# Invasive cervical cancer and pregnancy: a case study

by Jana Publication & Research

**Submission date:** 09-Sep-2025 01:42PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2690366929

File name: IJAR-53716.pdf (721.57K)

Word count: 1377 Character count: 7298

## Invasive cervical cancer and pregnancy: a case study

Maternity ward of the Abderrahim Mother and Child Hospital Harouchi Ibn Rochd University Hospital, Casablanca.

Introduction

2

3

- 9 The diagnosis of cervical cancer is relatively rare during pregnancy, but it
- is the most frequently detected cancer in pregnant women. Its incidence
- varies between one and ten per 10,000 pregnancies, depending on the
- population [1], [2], [3]. The majority of cases occur in women in their
- thirties who have had multiple births (four to five, according to studies)
- 14 [1], [2].
- In addition, approximately 30% of patients with centical cancer are of
- childbearing age at the time of diagnosis, and 1 to 3% of cervical cancers
- are discovered during pregnancy [4]. Pregnancy provides an opportunity
- to screen a population that sometimes receives little or no gynecological
- care, thanks to repeated clinical examinations and cervical smears,
- which are part of routine prenatal care.

#### 21 Clinical observation

- This is a 36-year-old woman with no history of disease, V G/ IIIP, 3
- $\,$  children by caesarean section , 2 spontaneous miscarriages ,admitted to
- the maternity ward of HAROUCHI hospital for cervical lesion during an
- unmonitored pregnancy at 39 weeks of amenorrhea. On admission, the
- patient was conscious, with BP: 12/06, HR: 76 bpm, BU: negative, TV:
- 27 cervix dilated to 2 cm with speculum examination revealing: ulcerative
- budding lesion of the cervix, membrane ruptured for 7 hours, with clear
- 29 fluid, high presentation, fetal heart sound detected at 137 bpm. Obstetric
- 30 ultrasound revealed a progressing monofetal pregnancy, positive
- cardiac activity, cephalic presentation, fundal placenta, biometrics
- 32 corresponding to term. ERCF: no abnormalities. The patient underwent a

- prophylactic cesarean section, giving birth to a female newborn, birth
- weight: 3750g, APGAR 10/10. A cervical biopsy was performed, .
- 35 Pathological examination revealed a well-differentiated squamous cell
- 36 carcinoma of the cervix.Pelvic MRI revealed: tumor thickening of the
- 37 endocervix invading the vaginal fornix and parametrium, crossed by the
- isthmocele, right primary iliac lymph node measuring 12.5 mm in short
- 39 axis, classifying the examination as T4N1MX.

### 40

41

#### Discussion

- 42 Cervical cancer is one of the most commonly diagnosed cancers during
- pregnancy, along with breast cancer, malignant hematological disorders,
- and melanoma. Its incidence is estimated at 1/10,000 pregnancies [5].
- 45 According to a recent Swedish study, 1.2% of invasive cervical cancers
- are discovered during pregnancy [6].
- 47 Zemlickis et al. [7] showed that cervical cancers diagnosed during
- pregnancy were significantly more often in the early stages: 69–83% in
- stage I versus 42% in the non-pregnant group, 11–23% in stage II versus
- 50 35%, 3–8% in stage III versus 21%, and 0–3% in stage IV versus 2%.
- 51 It is therefore important to systematically screen women during their first
- prenatal consultation. In 1995, Baldauf et al. [8] conducted a case-control
- study which showed that there was no significant difference in
- 54 concordance between cytology and colposcopy with direct biopsy,
- regardless of whether or not the woman was pregnant.
- 56 The distribution of histological types is identical between pregnant and
- non-pregnant women: 95% squamous cell carcinomas and 5%
- 58 adenocarcinomas [9].
- 59 Pregnancy does not alter the prognosis or progression of cervical cancer
- in the early stages (stage IB being the most common): in 1992, Hopkins
- et al. [10] published a case-control study comparing the five-year survival
- rate for stage IB squamous cell cervical cancer in pregnant women
- versus non-pregnant women, finding no significant difference. Lee et al.

- [11] in a retrospective Korean multicenter study, published in January
- 65 2008, compared the prognosis of cervical cancer between pregnant and
- 66 non-pregnant patients using matching. For stage IB, they observed no
- 67 significant differences in resection margins, parametrial invasion,
- 68 lymphatic and vascular emboli, treatment modalities, or five-year
- 69 survival.
- 70 The management of cervical cancer is now well codified [12]. The
- 71 combination of pregnancy and cervical cancer requires multidisciplinary
- 72 management involving obstetricians, oncologists, cancer surgeons, and
- 73 pediatricians. Analysis of the literature reveals mainly reported cases or
- mall series. A set of guidelines has been developed by the French
- 75 National College of Gynecologists and Obstetricians (CNGOF)
- 76 concerning the management of pre-invasive lesions during pregnancy
- 77 [13]. With regard to invasive cervical tumors diagnosed during pregnancy
- 78 (from FIGO stage IB onwards), Morice et al. published national
- 79 recommendations [14] validated by three French learned societies: the
- 80 French Society of Gynecological Oncology (SFOG), the French Society
- of Pelvic Surgery (SFCP), and the CNGOF. We wanted to take stock of
- 82 our practices with reference to these recommendations.

