Comparative Forensic Analysis of Multiple Biological SamplesUsing VNTR

2 Profiling

Abstract

- While blood samples consistently provide high-quality DNA, saliva and hair present unique challenges related to DNA yield, inhibitors, and degradation, necessitating specialised extraction and analysis strategies. This review paper assesses the effectiveness and applicability of Variable Number Tandem Repeat (VNTR) profiling across diverse biological samples, including blood, saliva, and hair, for forensic individual identification and criminal investigations. The report explains the fundamental principles of VNTR profiling, outlines the standardised protocols for DNA extraction from each sample type, and compares the quality and reproducibility of the generated DNA fingerprints in terms of DNA yield, amplification success, and band clarity. The development and widespread use of more sophisticated techniques like Short Tandem Repeat (STR) analysis, mitochondrial DNA (mt-DNA) analysis, and Y-chromosome STRs (Y-STRs) were made possible by the drawbacks of VNTR profiling, especially its need for large, undamaged DNA samples and time-consuming procedures. Even though they were eventually superseded, VNTRs set important precedents for DNA evidence in the court system, both scientifically and legally.
- Keywords VNTR profiling, Short Tandem Repeat, mitochondrial DNA analysis, biological
 samples, DNA fingerprints

22 1. Introduction

23 1.1. Overview of forensic DNA profiling

One of the most important methods in contemporary forensic science for identifying people and resolving criminal cases is DNA profiling, sometimes known as genetic fingerprinting. The basic principle of forensic DNA analysis is that every individual has a unique genetic makeup, except for identical twins. This uniqueness makes DNA a highly powerful form of evidence, capable of linking suspects to crime scenes, identifying victims, and establishing family relationships. DNA profiling in the mid-1980s revolutionized forensic capabilities (Briody, 2005). Before this breakthrough, identification relied on "classical" markers like ABO blood groups, serum proteins, and red blood cell enzymes. These older methods had low variability, limited stability, and poor resolution, often failing to provide enough detail for definitive individual identification. The introduction of DNA profiling, therefore, was not just an incremental step but a fundamental shift that greatly improved the accuracy and discriminatory power of forensic science(Alketbi,2023).

1.2. Historical significance of VNTRs

The pioneering work of Sir Alec Jeffreys in 1985 led to the development of the Variable Number Tandem Repeat (VNTR) method, which produced the first "DNA fingerprints". VNTRs are defined as repetitive, non-coding regions of DNA, typically composed of short sequences (20 to 50 bases) that are unique to everyone (Crawfordand Beaty,2013). These regions are inherited from biological parents, and their varying lengths create a distinct pattern of bars, forming a DNA profile or fingerprint. This methodology rapidly gained traction in forensic medicine and criminal investigations. A pivotal early application was the Colin Pitchfork case in the United Kingdom in 1986, which stands as a landmark example of VNTR profiling's power(Parven,2012).In this instance, DNA evidence cleared an innocent defendant who had first confessed in addition to conclusively identifying the real criminal. In addition to criminal justice, VNTR profiling was initially useful in humanitarian contexts, such as immigration situations, where it was applied to build family ties. A significant precedent for the acceptability of DNA evidence in court was set by the quick and broad legal and popular acceptance of VNTR profiling, which was highlighted by its use in both criminal and humanitarian situations (Odah, 2024).

2. Principles of variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) profiling

2.1. Molecular basis of VNTRs

54

70

55 Short nucleotide sequences arranged as tandem repetitions in particular regions of the human 56 genome are known as variable number tandem repeats, or VNTRs. These repeating units are 57 also called minisatellites, and they usually have a length of 10 to 100 base pairs. One 58 distinguishing feature of VNTRs is that they are highly polymorphic genetic markers, with 59 the quantity of these repeated units varying greatly between people (Nakamura*et al.*, 1987). 60 The primary causes of the variation in the number of repeats at VNTR loci include molecular 61 events such as gene conversion, unequal crossing over during meiosis, and slipped-strand 62 mispairing during DNA replication. These mechanisms ensure a high degree of 63 polymorphism, which is the fundamental basis of their utility in individual identification. 64 While this high mutation rate contributes to genetic diversity, it also implies a dynamic nature that could theoretically lead to slight variations between generations or even somatic cells. 65 However, for forensic purposes, VNTRs are considered stable enough to provide reliable 66 67 individual identification. The inherent mechanisms of VNTR variation guarantee a high degree of polymorphism, which is the bedrock of their utility in individual identification(Jin, 68 69 1994).

2.2. VNTRs as genetic markers for individual identification

71 The unique patterns formed by the varying lengths of VNTR regions create a distinctive 72 "DNA profile" or "fingerprint" for everyone. These patterns act as inherited alleles, allowing 73 them to be used effectively for personal or parental identification. When multiple independent 74 VNTR markers are analyzed, the probability of two unrelated individuals sharing the identical 75 allelic pattern becomes exceedingly low, thereby conferring a high discriminatory power to 76 the technique. For instance, the chance of encountering identical patterns in an unrelated 77 individual can be as low as 1 in 30 billion. VNTRs are particularly advantageous in forensic 78 identification because they are in non-coding regions of the genome(Rasekh,2021). Because 79 of this trait, they are less vulnerable to the forces of natural selection, which may otherwise 80 cause varying frequencies in different populations. Forensic applications needing wide 81 applicability benefit from VNTRs' ability to retain varied frequencies across populations. 82 Although VNTR loci can display hundreds or perhaps hundreds of alleles, only 15 to 25 83 could usually be successfully identified due to practical constraints in laboratory procedures 84 (Luntet al., 1998). The necessity of examining several loci in order to attain adequate

- 85 discriminatory strength was directly impacted by this discrepancy between theoretical genetic
- 86 diversity and actual resolution. With the following introduction of Short Tandem Repeat
- 87 (STR) technology, this crucial development—the multi-locus analysis principle—was further
- 88 refined (Uguen*et al.*, 2024). The practical limitations of identifying every allele highlight how
- 89 crucial it is for technology to keep improving in order to effectively utilise genetic markers in
- 90 forensic science.

96

3. Extracting DNA from biological material

- 92 Every DNA profiling study begins with the extraction of high-quality, efficient DNA from the
- 93 biological source. The integrity and purity of the extracted DNA are crucial for the
- 94 effectiveness of downstream analyses, such as VNTR profiling. However, because of their
- varied origins and frequently damaged states, forensic materials pose special difficulties.

3.1. Standardized protocols for blood samples

- 97 Blood is frequently found at crime scenes due to its high cellular content and is believed to be
- an excellent source of DNA. While liquid blood may give substantial quantities of DNA,
- 99 typically around 30,000 ng/mL, bloodstains can only provide 200 ng/cm²(Vitosevicet al.,
- 100 2019). Standardised procedures are essential for the collection, preservation, and extraction
- operations in order to guarantee the best possible sample quality and integrity.

102 **Methodology:**

- 103 Collection: In order to prevent clotting, liquid blood samples are usually obtained
- intravenously and promptly combined with anticoagulants, such as ethylenediamine tetra-
- acetic acid (EDTA). After that, these samples are either stored for a short time (5–7 days) at
- 106 4°C or for a longer time (-20°C--80°C). Before packaging, bloodstains taken from crime
- scenes must be completely air-dried to avoid deterioration and the growth of mould.
- Lysis: To liberate the DNA, the first laboratory step entails lysing the cells and dissolving the
- 109 nuclear membranes. Proteolytic enzymes, such as Proteinase K, are frequently added to
- specialised lysis buffers to help break down proteins that could obstruct DNA extraction or
- other processes.
- 112 Extraction/Purification: High amounts of pure DNA are known to be produced using
- 113 conventional organic extraction techniques like silica-column extraction. Nevertheless, these
- 114 techniques require dangerous chemicals and are time-consuming and labour-intensive.

