

Teamwork and Confidence: Its Impact on Organisational Performance – A Content Analysis Approach

by Jana Publication & Research

Submission date: 10-Jul-2025 11:53AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2690323367

File name: IJAR-52678.docx (101.2K)

Word count: 4346

Character count: 29597

Teamwork and Confidence: Its Impact on Organisational Performance – A Content Analysis Approach

Abstract

This study explores the dynamic relationship between teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance using a qualitative content analysis approach. Drawing on 48 peer-reviewed sources published between 2010 and 2025, it synthesises conceptual, theoretical, and empirical insights to develop a comprehensive understanding of how teamwork and confidence interact as co-constructive forces within organisations. The findings reveal that effective teamwork, characterised by open communication, trust, and shared purpose, consistently fosters both individual self-efficacy and collective confidence. In turn, confident teams demonstrate greater innovation, productivity, and employee engagement. Psychological safety emerged as a critical mediator, enabling teams to take interpersonal risks and sustain high confidence. The study also identifies key antecedents—transformational leadership, competence recognition, and structured communication—that enhance team confidence. Moderators such as organisational culture, task complexity, and resource availability further shape the strength of these relationships. The research contributes theoretically by proposing a conceptual model integrating teamwork, confidence, and performance outcomes, emphasising their reciprocal and context-dependent nature. Practically, it highlights actionable strategies for managers to cultivate psychological safety and collective efficacy, including leadership development, intentional team design, and embedding confidence-related metrics in performance appraisals. While the study's reliance on secondary data introduces some limitations, it provides a nuanced evidence base that informs future research and offers clear recommendations for building

1. Introduction

The increasing complexity of modern organisational environments has intensified the need for effective teamwork and the cultivation of confidence within teams. Globalisation, technological advances, and the demands for innovation have fundamentally reshaped how organisations operate, making collaborative capabilities and psychological strengths among team members essential for sustained performance (Mathieu et al., 2019; Shuffler et al., 2018). In this dynamic landscape, organisations that successfully nurture cohesive teams and foster confidence are better positioned to respond to environmental uncertainties, drive innovation, and maintain competitive advantage.

Teamwork refers to the coordinated effort of a group of individuals working toward a common goal, characterised by mutual trust, open communication, shared responsibility, and interdependence (Salas et al., 2018). It encompasses structural aspects, such as role clarity and leadership dynamics, as well as relational elements like cohesion and mutual respect (Kozlowski & Ilgen, 2021). Confidence, on the other hand, operates both at the individual level; as self-efficacy, or belief in one's capabilities; and at the collective level; as team confidence or collective efficacy, where group members share a belief in the team's abilities to succeed

(Bandura, 2015; Sarkar & Fletcher, 2017). Organisational performance broadly refers to an organisation's effectiveness in achieving its goals, often assessed through measures such as productivity, innovation rates, employee engagement, and financial outcomes (De Jong et al., 2021).

While the individual impacts of teamwork and confidence on organisational performance have been extensively documented, the integrative study of how these constructs interact remains relatively limited. Much of the existing research treats teamwork and confidence as isolated predictors rather than examining their dynamic relationship and combined influence on organisational outcomes (Smith et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2020). This oversight presents a critical gap in the organisational behaviour and management literature.

21

The primary objectives of this study are therefore threefold:

1. To synthesise how teamwork and confidence are conceptualised and operationalised within the existing literature;
2. To explore the individual and combined effects of teamwork and confidence on organisational performance;
3. To propose a conceptual model that maps the relational pathways between teamwork, confidence, and performance outcomes.

In pursuing these objectives, this study employs a qualitative content analysis approach, systematically reviewing peer-reviewed sources from 2010 to 2025. The structure of the paper is organised as follows: following this introduction, Section 2 presents a comprehensive literature review covering key definitions, characteristics, and theoretical foundations; Section 3 outlines the research methodology, including data collection, sampling, and coding strategies; Section 4 discusses the main findings, identifying critical themes and proposing a conceptual model; Section 5 provides a discussion linking the findings to existing theories and managerial practice; and Section 6 concludes with a summary, research limitations, and future recommendations.

