexistent with smoking and may confound the relationship between 227 smoking and pancreatitis severity [5]. Age is another confounder, as 228 older patients may inherently have higher APACHE II scores due to 229 comorbidities, independent of pancreatitis [4]. Without adjusting for 230 such factors, the observed correlations between smoking or age and 231 disease severity may be over- or underestimated. 232

The study's clinical diagnostic sensitivity (80%) highlights the ongoing need for radiological confirmation, especially to distinguish oedematous from necrotising pancreatitis. Follow-up showed good
outcomes in most oedematous cases, though some developed
complications like pseudocysts, consistent with known risks [7].

238

# 239 CONCLUSION

240

In conclusion, this study highlights key demographic patterns, clinical 241 management strategies acute features. and in pancreatitis. 242 emphasizing the importance of early diagnosis and conservative care. 243 The observed correlations between smoking, age, and disease severity 244 underline the need to consider potential confounding factors like 245 alcohol use and comorbidities. Radiological confirmation remains 246 crucial for accurate diagnosis and treatment planning. Overall, most 247 patients showed favorable outcomes, though some developed 248 complications requiring further intervention. 249

262

## 263 **REFERENCES**

- [1] Andriulli, A., et al. (2010). Smoking as a cofactor for acute
  pancreatitis: A meta-analysis. *Pancreatology*, 10(5), 556–563.
- [2] Banks, P. A., et al. (2013). Classification of acute
   pancreatitis—2012. *Gut*, 62(1), 102–111.
- [3] Forsmark, C. E., et al. (2016). Management of acute
  pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology*, 150(4), 1003–1013.
- [4] Gupta, R., et al. (2011). Severity markers in acute
  pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol*, 106(6), 1041–1049.
- [5] Setia, M. S. (2016). Methodology series module 3:
  Confounding and effect modification. *Indian J Dermatol*, 61(4), 403–406.
- [6] Tenner, S., et al. (2013). American College of
  Gastroenterology guideline: Management of acute pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol*, 108(9), 1400–1415.
- [7] van Santvoort, H. C., et al. (2010). A step-up approach or
  open necrosectomy for necrotizing pancreatitis. *NEJM*, 362(16),
  1491–1502.
- [8] Yadav, D., & Lowenfels, A. B. (2013). The epidemiology of
  pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology*, 144(6),
  1252–1261.