- 115 Modern forensic laboratories increasingly prefer automated systems utilizing silica membrane
- or magnetic bead-based kits due to their efficiency, speed, and compatibility with diverse
- sample types.
- 118 **Quality Control:** Post-extraction, the quantity and purity of the isolated DNA are rigorously
- assessed. soafter isolation we perform Gel electrophoresis to assess DNA integrity and size
- distribution.
- Blood consistently produces high-quality DNA, making it the "gold standard" for DNA
- profiling. Hemoglobin, a strong PCR inhibitor found in blood samples, can obstruct later
- amplification stages(Sidstedtet al., 2018). Thus, efficient inhibitor removal which is frequently
- accomplished by using lysis buffers that have been tuned or by using extra purification
- procedures such centrifugation to pellet hemoglobin precipitatesis essential to the successful
- extraction of DNA from blood. The continuing endeavor to strike a balance between
- enhancing DNA recovery and limiting inhibitory effects is demonstrated by the continued
- development of techniques to mitigate these inhibitors, which shows how forensic
- methodologies are continuously refined to enhance the value of even "ideal" material.

130 **3.2. Standardized protocols for saliva samples**

- 131 Saliva is a valuable non-invasive source of DNA in forensic investigations, primarily
- containing buccal epithelial cells and leukocytes.

133 **Methodology:**

- 134 **Collection:** Saliva can be collected via passive drool, cotton swabs, or oral rinses. For oral
- rinses, individuals typically swish a saline solution vigorously to dislodge cheek cells, which
- are then spit into a sterile container. If the saliva is present as a dried stain on an item, it
- should be air-dried completely before packaging.
- 138 Lysis & Purification: Like blood, DNA extraction from saliva often employs method silica-
- based columns. Centrifugation is a common step to pellet the buccal cells and separate them
- from smaller bacterial cells and other contaminants.
- While saliva offers a convenient and non-invasive collection method, its forensic utility is
- complicated by several factors. Research shows that the quantity of human amplifiable DNA
- in saliva-derived DNA can be substantially lower than that in blood (e.g., 37.3% for saliva vs.
- 144 87.57% for blood)(Songet al., 2023). Although the overall purity of DNA extracted from

- saliva can be comparable to blood, it is highly susceptible to bacterial contamination, which
- can interfere with human DNA amplification and downstream analysis. This necessitates
- 147 advanced methods to selectively amplify human DNA and robust quality control to
- 148 differentiate human from bacterial DNA. Beyond identifying a person, the existence of a
- salivary microbiome opens up new possibilities for forensic analysis, such as describing a
- person's eating patterns, smoking habits, or alcohol use(Yadav*et al.*,2024).

3.3. Standardized protocols for hair samples

- Hair is a frequently encountered form of evidence at crime scenes. The primary source of
- 153 nuclear DNA in hair is the root or follicle, which contains living cells. Hair shafts,
- particularly those that have been naturally shed (telogen phase), contain very little nuclear
- DNA(Linchet al.,1998). However, hair shafts are rich in mitochondrial DNA (mt-DNA),
- which is present in multiple copies per cell, making it a viable target for analysis when
- nuclear DNA is scarce.

158 **Methodology:**

- 159 Collection: Hairs should be collected meticulously using clean forceps, and each group of
- hairs should be packaged separately to prevent cross-contamination. It is crucial to avoid
- damaging any hair root samples, and if hairs are mixed with body fluids, they should be air-
- dried before packaging.
- 163 Lysis & Purification: Extracting DNA from hair, especially from shafts, is challenging.
- 164 Complete cell lysis often requires pretreatment with strong proteolytic enzymes like
- 165 Proteinase
- 166 K and reducing agents such as dithiothreitol (DTT) to break down the dense keratin structure.
- 167 Extraction method silica-bead method. Commercial kits, such as Promega's DNA IQTM
- 168 System, are specifically designed to process these difficult samples and remove PCR
- inhibitors(Huet al.,2015).
- Hair, particularly shed hair shafts, presents significant challenges in forensic DNA analysis
- due to low nuclear DNA yield and susceptibility to degradation from environmental exposure
- and programmed cellular processes(Rana, 2025). Hair pigment melanin is an important
- barrier and a potent PCR inhibitor. Taq polymerase can be bound by melanin, which might
- disrupt its activity and result in problems including variant dropout or overall amplification
- failure (Vajpayee et al., 2025). Mt-DNA analysis, which is more prevalent and robust in hair

- shafts than nuclear DNA but has a lesser discriminatory power, is frequently used in forensic
- hair analysis to get over these difficulties. These biological and chemical difficulties directly
- led to the switch from nuclear DNA to mt-DNA analysis for hair shafts, showing how the
- biological sample's characteristics determine which genetic marker is best to use, even if it
- provides less individualised information.

3.4. Best techniques and obstacles in DNA extraction

- 182 Common problems with DNA extraction in forensic science can have a big influence on the
- accuracy and success of later DNA profiling. These consist of:
- 184 Sample Quality and Integrity: When forensic samples are exposed to environmental
- elements like heat, UV light, and microbiological activity, they frequently deteriorate or are
- not well kept. Low levels of fragmented DNA are produced by degraded samples, which
- might not be appropriate for some uses.
- 188 **Inhibitors:**Inhibitors that can disrupt the extraction procedure or downstream Polymerase
- 189 Chain Reaction (PCR) amplification are commonly found in biological samples (Hedman and
- 190 Radstrom, 2012). Proteins, lipids, polysaccharides, urea from urine, polyamines from semen,
- heme from blood, and melanin from highly pigmented tissues including skin and hair are a
- 192 few examples. Poor DNA yields, tainted results, incomplete DNA profiles, or even false
- 193 negative reactions can result from these inhibitors.
- 194 **Contamination:** Samples can get contaminated by environmental factors, bacterial DNA, or
- 195 cross-contamination from other samples or lab workers. Inaccurate results or mixed DNA
- 196 profiles could result from contamination.
- 197 Several best practices are necessary to lessen these difficulties and guarantee accurate DNA
- 198 extraction.:
- 199 **Standardizing Protocols:** Maintaining consistency is crucial. Reproducibility and reduced
- 200 variability are ensured by creating and strictly following Standard Operating Procedures
- 201 (SOPs) for sample collection, storage, and extraction (Royet al., 2025). Maintaining
- adherence to these guidelines requires regular training for laboratory staff.
- 203 Using Fresh Reagents and Calibrated Equipment: The effectiveness of extraction is
- 204 directly impacted by reagent quality. For best results, use high-quality, fresh reagents and
- 205 calibrate your equipment frequently. To prevent contamination, reagents must be properly
- kept, and sterile procedures must be followed.

