

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-52894

Date: 18-07-2025

Title: Confronting Socioeconomic Racism in the Context of Urban Flooding in the Northeast

Recommendation:

Accept as it is
 Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Techn. Quality				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Clarity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Significance			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Reviewer Name: Dr Ankur Jyoti Dutta

Date: 18-07-2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

- The abstract needs to be written in a proper research paper manner as in the current stage the abstract does not highlight the significance of the study.
- The table of contents can be removed as it is not part of a research paper.
- Minor restructuring of the paper is required as in the current stage the paper looks more sort of a article with major grammatical errors.
- The referencing of the paper needs to be done as per the journals guidelines, Also the current referencing seems to be quite less which needs to be expanded

Detailed Reviewer's Report

1. The abstract of the paper should be written in a manner elaborating the aim and approach to the research while also simultaneously highlighting its significance.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

2. Proper division of headings need to be done as the journals guidelines which seems to be missing.
3. The images and tables needs to be sourced properly along with mentioning their significance and relevance with a line or two.
4. As the paper included tables and their results, an appendix part needs to be included which will state the formulas along with their parameters of selection and findings.
5. The discussions and conclusion part can be separated and can be elaborated further to highlight their understanding and significance.
6. Certain parts like literature and findings needs to be re-arranged so that it forms a better narration with the overall research.