1 A study to identify the predictors of burnout among critical care nurses.

Abstract

3 Introduction: Burnout, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), is an occupational phenomenon

4 resulting from chronic workplace stress that hasn't been effectively managed. Approximately 25 to 33 percent of

5 critical care nurses manifest symptoms of severe burnout syndrome, and up to 86 percent have at least one of the

6 three classic symptoms. Several factors predict burnout in critical care nurses, including individual characteristics,
7 work-related factors, and organizational aspects. Burnout is characterized by emotional exhaustion,

- 8 depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment.
- 9 **Title**: A study to identify the predictors of burnout among critical care nurses.
- 10 **Objectives**: To measure the prevalence of burnout among critical care nurses
 - To study the association of burnout with selected demographic variables.

Methods: A descriptive survey study was done to determine the burnout among critical nurses work experience of more than one year of experience at Apollo Hospital. The sample selected for the present study consisted of 789 critical care staff who are experienced for more than 1 year in critical care unit of Apollo Hospitals were selected by nonprobability purposive sampling technique. The data was collected to gather the demographic and work-related questionnaire to identify contributing factors and Copenhagen burnout inventory to identify the burnout.

Result: It showed that maximum participants (83.7%) were female with 55.3 % participants completed B.Sc degree in nursing. Participants with critical care work experience before joining Apollo were 26.9% and about (63.6%) participants' were getting allocation (Nurse: Patient ratio 1:2). It also showed that most of the participants (47.8%) were having shift schedule of 6.30 hours, maximum participant had low burn out (personal: 88.47%, work related 87.33% and patient related 78.33%) whereas few participant experienced high burned out which is patient related (5.20%). There was significant association between burnout and gender, critical care work experience before joining Apollo staffing pattern and critical care outperience at Apollo hourid.

- 23 Apollo, staffing pattern and critical care experience at Apollo hospital.
- 24

2

11

25 Key words: Burnout, Critical care, burnout syndrome

26

Introduction:

Nowadays, the intensity of nursing care is increasing in hospitals globally due to the reduction of the length of stay to
contain rising costs, which in turn, increases work burden on nurses, predisposes them to negative outcomes of
health, and are likely to influence their performance and the quality of care⁶. Nurses are generally being considered as
a high-risk category regarding work stress. Nursing is generally perceived as a stressful and demanding profession.
Nurses deal with people who are suffering from major or minor health problems and life-threatening situations⁷.

Burnout is a nursing workplace problem worldwide due to practice in a complex organizational setting with multiple and, most of the time, conflicting goals. Burnout, defined as a work-related stress syndrome comprising symptoms of exhaustion and distant attitudes toward work, has been studied in diverse occupational settings during the past three decades⁸.

Health care industry is known to be stressful as there is a lot demand from the health-care professionals. Among

the health-care professionals nurses are highly prone for burnout due to their work pattern and long working hours as

38 well. Nurses are the first line of contact in a hospital; they spend most of the time with the client and their

39 accompanists. This makes them to have a continuous exposure to the emotional strains of dealing with the sick

40 and dying. These stressors if not addressed may lead to burnout. The current study intends to measure Burnout

41 among nurses working in Critical Care unit.

42 Several factors predict burnout in critical care nurses, including individual characteristics, work-related factors, and

43 organizational aspects. Burnout is characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal
 44 accomplishment.

The number of critical care units and staffs of critical care at Apollo is too high, for that we as a part critical care nursing council took the initiative to assess the burnout among critical care staff nurses.

47 Individual Characteristics like Age and Experience, Marital Status, Lack of Specialized Training, Social Support,

48 Psychologic Hardiness, Heavy Workload, Demanding Environments, Lack of Communication and Leadership,

49 Interpersonal Conflicts, Insufficient Supplies and Staff, Work Schedule, Staffing Ratios, Workplace Environment can

50 significantly contribute to burnout.

51 **Objectives**:

- 52 To measure the prevalence of burnout among critical care nurses.
- **53** To study the association of burnout with selected demographic variables.
- 54

55

Review of Literature

Elvira R S- et al¹ conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on Prevalence, Risk Factors and Burnout Levels 56 in Intensive Care Unit Nurses: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis among 1986 nurses through Medline, 57 Scopus and CINAHL databases where found that prevalence for high emotional exhaustion was 31% (95% CI, 8– 58 59%), for high depersonalization was 18% (95% CI, 8–30%), and for low personal accomplishment was 46% (95% 59 CI, 20–74%). Within the dimensions of burnout, emotional exhaustion had a significant relationship with depression 60 and personality factors. Both sociodemographic factors (being younger, single marital status, and having less 61 professional experience in ICU) and working conditions (workload and working longer hours) influence the risk of 62 63 burnout syndrome.