By addressing the intersections of teamwork and confidence, this study aims to contribute to both theoretical advancement and practical understanding of how organisations can better leverage psychological and structural enablers for improved performance.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Teamwork in Organisations

The role of teamwork in organisational success has been extensively acknowledged across disciplines, with researchers consistently highlighting its contribution to innovation, adaptability,

and operational efficiency (Mathieu et al., 2019). Effective teams are characterised by clear goals, defined roles, open communication, mutual trust, and strong cohesion (Salas et al., 2018). Kozlowski and Ilgen (2021) stress the importance of adaptability and shared mental models in high-performing teams, indicating that members must continually coordinate and recalibrate their efforts in response to dynamic environments.

Team dynamics and communication structures play a critical role in shaping team performance outcomes. The quality and openness of communication determine the extent to which team members can share information, resolve conflicts, and make collective decisions (Marlow et al., 2018). Specifically, well-structured communication patterns enable knowledge sharing, foster psychological safety, and promote collective problem-solving, which are vital for organisational innovation and resilience.

2.2 Confidence as an Organisational Construct

Confidence within organisations can be conceptualised both individually, as self-efficacy, and collectively, as team efficacy or collective confidence. Bandura's (2015) self-efficacy theory posits that individuals' beliefs in their own capabilities significantly influence their actions, motivation, and perseverance. Within teams, collective confidence extends this notion, encompassing shared beliefs about the team's competence to accomplish tasks successfully (Zaccaro et al., 2020).

The constructs of psychological safety, self-efficacy, and collective efficacy are central to understanding organisational confidence. Psychological safety, defined as a shared belief that the team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking (Edmondson & Lei, 2019), enables members to express ideas, admit mistakes, and voice concerns without fear of humiliation or punishment. Self-efficacy impacts individual persistence and creativity, while collective efficacy strengthens group resilience and cohesion, particularly under pressure (Sarkar & Fletcher, 2017). Thus, confidence at both the individual and collective levels is a vital psychological mechanism underpinning high-functioning teams.

2.3 Linkages between Teamwork, Confidence, and Performance

The interplay between teamwork and confidence creates a synergistic effect that substantially enhances organisational outcomes. Teamwork fosters confidence by promoting supportive relationships, positive feedback loops, and shared successes (Shuffler et al., 2018). For instance, when team members experience consistent, trust-based collaboration, their confidence in both their individual and collective capacities tends to strengthen (Costa et al., 2022).

In turn, high confidence levels positively influence key organisational processes such as decision-making, creativity, and resilience (Gully et al., 2020). Confident teams are more likely to take calculated risks, innovate, and persist through challenges, all of which are critical to sustained organisational performance. Notably, Khan et al. (2021) found that teams with higher collective confidence demonstrated significantly better innovation output and problem-solving effectiveness compared to teams with lower confidence levels.

However, the relationship is not always linear. Excessive confidence, particularly when not anchored in actual competence, can lead to complacency or groupthink, ultimately harming decision quality and performance (Pearsall et al., 2019). Thus, the balance between realistic confidence and critical reflection emerges as a key moderator in the teamwork-performance relationship.

2.4 Theoretical Frameworks

Several theoretical models provide a foundation for understanding the mechanisms linking teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance.

Self-efficacy Theory (Bandura, 2015) offers an essential lens, emphasising how beliefs about capability influence behaviour and outcomes. At the team level, shared efficacy beliefs drive collective motivation, persistence, and strategic thinking.

The **Input-Process-Output (IPO) Model** of Team Performance (Ilgen et al., 2005) posits that team inputs (such as member characteristics and organisational context) affect team processes (such as communication, trust-building, and coordination), which in turn influence outputs (such as performance and innovation). Confidence acts as both an input and a process variable—affecting how teams interact and adapt.

Additionally, Psychological Safety Framework (Edmondson & Lei, 2019) highlights how environments that prioritise trust and safety encourage greater participation, learning behaviours, and resilience, serving as critical mediators between teamwork and positive performance outcomes.

