

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: **IJAR-53383**

Date: 16.8.2025

**Title: EVALUATING PATIENT SATISFACTION THROUGH A FUZZY LOGIC FRAMEWORK BASED ON SIMULATED PROFILES**

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is .....

**Accept after minor revision.....**

~~Accept after major revision .....~~

~~Do not accept (Reasons below) .....~~

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr.Aarthi R

Date: 16.8.2025

### Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

*(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)*

*The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.*

The manuscript highlights the importance of renewable energy adoption in rural India, emphasizing its role in sustainable development and reducing dependency on conventional fuels. Its strength lies in combining case-based insights with policy perspectives, showing how renewable solutions can enhance energy access, environmental protection, and economic growth. A key insight is the call for community participation and government support to ensure successful implementation .

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

[www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

1. **Relevance and Significance** – The paper addresses the crucial issue of renewable energy adoption in rural India, linking it to sustainable development, environmental protection, and improved quality of life.
2. **Strengths** – A key strength is the integration of policy insights and case-based discussions, showing how renewable energy can boost rural livelihoods, health, and education.
3. **Clarity and Organization** – The manuscript is well-structured with a logical flow of arguments, making it easy to follow.
4. **Methodology** – The study relies mainly on secondary data and policy analysis; while appropriate for exploratory work, it would be stronger with quantitative evidence or field-based validation.
5. **Practical Value** – The recommendations for community involvement, government support, and policy reforms make the paper highly relevant for practitioners and policymakers.
6. **Scope for Improvement** – The paper could be improved by adding comparisons with other regions, cost-benefit analysis, or visual data presentation to enhance clarity and impact.