- Implementing Quality Control Measures: Monitoring the success of extraction is aided by implementing quality control procedures all along the way. This involves using gel electrophoresis to measure DNA concentration and purity as well as to evaluate DNA integrity (Lucena*et al.*, 2016). END POINT PCR is also capable of detecting inhibition.
- Optimizing Lysis and Purification: DNA can be successfully separated from inhibitors by using lysis buffers made especially for the kind of sample and adding extra purification procedures (such as proteinase K treatment, RNase treatment, and magnetic bead-based techniques) (Tan and Yiap, 2009). Inhibition can be overcome by diluting the DNA sample or by adding bovine serum albumin (BSA).
- Preventing Contamination: Strict controls on contamination are essential. This entails utilising specialised tools and filter pipette tips, regularly changing gloves, physically separating work locations for various DNA analysis steps, and doing negative controls for every extraction batch.
 - The success of DNA profiling is not solely dependent on the extraction method but on a holistic approach encompassing proper sample collection, preservation, and stringent contamination control. Degradation and inhibitors represent major hurdles. The emphasis on "standardized forensic protocols" extends far beyond the laboratory, beginning at the crime scene. The quality of the initial sample collection and preservation directly dictates the feasibility and success of downstream DNA extraction and profiling. This highlights that forensic DNA analysis is a chain, and the weakest link, such as improper collection leading to degradation or contamination, can compromise the entire process, regardless of the sophistication of laboratory techniques(Alketbi,2024). This further emphasises how forensic science is multidisciplinary and necessitates smooth cooperation between the crime scene and the courtroom.

4. VNTR profiling methodology and DNA fingerprint generation

- Following DNA extraction, the subsequent steps in VNTR profiling involve quantification,
- fragmentation, amplification, separation, and detection to generate a unique DNA fingerprint.

4.1. DNA Quantification

After DNA extraction, accurate quantification of the isolated DNA is a critical step to ensure optimal amounts for downstream amplification. While using too much DNA can result in profiles that are uninterpretable because of overloading effects, using too little DNA can result in a partial or nonexistent profile. Additionally popular is END POINT PCR, which has the benefit of concurrently measuring human DNA and determining whether PCR inhibitors are present (Sidstedt*et al.*, 2020).

A crucial gatekeeper in the forensic process is DNA quantitation. It is a prediction technique that directly affects the success of further amplification and the interpretability of the final DNA profile; it is not just a number measurement. Real-time PCR's capacity to identify inhibitors at this early stage is especially useful because it enables forensic analysts to take corrective action before moving on to more resource-intensive amplification steps, such as diluting the sample or adding ameliorating agents like bovine serum albumin (BSA). Particularly for difficult or impaired samples, this proactive strategy maximises resource allocation and greatly increases the possibility of producing a useable DNA profile (Alketbi,2024).

4.2 Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and restriction enzyme digestion

The Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) look at is frequently used for VNTR profiling. With this technique, certain restriction endonucleases which function as "molecular scissors" are applied to the isolated DNA. These enzymes recognise and break double-standard DNA at specific, targeted nucleotide sequences that border the VNTR regions. Because each person has a different amount of tandem repetitions in a VNTR region, the length of the generated DNA fragments vary as well, resulting in length polymorphisms (RFLPs), which are seen as a distinct pattern for each individual. A commonly used restriction enzyme in forensic DNA analysis is Hae-III, which cuts DNA at the sequence 5'-GGCC-3'(Budowleet al.,1990).

While restriction enzymes offer high specificity in cutting DNA at precise sites, RFLP technology has a significant limitation: it requires a large amount of intact, high molecular weight DNA (e.g., 500 ng over multi-locus arrays or at least 100 ng for RFLP). This requirement poses a considerable challenge for forensic samples, which are frequently degraded or available only in minute quantities at crime scenes(Budowle*et al.*, 2006). The fact that RFLP depends on sizable, undamaged DNA fragments for efficient restriction enzyme activity and subsequent separation highlights a serious flaw in its frequently impaired

- application to actual forensic evidence. A crucial advancement in forensic DNA profiling, this
- 270 intrinsic constraint directly prompted the creation of substitute, more sensitive PCR-based
- 271 techniques that could operate with far smaller and even degraded DNA quantities (Alketbi,
- 272 2023).

295

4.3. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Amplification of VNTRs (Amp-FLPs)

- 274 By making it possible to exponentially amplify target DNA segments and produce millions of
- 275 copies from even small amounts of starting material, the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)
- 276 transformed DNA profiling (Alamet al., 2025). It made it possible to analyse trace or
- deteriorated materials that would have been impossible to profile using conventional RFLP
- 278 methods, this capability was especially revolutionary for forensic science.
- 279 To get around the high DNA quantity requirement of standard RFLP, PCR-based VNTR
- analysisalso known as Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms, or Amp-FLPsinvolves
- amplifying shorter VNTR sections, such as 8 to 16 base pairs (Sheejaet al., 2020). Extension
- 282 (DNA polymerase synthesises new DNA strands), denaturation (heating to separate DNA
- strands), and annealing (cooling to allow primers to bind to the target region) are the three
- mainsteps that are repeated in the PCR process.
- 285 The advent of PCR significantly increased the range of forensic samples that could be
- 286 examined, transforming DNA profiling from a method used mostly on pristine samples to one
- that could be used on actual crime scenes. However, problems still exist even using PCR.
- Amplification may be hampered by environmental or biological sample inhibitors (such as
- urea, melanin, or heme), which could result in incomplete DNA profiles or total amplification
- failure (Wilson, 1997). Furthermore, analyzing samples with very low copy number (LCN)
- 291 DNA also presents difficulties, increasing the risk of stochastic effects like allele dropout or
- 292 preferential amplification. This highlights a continuous cycle of technological advancement
- 293 addressing old limitations but often revealing new ones, requiring further methodological
- refinements and careful statistical interpretations.

4.4. Gel Electrophoresis and Southern blotting

- 296 Following restriction enzyme digestion (and optional PCR amplification), the resulting DNA
- 297 fragments are separated based on their size using agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA molecules
- 298 go through the gel matrix's pores in the direction of the positive electrode because they are
- 299 negatively charged. Smaller DNA fragments move more rapidly and travel further through

the gel than larger fragments, resulting in a continuous separation of DNA fragments according to their size(Smithand Cantor, 1987).

During electrophoresis, the agarose gel is soaked in a basic solution to denature (convert to single strands) the segregated DNA fragments therein. These single-stranded DNA fragments are then transferred from the gel to the surface of a nylon membrane through a process known as Southern blotting, named after its inventor, Edwin Southern(Southern, 2006). This blotting procedure is crucial as it immobilizes the DNA fragments on the membrane, preserving their spatial arrangement exactly as they were separated on the gel.

Although at first apparent, the visual aspect of gel electrophoresis for VNTRs naturally reduced the accuracy of allele size and caused measurement error. This "imprecise resolution" directly affected the statistical power and certainty of a match, necessitating the development of statistical criteria for determining whether two bands could be considered similar. This restriction was a major factor in the move towards automated, more accurate electrophoretic techniques, like capillary electrophoresis in STR analysis, which greatly improves objectivity and reproducibility in forensic DNA analysis by providing discrete numerical data rather than visual patterns.

4.5. Combining probe hybridisation with DNA fingerprint pattern recognition

Following Southern blotting to immobilise the DNA fragments on the nylon membrane, hybridisation with certain probes is the next step. According to Heath et al., (1993). These probes are synthetic oligonucleotides, which are DNA or RNA sequences that complement certain VNTR regions of interest. These probes, which are usually tagged with a fluorescent chemical or a radioactive label for detection, are added to a solution and incubated in the membrane. The probes attach to their complementary DNA sequences on the membrane to

323 produce stable duplexes under particular salt and temperature conditions.