These frameworks collectively underscore that the development of confidence is both a product and a catalyst of effective teamwork, shaping how teams function internally and ultimately how organisations perform externally.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative content analysis approach to systematically examine the interplay between teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance. Content analysis is particularly suited for synthesising large bodies of textual information and identifying patterns and themes across diverse sources (Krippendorff, 2018). By combining both deductive (theory-driven) and inductive (data-driven) coding strategies, this study aims to capture predefined concepts while remaining open to emergent insights (Elo et al., 2014).

The research is positioned within an interpretivist paradigm, recognising that organisational phenomena such as teamwork and confidence are socially constructed and context-dependent. The aim is to build a nuanced, evidence-based understanding of how these constructs co-evolve and impact performance across varying organisational settings.

3.2 Data Sources

The data corpus for this study includes peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and empirical case studies published between 2010 and 2025. Scholarly databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar were utilised to gather relevant literature. Key search terms included “teamwork and organisational performance,” “confidence and team dynamics,” “collective efficacy,” and “psychological safety in teams.”

Only English-language publications were included to maintain consistency in linguistic analysis. To ensure source quality, articles had to be published in journals ranked within the Scopus Q1 or Q2 quartiles or in recognised academic books and edited volumes.

3.3 Sampling Strategy

A purposive sampling technique guided the selection of studies, ensuring the inclusion of sources directly relevant to the research questions. Inclusion criteria were:

- i. Publications between 2010–2025;
- ii. English language;
- iii. Explicit focus on teamwork, confidence, or their effects on organisational outcomes;
- iv. Empirical, theoretical, or conceptual research articles;
- v. Methodological transparency regarding sample, analysis, and findings.

In total, 48 sources were selected for coding and analysis after applying these filters.

3.4 Coding Framework Development

The coding framework was developed iteratively, informed by both theoretical constructs and preliminary data familiarisation. Four primary categories were established:

- i. **Definitions:** How teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance are conceptualised;
- ii. **Antecedents:** Factors fostering teamwork and confidence (e.g., leadership, communication structures);
- iii. **Mediators/Moderators:** Variables influencing the relationships (e.g., psychological safety, organisational culture);
- iv. **Performance Outcomes:** Effects on innovation, employee engagement, resilience, and productivity.

Within each category, sub-themes were developed based on recurring patterns in the literature (Mayring, 2015).

3.5 Data Analysis Process

A hybrid coding strategy was employed:

- i. Deductive coding was first applied using themes derived from the theoretical frameworks (Self-efficacy Theory, IPO Model, Psychological Safety).
- ii. Inductive coding was subsequently used to capture novel themes emerging from the texts.

Coding was conducted manually and using NVivo 14 software to enhance data organisation and retrieval. Inter-coder reliability was enhanced by involving a second researcher who independently coded 25% of the sources. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion, refining the coding framework iteratively.

3.6 Rigour and Trustworthiness

Rigour was maintained through multiple strategies:

- i. **Credibility:** Achieved via coder triangulation and iterative discussions to ensure accurate theme development (Nowell et al., 2017).
- ii. **Transferability:** Thick descriptions of context and findings were provided, allowing others to assess applicability to different settings.
- iii. **Dependability:** Detailed audit trails documenting search strategies, coding decisions, and analysis were maintained.
- iv. **Confirmability:** Reflective journaling was employed to bracket researcher biases and ensure interpretive neutrality.

By adhering to these strategies, the study ensures trustworthy findings that contribute meaningfully to the existing body of knowledge.

4. Findings

4.1 Conceptualisations of Teamwork and Confidence

Analysis revealed consistent yet nuanced definitions of teamwork and confidence across the literature. Teamwork was predominantly conceptualised as a dynamic process involving coordinated efforts, mutual commitment, and shared objectives among interdependent individuals (Salas et al., 2018; Mathieu et al., 2019). Effective teamwork was often associated with open communication, collective problem-solving, and adaptability in response to external demands.

Confidence was similarly treated as both an individual and collective phenomenon. Self-confidence related to belief in personal abilities (Bandura, 2015), whereas team or collective efficacy referred to a group's shared belief in its conjoint capability to organise and execute actions required for goal attainment (Zaccaro et al., 2020). Importantly, psychological safety emerged as a critical intermediary: teams where members felt safe to take risks and express themselves freely were more likely to demonstrate both individual and collective confidence (Edmondson & Lei, 2019).

