

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-53766

Date: 10/09/2025

Title: Use of Combination of Vitamin D Powder with Gentamycin Injection in Management of Non-healing Wounds: A Case Report

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

| Rating | Excel. | Good | Fair | Poor |
|----------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Originality | | ✓ | | |
| Techn. Quality | | ✓ | | |
| Clarity | | ✓ | | |
| Significance | ✓ | | | |

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 10/09/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

The paper presents an innovative, promising approach to managing non-healing wounds by combining local Vitamin D therapy with Gentamycin. While preliminary results are encouraging, further studies with larger sample sizes, control groups, and quantitative assessments are necessary to validate efficacy and safety comprehensively.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths:

- Innovative Approach:** The paper introduces a novel combination therapy using topical Vitamin D powder and Gentamycin injections for non-healing wounds, which is a promising and less-explored method.
- Clear Case Descriptions:** The case reports are detailed, including patient history, wound characteristics, treatment procedures, and outcomes, which provide valuable clinical insights.
- Integration of Micro-nutrient and Antibiotic Therapy:** Highlighting the potential synergistic effects of combining antimicrobial agents with immunomodulatory agents like Vitamin D adds depth to wound management strategies.
- Potential for Cost-effective Treatment:** The approach appears affordable and easily accessible, which is significant in resource-limited settings.

Weaknesses:

- Limited Sample Size:** The study is based on only one case each, which limits the generalizability of the findings and the strength of the conclusions regarding efficacy.
- Lack of Control Group:** Without comparative cases or control treatments, the observed improvements cannot definitively be attributed to the intervention alone.
- Absence of Quantitative Data:** The report does not include measurements of wound size reduction, bacterial load, or other objective metrics to substantiate healing progress.
- Insufficient Literature Context:** The discussion could be expanded to include more recent studies on topical Vitamin D use in wound healing, as well as existing evidence supporting combination therapies.
- Potential Confounding Factors:** Factors such as nutritional status, comorbidities, or concurrent treatments are not thoroughly discussed, which could influence healing outcomes.

Suggestions for Improvement:

- Expand Literature Review:** Incorporate more recent and comprehensive references to contextualize findings within current wound management research.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

2. **Include Quantitative Data:** Provide measurements such as wound size, bacterial load, or healing rate percentages to strengthen the evidence.
3. **Discuss Limitations:** Clearly acknowledge the limitations of case reports and the need for controlled, large-scale studies.
4. **Methodological Clarity:** Specify the concentration or dosage details of Vitamin D powder and Gentamycin, including preparation methods.
5. **Follow-up and Long-term Outcomes:** If possible, include longer-term follow-up data to assess the durability of healing and any potential complications.

Editorial and Language Corrections:

- Check for minor typos and grammatical errors throughout, such as inconsistent spacing, punctuation, and sentence structures.
- Standardize terminology (e.g., consistently use "Gentamycin" or "Genemicin" if that appears).
- Enhance clarity in some sentences for better readability, especially in the discussion section.