Only the radioactivity or fluorescence linked to the targeted DNA loci is left on the membrane after hybridisation, as unbound probes are removed by washing. Next, the probe hybridisation sites are identified. This process, called autoradiography, entails positioning the membrane adjacent to an X-ray film in a light-tight container for radioactive probes (Fischer and Werner, 2020). The black band pattern called as the "DNA fingerprint" or "autoradiograph" is generated by radioactive decay and is captured on X-ray film. The entire DNA profile is a collection of these autoradiographs from various loci.

- 331 Multi-locus probes, which hybridised to many VNTR loci at once and produced intricate and
- occasionally challenging-to-understand patterns, were frequently used in early techniques.
- Because of this intricacy, single-locus probeswhich target a distinct DNA sequence that
- 334 surrounds a particular VNTRere developed and are preferred because they make it easier to
- identify individual alleles.
- 336 Despite its sensitivity, the use of radioactive probes for detection posed serious health and
- disposal hazards to lab workers. Furthermore, the visualization process required lengthy
- exposure times, often adding several days to the overall assay duration. These practical and
- 339 safety hurdles in VNTR profiling pushed the field towards the adoption of non-radioactive
- 340 detection methods (such as chemiluminescence and fluorescence) and the development of
- faster, automated systems(Surzycki, 2008). This evolution not only improved laboratory
- safety and efficiency but also facilitated the high-throughput analysis necessary for building
- 343 large-scale forensic DNA databases, reflecting a broader trend in scientific methodology
- towards safer, faster, and more scalable techniques.

5. Comparative analysis of VNTR profiling across biological samples

- 346 The efficiency and utility of VNTR profiling in forensic investigations are significantly
- influenced by the type and condition of the biological sample from which DNA is extracted.
- 348 Different features in terms of DNA production, quality, amplification success, and the clarity
- of the resulting DNA fingerprint patterns are revealed by comparing samples of blood, saliva,
- and hair.

345

351

5.1. VNTR profiling comparisons between biological samples

- 352 The quantity and quality of DNA obtained vary across different biological matrices, directly
- impacting the success of VNTR profiling.
- 354 **Blood:**Blood is always thought of as a great source of DNA as it usually produces huge
- amounts of high-quality genomic DNA. Liquid blood samples can provide approximately
- 356 30,000 ng/mL of DNA, while dried bloodstains yield about 200 ng/cm²(Vitosevicet
- al.,2019). The purity of the extracted DNA from blood, as indicated by the A260/A280 ratio,
- 358 typically falls within the ideal range of 1.8-2.0, signifying minimal protein or contaminant
- 359 contamination(Janavi, 2017).
- 360 Saliva: Saliva can also be a source of high-quality genomic DNA, with some studies
- demonstrating yields comparable to blood, particularly when collected using specialized kits.

362	Liquid saliva can yield around 5,000 ng/mL of DNA. However, a significant consideration for
363	saliva is that the proportion of human amplifiable DNA can be lower than in blood (e.g., a
364	mean of 37.3% for saliva-derived DNA compared to 87.57% for blood-derived DNA)
365	(Mahaboob, 2019). While the overall purity (A260/A280 ratio) of saliva DNA can be like
366	blood, it may contain degraded RNA, which can affect quantification results if not treated
367	with RNase, though it typically does not impede downstream applications.
368	Hair: The presence and integrity of the hair root have a major impact on the DNA yield from
369	hair samples. While naturally shed hairs, which frequently lack a substantial root sheath, give
370	far less nuclear DNA—typically 1-12 ng/root—plucked hairs with complete follicles can
371	yield anything from 1-750 ng/root. Instead of nuclear DNA, the majority of the DNA present
372	in hair shafts is mitochondrial DNA (mt-DNA) (Brandhagen et al., 2018). It is challenging to
373	get high-quality nuclear DNA profiles because hair DNA is so prone to deterioration from
374	environmental exposure and cellular activities.
375	Sample-specific extraction procedures and downstream analysis techniques are required due
376	to the different DNA yields and quality among samples (Barcenillaet al., 2024). For example,
377	although blood is strong, saliva needs to be handled carefully to prevent bacterial
378	contamination and reduce the amount of human DNA, and hair frequently needs mt-DNA
379	analysis because nuclear DNA is few. This suggests that in forensic practice, a "one-size-fits-
380	all" strategy is inadequate. To overcome innate biological and environmental difficulties,
381	laboratories must instead use a customised, flexible approach for every type of sample,
382	optimising extraction and analysis (Bojko, 2024). This demonstrates the intricacy of forensic

Table 1: Comparison of DNA yield and purity from Blood, Saliva, and Hair samples

383

384

385

used.

casework, where the type and state of the evidence frequently determine the strategy to be

Sample Type	Typical DNA Yield	A260/A280 Ratio (Purity)	Key Challenges / Considerations
Blood (Liquid)	~30,000 ng/mL	1.8 – 2.0	Heme inhibitors, degradation if not properly stored
Blood (Stain)	~200 ng/cm²	1.8 – 2.0	Heme inhibitors, degradation over time
Saliva (Liquid)	~5,000 ng/mL (amplifiable DNA: ~37.3% human)	1.8 – 2.0 (may contain bacterial DNA contamination, RNA affecting ratio)	Lower human DNA yield if untreated
Hair (with root, plucked)	~1 – 750 ng/root	1.8 – 2.0	Low nuclear DNA, degradation, melanin inhibitors
Hair (shed/shaft, nuclear DNA; high mt-DNA content)	~1 – 12 ng/root	1.8 – 2.0	Extremely low nuclear DNA, high degradation, melanin inhibitors

5.2. Comparison of amplification success and band clarity

The quality and amount of the extracted DNA, in addition to the presence of inhibitors, are strongly related to the success of DNA amplification and the level of clarity of the resulting DNA fingerprint patterns.

Blood: Blood samples lead to high amplification success rates and clear, well-defined band patterns(Anderson *et al.*,1999). This is mostly because, if strong inhibitors like heme are successfully eliminated during the extraction procedure, there is usually a high yield of undamaged DNA.

Saliva: Amplification success and genotyping call rates from saliva can be significantly lower compared to blood(Abraham*et al.*,2012). This reduction is often correlated with a lower percentage of human amplifiable DNA in saliva samples. Furthermore, the abundant bacterial DNA present in saliva can compete with human DNA during amplification, potentially interfering with the generation of clear human DNA profiles.

Hair: Amplifying nuclear DNA from hair, especially from hair shafts, is frequently unsuccessful due to the extremely low quantity of nuclear DNA and its high susceptibility to degradation(Brandhagen*et al.*,2018). Melanin, a pigment found in hair, acts as a potent PCR

inhibitor, which can lead to allele dropout (failure to detect one or both alleles) or complete amplification failure, severely compromising the quality and completeness of the DNA profile. Consequently, for hair shafts, mitochondrial DNA (mt-DNA) amplification is often pursued due to its higher copy number and greater resilience to degradation, resulting in more successful amplification, although with lower discriminatory power (Robertsand Calloway, 2007).