Across studies, teamwork and confidence were seen as mutually reinforcing. Strong teamwork fostered higher confidence levels, while confident teams were better equipped to engage in complex tasks and achieve superior outcomes (Smith et al., 2022).

4.2 Key Factors Enhancing Team Confidence

Several key factors emerged as consistently enhancing team confidence:

- i. **Leadership:** Transformational leadership styles were positively correlated with collective efficacy and individual confidence (Kozlowski & Ilgen, 2021; Gully et al., 2020). Leaders who provided vision, encouragement, and support fostered greater confidence within teams.
- ii. **Communication Quality:** Open, honest, and frequent communication reinforced trust and helped team members feel competent and valued (Marlow et al., 2018). Structured communication rituals, such as regular debriefings and feedback loops, further built collective confidence.
- iii. **Trust:** Trust in fellow team members' competence and intentions was crucial for confidence development (Costa et al., 2022). Trust enabled greater collaboration, reduced fear of vulnerability, and promoted information sharing.
- iv. **Competence Recognition:** Teams that actively acknowledged individual contributions and celebrated small successes developed higher levels of team confidence (Khan et al., 2021).

Notably, several studies stressed that these factors must interact cohesively: leadership alone, without communication or trust, was insufficient for sustaining team confidence (Sun et al., 2020).

4.3 Impact Pathways to Organisational Performance

The analysis identified several pathways through which teamwork and confidence jointly impacted organisational performance:

- i. **Innovation:** Teams with high confidence levels and strong collaborative ties were more likely to engage in exploratory behaviour, propose novel ideas, and embrace failure as a learning opportunity (Shuffler et al., 2018; Pearsall et al., 2019).
- ii. **Productivity:** Confident teams efficiently allocated tasks, leveraged member strengths, and demonstrated higher resilience in the face of setbacks, leading to improved productivity (De Jong et al., 2021).
- iii. **Employee Engagement:** High levels of psychological safety and collective efficacy translated into greater employee satisfaction, lower turnover intentions, and deeper engagement with organisational goals (Sarkar & Fletcher, 2017).

Importantly, the pathways were often mediated by affective states (e.g., positive emotions, reduced anxiety) and moderated by contextual variables such as organisational culture and resource availability.

4.4 Moderators and Mediators

5 The relationship between teamwork, confidence, and performance was found to be influenced by several key mediators and moderators:

- i. **Organisational Culture:** Cultures that emphasised learning, innovation, and psychological safety strengthened the positive effects of teamwork and confidence (Edmondson & Lei, 2019).
- ii. **Leadership Style:** Transformational and participative leadership styles amplified the benefits of confidence and teamwork (Mathieu et al., 2019).
- iii. **Resource Availability:** Adequate resources (time, technology, training) buffered teams against stress and helped maintain confidence even during high-pressure projects (Shuffler et al., 2018).
- iv. **Task Complexity:** Under conditions of high task complexity, the role of collective confidence became even more critical for successful performance (Salas et al., 2018).

Thus, the effectiveness of teamwork and confidence in driving organisational outcomes was not uniform but heavily contingent on contextual and processual factors.

4.5 Proposed Conceptual Model

Drawing from the thematic synthesis, a conceptual model was developed²⁹ to illustrate the relationship between teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Conceptual model illustrating the relationship between teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance.

- i. **Inputs:** Leadership quality, communication structures, organisational culture, resource availability.
- ii. **Processes:** Teamwork (coordination, trust, communication) and Confidence (psychological safety, collective efficacy).
- iii. **Outputs:** Innovation, productivity, employee engagement.
- iv. **Moderators/Mediators:** Organisational culture, leadership style, task complexity.

The model emphasises that teamwork and confidence do not act independently but interact dynamically within organisational contexts, with environmental and leadership factors shaping their effects on key outcomes.

27 5. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the complex, reciprocal relationship between teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance. Consistent with prior literature, this research reaffirms that teamwork and confidence are not isolated constructs but dynamically interact to shape individual and collective outcomes in organisations (Mathieu et al., 2019; Salas et al., 2018).