64 Villaranteet M D et al² evaluated a National Survey of Stress and Burnout in Critical Care Nurses: A pre-pandemic 65 study among 270 nurses in the United States using Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI) Tool . They observed that 66 the mean PSS score in the study population was 18.5 (SD = 6.4), indicating moderate stress. The mean CBI score 67 was 61.9 (SD = 16.5), indicating moderate burnout. Our study found that the overall health of the work environment 68 was one of the most important factors associated with both stress and burnout.

A Lima A et al³ conducted A scoping review on The Burnout of Nurses in Intensive Care Units and the Impact of the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic: A Scoping Review. They found that A content analysis of the selected articles was carried out, and three categories emerged that corresponded to the dimensions of burnout according to Maslach and Leiter: emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation dimension and a lack of personal accomplishment. It was evident that nurses who worked in the ICU during the pandemic showed high levels of burnout.





Fig. 1 Schematic presentation of research design.

According to the objectives of the study quantitative approach was considered as most appropriate. Descriptive 100 survey research design was suitable for this study. The sample selected for the present study consisted of 101 789(Kolkata-312, Chennai-197, Delhi-280) critical care staff who are experienced for more than 1 year in critical 102 103 care Unit of Apollo Hospitals. Permission of Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC Ref: IEC/BR/2025/01/03) was 104 taken and informed consent was obtained from the participants. Non-probability purposive sampling technique was 105 used to select the subject. Inclusion criteria Staff nurse who are working in critical care unit more than 1 year and 106 involve in direct care as well as given permission to take them as sample for the study. Staff nurse who are working 107 in critical care unit below one year were excluded from the study.

- 108 The data was collected through structured questionnaire
- 109 Tool consists of two sections:
- **Section 1:** A demographic and work-related questionnaire to identify contributing factors. It contains total 9

111 questions which include Gender, qualification, Critical care work experience, staffing pattern, shift schedule, staffing 112 consideration, and experienced burnout before.

113

98 99

114 <u>Selection 2</u>: Standardized tool (Copenhagen Burnout Inventory)

115 The scoring criteria: The scores for items within each dimension are averaged to produce a score for personal, 116 work-related, and client-related burnout. The overall burnout score is calculated by averaging the subscale scores, 117 resulting in a total score ranging from 0 to 100. Burnout is considered a state of varying degrees of exhaustion rather 118 than a specific disease. While some researchers use cut-points, such as 50 points, to categorize individuals into 119 different levels of burnout, this approach can oversimplify the results and overlook valuable nuances. Burnout exists 120 on a continuum, from complete exhaustion to full vitality, and a cut-point may fail to capture the full spectrum of this 121 experience.

123

Results

In the present study the obtained data was organized, tabulated, analyzed and interpreted under the followingsections.

Section I: -This section describes demographic profile of the participants.

- Section II -This section describes burnout of staff nurses, working in critical care for more than one year. Section II-A- Description and findings of burnout among critical care nurses.
 - Section II-B- Association between burned out and selected demographic variables to rule out predictors of burnout.

Section I:

131 132

133

130

- 134Table 1: Frequency and percentage distribution of demographic profile of the participantsN=789
- 135

	Frequency	Percent
Condon		
Gender	100	160
	129	16.3
b) Female	660	83.7
Qualification		
a) GNM	350	44.4
b) B.Sc / Post Basic	436	55.3
c) M.Sc	3	0.3
Critical care work experience before joining Apollo		
a) 1-2 year	212	26.9
b) 2-3 year	119	15.1
c) More than 3 year	158	20.0
d) Nil	300	38.0
Staffing pattern (Nurse: Patient ratio)		
a) 1:1	140	17.7
b) 1:2	502	63.6
c) 1:3	147	18.6
Shift schedule		
a) 6.30 hours	34	4.3
a) 6.30 hours;	377	47.8
a) 6.30 hours;b) 8.30hours;	3	.4
a) 6.30 hours;b) 8.30hours;c) 13 hours;	11	1.4
a) 6.30 hours;c) 13 hours;	57	7.2
a) 6.30 hours;c) 13 hours;b) 8.30hours;	1	.1
b) 8.30hours	27	3.4
b) 8.30hours;	213	27.0
b) 8.30hours;a) 6.30 hours;	7	.9
b) 8.30hours;a) 6.30 hours;c) 13 hours;	2	.3
b) 8.30hours;c) 13 hours;	12	1.5
b) 8.30hours;c) 13 hours;a) 6.30 hours;	1	.1
c) 13 hours	33	4.2
c) 13 hours;a) 6.30 hours;	5	.6
c) 13 hours;a) 6.30 hours;b) 8.30hours;	1	.1
c) 13 hours;b) 8.30hours;	4	.5
c) 13 hours;b) 8.30hours;a) 6.30 hours;	1	.1
Year of Experience in critical care at Apollo		