The direct correlation between DNA yield/quality and amplification success/band clarity highlights that the initial state of the biological sample is paramount for obtaining a usable DNA profile. Degraded or inhibited samples inevitably lead to partial or unclear profiles, which in turn reduce their evidentiary value in forensic investigations(Nooret al.,2024). This strong correlation means that the "efficiency" of VNTR profiling is not just about the technique itself, but about the recoverability of high-quality, amplifiable DNA from the forensic sample. This highlights the significance of strong quality control and interpretation guidelines in forensic laboratories and has important ramifications for the interpretation of results in court, since incomplete or ambiguous profiles create ambiguity and necessitate careful statistical assessment.

5.3. Assessment of reliability and discriminatory power

- There is very little chance that two unrelated people will have the same VNTR profile
- because VNTR profiling has a very high degree of discriminating power (Hilty et al., 2005).
- 423 For example, it has been calculated that there is a 1 in 30 billion chance of finding identical
- patterns in an unrelated person. The number of distinct VNTR loci analysed closely correlates
- with this selective power; the more loci analysed, the smaller the chance of a random match
- 426 (Weir, B.S., 1992). VNTRs' dependability as genetic markers is further enhanced by their
- 427 somatic and germline durability.

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

- However, a number of factors can influence how reliable VNTR profiling is. The confidence
- 429 in proclaiming a match may be impacted by the measurement inaccuracy and lack of precise
- resolution of VNTR values in the electrophoretic method of separation (Hurd*et al.*, 2002).
- 431 Additionally, the method necessitates comparatively large quantities of intact DNA, and
- results may be compromised by contamination during sample processing or analysis. Finding
- 433 the Random Match Probability (RMP) is an important stage when evaluating the importance
- of a DNA match. A statistical tool known as RMP calculates the possibility of a DNA profile
- in each group will be similar to the profile of a randomly chosen individual. (Koehler*et al.*,

1994). This computation makes use of statistical models, most frequently the product rule, and DNA allele frequencies derived from population databases. The size and genetic makeup of the reference population, as well as the possibility of laboratory errors, can affect RMP estimations (Nelson*et al.*, 2008).

According to Murphy (2007), the shift from a straightforward "match" to a statistically sound "random match probability" for VNTRs demonstrates the growing scientific rigour and judicial scrutiny given to forensic DNA evidence. Although VNTRs have a great deal of discriminatory power, determining RMP is difficult and has generated statistical debates, especially when it comes to topics like family and demographic stratification. According to Li and Wang (2015), this intricacy suggests that a "match" is always a probabilistic assertion rather than a definitive statement of identification. This emphasises how important population genetics and biostatistics are to forensic science, going beyond simple pattern recognition to evaluate evidence quantitatively.

449

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

450

451

6. Applications for VNTR profiling in criminal investigations and individual

452 **identification**

- 453 A revolutionary period in forensic research began with the advent of VNTR profiling, which
- had an impact on a variety of sectors requiring individual or lineage identification in addition
- 455 to direct criminal identification.
- 456 **Early Successes:** The 1986 Colin Pitchfork case is a landmark illustration of the significant
- influence of VNTR profiling. Importantly, VNTR analysis cleared an innocent person who
- 458 had confessed to the crimes in this historic case, in addition to identifying and convicting the
- real criminal (O'Dwyer, 2013). DNA evidence's legitimacy and public acceptance in court
- processes were greatly enhanced by its simultaneous potential to convict the guilty and clear
- the innocent.
- 462 **Broader Forensic Utility:**In addition to this seminal case, VNTR profiling was frequently
- used for:

- 464 **Identify Suspects and Link Crimes:** In order to create links, samples of bodily fluids or cells
- 465 (such as blood, saliva, hair, or semen) taken from crime scenes were profiled and in
- comparison to criminal DNA databases or samples acquired from suspects.
- 467 **Identify Human Remains:** VNTR profiling proved invaluable in identifying bodies or body
- parts that were unidentifiable through conventional means, such as those that were badly
- decomposed or fragmented after disasters.
- 470 Paternity Testing and Immigration Cases: The inherited nature of VNTR patterns made
- 471 them particularly useful for determining familial relationships, aiding in paternity disputes,
- and verifying family connections for immigration purposes.
- 473 Anthropological Genetics: Because of their polymorphism, VNTRs were useful in
- anthropological genetics, where they let researchers reconstruct evolutionary history,
- investigate the origins of humans and migration patterns, and even identify clan allegiance
- among native populations.
- 477 **Microbial Forensics:** The principle of VNTR analysis has extended beyond human
- 478 identification. Multiple Loci VNTR Analysis (MLVA) emerged as a valuable technique in
- 479 microbial forensics, used to distinguish strains of bacterial pathogens for outbreak
- 480 surveillance and to trace sources of contamination.
- 481 The widespread adoption and success of VNTR profiling in diverse legal and scientific
- 482 contexts, ranging from criminal justice to family law and anthropology, illustrate its
- foundational role in establishing DNA as a powerful, versatile, and legally admissible form of
- 484 evidence(Alketbi, 2023). This multifaceted application demonstrates that the technology's
- value transcended its initial forensic purpose, contributing to a broader understanding of
- 486 human identity and relationships.

7. VNTR profiling's limitations and the development of DNA technology

- 488 Despite its groundbreaking impact and high discriminatory power, VNTR profiling,
- 489 particularly in its Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) based format,
- 490 possessed inherent limitations that drove the development and adoption of more advanced
- 491 DNA technologies.

487

492

7.1. Challenges with sample requirements and analysis complexity

493 The primary challenges associated with RFLP-based VNTR profiling included:

494 **High DNA Quantity Requirement:** A significant drawback was the necessity for a large 495 amount of high molecular weight, undegraded DNA. RFLP methods typically require at least 496 100 ng of DNA, and multi-locus typing could demand 500 ng or more(Hashimet al., 2019). 497 Such quantities are often difficult to obtain from typical crime scene evidence, which may be 498 sparse. 499 Sensitivity to Degraded Samples: VNTR profiling did not perform well with degraded 500 DNA. The large fragment sizes generated by restriction enzymes are easily fragmented by 501 environmental exposure (e.g., heat, UV light, humidity, microbial activity), making it 502 challenging to obtain complete or interpretable profiles(Tiedje*et al.*, 1999). 503 Time-consuming and Labour-intensive: The RFLP process was infamously time-504 consuming and labour-intensive, frequently requiring weeks to provide results. This was due 505 to multiple steps, including DNA digestion, lengthy gel electrophoresis, Southern blotting, 506 and prolonged exposure times for radioactive probes(Wheeleret al., 2019). 507 Hazardous Reagents: Early methods frequently used radioactive probes (e.g., P32) for 508 detection, which posed health and safety risks to laboratory personnel and created challenges 509 for radioactive waste disposal(Hallet al., 2007). Interpretation Complexity: Multi-locus VNTR patterns could be complex, especially when 510 511 dealing with mixed samples from multiple contributors, making interpretation challenging. 512 These inherent limitations of VNTRs (large DNA requirement, sensitivity to degradation, timeconsuming nature, reliance on hazardous materials) directly led to their gradual 513 514 replacement by newer technologies. This illustrates a classic pattern of scientific progress 515 where limitations in one technology drive the innovation and adoption of a superior one. The 516 "retirement" of RFLP-VNTR as the primary forensic method, despite its foundational

importance, serves as a powerful case study in technological evolution within forensic

science(Walsh, 2009). It demonstrates that even highly discriminatory methods can become

obsolete if they fail to meet practical demands related to sample availability, processing time,

and safety. This continuous drive for efficiency and robustness is fundamental to the

7.2. Transition to Short Tandem Repeats (STRs) and other markers

advancement of forensic capabilities.

