

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: **IJAR-52173.**

**Date: 11/06/2025**

**Title:** Cystic lymphangiomas: A rare axillary presentation in a young adult patient: A Clinical Overview and literature review

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

**Accept after minor revision** ☒☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

Accept after major revision ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity	✓			
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Sakshi Jaju

**Date:** 11/06/2025

### Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

#### 1. Abstract

Clearly summarizes the case and explains why it's rare. Well-structured and highlights the clinical importance.

#### 2. Introduction

Gives proper background on cystic lymphangiomas. Nicely explains the rarity of adult presentation.

#### 3. Case Presentation

Well-organized and easy to follow. Clinical, imaging, and pathology findings are described step-by-step.

#### 4. Methodology / Imaging & Diagnosis

Good use of ultrasound, CT, and MRI. MRI findings are described well, and final diagnosis is confirmed by pathology.

#### 5. Discussion

Comparative tables make it easy to understand how this case differs from others. Covers age, symptoms, diagnosis, and treatment in a clear way.

#### 6. Relevance and Contribution

**REVIEWER'S REPORT**

Highlights a rare adult case which adds value to the literature. Shows importance of long-term follow-up and imaging in such cases.

**7. Language and Clarity**

Mostly clear and professional. A few small grammar issues could be corrected for smoother reading.

**8. Figures and Tables**

Helpful and informative. Comparative tables support the discussion well.

**9. Conclusion**

Summarizes findings and clinical message well. Suggests surgical excision is the best method, which is clearly supported by the case.

**10. Overall Presentation**

The article is well-organized and follows a logical format. Suitable for publication with minor language editing.

**11. References**

Add more references relevant to the research

Reviewer comment: The article is suitable for publication after minor grammar corrections, alignment formatting, enhancement of the introduction with more disease background and references. Adding a few more points in the discussion will strengthen the scientific quality.