1. 12- 24 months	258	32.7
2. 24-48 months	258	32.7
3. 48 – 96 Months	133	16.9
4. More than 96 months	101	12.8
5.No Experience	39	4.9
Staffing consideration in your unit		
Define for a sector of a second s	0.0	12.4
a) Patient acuity and complexity	98	12.4
b) Nurse experience and expertise	60	/.6
c) Patient census in the unit	30	3.8
d) All of the above	601	76.2
Have you ever Burned out?		
a) Yes	318	40.3
b) No	471	59.7
How do you think we can help you to		
overcome the situation.		
a)Foster a supportive work culture	246	31.2
b)Provide resources and tool	107	13.6
c) Promote work life balance	399	50.6
Others	37	4.7

137

Table showing the frequency and percentage distribution of demographic profile of participants.

Data presented in table 1 showed that maximum participants (83.7%) were female with 55.3 % participants
completed B.Sc degree in nursing. Participants with critical care work experience before joining Apollo were 26.9%
and about (63.6%) participants' were getting allocation (Nurse: Patient ratio 1:2). It also showed that most of the
participants (47.8%) were having shift schedule of 6.30 hours. More than 59.7% experienced burned out before and
almost 50.6% think that work life balance can help to overcome the situation of burnout.

143

Section II-A

Table:2 Frequency percentage of the burn out among critical care nurses based on itemise analysis of the
 Copenhagen burn out inventory
 N=789

146

Scoring Criteria	1(ALV)0% WAYS	75% OFTEN		50% SOMETIMES		25% SELDOM		0% NEVER OR ALMOST NEVER	
Question	Frequ ency	Percenta ge	Freque ncy	Percenta ge	Frequ ency	Percen tage	Freq uenc y	Percenta ge	Frequ ency	Percenta ge
How often do you feel tired?	103	13.05%	202	25.60%	300	38.02 %	109	13.81%	75	9.51%
How often are you physically exhausted?	87	11.03%	195	24.71%	259	32.83 %	136	17.24%	112	14.20%
How often are you emotionally exhausted?	96	12.17%	166	21.04%	243	30.80 %	155	19.65%	129	16.35%
How often do you think: "I can't take it anymore?"	65	8.24%	121	15.34%	216	27.38 %	172	21.80%	215	27.25%

How often do you feel worn out?	48	6.08%	125	15.84%	232	29.40 %	196	24.84%	188	23.83%
How often do you feel weak and susceptible to illness?	46	5.83%	0	0.00%	223	28.26 %	355	44.99%	165	20.91%
Do you feel worn out at the end of the working day?	84	10.65%	0	0.00%	247	31.31 %	307	38.91%	151	19.14%
Are you exhausted in the morning at the thought of another day at work?	62	7.86%	0	0.00%	216	27.38 %	283	35.87%	228	28.90%
Do you feel that every working hour is tiring for you?	54	6.84%	0	0.00%	199	25.22 %	271	34.35%	265	33.59%
Do you have enough energy for family and friends during leisure time?*	78	9.89%	0	0.00%	243	30.80 %	325	41.19%	143	18.12%
Is your work emotionally exhausting?	74	9.38%	0	0.00%	236	29.91 %	302	38.28%	177	22.43%
Does your work frustrate you?	73	9.25%	0	0.00%	225	28.52 %	274	34.73%	217	27.50%
Do you feel burnt out because of your work?	60	7.60%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	508	64.39%	221	28.01%
Do you find it hard to work with clients?	66	8.37%	0	0.00%	183	23.19 %	276	34.98%	264	33.46%
Does it drain your energy to work with clients?	66	8.37%	0	0.00%	198	25.10 %	289	36.63%	236	29.91%
Do you find it frustrating to work with clients?	35	4.44%	0	0.00%	187	23.70 %	245	31.05%	322	40.81%
Do you feel that you give more than you get back when you work with clients?	91	11.53%	0	0.00%	213	27.00 %	297	37.64%	188	23.83%
Are you tired of working with clients?	39	4.94%	0	0.00%	174	22.05 %	254	32.19%	322	40.81%

Do you sometimes wonder how long you will be able to continue working with clients?	88	11.15%	0	0.00%	225	28.52 %	273	34.60%	203	25.73%
---	----	--------	---	-------	-----	------------	-----	--------	-----	--------

Data presented in above table depicted that Highest burnout indicators:"Do you feel burnt out because of your work?" – 64.39% responded at 25% intensity; 28.01% at 0% (nearly full burnout).