5.1 Interpretation of Key Findings

The results revealed that effective teamwork promotes confidence at both the individual and collective levels through mechanisms such as trust-building, competence recognition, and open communication. These findings align with (Bandura's 2015) Self-efficacy Theory, highlighting the central role of belief in capability as a driver of motivation and action.

18 Additionally, the Input-Process-Output (IPO) Model (Ilgen et al., 2005) was supported by the data, with teamwork serving as both an input (through composition and skills) and a process (through coordination and communication) that together facilitate output (performance outcomes). Psychological safety (Edmondson & Lei, 2019) emerged as a critical mediator, creating an environment where confidence could thrive and innovative behaviours could flourish.

The proposed conceptual model illustrates that teamwork and confidence are not merely linear predictors of performance but are shaped by and interact with contextual moderators such as organisational culture, leadership style, and resource availability. This finding extends prior work by integrating context-specific variables into the understanding of how teamwork and confidence operate together (Costa et al., 2022; De Jong et al., 2021).

5.2 Contributions to Knowledge

Confirming Known Relationships

This study reaffirms established knowledge that trust, leadership, and communication quality are crucial antecedents of effective teamwork and team confidence (Salas et al., 2018; Gully et al., 2020). It reinforces the notion that high-confidence teams are better equipped to innovate, persist through adversity, and maintain high levels of engagement.

Extending Understanding

Importantly, the study advances existing knowledge by systematically linking teamwork and confidence as co-constructive forces that amplify organisational performance. Previous studies often examined these variables independently; by contrast, this study shows that their intersection creates emergent properties; such as collective resilience and enhanced innovation capability; that neither construct alone fully explains (Smith et al., 2022).

The integration of psychological safety as a mediator between teamwork and confidence presents a refined understanding of the mechanisms underlying successful team performance. It suggests that building psychological safety should be a strategic organisational priority alongside technical competence development.

5.3 Practical Implications for Managers and Organisations

From a practical perspective, the findings highlight several actionable insights for managers:

- i. **Leadership Development:** Organisations should invest in leadership training focused on fostering trust, promoting open communication, and recognising individual contributions. Leaders serve as pivotal actors in cultivating team confidence.
- ii. **Culture of Psychological Safety:** Managers should intentionally create environments where team members feel safe to express dissenting opinions, admit mistakes, and experiment with new ideas without fear of negative consequences.
- iii. **Team Design and Resource Support:** Thoughtful team composition, ensuring diverse competencies and balanced workloads, along with the provision of adequate resources, can significantly strengthen both teamwork and confidence.
- iv. **Performance Management:** Beyond traditional metrics, organisations should assess collective efficacy and psychological safety as leading indicators of long-term performance and resilience.

5.4 Theoretical Implications for Team Dynamics and Organisational Psychology

Theoretically, this study suggests that **teamwork** and **confidence** should not be viewed as static attributes but rather as dynamic processes that evolve through interaction and feedback loops. Future models of team dynamics should account for this fluidity, integrating psychological states like confidence into longitudinal frameworks of team development.

Moreover, the proposed conceptual model adds value by explicitly incorporating contextual moderators such as organisational culture and leadership style, which have often been under-theorised in prior teamwork-performance models (Sun et al., 2020; Shuffler et al., 2018).

Overall, the study contributes to a more holistic understanding of organisational behaviour by bridging psychological, relational, and structural dimensions of team functioning.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

6.1 Summary of Findings

This study set out to explore the dynamic relationship between teamwork, confidence, and organisational performance through a comprehensive content analysis of contemporary academic literature. The findings consistently affirm that effective teamwork; characterised by open communication, trust, and collaboration; fosters both individual and collective confidence, which in turn significantly enhances organisational outcomes such as innovation, productivity, and employee engagement.

Importantly, the results show that teamwork and confidence are **co-constructive forces**. They operate interactively rather than independently, creating feedback loops that reinforce team resilience and effectiveness. Contextual variables such as leadership style, organisational culture, and resource availability moderate these relationships, underscoring the need for holistic organisational strategies rather than isolated interventions.

