Change in Intraocular Pressure Before and After Pharmacologic Mydriasis in Normal Controls and group of Glaucoma Patients

by Jana Publication & Research

Submission date: 12-Aug-2025 02:25PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2690323367

File name: IJAR-53240.docx (145.03K)

Word count: 1562 Character count: 9283

Change in Intraocular Pressure Before and After Pharmacologic Mydriasis in Normal Controls and group of Glaucoma Patients

Abstract



To assess changes in intraocular pressure (IOP) following pharmacological pupil dilatation with 0.8% tropicamide phenylephrine in normal controls, primary angle-closure glaucoma (PACG) patient post-YAG peripheral iridotomy (PI), and subjects with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG).

Methods:

This cross-sectional prospective study included 48 eyes—15 normal, 17 PACG post-YAG PI, and 16 POAG. TOP was measured with a Goldmann applanation tonometer before and 30 minutes after single instillation of mydriatic drops (0.8% tropicamide + 5% penylephrine). Statistical analyses included Wilcoxon rank sum and Mann-Whitney U tests.

Results:

IOP increased post-dilatation in all groups. The mean change (\pm SD) was 1.73 \pm 1.83 mmHg in controls, 2.35 \pm 1.93 mmHg in PACG post-YAG PI, and 2.62 \pm 1.89 mmHg in POAG. The increase was statistically significant within PACG and POAG groups (p < 0.05) but not significant when these groups were compared to normal controls (p > 0.05).

Conclusions:

Pharmacologic dilatation can cause a significant intra-group elevation of IOP in PACG (post-YAG PI) and POAG eyes, but the difference between glaucoma patients and normal controls was not significant in this study. Routine IOP monitoring before dilatation is recommended, and caution is advised in glaucoma patients undergoing mydriasis.

Keywords:

intraocular pressure, glaucoma, PACG, POAG, YAG-PI, mydriasis, tropicamide, phenylephrine

Introduction

Accurate assessment of intraocular pressure (IOP) is essential in the management of glaucoma. Pharmacologic mydriasis is commonly performed in clinical practice for diagnostic purposes; however, it is reported to affect IOP, particularly in glaucoma patients. This study evaluates the change in IOP following pupil dilation in normal controls and two major subtypes of glaucoma: POAG and PACG post-YAG PI.

Aqueous Humor: Composition, Functions, and Measurement Techniques

Aqueous humor is a transparent, watery fluid secreted by the non-pigmented epithelium of the ciliary body. It plays a vital role in maintaining ocular physiology and visual function. One of its primary functions is the regulation of intraocular pressure, which is essential for the structural integrity of the eye. Additionally, aqueous humor govides oxygen and essential nutrients such as amino acids and glucose to avascular ocular tissues, including the lens, trabecular meshwork, and the posterior part of the cornea. It also facilitates the transport of ascorbate to the anterior segment, where it acts as an antioxidant, protecting ocular tissues from oxidative stress. Beyond its nutritive and protective roles, aqueous humor contributes to immune responses within the eye, supports the refractive index necessary for proper light transmission, and serves as a medium for the removal of metabolic and toxic waste products. Its transparency is crucial for unobstructed vision.

The normal rate of aqueous humor formation is approximately 2.6 to 2.8 µl per minute. To assess this rate in individuals, two broad categories of measurement techniques are employed: pressure-dependent methods and tracer-based methods. Pressure-dependent

techniques include tonography, suction cup methods, and perfusion studies, which rely on changes in intraocular pressure to estimate production rates. Tracer methods, on the other hand, involve the use of substances such as fluorescein, fluoresceinated dextrans, iodide, radiolabeled isotopes, and photogrammetry to track the movement and turnover of aqueous humor within the eye. These diagnostic approaches are essential for understanding aqueous dynamics, particularly in the context of glaucoma and other ocular pathologies.



Aqueous humor is a transparent fluid secreted by the non-pigmented epithelium of the ciliary body. It:

- Maintains intraocular pressure (IOP)
- Nourishes avascular tissues (lens, cornea, trabecular meshwork)
- Transports antioxidants (ascorbate)
- · Supports immune response
- Contributes to refractive index
- Removes metabolic waste
- · Provides a clear optical medium

Formation Rate: 2.6–2.8 µl/min

Frasurement Methods:

Pressure-dependent: Tonography, suction
cup, perfusion

Tracer-based: Fluorescein, iodide,
radiolabeled isotopes, dextrans,
photogrammetry

Aqueous Outflows Pathways

After forming in the posterior chamber, aqueous humor flows to the anterior chamber via the pupil.