#### Conclusion

83

- In women who have not been closely monitored or who have not been
- screened for more than two years, a Pap smear should be performed at
- the beginning of pregnancy to screen for cervical abnormalities and to
- raise patients' awareness of the importance of this screening. For
- dysplastic lesions in the absence of proven invasion on colposcopy,
- 89 treatment can be deferred until after delivery, under close supervision.
- For invasive lesions, the assessment must be supplemented by MRI to
- 91 determine the size of the lesion as accurately as possible. Management
- will depend on the term, the stage of the lesion, and lymph node
- 93 involvement, if this information can be obtained (laparoscopic pelvic
- lymphadenectomy up to 20-24 weeks of gestation for tumors smaller
- than 4 cm). The couple must be informed that pregnancy itself does not
- 96 alter the prognosis of the tumor. If the couple's e s to continue with the

pregnancy and treatment must be deferred until fetal maturity, the risks 97 associated with the delay must be assessed based on the time required 98 99 and the prognostic factors of the tumor. The treatment is decided collectively by the oncologist, surgical oncologist, obstetrician, 100 neonatologists, and the couple. 101 102 103 104 References 105 106• P.H. Morice et al. 107 Ovarian transposition for patients with cervical carcinoma treated by radio-surgical combination 108 109 Fertil Steril (2000) 110• E. Cardonick et al. Use of chemotherapy during human pregnancy 111 112 Lancet Oncol (2004) 113• A. Bader et al. Long-term follow-up after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for high-risk 114 115 cervical cancer during pregnancy Gynecol Oncol (2007) 116 H.R. Marana et al. 117. Chemotherapy in the treatment of locally advanced cervical cancer 118 119 and pregnancy Gynecol Oncol(2001) 120 121• N.R. Abu-Rustum et al. 122 Radical abdominal trachelectomy for stage IB1 cervical cancer at 123 15-week gestation 124 Gynecol Oncol(2010) 125• A. Mandic et al. To dical abdominal trachelectomy in the 19th weekin patients with 126 early invasive cervical carcinoma: case study and overview of 127 128 Am J Obstet Gynecol(2009) 129 130• F. Amant et al. 131 Gynaecologic cancer complicating pregnancy: an overview Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol (2010) 132 S. Alouini et al. 133• 134 Cervical cancer complicating pregnancy: implications of 135 laparoscopic lymphadenectomy Gynecol Oncol (2008) 136 K. Van Calsteren et al.

Cervical neoplasia during pregnancy: diagnosis, management, and 138 139 prognosis 140 Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol (2005) 141• G. Favero et al. Invasive cervical cancer during pregnancy: laparoscopic nodal 142 143 evaluation before oncologic treatment delay 144 Gynecol Oncol (2010) B. Occelli et al. 145 De novo adhesions with extraperitoneal endosurgical para-aortic 146 147 lymphadenectomy versus transperitoneal laparoscopic para-aortic lymphadenectomy: a randomized experimental study 148 Am J Obstet Gynecol (2000) 149 150• Therapeutic value of pretherapeutic extraperitoneal laparoscopic 151 staging of locally advanced cervical carcinoma 152 153 Gynecol Oncol (2007) 154 155• L. Selleret et al. Precancerous cervical lesions during pregnancy: diagnosis and 156 157 treatment J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris) (2008) 158 159• C. Haie-Meder et al. SOR guidelines for concomitant chemoradiotherapy for patients with uterine 160 cervical cancers: evidence update bulletin 2004 161 162 Ann Oncol (2005) 163

	ALITY REPORT	
3 SIMIL	1% 27% 18% 1% ARITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCES PUBLICATIONS STUDEN	T PAPERS
PRIMA	Y SOURCES	
1	saudijournals.com Internet Source	14%
2	m.scirp.org Internet Source	8%
3	K. Diabaté, H. Bakkali, A. Lachgar, S. Nadir, T. Kebdani, B. K. El Gueddari, N. Benjaafar. "Management of Pregnant Women with Invasive Cervical Cancer about Ten Cases", Journal of Cancer Therapy, 2018	2%
4	Christine H Holschneider. "Neoadjuvant cisplatin and radical cesarean hysterectomy for cervical cancer in pregnancy", Nature Clinical Practice Oncology, 06/2007	1 %
5	elsa.ecancer.org Internet Source	1%
6	Van Calsteren, K "Cervical neoplasia during pregnancy: Diagnosis, management and prognosis", Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 200508 Publication	1%
7	Tommaso Susini. "Masson's Vegetant Hemangioendothelioma Arising in the Uterine Cervix During Pregnancy: A Case Report", Journal of Women s Health, 09/2010	1%

8	docshare.	tips			1%
9	guidelines Internet Source	esgo.org			1%
10	www.em-c	consulte.con	n		1%
11	Salicrú, Sabina R., Javier F.V. de la Torre, and Antonio Gil-Moreno. "The surgical management of early-stage cervical cancer:", Current Opinion in Obstetrics & Gynecology, 2013.  Publication		1%		
Exclud	le auotes Or	٦	Exclude matches	Off	

Exclude bibliography On