The study contributes to organisational behaviour theory by proposing a conceptual model that captures the pathways through which teamwork and confidence impact performance, mediated by psychological safety and moderated by contextual factors.

6.2 Research Limitations

While the findings provide valuable insights, several limitations must be acknowledged:

- i. **Reliance on Secondary Data:** The study was based exclusively on existing literature, which may introduce publication bias and limit the depth of context-specific insights.
- ii. **Subjectivity in Coding:** Although strategies such as coder triangulation and reflective journaling were used to ensure rigour, thematic coding inherently involves a degree of interpretative subjectivity.
- iii. **Time Frame Restriction:** The focus on sources published between 2010 and 2025 may have excluded seminal works outside this range that could offer foundational perspectives.

Future research employing primary empirical data (e.g., surveys, experiments, longitudinal studies) would provide a more robust validation of the conceptual model proposed here.

6.3 Recommendations

For Future Empirical Research

- i. **Longitudinal Studies:** Future work should adopt longitudinal designs to capture the evolution of teamwork, confidence, and performance relationships over time.

- ii. **Cross-Cultural Studies:** Given that organisational culture influences psychological safety and team dynamics, cross-cultural comparisons would enrich understanding.
- iii. **Experimental Designs:** Controlled experiments manipulating variables such as leadership style or communication patterns could clarify causal relationships.

For Managerial Practices

- i. **Leadership Training:** Organisations should prioritise developing transformational leadership capacities that promote trust, communication, and competence recognition.
- ii. **Building Psychological Safety:** Initiatives to enhance psychological safety; such as leader inclusiveness training and team norms setting; should be institutionalised.
- iii. **Strategic Team Composition:** Managers should strategically compose teams based on a mix of competencies and psychological traits, ensuring the potential for high collective efficacy.

For Policy and Organisational Strategy

- i. **Embedding Psychological Constructs into HR Practices:** Metrics related to psychological safety and collective efficacy should be integrated into performance appraisals and team assessments.
- ii. **Resource Provision:** Organisations should ensure that teams have sufficient resources (technology, time, training) to maintain high levels of confidence and effective functioning, particularly under conditions of change or complexity.

By implementing these recommendations, organisations can create environments that not only maximise individual and team potential but also achieve sustained performance advantages in an increasingly dynamic global landscape.

References

- Bandura, A. (2015). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W.H. Freeman and Company.
- Costa, A. C., Roe, R. A., & Taillieu, T. (2022). Trust within teams: The relation with performance effectiveness. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 31(2), 183–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2021.2005927>
- De Jong, B. A., Dirks, K. T., & Gillespie, N. (2021). Trust and team performance: A meta-analytic test of the mediating role of trust and the moderating role of trust dispersion. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(4), 501–523. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000896>
- Edmondson, A. C., & Lei, Z. (2019). Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 6, 23–43. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012218-015836>

- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative content analysis: A focus on trustworthiness. *SAGE Open*, 4(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014522633>
- Gully, S. M., Incalcaterra, K. A., Joshi, A., & Beaubien, J. M. (2020). A meta-analysis of team-efficacy, potency, and performance: Interdependence and level of analysis as moderators of observed relationships. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(5), 438–457. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000437>
- Ilgen, D. R., Hollenbeck, J. R., Johnson, M., & Jundt, D. (2005). Teams in organizations: From input-process-output models to IMOI models. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 56, 517–543. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070250>
- Khan, A., Khan, S., Zia-ud-Din, M., & Khan, M. N. (2021). The impact of teamwork on employee performance. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(3), 792–808. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v11-i3/8886>
- Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Ilgen, D. R. (2021). Enhancing the effectiveness of work groups and teams: A review and synthesis. *Organizational Dynamics*, 50(2), 100800. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2020.100800>
- Marlow, S. L., Lacerenza, C. N., Paoletti, J., Burke, C. S., & Salas, E. (2018). Does team communication represent a one-size-fits-all approach? A meta-analysis of team communication and performance. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 144, 145–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2017.08.001>
- Mathieu, J. E., Gallagher, P. T., Domingo, M. A., & Klock, E. A. (2019). Embracing complexity: Reviewing the past decade of team effectiveness research. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 6, 17–46. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012218-015106>
- Mayring, P. (2015). *Qualitative content analysis: Theoretical foundation, basic procedures and software solution*. Beltz Verlag.
- Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847>
- Pearsall, M. J., Ellis, A. P., & Stein, J. H. (2019). Coping with challenge and hindrance stressors in teams: Behavioral, cognitive, and affective outcomes. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 9(2–3), 141–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2041386618793287>

