

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: **IJAR-52987**

Date: 24/07/2025

Title: The Impact Of Student Councils' Involvement In Making Decisions and Prefecture System In Selected Secondary Public Schools In Nairobi County, Kenya

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

√Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		√		
Techn. Quality			√	
Clarity		√		
Significance		√		

Reviewer Name: Dr Touseef Malik

Date: 25/07/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

This manuscript explores a relevant and critical aspect of school governance and student discipline management. The paper provides useful insights into the comparative effectiveness of student councils versus traditional prefecture systems. However, it requires major revisions, particularly in methodological clarity and literature coherence.

Recommendation: Accept after minor revision

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths:

- Relevant Topic:** Addresses significant issues concerning student discipline and democratic participation in schools.
- Practical Implications:** Provides actionable recommendations that school administrators can implement to enhance student participation and discipline.
- Comparative Analysis:** Effectively contrasts the students' council system with the prefecture system, highlighting the strengths of democratic student involvement.
- Strong Contextual Foundation:** Clearly defines the local context (Nairobi County) and the urgency of addressing indiscipline.
- Comprehensive Approach:** Integrates qualitative and quantitative methods effectively, offering a balanced analysis.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Weaknesses:

1. **Methodological Issues:** The sampling method described is somewhat unclear and inconsistent. Clarification and detailed justification for using purposive and stratified sampling are required.
2. **Literature Review Coherence:** Although extensive literature is cited, the integration and logical flow between cited studies could be improved to establish stronger theoretical coherence.
3. **Data Presentation:** Several tables and figures lack detailed interpretation. Clearer explanations connecting results to research objectives would improve readability and comprehension.
4. **Language and Grammar:** Several grammatical and syntactical errors hinder clarity. A thorough proofread by a professional editor is recommended.
5. **Limited Discussion on Limitations:** The manuscript lacks adequate acknowledgment and discussion of its limitations and potential biases.