Apophatic Rationalism in Anglophone Philosophical Theology: Historical Assessment and Theoretical Outcomes

i i chiises i. two ixappi ochement	Premises	I:	two	Rap	prochement	S
------------------------------------	-----------------	----	-----	-----	------------	---

5	In the Anglophone intellectual world since the 1990s we witness a widespread movement of
6	the academia from analytical philosophy more and more into continental philosophy, since
7	neither themes, nor style, nor logics are effective criteria to distinguish the two, but the two
8	came about because of historical circumstances, mainly the Second World War.[1] What
9	really used to distinguish them was the hostility of analytical philosophy towards history of
10	philosophy.[2] An hostility still present but quickly vanishing, [3] so that, hopefully, less and
11	less 'continental' philosophy will be confined to Nietzsche, Heidegger, Adorno, Foucault,
12	Derrida, Ricoeur, Guattari, Lacan, Levinas and Deleuze, but will also include Descartes,
13	Malebranche, Galilei, Spinoza, Grotius, Bodin, Leibniz, Wolf, Kant, Rousseau, Voltaire,
14	Vico, Hegel, Fichte, Comte, Croce and Freud (without Freud, why Ricouer and Lacan, after
15	all?).
16	In these last names I did not put Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Epicurus, Marcus Aurelius,
17	Seneca, Epictetus, Alexander of Aphrodisias, Plotinus, Porphyry, Augustine of Hippo, let
18	alone Boethius, Anselm, Abelard, Bonaventure, Scotus, Occam and Aquinas because their
19	status is not well defined. Are they 'continental' or not? The answer is not easy, one can see.
20	However in the Anglanham would the ancient whilesomhers were included in the actor convert
20 21	However, in the Anglophone world the ancient philosophers were included in the category of 'classics' together with Homer, Virgil and Thucydides. And the medieval ones were studied
22	by the Catholic clergy in their seminaries and universities.
~~	by the Catholic clergy in their seminaries and aniversities.
23	Here (speaking of the Dark Ages and the Middle Ages) we deal with the overlapping
24	intellectual space between philosophy and theology, but in the Anglophone world in almost
25	all the 20 th century the study of philosophy was not esteemed as an important element in the
26	theological syllabus.[4]
27	The situation in the 21 st century has greatly changed, and now in the Anglophone
28	departments of theology the chairs of philosophy multiplied.
29	What philosophy however? And, if the medieval were right saying <i>philosophia ancilla</i>
30	theologiae(est), what theology then?

This survey about the rapprochement between analytical philosophy and 'continental'

philosophy (that is, history of philosophy) and about the rapprochement between theology

and philosophy (500 years after the Reformation) must now continue focusing a particular

33 34

35

36

31

32

1

2

3

4

kind of philosophy.

- 37 At the end of the last century in two eminent scholarly journals of the Anglophone world, the
- 38 American *The Monist* and the British *New Blackfriars*,[5]two monographic issues treated the
- encounter between Thomism and 'modern philosophy', that is analytical philosophy ("I
- believe it has a claim to be the prime continuant of Western philosophical rationalism"[6]).
- John Haldane summarised the 700- year history of Thomism showing how much neo-
- Thomism addressed the same philosophical issues of both 'continental' [7] and 'analytical' [8]
- 43 philosophy.
- In fact, at least as for the analytical side, considering the list of these analytical Thomists
- 45 (David Braine, Brian Davies, Terry Eagleton, Peter Geach, John Haldane, Jonathan Jacobs,
- 46 Anthony Kenny, Fergus Kerr, Elizabeth Anscombe, Alasdair MacIntyre, Herbert McCabe,
- 47 Robert Pasnau, Craig Paterson, Eleonore Stump, Stephen Theron, Denys Turner, Michael
- 48 Thompson) we find some of the most gifted philosophical minds of the last 30 years in the
- 49 Anglophone world.
- Almost 20 years later, Alfred J. Freddoso registered a revival of Thomism on the Anglo-
- American philosophy[9] presenting two substantial examples books by analytical
- 52 philosophers who turns into Thomist without any previous Thomistic apprentisage, in the
- very same way of MacIntyre: David Oderberg's Real Essentialism, "which contains a
- brilliant and extended defense of undiluted Aristotelian-Thomistic metaphysics, along with a
- critique of a wide array of alternative positions on various metaphysical issues proposed in
- the current literature in analytic metaphysics". And "Edward Feser's Scholastic Metaphysics:
- 57 A Contemporary Introduction, /.../which had Amazon numbers almost unheard of for books
- 58 in philosophy and was second on the metaphysics textbook list only to Sartre's *Being and*
- 59 Nothingness!) /.../ In addition to the contemporary analytic literature on metaphysics, Feser
- engages and draws upon the very same 20th century Thomistic textbooks that the repudiators
- of Thomism in the 1960's considered an embarrassment. For me it is quite exhilarating to see
- 62 the likes of Henry Koren, George Klubertanz, Charles Hart, and (of course) Reginald
- 63 Garrigou-Lagrange drawn into dialogue with analytic philosophers such as Anthony Kenny,
- 64 David Armstrong, and David Lewis".
- This commitment of Anglophone philosophers to this particular 'continental' philosophy
- which is Thomism can be contrasted with another Anglophone commitment to another
- 67 'continental' philosophy, that is, the so called 'critical theory' or also called 'post-
- 68 structuralism', which stems from the Marxist 'Frankfurt school' and can be considered a
- 69 revival of it.
- 70 It enrols Martin Heidegger, Jean Baudrillard, Michel Foucault, Jürgen Habermas, Noam
- 71 Chomsky, Hans-Georg Gadamer, Roland Barthes, Giorgio Agamben, Pierre Bourdieau,
- Jacques Lacan, Alfred Lorenzer, Slavoj Žižek. The Anglophone campion of this was Richard
- Horty, the first Anglophone thinker to reconcile right-wing Heidegger with left-wing Marx.
- 74 These two different revivals are due to the encounter between Anglophone and continental
- 75 philosophies and are relevant, as we will see later, for my assessment of 'apophatic theology'
- 76 which now I focus on.