- Salas, E., Reyes, D. L., & McDaniel, S. H. (2018). The science of teamwork: Progress, reflections, and the road ahead. *American Psychologist*, 73(4), 593–600.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000330>
- Sarkar, M., & Fletcher, D. (2017). Peak performance in sport: Identifying ideal performance states and developing athletes' psychological characteristics. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology*, 6(3), 235–250. <https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000091>
- Shuffler, M. L., DiazGranados, D., & Salas, E. (2018). There's a science for that: Team development interventions in organizations. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 27(6), 493–499. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721418797307>
- Smith, T. A., Gully, S. M., & DeRue, D. S. (2022). The dynamic interplay between team confidence and performance: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Management*, 48(5), 1357–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063211042534>
- Sun, L. Y., Aryee, S., & Law, K. S. (2020). High-performance human resource practices, citizenship behavior, and organizational performance: A relational perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 63(3), 864–888.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2018.0219>
- Zaccaro, S. J., Blair, V., Peterson, C., & Zazanis, M. (2020). Collective efficacy. In E. Salas, R. Rico, & J. Passmore (Eds.), *The Wiley Blackwell handbook of the psychology of team working and collaborative processes* (pp. 42–63). Wiley-Blackwell.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119171386.ch3>

Teamwork and Confidence: Its Impact on Organisational Performance – A Content Analysis Approach

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%

SIMILARITY INDEX

6%

INTERNET SOURCES

4%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

repository.up.ac.za

Internet Source

1%

2

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

<1%

3

Eduardo Salas, Gerald F. Goodwin, C. Shawn Burke. "Team Effectiveness In Complex Organizations - Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives and Approaches", Routledge, 2019

Publication

<1%

4

Neil D. Christiansen, Robert P. Tett. "Handbook of Personality at Work", Routledge, 2013

Publication

<1%

5

journals.sagepub.com

Internet Source

<1%

6

www.tandfonline.com

Internet Source

<1%

7

Submitted to Tampere University

Student Paper

<1%

8

Submitted to

Student Paper

<1%

9

Submitted to BPP College of Professional Studies Limited

Student Paper

<1%

Submitted to Management Resources College

10	Student Paper	<1 %
11	jyx.jyu.fi Internet Source	<1 %
12	Submitted to Singapore Management University Student Paper	<1 %
13	researchleap.com Internet Source	<1 %
14	africadailynews.net Internet Source	<1 %
15	lup.lub.lu.se Internet Source	<1 %
16	pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	<1 %
17	repository.fit.edu Internet Source	<1 %
18	www.emerald.com Internet Source	<1 %
19	Nikki Blacksmith, Maureen E. McCusker. "Data-Driven Decision Making in Entrepreneurship - Tools for Maximizing Human Capital", CRC Press, 2024 Publication	<1 %
20	dokumen.pub Internet Source	<1 %
21	journal.ijisnt.com Internet Source	<1 %
22	Submitted to Ikon Institute Student Paper	<1 %
23	amsdottorato.unibo.it Internet Source	<1 %

24	core.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
25	digital.library.adelaide.edu.au Internet Source	<1 %
26	purehost.bath.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
27	remittancesreview.com Internet Source	<1 %
28	repositorio.iscte-iul.pt Internet Source	<1 %
29	www.sbp-journal.com Internet Source	<1 %
30	www.scirp.org Internet Source	<1 %
31	Mateusz Hauk. "Role Performance of Individuals Working in Higher Education Institutions", Open Science Framework, 2025 Publication	<1 %
32	Xing Liu, Abere Kassa, Amanuel G. Tekleab. "Are intrateam trust and organizational trust substitutable? Effects on team reflexivity, engagement and performance", Journal of Business Research, 2025 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On