

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-52589

Date: 1-07-25

**Title:**

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is .....

Accept after minor revision.....

**Accept after major revision .....Yes.....**

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity			✓	
Significance			✓	

Reviewer Name: Dr. Jaishree

**Date:** 1-07-25

### Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

*(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)*

*The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.*

.....

The paper has potential and covers a useful area of research. But it needs major improvements in writing, analysis, and presentation before it can be published.

.....

.....

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

[www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

- The writing has many grammatical mistakes and unclear sentences.
- Some scientific words are used incorrectly.
- The discussion section mostly repeats the results and doesn't explain why the results matter or how they compare to past studies.
- It's not clear if the human blood used for haemolysis was ethically sourced.
- No proper statistical tests (like t-test or ANOVA) were used to support the data.
- Some units and labels are used differently in different places.
- Images are blurry and not well-labeled.
- Some references are incomplete or not properly formatted.
- Abstract needs to be written clearly
- The conclusion is too general and should mention the main results of the study.
- There are many unnecessary spaces and formatting errors.