Premises III: A Distinction of groups

- 79 Theology focuses on God and mostly relies on revealed dogmas of historical faiths, mainly
- 80 the Christian faith. But it has an overlapping area with philosophy, the so-called
- 81 philosophical theology, started by the ancient heathen Greek philosophers, continuing up till
- 82 today and not relying on faith.

78

- However, all theology, be it revealed or philosophical, is a reasoning and, therefore, needs a
- 84 consistent system of reasonings, that is, it needs a philosophy.
- 85 In the last 25 years, in the Anglophone academic world a traditional distinction within
- theology between a kataphatic one and an apophatic one has been resumed and endowed an
- 87 increasing interest. Stephen H. Webb, John Hick, Alvin Plantinga, Richard Swinburne and
- 88 Eleonore Stump[10] are examples of non-apophatic theologians, the authors we are going to
- see are, instead, apophatic theologians.
- 90 I think that it is worth doing a further distinction within apophatic theology. Bruce Milem in
- 91 his 2007 article on negative theology distinguishes four kinds of it, but eventually groups
- 92 three of them into one so that there are just two main kinds left: a subjective one and an
- objective one.[11] I prefer say that we have a 'mystical' or 'irrationalist' apophatic theology
- and a 'rationalist' apophatic theology.
- 95 The former has got already a sort of assessment in the historical reconstruction by Jeffery L.
- 96 Kosky in 2000[12] where the beginning of it is traced back to Gregory of Nyssa, Pseudo-
- 97 Dyonisius, Meister Eckart, Nicholas of Cusa and then Heidegger, Balthasar, Jean-Luc
- 98 Marion, Maurice Blanchot, Derrida, Arthur Bradley, John Caputo, Cheryl Glenn, Leslie Hill,
- 99 Stephen Katz, Kevin Hart, Levinas. We have the 2016 book of Agata Wilzek, focused on
- Derrida[13] and the 2009 collective book *Apophatic Bodies*[14] whose contributors are:
- 101 Catherine Keller, Patricia Cox Miller, Charles M. Stang, Virginia Burrus, Karmen
- MacKendrick, Kathryn Tanner, David L. Miller, Elliott R. Wolsfom, Roland Faber, Philip
- 103 Clayton, Graham Ward, T. Wilson Dickinson, Rose Ellen Dunn, Jon Caputo, Krista E.
- Hughes.
- It is easy to see how much this apophatic theology relies on the critical theory / post-
- 106 structuralist philosophy.
- 107 What about the latter, that is the objective or rationalist Anglophone Apophatic Theology?
- Here above I have distinguished several groups of scholars: analytical and continental
- philosophers; analytical Thomistic philosophers and critical-theory philosophers;
- Anglophone philosophers and Anglophone theologians; kataphatic theologians and apophatic
- theologians; irrationalist apophatic theologians and rationalist apophatic theologians. Any in
- depth study should focus on this last sub-group.

