



International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-53514 Date: 22/08/2025

Title: MEDIAN PALATINE CYST: A CASE REPORT

Recommendation:	Rating _	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor	
✓ Accept as it is	Originality		√			
Accept after minor revision	Techn. Quality		√			-
	Clarity		>			_
	Significance	~				

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 23/08/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

Based on the available references, the research appears to provide valuable visual evidence of postoperative healing at 3 and 6 months, potentially contributing to understanding the progression of healing in the studied intervention. However, for a comprehensive evaluation, additional details on methodology, quantitative outcome measures, and clinical context are necessary. The study's strength lies in its longitudinal imaging follow-up, but its limitations include a lack of detailed quantitative data and contextual information necessary for broad clinical application.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths

- 1. **Longitudinal Imaging Data:** The inclusion of postoperative radiographs and photographs at 3 and 6 months demonstrates a comprehensive follow-up, crucial for assessing healing and stability.
- 2. **Visual Documentation:** Use of both radiographs and photographs provides visual evidence supporting clinical findings. This multimodal approach enhances the reliability of the results.
- 3. **Structured Reporting:** The specific mention of postoperative intervals indicates a systematic approach to follow-up, enabling temporal analysis of healing and complication assessment.

Weaknesses

- 1. **Limited Quantitative Data:** The extracted pages suggest a focus on imaging, but there is no explicit mention of quantitative measurements (e.g., bone thickness, implant stability indices), which are essential for objective analysis.
- 2. Lack of Contextual Clinical Details: Without information on patient demographics, clinical procedures, or inclusion criteria, it's challenging to evaluate the broader applicability.
- 3. **Potential Absence of Control Group:** The references point to follow-up images but do not indicate whether any control or comparison group was included to strengthen the findings.
- 4. **Methodological Details Missing:** The specifics regarding imaging techniques, criteria for success, or failure are not provided, which are critical for reproducibility and validation.