517

518

519

520

521

- 523 The limitations of VNTR profiling spurred the development and widespread adoption of more
- advanced DNA technologies, notably Short Tandem Repeats (STRs), along with specialized
- markers like mitochondrial DNA (mt-DNA) and Y-chromosome STRs (Y-STRs).
- 526 **Short Tandem Repeats (STRs):** In contrast to VNTRs (10-100 base pairs), STRs, commonly
- referred to as microsatellites, have substantially shorter repeat units (usually 2-6 base pairs).
- 528 (Vergani, 2021). This smaller size conferred several significant advantages, leading to STR
- analysis becoming the current gold standard in forensic DNA analysis:
- 530 Smaller Sample Requirement: STR analysis can successfully amplify and profile DNA
- from very small amounts of starting material, often less than 1 ng, making it highly suitable
- for trace evidence commonly found at crime scenes(Claytonet al., 1998).
- 533 Compatibility with Degraded DNA: Due to their shorter fragment sizes, STRs are much
- better suited for analysis of degraded DNA samples, as they are more likely to remain intact
- even when the overall DNA is fragmented(Schneider*et al.*, 2004).
- Multiplexing Capability: The capacity of STRs to amplify several STR loci at once in a
- single reaction (multiplex PCR) is one of their main advantages. (Schoske et al., 2003). This
- significantly increases the overall discriminatory power, as the probabilities of individual loci
- are multiplied, and dramatically speeds up the analysis process. For instance, forensic
- 540 laboratories in the United States typically analyze 13 or more core STR loci (CODIS
- 541 loci)(Hares, 2012).
- 542 **Automation and Speed:** STR analysis is highly amenable to automation, utilizing robotic
- 543 platforms for DNA extraction and capillary electrophoresis for fragment separation. This
- automation leads to much faster turnaround times, often hours or days compared to weeks for
- 545 RFLP-VNTR(Karudapuramet al., 2007).
- 546 **High Discriminatory Power:** While an individual STR locus may be less discriminatory
- 547 than a VNTR locus, the ability to multiplex many STR loci results in an extremely high
- overall discriminatory power, often yielding probabilities on the magnitude of 1 x 10^-15 or
- lower for a random match(Linset al.,1998).
- 550 Mitochondrial DNA (MT-DNA) Analysis: For highly degraded samples or those lacking
- nuclear DNA (e.g., hair shafts without roots, old bones, charred remains), mt-DNA analysis
- provides a valuable alternative (Pajnic, 2020). Mt-DNA is inherited maternally, making it
- 553 useful for tracing maternal lineage and identifying missing persons through maternal

relatives. In comparison with nuclear DNA, mt-DNA analysis has a lesser discriminatory power despite being robust.

Y-Chromosome STRs (Y-STRs):Polymorphic areas on the Y chromosome that are passed down directly from father to son are known as Y-Chromosome STRs, or Y-STRs. They are particularly useful in sexual assault cases to differentiate male DNA from overwhelming quantities of female DNA from the victim, and for tracing paternal lineages. The development and adoption of STRs, mt-DNA, and Y-STRs represent not merely replacements for VNTRs but a diversification of forensic tools(Dash, H.R0.et al, 2023). Each marker type possesses specific strengths and weaknesses, making a multi-faceted approach necessary for comprehensive forensic analysis. The evolution of DNA profiling techniques from VNTRs to a suite of specialized markers signifies a maturation of the field, moving from a single "gold standard" to a more nuanced, adaptive strategy(Seth-Smith, *et al.*, 2025). This implies that modern forensic science employs a toolkit approach, selecting the most appropriate genetic marker(s) based on the quantity, quality, and specific context of the biological evidence, thereby maximizing the chances of obtaining probative information from even the most challenging samples.

Table 2: Advantages and Disadvantages of VNTR vs. STR Profiling

Feature/Aspect	VNTR Profiling (RFLP-	STR Profiling
Repeat Unit Length	based) 10–100 base pairs	2–6 base pairs
	(minisatellites)	(microsatellites)
Typical DNA Quantity	Large amounts (e.g., >100	Small amounts (e.g., <1 ng)
Required	ng, >500 ng for multi-locus)	
Suitability for Degraded	Poor (requires high molecular	Good (smaller fragments
Samples	weight DNA)	amplify better)
Processing Time	Weeks (labor-intensive,	Hours/Days (faster
	lengthy probe exposure)	turnaround)
Detection Method	Southern blotting,	PCR amplification,
	radioactive/chemiluminescen	capillary electrophoresis,
	t probes, autoradiography	fluorescent detection
Automation Potential	Low	High (robotic platforms)
Multiplexing Capability	Limited (complex patterns	High (multiplexing 13+
	with multi-locus probes)	loci)
Discriminatory Power (per	High per locus, but overall	Extremely high overall
locus vs. overall)	power limited by practical	(current gold standard)
	number of loci	
Common Use in Forensics	Historically significant, now	Current gold standard in
	largely superseded	modern forensics
Associated	Hazardous reagents	Potential for LCN issues,

Risks/Challenges	(phenol/chloroform),	measurement error, and
-	radioactive waste, complex	interpretation of mixtures
	interpretation	

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

8. Conclusion

Variable Number Tandem Repeat (VNTR) profiling represents a pivotal milestone in the history of forensic science, laying the foundational principles for modern DNA-based individual identification. The method, pioneered by Sir Alec Jeffreys, revolutionized criminal investigations by offering unprecedented discriminatory power, capable of generating unique genetic "fingerprints" for individuals. Early applications, such as the landmark Colin Pitchfork case, unequivocally demonstrated VNTR profiling's capacity to both identify perpetrators and exonerate the innocent, thereby establishing critical legal and societal precedents for the acceptance of DNA evidence. The comparative analysis of VNTR profiling across different biological samplesblood, saliva, and hairreveals varying efficiencies and inherent challenges. Blood samples consistently yield the highest quantities of high-quality DNA, making them the most reliable source for profiling, provided that potent inhibitors like heme are effectively managed during extraction. Saliva, while offering a non-invasive collection method, presents complexities due to lower human amplifiable DNA content and susceptibility to bacterial contamination, demanding specialized purification techniques. Hair samples, particularly hair shafts, pose the greatest challenge due to their low nuclear DNA yield, propensity for degradation, and the presence of melanin, a significant PCR inhibitor. Consequently, profiling hair often necessitates a shift to mitochondrial DNA analysis, which, while more robust, offers less individualizing information.

The limitations inherent in RFLP-based VNTR profiling, including the requirement for large quantities of undegraded DNA, its time-consuming and labor-intensive nature, and the use of hazardous radioactive materials, drove the continuous evolution of DNA technologies. This led to the development and widespread adoption of Short Tandem Repeat (STR) profiling, which became the new gold standard due to its superior sensitivity, compatibility with degraded samples, multiplexing capabilities, and amenability to automation. Additionally, specialised markers like Y-chromosome STRs (Y-STRs) and mitochondrial DNA (mt-DNA) have become essential adaptive methods for examining difficult or particular kinds of forensic evidence.