Client-related fatigue (questions 14–19) shows low 100% ratings but high 0–25%, indicating deep-rooted exhaustion and detachment.

Physical & emotional exhaustion: Items 1–3 show moderate fatigue with 50% responses around 30–38%.

Still, emotional and physical fatigue is not at extreme levels (100%).

Work-life balance:41.19% of respondents do not have enough energy for family and friends during leisure time.

Emotional responses: Over 27% feel like they "can't take it anymore", with a gradual increase across 0-50% intensity.

Table 3: Prevalence of burnout among critical care nurses

Burnout Level	Personal Bu	ırnout	Work relate	ed Burn out	Client (Patient)related burn out		
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
Low Burnout	698	88.47%	689	87.33%	618	78.33%	
Moderate Burnout	65	8.23%	68	8.62%	130	16.48%	
High Burnout	26	3.30%	32	4.06%	41	5.20%	

N=789

Data presented in above table showed that most nurses report low burnout across all domains, with over 88% in the personal domain and 87% in the work domain.

Client-related burnout shows the **highest moderate burnout** level at 16.48%, and the highest high burnout level at 5.20% among the three domains.

While high burnout levels are relatively low overall, attention may be needed in client (patient)-facing roles due to higher moderate and high burnout proportions.

Section II-B

		Chi-Square	Гests					
			Burned	out		Pearson Chi-	n value	
			Yes	No	Total	Square	p value	
Gender	a) Male	Ν	18	111	129	1.084	0.298	
		Col %	13.3%	17.0%	16.3%			
	b) Female	N	117	543	660			
		Col %	86.7%	83.0%	83.7%			
Total		N	135	654	789			
		Col %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

180

Data presented in above Table showed the association between burnout and gender

181 It was observed that the calculated chi2 value was more than the 'p' value, so there were significant association

182 between burnout and gender of the participants.

183

Table 5: Association between burnout and Critical care work experience before joining Apollo N=789

	Chi-Square Tests						
			Burned	out		Pearson Chi-	n voluo
			Yes	No	Total	Square	p value
Critical care a) work	a) 1-2 year	Ν	43	169	212	5.237	0.155
		Col %	31.9%	25.8%	26.9%	% 19 % 58	
experience	b) 2-3 year	Ν	21	98	119		
Apollo		Col %	15.6%	15.0%	15.1%		
r	c) More	N	18	140	158		
	than 3 year	Col %	13.3%	21.4%	20.0%		
	d) Nil	N	53	247	300		
		Col %	39.3%	37.8%	38.0%		
Total	•	N	135	654	789		
		Col %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

184

185	Data presented in above Table showed the association between burnout and Critical care work experience before
186	joining Apollo .
187	It was observed that the calculated chi2 value was more than the 'p' value, so there were significant association
188	between burnout and Critical care work experience before joining Apollo of the participants

189

190

Table 6 : Association between burnout and Staffing pattern (Nurse: Patient ratio)N=789

		Chi-Square 2	Гests				
			Burned	out		Pearson Chi-	n voluo
			Yes	No	Total	Square	p value
Staffing	a) 1:1	Ν	21	119	140	2.197	0.333
pattern		Col %	15.6%	18.2%	17.7%		

(Nurse:	b) 1:2	Ν	83	419	502	
Patient ratio)		Col %	61.5%	64.1%	63.6%	
	c) 1:3	N	31	116	147	
		Col %	23.0%	17.7%	18.6%	
Total		N	135	654	789	
		Col %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

192 Data presented in above Table showed the association between burnout and Staffing pattern (Nurse: Patient ratio)

193It was observed that the calculated chi2 value was more than the 'p' value, so there were significant association194between burnout and Staffing pattern (Nurse: Patient ratio) of the participants