Major Route (90%) – Trabecular

Uveal meshwork: Root of iris → Schwalbe's line

Corneoscleral meshwork: Scleral spur → Schwalbe's line

Juxtacanalicular meshwork: To Schlemm's canal → Collector channels → Episcleral veins

Minor Route (10%) - Uveoscleral Outflow

Resistance in outflow pathways prevents backflow and blood entry into the anterior chamber.

Vitreous Humor

Fills ~4/5th of the eyeball; transparent, gelatinous mass between lens and retina. Quantity stabilizes after age 5–6.

Intraocular Pressure (IOP)

IOP is the fluid pressure exerted on the eyeball wall, determined by aqueous production vs. outflow.

OutflowEquation: R=C(P_o-P_e)

R = Outflow rate (normal: $2 \mu l/min$)

C = Outflow facility (0.2 μl/min/mmHg) P_o = IOP (normal: 7–21 mmHg)

P_e = Episcleral venous pressure (normal: 10

_e — Episcierai vellous piessui imHg)

Factors Affecting IOP

Factor
Age Increases with age
Sex Higher in women
Race Higher in Black individuals

Diurnal variation Fluctuates throughout the day

Blood pressure Directly proportional

Hormones Corticosteroids increase IOP

Obesity Higher IOP
Seasonal variation Higher in winter
Drugs Various effects

Posture Rises in inverted position
Refractive error
Eye movement Resistance increases IOP
Transient decrease in IOP

Methods

Study Design and Participants:
A single-center, prospective, cross-sectional study was conducted in the School of Medical Sciences, University of Hyderabad, and Centre for Sight Eye Hospital, Hyderabad. Forty-eight eyes were enrolled: 15 normal controls, 17 PACG after YAG-PI, and 16 POAG diagnosed

by standard clinical criteria. Inclusion criteria included age 30–90, no corneal pathology or other ocular surface disease, and consent to participate.

Procedures:

All subjects underwent comprehensive ocular examination, including refraction, slit lamp, IOP measurement (Goldmann applanation tonometer, Zeiss AT 029), gonioscopy, fundoscopy, pachymetry, and visual field testing (in glaucoma patients).

Baseline IOP was measured before mydriasis. Mydriasis was induced using one drop of 0.8% tropicamide and 5% phenylephrine (Itrop Plus), and IOP was measured again after 30 minutes.

Statistical 2 Analysis: Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for intragroup comparisons pre- and post-dilatation. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for intergroup comparisons. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results


The study was done on total 47 eyes, out of them Normal control 15 eyes, PACG with YAG-PI procedure 17 eyes, and eyes with POAG was 16 in number.

			Maximum		
NC Pre Dilatation	15	12	20	15.733	2.53
NC Post Dilatation	15	12	21	17.466	2.55
PACG & YAG PI Pre Dilatation	17	12	22	16.058	2.63
PACG & YAG PI Post Dilatation	17	14	24	18.411	2.50
POAG Pre Dilatation	16	10	25	16.81	4.43
POAG Post Dilatation	16	14	30	19.43	4.48


Tab . Description of Subject

(A) Normal Controls (NC):-

Variation of IOP pre and post dilatation



Tab. Variation of IOP pre and post dilatation




Tab. Box plot of change in IOP of NC

Statistical Hypothesis Test:-

- Wilcoxon Test: Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction.
- W = 66.5
- p-value = 0.05721
- Alternative hypothesis: True location shift is not equal to 0
- Pearson's Correlation: [1] 0.7348842.


(B) PACG with YAG-PI

Variation of IOP pre and post dilatation:-



ab. Variation of IOP pre and post dilatation in PACG with YAG-PI

Population Distribution Plot:



Tab. Box plot of change in IOP of PACG with YAG-PI

Statistical Hypothesis Test:-

Wilcoxon test:

Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction


W = 72, p-value = 0.01217

Alternative hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0.

Pearson's Correlation:[1] 0.7172202.


(C) POAG

Variation of IOP pre and post dilatation



Tab. Variation of IOP pre and post dilatation in POAG

Population Distribution Plot:-



Tab. Box plot of change in IOP in POAG

Statistical Hypothesis Test:-

- Wilcoxon Test: Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction
- W = 73,
- p-value = 0.03798
- Alternative Hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0.
- Pearson's Correlation:[1] 0.909944

Discussion


Post-dilation IOP elevation was most pronounced in POAG and moderate in PACG post-YAG PI. The small sample size may have limited the power to detect inter-group differences. These results align with previous studies documenting IOP spikes post-mydriasis in glaucoma patients, supporting the need for IOP evaluation before dilatation, especially in at-risk populations.