References

- Abraham, J.E., Maranian, M.J., Spiteri, I., Russell, R., Ingle, S., Luccarini, C., Earl, H.M.,
- Pharoah, P.P., Dunning, A.M. and Caldas, C., 2012. Saliva samples are a viable alternative
- to blood samples as a source of DNA for high throughput genotyping. BMC medical
- 604 *genomics*, 5(1), p.19.
- Alam, Q., Rafeeq, M. and Khan, M.U. eds., 2025. Innovations and Implications in
- 606 *Molecular Diagnostics*. CRC Press.
- Alketbi, S.K., 2023. The role of DNA in forensic science: A comprehensive
- review. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 9(02), pp.814-829.
- Alketbi, S.K., 2024. DNA contamination in crime scene investigations: Common errors,
- best practices, and insights from a survey study. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res, 58(5),
- 611 pp.50970-50982.
- Alketbi, S.K., 2024. Emerging technologies in forensic DNA analysis. Perspectives in
- 613 *Legal and Forensic Sciences*, 1(1).
- Anderson, T.J.C., SU, X.Z., Bockarie, M., Lagog, M. and Day, K.P., 1999. Twelve
- 615 microsatellite markers for characterization of Plasmodium falciparum from finger-prick
- 616 blood samples. *Parasitology*, *119*(2), pp.113-125.
- Barcenilla, C., Cobo-Díaz, J.F., De Filippis, F., Valentino, V., Cabrera Rubio, R., O'Neil,
- D., Mahler de Sanchez, L., Armanini, F., Carlino, N., Blanco-Míguez, A. and Pinto, F.,
- 619 2024. Improved sampling and DNA extraction procedures for microbiome analysis in
- food-processing environments. *Nature Protocols*, 19(5), pp.1291-1310.
- Bojko, B., 2024. Emerging technologies: analytical lab vs. clinical lab perspective.
- 622 Common goals and gaps to be filled in the pursuit of green and sustainable
- 623 solutions. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 416(9), pp.2117-2124.
- Brandhagen, M.D., Loreille, O. and Irwin, J.A., 2018. Fragmented nuclear DNA is the
- predominant genetic material in human hair shafts. *Genes*, 9(12), p.640.
- Briody, M., 2005. The effects of DNA evidence on the criminal justice process.
- Budowle, B., Schutzer, S.E., Burans, J.P., Beecher, D.J., Cebula, T.A., Chakraborty, R.,
- 628 Cobb, W.T., Fletcher, J., Hale, M.L., Harris, R.B. and Heitkamp, M.A., 2006. Quality
- sample collection, handling, and preservation for an effective microbial forensics
- program. Applied and environmental microbiology, 72(10), pp.6431-6438.

- Budowle, B., Waye, J.S., Shutler, G.G. and Samuel Baechtel, F., 1990. Hae III—A
- suitable restriction endonuclease for restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of
- biological evidence samples. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 35(3), pp.530-536.
- Clayton, T.M., Whitaker, J.P., Sparkes, R. and Gill, P., 1998. Analysis and interpretation
- of mixed forensic stains using DNA STR profiling. Forensic Science International, 91(1),
- 636 pp.55-70.
- 637 Crawford, M.H. and Beaty, K.G., 2013. DNA fingerprinting in anthropological genetics:
- past, present, future. *Investigative Genetics*, 4(1), p.23.
- Dash, H.R., Elkins, K.M. and Al-Snan, N.R., 2023. Advanced Emerging Techniques for
- Forensic DNA Analysis: STRs, SNPs, and mt-DNA Analysis. Advancements in Forensic
- 641 *DNA Analysis*, pp.35-59.
- Fischer, H.A. and Werner, G., 2020. Autoradiography. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co
- 643 KG.
- Hall, E., Nonproliferation, U.S. and Morgan, D.C.G.W., 8-12July 2007 Portland, Oregon.
- Hares, D.R., 2012. Expanding the CODIS core loci in the United States. *Forensic Science*
- 646 *International: Genetics*, 6(1)pp.e52-e54.
- Hashim, H.O. and Al-Shuhaib, M.B.S., 2019. Exploring the potential and limitations of
- PCR-RFLP and PCR-SSCP for SNP detection: A review. Journal of applied
- 649 *biotechnology reports*, 6(4), pp.137-144.
- Heath, D.D., Lwama, G.K. and Devlin, R.H., 1993. PCR primed with VNTR core
- sequences yields species specific patterns and hypervariable probes. *Nucleic acids*
- 652 research, 21(24), pp.5782-5785.
- Hedman, J. and Rådström, P., 2012. Overcoming inhibition in real-time diagnostic PCR.
- In *PCR detection of microbial pathogens* (pp. 17-48). Totowa, NJ: Humana Press.
- Hilty, M., Diguimbaye, C., Schelling, E., Baggi, F., Tanner, M. and Zinsstag, J., 2005.
- Evaluation of the discriminatory power of variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) typing
- of Mycobacteriumbovis strains. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 109(3-4), pp.217-222.
- Hu, Q., Liu, Y., Yi, S. and Huang, D., 2015. A comparison of four methods for PCR
- 659 inhibitor removal. Forensic science international: Genetics, 16, pp.94-97.

- Hurd, C.M., Cavanagh, G., Schuh, A., Ouwehand, W.H. and Metcalfe, P., 2002.
- Genotyping for platelet-specific antigens: techniques for the detection of single nucleotide
- polymorphisms. *Vox Sanguinis*, 83(1), pp.1-12.
- Janavi, B.R., 2017. Effect of Storage Conditions on the PCR-Quality Genomic DNA
- 664 Extracted from Saliva: Comparison Between Two Sampling Methods (Master's thesis,
- Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)
- Jin, L., 1994. Population genetics of VNTR loci and their applications in evolutionary
- studies (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Texas Graduate School of Biomedical
- Sciences at Houston).
- Karudapuram, S., Padilla, R., Chen, S., Koepf, S., Hauser, J., Wang, Y., Jacobson, K.,
- White, M., Bordoni, R., Wenz, M. and Shah, A., 2007. P48-T a new high-density size
- standard for sizing large fragments across multiple fragment analysis capillary
- 672 electrophoresis applications. *Journal of Biomolecular Techniques: JBT*, 18(1), p.17.
- Koehler, J.J., Chia, A. and Lindsey, S., 1994. The random match probability in DNA
- evidence: Irrelevant and prejudicial. *JURIMETRICS* j., 35, p.201.
- Li, J. and Wang, A.G., 2015. A framework of identity resolution: evaluating identity
- attributes and matching algorithms. Security Informatics, 4(1), p.6.
- Linch, C.A., Smith, S.L. and Prahlow, J.A., 1998. Evaluation of the human hair root for
- DNA typing subsequent to microscopic comparison. Journal of forensic sciences, 43(2),
- 679 pp.305-314.
- Lins, A.M., Micka, K.A., Sprecher, C.J., Taylor, J.A., Bacher, J.W., Rabbach, D.R., Bever,
- R.A., Creacy, S.D. and Schumm, J.W., 1998. Development and population study of an
- 682 eight-locus short tandem repeat (STR) multiplex system. Journal of forensic
- 683 sciences, 43(6), pp.1168-1180.
- Lucena-Aguilar, G., Sánchez-López, A.M., Barberán-Aceituno, C., Carrillo-Ávila, J.A.,
- López-Guerrero, J.A. and Aguilar-Quesada, R., 2016. DNA source selection for
- downstream applications based on DNA quality indicators analysis. *Bio-preservation and*
- 687 biobanking, 14(4), pp.264-270.

