

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-53019

Date: July 26, 2025

**Title:** Effects of calcination temperature on the catalytic activity of CaO synthesized by alkaline precipitation in the transesterification reaction,

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is .....

**Accept after minor revision**.....

Accept after major revision .....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		X		
Techn. Quality		X		
Clarity			X	
Significance			X	

Reviewer Name: Dr Lakhdar Guerine  
2025

**Date:** July 26,

## Detailed Reviewer's Report

This study evaluates the effect of calcination temperature (750, 850, and 950 °C) on the catalytic activity of CaO synthesized by alkaline precipitation from CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The catalyst was applied in the transesterification of soybean oil to produce biodiesel (FAME). Results show that all powders exhibited catalytic activity, with FAME yields ranging from 65% to 91%. CaO calcined at 850 °C demonstrated the best stability, maintaining yields above 85% even after two reuses. However, overall process yields varied between 33% and 60%, indicating losses during purification steps. The findings confirm the potential of CaO as a heterogeneous catalyst while highlighting the need to improve reusability and minimize losses.

### Strengths

- Optimal temperature identified (850 °C), ensuring high activity and reusability.

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

- b) Simple and cost-effective synthesis method for CaO.
- c) High FAME yields (up to 91%) during the first use.
- d) Comprehensive experimental characterization (XRD, viscosity, yield).

### Weaknesses

- 1) Significant losses during purification steps, leading to low overall process yield.
- 2) Limited reusability; performance drops after two cycles.
- 3) No detailed analysis of surface area and porosity of catalysts.
- 4) Formation of  $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$  and  $\text{CaCO}_3$  due to air exposure, reducing catalyst purity.

Recommendation: Minor revision

### Formatting and layout issues

- 1) Misplaced Figures 3 and 4, disrupting the reading flow.
- 2) Lack of tables, making numerical comparison difficult.

### Incomplete discussion

- a) No detailed analysis of textural properties (surface area, porosity).
- b) No quantitative comparison with other studies to contextualize performance.

### Language and scientific style

- 1) Some sentences are overly descriptive rather than analytical.