REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-52287   Date: 17-06-2025

Title: prevention and regulation of money laundering: a legal quagmire

Recommendation:
Accept as it is………………**YES…………..**
Accept after minor revision………………
Accept after major revision ………………
Do not accept (*Reasons below*) ………

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		√		
Techn. Quality		√		
Clarity		√		
Significance			√	

Reviewer's Name: Dr Abdul Hameed Shah

Reviewer's Decision about Paper: Recommended for Publication.

Comments (*Use additional pages, if required*)
.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

General Assessment:
The manuscript presents a timely and critical examination of the pervasive issue of money laundering, particularly focusing on its implications for fiscal and political stability in both developed and developing countries. It effectively contextualizes the issue within the global legal and regulatory framework and offers an in-depth analytical perspective supported by both primary and secondary sources. The research is methodologically sound and academically relevant, especially given the increasing complexity of financial crimes in the modern global economy.

Abstract:
The abstract provides a clear and concise overview of the scope, objectives, and methodology of the paper. It succinctly captures the essence of the study by highlighting the challenges posed by money laundering and the legal and regulatory responses at national and international levels. The structure is coherent, and the language is formal and academically appropriate.

Keywords:
The keywords are well-selected and effectively represent the core themes of the paper. They enhance the paper's discoverability and align with the thematic content discussed within the manuscript.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Author Credentials:
The authors are well-qualified, as indicated by their academic backgrounds and affiliations. Their expertise adds credibility to the manuscript, particularly in legal and financial analysis.

Introduction:
The introduction lays a strong foundation for the paper, defining money laundering clearly and contextualizing it as a global and systemic challenge. It outlines the primary concerns associated with money laundering and its impact on both individuals and institutions. The narrative draws attention to the persistent nature of the issue despite existing laws and preventive frameworks, thereby setting the stage for the analytical discussions that follow.

Thematic Focus:
The paper maintains a focused thematic trajectory, concentrating on legal measures, fiscal accountability, and political implications of money laundering across varying geopolitical contexts. The balance between developed and developing countries in the discussion is particularly noteworthy, as it offers a comparative lens that enriches the analysis.

Methodology:
The adoption of an analytical approach, based on both primary and secondary sources, is appropriate for the nature of this study. The methodology is clearly described, and the reliance on real-time achievements and regulatory frameworks in selected countries lends empirical grounding to the theoretical discourse.

Content and Structure:
The content is well-organized and flows logically. Each section builds upon the previous one, allowing the reader to follow the arguments with clarity. The language is academic and precise, and the paper maintains a formal tone suitable for scholarly publication. The issue is approached from both practical and doctrinal perspectives, enhancing its academic and applied relevance.

Relevance and Contribution:
The manuscript addresses a highly relevant topic in international law and financial regulation. Its contribution lies in its comparative analysis and the attempt to bridge theoretical legal principles with real-world regulatory practices. The insights provided are valuable for policymakers, legal scholars, and professionals engaged in combating financial crime.

Conclusion (Implied from Structure):
Though not presented in full, the manuscript's preliminary sections suggest a conclusion that draws upon evidence-based analysis to highlight the gaps and challenges in current anti-money laundering frameworks, thereby aligning with the paper's overarching thesis of legal complexity.

Overall Evaluation:
The paper is a commendable academic work, offering a well-researched, balanced, and insightful discussion on the regulation of money laundering. It successfully engages with complex legal and financial concepts and presents them in an accessible yet scholarly manner.