

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-52973

Date: 26-07-2025

Title: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr Abdul Hameed Shah

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

Title Evaluation

The title is clear, topical, and relevant. It highlights the intersection between two major and evolving fields—Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and Artificial Intelligence (AI). The title indicates a legal-technical discussion suitable for academic, policy, or legal readership.

Abstract Evaluation

The abstract effectively introduces Intellectual Property as a human-centered concept and establishes the central question of the paper: the legal and policy challenges posed by AI in the domain of IPR. The distinction drawn between human intellect and AI-generated outputs is clearly articulated. The abstract also references relevant Indian legislation (e.g., the Patents Act, 1970) and includes a real-life example that supports the context. The mention of the *Thaler vs. Comptroller General of Patents* case helps ground the discussion in global legal precedent.

The tone is accessible and informative. The abstract successfully conveys the core issues without excessive jargon, making it suitable for a broad readership.

Introduction Evaluation

The introduction expands well on the abstract, situating AI in the broader context of digital transformation. It reflects on AI's influence in various sectors and its growing creative capacity. The concern over authorship, ownership, and accountability in the face of AI's evolving capabilities is well framed.

The final line raises a thought-provoking legal and ethical concern: responsibility in cases of plagiarism when AI is involved in content generation. This helps to anchor the relevance of the topic and transitions smoothly into the core subject of AI and IPR.

Content and Thematic Focus

The paper clearly presents its central theme: how AI interacts with, challenges, and supports existing

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

frameworks of IPR. The emphasis is on the Indian context but includes international reference points, such as the *Thaler* case. It underscores the idea that AI, while powerful and capable of generating innovative outputs, cannot be granted authorship or inventorship under current legal definitions, which prioritize human intellect.

The example of Munir Khan and his innovation through a start-up adds an applied dimension to the discussion and illustrates the collaborative potential of AI tools with human ingenuity.

Legal and Conceptual Clarity

The manuscript presents the concepts of copyright, patenting, and authorship with clarity. It distinguishes between human-driven and machine-assisted creation, which is central to the legal debate. The statement that AI acts as a “tool” and “product of human intellect” captures the essence of how existing IPR frameworks view AI involvement.

Use of Examples and References

The paper effectively uses examples—both real (Munir Khan's award-winning invention) and legal (*Thaler vs. Comptroller*)—to contextualize the argument. These references anchor the discussion in real-world developments and judicial precedent, enhancing the paper's credibility and relevance.

Language and Tone

The language is generally clear and understandable. The tone remains informative and objective, maintaining a balance between academic exposition and accessibility. The paper avoids excessive technicality, which broadens its appeal to non-specialist readers interested in legal, ethical, or technological issues.

Conclusion

Overall, the manuscript offers a timely and coherent review of the interplay between Intellectual Property Rights and Artificial Intelligence. It successfully highlights the legal limitations, practical challenges, and policy implications of recognizing AI-generated works within the current IPR framework. The inclusion of Indian legal perspectives, global case law, and real-life examples makes the discussion relevant, grounded, and insightful.