- Lunt, D.H., Whipple, L.E. and Hyman, B.C., 1998. Mitochondrial DNA variable number
- tandem repeats (VNTRs): utility and problems in molecular ecology. *Molecular*
- 690 *Ecology*, 7(11), pp.1441-1455.
- Mahaboob, S., 2019. Salivary DNA Retrieval at Varied Time Intervals (Master's thesis,
- Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India).
- Murphy, E., 2007. The new forensics: Criminal justice, false certainty, and the second
- generation of scientific evidence. *Calif. L. Rev.*, 95, p.721.
- Nakamura, Y., Leppert, M., O'Connell, P., Wolff, R., Holm, T., Culver, M., Martin, C.,
- Fujimoto, E., Hoff, M., Kumlin, E. and White, R., 1987. Variable number of tandem
- repeat (VNTR) markers for human gene mapping. *Science*, 235(4796), pp.1616-1622.
- Nelson, M.R., Bryc, K., King, K.S., Indap, A., Boyko, A.R., Novembre, J., Briley, L.P.,
- Maruyama, Y., Waterworth, D.M., Waeber, G. and Vollenweider, P., 2008. The Population
- Reference Sample, POPRES: a resource for population, disease, and pharmacological
- genetics research. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 83(3), pp.347-358.
- Noor, A., Raza, A. and Rehman, F.U., 2024. Recent advances in forensic science for
- evidence collection and preservation: innovations in sample handling techniques to
- enhance analytical accuracy and case-specific investigations. Forensic Insights and
- 705 *Health Sciences Bulletin*, 2(2), pp.60-66.
- Odah, M., 2024. The double helix of justice: The crucial role of DNA in advancing
- 707 criminal investigations.
- O'Dwyer, D., 2013. DNA and the criminal process: 'striking the right balance': the case
- for a 'reflective' approach (Doctoral dissertation, University of Limerick).
- Pajnič, I.Z., 2020. Mitochondrial DNA in forensic analyses. Slovenian Medical
- 711 *Journal*, 89(1-2), pp.55-72.
- Parven, K., 2012. Forensic use of DNA information: human rights, privacy and other
- 713 *challenges* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Wollongong).
- Rana, A.K., 2025. Challenging biological samples and strategies for DNA
- extraction. *Journal of Investigative Medicine*, 73(6), pp.443-459.

- Rasekh, M.E., 2021. Characterizing VNTRs in human populations (Doctoral dissertation,
- 717 Boston University).
- Roberts, K.A. and Calloway, C., 2007. Mitochondrial DNA amplification success rate as a
- function of hair morphology. *Journal of forensic sciences*, 52(1), pp.40-47.
- Roy, S., Mandal, B. and Das, B.K., 2025. Quality Assurance in Laboratory Practices and
- Equipment Maintenance: Ensuring Precision, Reliability, and Compliance. In *Laboratory*
- 722 Techniques for Fish Disease Diagnosis (pp. 79-103). Singapore: Springer Nature
- 723 Singapore.
- Schneider, P.M., Bender, K., Mayr, W.R., Parson, W., Hoste, B., Decorte, R., Cordonnier,
- J., Vanek, D., Morling, N., Karjalainen, M. and Carlotti, C.M.P., 2004. STR analysis of
- artificially degraded DNA—results of a collaborative European exercise. Forensic
- 727 *science international*, *139*(2-3), pp.123-134.
- Schoske, R., Vallone, P.M., Ruitberg, C.M. and Butler, J.M., 2003. Multiplex PCR design
- strategy used for the simultaneous amplification of 10 Y chromosome short tandem repeat
- 730 (STR) loci. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 375(3), pp.333-343.
- 731 Seth-Smith, H.M., Rooney, A.M. and Egli, A., 2025. Strain Typing (Bacterial, Viral,
- Fungal, and Mycobacterial). Manual of Molecular Microbiology: Fundamentals and
- 733 *Applications*, pp.48-73.
- Sidstedt, M., Hedman, J., Romsos, E.L., Waitara, L., Wadsö, L., Steffen, C.R., Vallone,
- P.M. and Rådström, P., 2018. Inhibition mechanisms of hemoglobin, immunoglobulin G,
- and whole blood in digital and real-time PCR. Analytical and bioanalytical
- 737 *chemistry*, 410(10), pp.2569-2583.
- Sidstedt, M., Rådström, P. and Hedman, J., 2020. PCR inhibition in qPCR, d-PCR and
- 739 MPS—mechanisms and solutions. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 412(9),
- 740 pp.2009-2023.
- Smith, C.L. and Cantor, C.R., 1987. [28] Purification, specific fragmentation, and
- separation of large DNA molecules. In *Methods in enzymology* (Vol. 155, pp. 449-467).
- 743 Academic Press.

- Song, M., Bai, H., Zhang, P., Zhou, X. and Ying, B., 2023. Promising applications of
- human-derived saliva biomarker testing in clinical diagnostics. *International Journal of*
- 746 *Oral Science*, 15(1), p.2.
- Southern, E., 2006. Southern blotting. *Nature protocols*, 1(2), pp.518-525.
- Surzycki, S., 2008. *Human molecular biology laboratory manual*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Tan, S.C. and Yiap, B.C., 2009. DNA, RNA, and protein extraction: the past and the
- present. BioMed Research International, 2009(1), p.574398.
- Tiedje, J.M., Asuming-Brempong, S., Nüsslein, K., Marsh, T.L. and Flynn, S.J., 1999.
- Opening the black box of soil microbial diversity. Applied soil ecology, 13(2), pp.109-
- 753 122.
- Uguen, K., Michaud, J.L. and Génin, E., 2024. Short Tandem Repeats in the era of next-
- generation sequencing: from historical loci to population databases. European Journal of
- 756 *Human Genetics*, 32(9), pp.1037-1044.
- Vajpayee, K., Srivastava, S., Sharma, S., Gupta, S., Srivastava, A., Paida, V., Dash, H.R.,
- Pappachan, A. and Shukla, R.K., 2025. Mechanistic Insights into Melanin-Induced PCR
- 759 Inhibition and Its NanoPCR-Based Mitigation.
- Vergani, D., 2021. Forensic Identity Markers: An Overview of Forensic Autosomal STRs
- and SNPs. Forensic DNA Analysis, pp.53-76.
- Vitosevic, K., Todorovic, D., Slovic, Z., Zivkovic-Zaric, R. and Todorovic, M., 2019.
- Forensic genetics and genotyping.
- Walsh, S.J., 2009. Evaluating the role and impact of forensic DNA profiling on key areas
- of the criminal justice system. University of Technology Sydney (Australia).
- Weir, B.S., 1992. Independence of VNTR alleles defined as fixed bins. *Genetics*, 130(4),
- 767 pp.873-887.
- Wheeler, J.H., Young, C.K. and Young, M.J., 2019. Analysis of human mitochondrial
- DNA content by southern blotting and nonradioactive probe hybridization. Current
- protocols in toxicology, 80(1), p.e75.
- Wilson, I.G., 1997. Inhibition and facilitation of nucleic acid amplification. *Applied and*
- *environmental microbiology*, *63*(10), pp.3741-3751.

Yadav, S., Kumari, P., Sinha, A., Tripathi, V. and Saran, V., 2024. Salivary microbiomes: a potent-evidence in forensic investigations. *Forensic Science, Medicine and Pathology*, 20(3), pp.1058-1065.