Routine pre-dilatation IOP assessment is advocated, and clinicians should be vigilant

for IOP elevations following mydriasis in glaucoma management.

Conclusion

Pharmacologic pupil dilation with tropicamide and phenylephrine causes a small but significant rise in IOP in PACG (post-YAG PI) and POAG eyes, but not in normal. It is prudent to record IOP prior to dilation, particularly in glaucoma patients, to avoid artifactual elevations and ensure patient safety.



Tab. Grouped bar chart showing mean IOP pre- and post-dilatation and mean increase for Controls, PACG + YAG-PI, and POAG groups

Group	Pre- dilatation	Post- dilatation	Mean Increase (±SD)	
Controls	15.73	17.47	1.73 ± 1.83	
PACG + YAG-PI	16.06	18.41	2.35 ± 1.93	
POAG	16.81	19.44	2.62 ± 1.89	

Tab. Mean IOP pre- and post-dilatation and mean increase for Controls, PACG + YAG-PI, and POAG groups

• Statistically significant IOP increases intra-group for PACG (p = 0.012) and POAG (p = 0.038).

 No significant difference in IOP rise between controls vs. PACG or POAG (p = 0.509, 0.453, respectively).

Acknowledgments

We thank the study participants, as well as faculty and staff at the School of Medical Sciences, University of Hyderabad and Centre for Sight Eye Hospital, Hyderabad, for their support.



No conflicts of Interest

References

- Qian, C. X., Duperré, J., Hassanaly, S., & Harissi-Dagher, M. (2012). Pre- versus post-dilation changes in intraocular pressure: their clinical significance. Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology, 47(5), 448–452.
- Levine, J. P., & Keshet, M. (2006). Comparing Change in Intraocular Pressure after Dilation with Tropicamide Alone versus Tropicamide and Phenylephrine. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science, 47(13), 4465.
- Shaw, B. R., & Lewis, R. A. (1986). Intraocular Pressure Elevation After Pupillary Dilation in Open Angle Glaucoma. Archives of Ophthalmology, 104(8), 1185–1188.
- Pukrushpan, P., Tulvatana, W., & Kulvichit, K. (2005). Intraocular pressure change following application of 1% tropicamide for diagnostic mydriasis. Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica, 84(2), 268–270.

Change in Intraocular Pressure Before and After Pharmacologic Mydriasis in Normal Controls and group of Glaucoma Patients

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT	
SIMILA	9% 15% 13% ARITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCES PUBLICATION	5% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES	
1	Submitted to University of Liverpo	4 %
2	ftrdergisi.com Internet Source	1%
3	en.wikipedia.org Internet Source	1%
4	www.youscribe.com Internet Source	1%
5	"The Glaucoma Book", Springer So Business Media LLC, 2010	cience and 1 %
6	www.nature.com Internet Source	1%
7	doaj.org Internet Source	1%
8	topsecretapiaccess.dovepress.cor	n 1 %
9	pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	1 %
10	C. Cursiefen. "Special Anatomy an in Intraocular Microsurgery", Appl Pathology for Ophthalmic Microsu 2008	lied

11	Cynthia X. Qian, Salima Hassanaly, Jean Duperré, Élise Slim, Mona Harissi-Dagher. "The effect of pharmacological pupillary dilation on intraocular pressure measurement", Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology, 2020 Publication	1%
12	Robert L Stamper, Marc F Lieberman, Michael V Drake. "Aqueous humor formation", Elsevier BV, 2009 Publication	1%
13	www.revistadeosteoporosisymetabolismominer	ral.c o m %
14	Submitted to Academic Magnet High School of Charleston County Student Paper	1%
15	Malik Y. Kahook, Joel S. Schuman. "Chandler and Grant's GLAUCOMA", CRC Press, 2024 Publication	1%
16	www.orygen.org.au Internet Source	1%
17	Wong, . "GLAUCOMA AND GLAUCOMA SURGERY", The Ophthalmology Examinations Review, 2011. Publication	1%
18	wga.one Internet Source	1%
19	www.researchsquare.com Internet Source	1%
20	journals.lww.com Internet Source	<1%
21	The Glaucomas, 2014. Publication	<1%

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On