

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-52976

Date: 25-07-2025

**Title: COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF FRACTURE RESISTANCE OF MANDIBULAR BICUSPIDS INSTRUMENTED WITH HAND FILES, TRUNATOMY, ROTARY FILE AND RECIPROCATING FILE SYSTEM: AN IN VITRO STUDY**

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is .....YES.....  
 Accept after minor revision.....  
 Accept after major revision .....  
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			YES	
Techn. Quality		YES		
Clarity			YES	
Significance		YES		

Reviewer Name: Dr Payal Adwani (PT)

Date: 25-07-2025

### Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

This in vitro study offers a comparative evaluation of fracture resistance in mandibular premolars prepared with various root canal file systems, including traditional hand files and advanced NiTi rotary/reciprocating systems.

The manuscript is relevant to endodontic practice, as it evaluates the effect of canal preparation technique on structural integrity.

The article follows a well-defined methodology and yields clinically meaningful insights, especially in favor of minimally tapered instrumentation.

However, revisions are needed to improve clarity, statistical reporting, and scientific rigor.

### Detailed Reviewer's Report

#### Appraisals / Strengths

##### ● Clinical Relevance:

The topic addresses a key concern in endodontics—how different file systems influence root fracture resistance, a common cause of post-treatment failure.

##### ● Clear Objective and Hypothesis:

The aim is well stated, and the experimental setup directly supports the hypothesis.

##### ● Diverse File System Comparison:

The inclusion of five different file systems (Hand files, TruNatomy, Protaper Universal, EndoStar E3, WaveOne Gold) gives a broad comparative understanding.

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

- **Standardized Protocol:**

Samples were decoronated to 14 mm and embedded in acrylic with simulation of periodontal ligament, ensuring uniformity in testing conditions.

- **Use of Universal Testing Machine:**

Objective fracture resistance testing provides reliable quantitative results.

- **Statistical Tools Used Appropriately:**

One-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test were employed for intergroup comparison.

- **Practical Implications:**

Findings favoring minimally tapered files (e.g., TruNatomy and Hand files) can guide clinical decision-making to preserve tooth structure.

### **Critiques / Limitations**

- **Lack of Detailed Statistical Reporting:**

Though statistical tests are mentioned, specific p-values, confidence intervals, or error bars are not reported. This weakens the analytical transparency.

- **Sample Size Justification Missing:**

No mention of power analysis or rationale behind using 10 samples per group, which may impact the study's validity.

- **Grammar and Language Issues:**

Several instances of grammatical errors, incomplete sentences, and informal phrasing (e.g., "thus takes together all these findings...") detract from the professionalism of the article.

- **Figures and Tables Not Well Integrated:**

Figure captions are mentioned but visuals are not embedded meaningfully in the narrative. A consolidated table of results would enhance clarity.

- **Inadequate Discussion Depth:**

The discussion primarily repeats results with limited critical comparison with existing literature. Deeper engagement with references would strengthen the manuscript.

- **Limited External Validity:**

As an in vitro study, real-world variables (e.g., occlusal forces, patient variability) are not accounted for. This should be acknowledged more explicitly.

- **Terminology Inconsistencies:**

Repeated switching between file brand names and general categories (e.g., WaveOne Gold vs. Reciprocating file) can confuse readers unfamiliar with systems.

- **Ethical Clearance and Source of Teeth:**

The source of extracted teeth and ethical clearance status is not stated clearly—a standard requirement for studies involving human tissue.

# International Journal of Advanced Research

**Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP**

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## **REVIEWER'S REPORT**

- **Lack of Imaging or Dentin Crack Evaluation:**

While fracture resistance is tested, no radiographic or microscopic analysis is done to correlate crack propagation with instrument design.