



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: **IJAR-52371**

Date: June 19, 2025

Title: ESTIMATION DU STOCK DE CARBONE DES SYSTEMES AGROFORESTIERS DANS LA COMMUNE DE N'GÂDALI AU NORD-EST DU BENIN

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		X		
Techn. Quality		X		
Clarity		X		
Significance			X	

Reviewer Name: Dr Lakhdar Guerine

Date: June 19, 2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

.....

.....

.....

Detailed Reviewer's Report

REVIEWER'S REPORT

This study aims to estimate the carbon stock of various agroforestry systems (AFS) in the commune of N'Dali (Benin) as part of a broader effort to combat climate change. Using a floristic inventory across 150 plots and locally adapted allometric models, the study quantifies aboveground and belowground biomass to calculate total carbon stock, CO₂-equivalent emissions, and their monetary value. Agroforestry parklands show the highest carbon stock (39.23 t/ha), followed by fallows (27.49 t/ha), plantations, home gardens, and hedgerows. A strong correlation ($R^2 > 0.79$) is demonstrated between the ecological importance of species and carbon storage, along with a clear influence of tree diameter on carbon accumulation. The study concludes that AFS are significant carbon sinks that should be prioritized in climate resilience policies.

Strengths

- Highly relevant topic addressing climate change.
- Robust methodology: botanical inventory, stratified AFS types, use of localized allometric equations.
- Comprehensive statistical analysis: ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis, correlation, logarithmic transformation.
- Clear and actionable results: carbon values, CO₂-equivalents, and monetization.
- Well-integrated and pertinent comparison with existing literature.

Weaknesses

- Writing style is sometimes dense and redundant, affecting clarity.
- Lacks cartographic illustrations of AFS locations or sampling plots.
- Figures and tables occasionally lack clear legends or adequate interpretation.
- Methodological limitations (e.g., uncertainty in allometric models) are under-discussed.
- The discussion section could better articulate concrete policy or socio-economic implications.

Recommendations

A thorough linguistic and editorial revision is recommended to enhance clarity and readability. Better visual presentation of results (e.g., maps, infographics) would significantly improve the study's impact and accessibility to a wider audience.