113

- I know that there is a radical rationalist apophatic theology in Europe, I think of Miguel Perez
- de Laborda. But this study I am speaking of should focus on Anglophone Rationalist
- Apophatic Theology (ARAT), because my extended study on Herbert McCabebrought to me
- to study and contact these theologians . They are Thomist, but of a kind of Thomism
- different from the mainstream which is esemplified by the handbooks of Cardinal Mercier,
- Louis Boyer, Reginald Garrigou-Lagrange and Richard Phillips. They are sometimes called –
- as we have seen already "Analytical Thomists".
- The following is a draft historical framework.
- 123- The forerunners were the Canadian Bernard Lonergan and the British Victor White. Both
- of them, despite Anglophone, had been educated before the Forties and the split between
- analytical and continental philosophy. Lonergan studied theology at the Gregorian University
- in Rome and was drawn towards Thomism by the Jesuit Peter Hoenen [15].
- 127- Victor White was the intellectual mentor of Herbert McCabe[16] and Bernard Lonergan was the
- intellectual mentor of David Burrell[17]
- 129- McCabe and Burrell were much more successful than their mentors in spreading their rationalist
- apophatic theology, because the different social and cultural atmosphere allowed them to be
- in dialogue with the secular philosophies of their time, such as Wittgenstein's and De
- Saussure's linguistics, Freudian psychoanalysis, Marxism. Aquinas was their main author,
- but they did not considered themselves Thomists, in order to signify their openness to other
- philosophies.
- 135- McCabe had kindred spirits in the 'analytical Thomists' Alastair MacIntyre and Anthony
- Kenny, who highly inspired him (and they were by him) but never ventured into the realms of
- theology, remaining stuck just to the philosophical fields.
- 138- McCabe had also disciples: Terry Eagleton, Deny Turner and Brian Davies. The first and the
- third are just philosophers, but the second is a theologian too. And my hypothesis is that it
- was him the most effective divulger of McCabe's and Burrell's apophatic theology. Till his
- 141 1998 book *The Darkness of God: Negativity in Christian Mysticism*, as it appears from the
- title itself, he was still hanging on the mainstream idea that 'apophaticism' was the theology
- of the mystics. But reading it you realise that his approach was already strongly rationalist.
- Turner adopts what he has learned from McCabe and so presents mysticism not as an esoteric
- non-rational private experience but as an exoteric theological teaching about the
- unknowability of God. It is what 'the Latin tradition of Christianity called the via negativa'.
- 147- And so Turner was understanding that there was a rationalist stream of apophatic theology
- starting from Aquinas himself. In his 2008 book Faith, Reason and the Existence of God he
- fully presented a clear Thomistic (that is, rationalist) apophatic theology. An in his 2013
- 150 Thomas Aquinas a Portrait he writes: "That lucidity is truly exceptional. By comparison Duns
- 151 Scotus throttles thought in an entanglement of complexity, Augustine is dense, Bonaventure
- imprecise, Meister Eckhart elusive. Thomas is transparent".(36)

- 153- Together with Oliver Davies Turner edited his 2002 book Silence and the WordNegative
- 154 Theology and Incarnation, meant to collect contributions around the idea of rationalistic
- apophatic theology. Apart from Davies and Turner, the contributors were: Janet Soskice, Paul
- 156 Fiddes, Rowan Williams, Mark McIntosh and an essay by late McCabe.
- 157- Stephen Mulhall in his 2015 *The Great Riddle: Wittgenstein and Nonsense, Theology and*
- 158 *Philosophy* grouped these three apophatic theologians Burrell, McCabe and Turner into
- the label of 'grammatical Thomists'.
- Via Turner this ARAT arrived to Susannah Ticciati who applied the entire project on an
- interpretation of Augustine in her 2013 A New Apophaticism: Augustine and the Redemption
- of Signs, and to the apologetical critique of 'new atheism' in 2016 God Is No Thing by Robert
- Shortt and 2009 <u>Atheist Delusions</u>: The Christian Revolution and Its Fashionable Enemies
- by David Bentley Hart.

170

171

- We find particular applications of this ARAT to theological disciplines in 2014 Ian
- McFarland book *From Nothing* about creation and his 2014 article "Spirit and Incarnation:
- Toward a Pneumatic Chalcedonianism" about Christology, and in Karen Kilby's 2000 article
- 'Perichoresis and Projection' and 2005 article 'Aquinas, the Trinity and the Limits of
- 169 Understanding', about the doctrine of Trinity.