195

196 Table 7 : Association between burnout and Year of Experience in critical care at Apollo N=789

		Chi-Square	Tests				
			Burned	out		Pearson Chi- Square	p value
			Yes	No	Total		
Year of Experience in critical care at Apollo	1. 12- 24 months	N	56	202	258	8.412	0.078
	2 24 48	Col %	41.5%	30.9%	32.7%		
	2. 24-48 months	N	45	213	258		
		Col %	33.3%	32.6%	32.7%		
	3. 48 – 96 Months	N	15	118	133	-	
		Col %	11.1%	18.0%	16.9%		
	4. More	N	13	88	101		
	than 96 months	Col %	9.6%	13.5%	12.8%	-	
	5.No	N	6	33	39		
	Experience	Col %	4.4%	5.0%	4.9%		
Total		N	135	654	789	1	
		Col %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1	
Data presented	d in above Tab	le showed the	association bet	ween burne	out and Ye	ar of Experience	e in critica
			Apollo				

197

198

199 200

20

201

202 203

Discussion

It was observed that the calculated chi2 value was more than the 'p' value, so there were significant association between burnout and Year of Experience in critical care at Apollo of the participants

In this study, maximum participants (83.7%) ere female, 55.3% completed B.Sc degree in nursing. Participants with
critical care work experience before joining Apollo were 26.9% and about (63.6%) participants' were getting
allocation (Nurse: Patient ratio 1:2). It also showed that most of the participants (47.8%) were having shift schedule
of 6.30 hours. More than 59.7% experienced burned out before and almost 50.6% think that work life balance can

- help to overcome the situation of burnout, Where Kumar Amit et al⁴ conducted a study on_Burnout and its correlates
- among nursing staff of intensive care units at a tertiary care centere among 150 nurses and found that Majority of the
- 210 participants were females, unmarried and completed Diploma in General Nursing and Midwifery (GNM). Most of
- them had some form of training in Intensive Care but almost half of them had experience less than 1 year. Majority of
- the participants were level one & two nurses, working in shift duties and had contractual appointments.
- 213

The present study revealed that maximum participant had low burn out (personal: 88.47%, work related 87.33% and patient related 78.33%) whereas few participant experienced high burned out which is patient related (5.20%), similar study was conducted by Sahar Yaqoob Yousif ⁵, found that a high prevalence of overall burnout among nurses, with a mean score of 2.53.

This study also showed that there were significant association between burnout and gender, critical care work experience before joining Apollo, staffing pattern, and critical care experience Apollo and a similar finding was observed by Hovland S I et al, conducted a longitudinal study and multivariate analysis showed statistically significant associations of burnout caseness with fewer years of professional experience (p = .041) and borderline significance of perceived support by leader

223 Limitation

- 224 The study finding could not be generalized because:
- No randomization was used for selection of sample.
- The study was limited only to assess the burnout of critical care staff more than one year of experience.

227 Future Scope

- A similar study may be conducted on a larger sample, in different settings.
- Longitudinal study could be conducted to assess the effect of burnout in long run.
- A comparative study can be conducted with critical care and non-critical care staff and in Government and
- 231 private Hospital.
- After identification of existing status of the burnout, an exploratory survey can be carried out to find out the reducing factors.

Conclusion: Findings from the present study provides an indicative picture of the burnout of critical care
 staff for more than one year. The High burnout was noticed among critical care staff nurses in patient related
 domain and suggested that potential areas that can be targeted for therapeutic intervention like improve work
 life balance and counselling if needed. This could enhance the improvement of patients care and gives the
 opportunities for various staff development program to motivate them.

- 240
- 241
- 242 **Reference:**

- Elvira R S et al. Prevalence, Risk Factors and Burnout Levels in Intensive Care Unit Nurses: A Systematic
 Review and Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021 Oct
 30;18(21):11432. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182111432.
- Villarante M D et al. A National Survey of Stress and Burnout in Critical Care Nurses: A pre-pandemic study. PMCID: PMC10403271 NIHMSID: NIHMS1901379 PMID: <u>37523722</u>
- 249 3. Lima A et al. The Burnout of Nurses in Intensive Care Units and the Impact of the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic:
 250 A Scoping Review. PMID: <u>36810273</u>
- 4. Kumar A et al. Burnout and its correlates among nursing staff of intensive care units at a tertiary care centre.
 Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care 10(1):p 443-448, January 2021. | DOI: 10.4103
 - 5. Yousif Y S et al. Burnout among Nurses in Critical Care Units: Addressing a Persistent Challenge. October 2024The Malaysian Journal of Nursing 16(02):97-107
 - https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2908908; Res Nurs Health. 2010 Aug;33(4):288–298. doi: <u>10.1002/nur.20383</u>
 - 7. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2668
 - 8. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3872864