A Rationalist Apophatic Theology in the Anglophone World: Ideas

- What is the function of this apophaticism? First of all, it makes us not confuse God with
- cosmic and historical powers and with the features of human nature. If such a confusion is
- avoided or at least reduced, our reason will be less and less hindered, and so will our faith
- too: we are not meant to blindly follow mere human traditions, because they hinder faith
- itself, which is something unique for each of us; faith certainly needs a community, but an
- 'apophatic' community, that is, respectful of the mysterious path by which God reveals
- himself throughout each individual life, in an unrepeatable way. Jesus' mother Mary, Peter,
- the Samaritan woman, the good thief, the apostle Paul and many others show us a faith that is
- not standardised at all. Whereas, a non-apophatic community is at risk of hindering our faith,
- while intrusively proposing and even imposing in God's name mere human traditions
- bound to fashions, ideologies and transient social conventions.
- Another paramount idea is a *shared* theological research. As Burrell has shown in his
- 184 1986[18] and 2011[19] books, the rationalist theological apophaticism is the 'via regia' to the
- interreligious dialogue between the three Abrahamic religions.
- A third idea is the rationalisation of theology, as McCabe used to say: theology's main
- purpose was 'not concerned with trying to say what God is but in trying to stop us talking
- nonsense'. Therefore, it is necessary to avoid mistakes, which, in this case, are not 'factual'
- ones but rather 'nonsense', that is affirmations which are logically inconsistent with those
- ideas we are able to conceive about God starting from our observation of worldly reality. So
- that, the religious discourse could be defended by any attack based on its irrationality.

- A fourth idea is the facilitation of the dialogue with agnostics and atheists. McCabe and
- 193 Turner clearly maintain that in Aquinas there is not any cataphaticism of faith as opposed to
- an apophaticism of reason, whereas many theologians today actually propose this very
- dualistic approach and, thus, cannot grasp a truth both paradoxical and profound: no one, be
- he a believer or not, is able to know God's nature. There is a 'democratic' equality between
- believers and non-believers and no 'born-again' person or group should claim of being on a
- 198 higher hierarchical level in respect of the non-believers.
- A fifth idea is the defence of the autonomy of sciences. An important case of today is the so-
- 200 called Intelligent Design theory. In it the attribute 'intelligent' is applied to God in his literal
- 201 human meaning, and, doing so, the followers of that theory seek to dismiss Darwin.
- However, an 'apophatic-minded' theologian can show that all the best Christian theological
- tradition would have considered it a nonsense; thus, the theologian can endorse the most
- radical scientific palaeontology which claims that life on this planet is radically contingent
- and disconnected from any human predictive scheme.
- A sixth idea is the prevention of distorting the theological reasoning because of the attraction
- of sociological fashions: example of 'socialisation' in Trinity, example of 'political
- 208 radicalism' in Christology, example of 'intelligent design' in Creation, example of
- 209 'evolution' in Theodicy, example of 'all-you-need-is-love' ideology in Theological Ethics,
- example of 'individualism' in Theological Anthropology.

Importance and Opportunity of this Study

- This studycould be important, because, as McCabe noticed several times (for example
- criticising the book *The Myth of God Incarnate*) the necessary and mandatory operation of
- demythologisation of religion should be undertaken by keeping the deep and fundamental
- bond with the tradition and the magisterium, so that not to fall into iconoclasm, heresy and
- apostasy (unlike what happened to the great theologian Bultmann).
- 218 This studycould be important, because it envisions the theological counterpart of the
- 219 philosophical rapprochement between 'analytical' and 'continental' philosophy around the
- concepts of 'rationality' (as Haldane says) and of 'historicity' (as Strassfeld says).
- This study is opportune, because, apart from the short aforementioned article by Milem, there
- is not any at length study about the distinction between the two apophatic theologies, and,
- even more so, about the historical context I have outlined above.
- 224 This study is opportune also because, so far, although there is the aforementioned study
- by Wilzek about the irrationalist apophatic theology, there is not any about the rationalist one,
- so that currently both the scholars and the educated public suffer from a potential conceptual
- 227 confusion in their research and desire of knowledge.

211

- 230 [1] Jonathan Strassfeld, "The American Divide: the Making of the 'Continental' Philosophy",
- 231 *Modern Intellectual History*, CUP, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1017/S1479244318000513;
- William Blattner, 'Some Thoughts About "Continental" and "Analytic" ', 2014
- 233 [https://sites.google.com/a/georgetown.edu/prof-william-blattner/continental-analytic-
- 234 philosophy]
- 235 [2] "The story is told, often with appreciation, of one prominent analytical philosopher
- 236 teaching at a leading institution who would wear a tee-shirt bearing a slogan derived from the
- anti-drug campaign: 'Just say "no" to history of philosophy". (Haldane, 1999, p. 169).
- 238 [3] Tom Sorrell and G. A. J. Rogers, (eds.), *Analytic Philosophy and History of Philosophy*.
- 239 Oxford University Press, 2005.
- 240 [4] Ernst Nicholson (ed), A Century of Theological and Religious Studies in Britain, 1902-
- 2002, OUP, 2004; Fergus Kerr, After Aquinas: Versions of Thomism, Blackwell, 2002:
- "Curricula determine that most students of Christian theology, in the English-speaking world,
- and not excluding Roman Catholic ordinands, never need read deeply in the writings of
- 244 Thomas Aquinas. They will hear, in the Philosophy of Religion course, that he opened his
- 245 most famous book, the Summa Theologiae, with five proofs from features of the world that
- there is a First Cause. They may read that the God whose existence he has thus demonstrated
- is the unmoved mover of Mediterranean antiquity, with little if anything to do with the God
- of Christian revelation. His works will not appear among books recommended for courses on
- the Trinity or Christology. In Christian ethics, on the other hand, they will hear that Thomas
- is the classical exponent of a system of morals based on natural law. That is, roughly, the
- standard conception of Thomas's thought." VI.
- 253 [5] "Analytical Thomism", *The Monist*, volume 80 Issue 4 (October 1997); "Thomism and the
- Future of Catholic Philosophy: 1998 Aguinas Lecture", New Blackfriars, Vol. 80, No. 938,
- 255 (April 1999).

- 256 [6] Haldane, "Thomism and the Future", 170.
- 257 [7] Ibidem, 164-167.
- 258 [8] Ibidem, 168-170.
- 259 [9] Freddoso, "The Vindication of St. Thomas: Thomism and Contemporary Anglo-American
- 260 Philosophy", Nova et vetera, Volume 14, Number 2, Spring 2016, pp. 565-584,
- 261 10.1353/nov.2016.0037.
- 262 [10] As for the kataphaticism of a 'Thomist without Wittgenstein' like Eleonore Stump, see
- 263 Anthony Kenny's review "Stump's Aquinas', The Philosophical Quarterly, Vol. 54, No. 216
- 264 (Jul., 2004), pp. 457-462: "There is a great difference, for instance, between Aquinas as seen
- by Pasnau and Aquinas as seen by Stump, even though both of these authors were pupils at

- 266 Cornell of the same teacher the much lamented Norman Kretzmann, who more than anyone
- else has been responsible for the revival of interest in Aquinas in Anglophone universities".
- ²⁶⁸ [11] "Four Theories of Negative Theology", *The Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 54, No. 216
- 269 (Jul., 2004)., pp. 187-2014 : ."In this paper, I will discuss four theories of negative theology.
- 270 The first theory, which I call the metaphysical theory, grounds negative theology in God's
- 271 role as the cause of all. The second theory interprets negative theology as an expression of
- desire for something unknown. The third theory justifies negative theology on the basis of an
- extraordinary or mystical experience. The fourth theory explains negative theology as an act
- of renunciation motivated by concern about self-interest in one's devotion to God. These
- theories are similar in important ways. But there are also significant differences. First, while
- the metaphysical theory presents negative theology as the consequence of an objective,
- 277 rational consideration of the natural world, the others appeal to subjective factors, such as
- 278 desire".
- 279 [12] Kosky, "Contemporary Encounters with Apophatic Theology", Journal For Cultural and
- 280 *Religious Theory*, I.3, Fall 2000.
- 281 [13] Wilzek, Beyond the Limits of Language. Apophasis and Transgression in Contemporary
- 282 Theoretical Discourse, Peter Lang, Oxford, 2016.
- 283 [14] Christ Boesel and Catherine Keller (editors), *Apophatic Bodies: Negative Theology*,
- 284 Incarnation and relationality, Fordham University Press, New York, 2009.
- 285 [15] Richard Liddy, *Transforming Light*, Michel Glazier, 1993, chapter six [online on
- 286 Lonergan Institute website
- 287 http://www.lonergan.org/online_books/Liddy/chapter_six_thomistic_influences.htm]
- 288 [16] Franco Manni, "Introduction to Herbert McCabe OP", Angelicum, volume 95, 4/2018,
- 289 552-554.

- 290 [17] David Burell in his email to me on 2 September 2019.
- 291 [18] Knowing the Unknowable God: Ibn-Sina, Maimonides, Aquinas (Notre Dame: University
- of Notre Dame Press).
- 293 [19] *Towards a Jewish Christian-Muslim Theology* (Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell).